Machine Learning Assignment 2: Neural Networks

Fabian Flores Gobet

19 November 2023

Question 1

To solve this exercise lets first define some notation, namely

$$z_k = x_k \cdot W^{(1)} + b^{(1)}$$

$$\left[z_k\right]_m = b_m^{(1)} + \sum_{n=1}^2 \left[x_k\right]_n W_{nm}^{(1)}$$

$$f(z_k) = \left[f\left([z_k]_1\right), f\left([z_k]_2\right), f\left([z_k]_3\right)\right] = \left[f\left([z_k]_i\right)\right]_i$$

$$f(Z) = \left[f(z_1), f(z_2), f(z_3), f(z_4)\right]^T = \left[f(z_i)\right]_{i,1}$$

x_k	row vector
(y-t)	column vector
z_k	row vector
$f(z_k)$	row vector
f(Z)	column vector

$$\bullet \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial b^{(2)}}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial b^{(2)}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial b^{(2)}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{4} (y_k - t_k)^2 \right)$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{4} (y_k - t_k) \frac{\partial y_k}{\partial b^{(2)}} = \sum_{k=1}^{4} (y_k - t_k)$$
$$= (y - t)^T \cdot \mathbf{1}_4$$

where $\mathbf{1}_4$ is a column vector of 1s.

 $\bullet \ \ \frac{\partial L}{\partial W^{(2)}}$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial W^{(2)}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial W^{(2)}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{4} (y_k - t_k)^2 \right)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{4} (y_k - t_k) \frac{\partial y_k}{\partial W^{(2)}} = \sum_{k=1}^{4} (y_k - t_k) f(z_k)$$

$$= (y - t)^T \cdot f(Z)$$

 $\bullet \ \ \frac{\partial L}{\partial b^{(1)}}$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial b^{(1)}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial b^{(1)}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{4} (y_k - t_k)^2 \right)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{4} (y_k - t_k) \frac{\partial y_k}{\partial b^{(1)}} \tag{1}$$

Lets solve $\frac{\partial y_k}{\partial b^{(1)}}$ and then return to (1), hence lets consider the partial derivative with respect to component i of $b^{(1)}$, i.e. $\frac{\partial y_k}{\partial b_i^{(1)}}$. Then

$$\frac{\partial y_k}{\partial b_i^{(1)}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial b_i^{(1)}} \left(b^2 + \sum_{m=1}^3 \left[W_m^{(2)} f([z_k]_m) \right] \right)
= \sum_{m=1}^3 \left[W_m^{(2)} \frac{\partial}{\partial b_i^{(1)}} \left(f([z_k]_m) \right) \right]
= \sum_{m=1}^3 \left[W_m^{(2)} f'([z_k]_m) \frac{\partial [z_k]_m}{\partial b_i^{(1)}} \right]
= \sum_{m=1}^3 \left[W_m^{(2)} f'([z_k]_m) \frac{\partial}{\partial b_i^{(1)}} \left(b_m^{(1)} + \sum_{n=1}^2 \left[x_k \right]_n W_{nm}^{(1)} \right) \right]
= \sum_{m=1}^3 \left[W_m^{(2)} f'([z_k]_m) \delta_{im} \right] = W_i^{(2)} f'([z_k]_i)$$

Generalizing for $b^{(1)}$ we have

$$\frac{\partial y_k}{\partial b^{(1)}} = \left[W_i^{(2)} f'([z_k]_i) \right]_i = f'(z_k) \cdot diag(W^{(2)})$$

where $diag(W^{(2)})$ is a diagonal matrix with the components of $W^{(2)}$.

Returning to (1) comes

$$(1) = \sum_{k=1}^{4} (y_k - t_k) \frac{\partial y_k}{\partial b^{(1)}} = \sum_{k=1}^{4} (y_k - t_k) f'(z_k) \cdot diag(W^{(2)})$$
$$= (y - t)^T \cdot f'(Z) \cdot diag(W^{(2)})$$

$\bullet \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial W^{(1)}}$

Just like in (1), lets first calculate $\frac{\partial y_k}{\partial W_{ij}^{(1)}}$, generalize and form a conclusion about $\frac{\partial L}{\partial W^{(1)}}$. Hence

$$\frac{\partial y_k}{\partial W_{ij}^{(1)}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial W_{ij}^{(1)}} \left(b^2 + \sum_{m=1}^3 \left[W_m^{(2)} f([z_k]_m) \right] \right)
= \sum_{m=1}^3 \left[W_m^{(2)} f'([z_k]_m) \frac{\partial}{\partial W_{ij}^{(1)}} \left(b_m^{(1)} + \sum_{n=1}^2 \left[x_k \right]_n W_{nm}^{(1)} \right) \right]
= \sum_{m=1}^3 \left[W_m^{(2)} f'([z_k]_m) \left(\sum_{n=1}^2 \left[x_k \right]_n \frac{\partial W_{nm}^{(1)}}{\partial W_{ij}^{(1)}} \right) \right]
= W_j^{(2)} f'([z_k]_j) \left[x_k \right]_j$$

Generalizing for $W^{(1)}$ we have

$$\frac{\partial y_k}{\partial W^{(1)}} = \left[W_j^{(2)} f'([z_k]_j)[x_k]_i \right]_{ij} = x_k^T \cdot f'(z_k) \cdot diag(W^{(2)}) = T(x_k).$$

Notice both x_k and $f'(z_k)$ are row vectors of size 2 and 3, respectively. Thus $x_k^T \cdot f'(z_k)$ produces a 2x3 matrix ((·) is the matrix multiplication operator).

Lets consider
$$T = \begin{bmatrix} T(x_1), T(x_2), T(x_3), T(x_4) \end{bmatrix}^T$$
, then finally
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial W^{(1)}} = \sum_{k=1}^4 (y_k - t_k) \frac{\partial y_k}{\partial W^{(1)}} =$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^4 (y_k - t_k) T(x_k) = (y - t)^T \cdot T$$

• Calculating the gradients

We are now in conditions of calculating the gradients. As such, I did a very simple python implementation with numpy where i deployed all the calculated formulas

```
import numpy as np
 \begin{array}{l} x = \text{np.array} \left( \left[ \left[ 0.6 \,,\, -1.0 \right], \, \left[ 0.8 \,,\, -1.0 \right], \, \left[ -0.4, \, 0.9 \right], \, \left[ 0.2 \,,\, 0.0 \right] \right] \right) \\ t = \text{np.array} \left( \left[ -.8 \,,\, -0.1, \, 0.9 \,,\, 0.7 \right] \right) \cdot \text{reshape} \left( \left( 4 \,, 1 \right) \right) \\ w1 = \text{np.array} \left( \left[ \left[ -.8 \,,\, -0.7 \,, 0.6 \right], \left[ -1.0 \,, 0.5 \,,\, -1.0 \right] \right] \right) \cdot \text{reshape} \left( \left( 2 \,, 3 \right) \right) \\ b1 = \text{np.array} \left( \left[ -0.2 \,,\, -1.0, \, -0.7 \right] \right) \cdot \text{reshape} \left( \left( 1 \,, 3 \right) \right) \\ w2 = \text{np.array} \left( \left[ 0.1, \, -1.0, \, 0.5 \right] \right) \cdot \text{reshape} \left( \left( 1 \,, 3 \right) \right) \\ b2 = \text{np.array} \left( \left[ 0.7 \right] \right) \\ \end{array} 
b2 = np.array(-0.7)
z = x@w1+b1
zr = np.maximum(0,z)
y = w2@np.transpose(zr) + b2
y = np.transpose(y)
db2 = np.transpose(y-t)@np.ones\_like(y-t)
dw2 = np.transpose(y-t)@zr
dzr = (z > 0).astype(int)
\mathtt{diagw2} \ = \ \mathtt{np.diag} \ (\mathtt{w2.reshape} (-1))
db1 = np.transpose(y-t)@dzr@diagw2
def T(k):
      xk = x[k]. reshape((2,1))
      dzrk = dzr[k].reshape((1,3))
      first = xk@dzrk

return first@diagw2
T = [T(i) \text{ for } i \text{ in } range(4)]
T_{-yt} = [a*b \text{ for } a, b \text{ in } zip(y-t, T)]
dw1 = np.sum(T_yt, axis=0)
```

As such, the results are

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial b^{(2)}} = -2.732 \qquad \frac{\partial L}{\partial W^{(2)}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1168 & 0 & 0.1536 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial b^{(1)}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0268 & 0 & 0.134 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \frac{\partial L}{\partial W^{(1)}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0122 & 0 & 0.061 \\ -0.0268 & 0 & -0.134 \end{bmatrix}$$

Question 2

Before differentiating in order to y_i , let's first modify E to another form so that this process becomes simpler. Assuming log() denotes the natural logarithm, we have

$$E = -\sum_{k} t_{k} \log \left(\frac{\exp(y_{k})}{\sum_{i} \exp(y_{i})} \right)$$

$$= -\sum_{k} \left[t_{k} \log \left(\exp(y_{k}) \right) - t_{k} \log \left(\sum_{i} \left[\exp(y_{i}) \right] \right) \right]$$

$$= \log \left(\sum_{i} \left[\exp(y_{i}) \right] \right) \sum_{k} \left[t_{k} \right] - \sum_{k} \left[t_{k} y_{k} \right]$$

Then

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial y_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \left(\log \left(\sum_i \left[\exp(y_i) \right] \right) \sum_k \left[t_k \right] - \sum_k \left[t_k y_k \right] \right)$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \left(\log \left(\sum_i \left[\exp(y_i) \right] \right) \right) \sum_k \left[t_k \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \left(\sum_k \left[t_k y_k \right] \right)$$

$$= \frac{\exp(y_i)}{\sum_i \left[\exp(y_i) \right]} \sum_k \left[t_k \right] - t_i$$

If t is a one-hot vector, then only one of its components is 1, whereas the rest are 0. Let's assume that the component of index k is 1, i.e. $t_k = \delta_{ik}$ (where δ is the Kronecker delta). As a result we have that

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial y_i} = \frac{\exp(y_i)}{\sum_i \left[\exp(y_i)\right]} t_k - t_i$$

Question 3

For this exercise, because the minimum is found at x = 0, lets suppose that $x_1 \neq 0$. We know that the derivative f(x) is f'(x) = 10x. Then

$$x_1 = x_1$$

$$x_2 = x_1(1 - 10\eta)$$

$$x_3 = x_1(1 - 10\eta)^2$$
...
$$x_{n+1} = x_1(1 - 10\eta)^n$$

In order for the gradient descent to converge for any initial point $x_1 \neq 0$, it has to satisfy $f(x_{n+1}) < f(x_n)$. Hence we have

$$f(x_{n+1}) < f(x_n)$$

$$\equiv 5(x_1(1 - 10\eta)^n)^2 < 5(x_1(1 - 10\eta)^{n-1})^2$$

$$\equiv (1 - 10\eta)^{2n} < (1 - 10\eta)^{2(n-1)}$$

$$\equiv (1 - 10\eta)^{2(n-1)}((1 - 10\eta)^2 - 1) < 0$$

$$\equiv (1 - 10\eta)^{2(n-1)}100\eta(\eta - \frac{1}{5}) < 0$$

The term $(1-10\eta)^{2(n-1)}$ is always positive because it has an even power. Therefore, we have to look at the term $\eta(\eta-\frac{1}{5})$ and determine when its less than 0. Hence

$$100\eta(\eta - \frac{1}{5}) < 0$$
$$\eta \in (0, \frac{1}{5})$$

In conclusion, for values of $\eta \in (0, \frac{1}{5})$, for the given polynomial f(x) we can ensure that gradient descent always converges. In the trivial case of $x_1 = 0$ the minimum has been achieved.