

Debugging PyTorch code

Dmytro Mishkin, FEE, CTU in Prague

Before we start

You should minimize your suffering

- You should minimize your suffering
 - Even better if you have fun.

- You should minimize your suffering
 - Even better if you have fun.
 - Spend some time on learning tools matplotlib, pdb, jupyter notebooks.

- You should minimize your suffering
 - Even better if you have fun.
 - Spend some time on learning tools matplotlib, pdb, jupyter notebooks.
 - Also good to make yourself familiar with the main libraries you use: numpy, pytorch.

- You should minimize your suffering
 - Even better if you have fun.
 - Spend some time on learning tools matplotlib, pdb, jupyter notebooks.
 - Also good to make yourself familiar with the main libraries you use: numpy, pytorch.
 - Usually there is already a function, which implements what you want

- You should minimize your suffering
 - Even better if you have fun.
 - Spend some time on learning tools matplotlib, pdb, jupyter notebooks.
 - Also good to make yourself familiar with the main libraries you use: numpy, pytorch.
 - Usually there is already a function, which implements what you want
- And have enough sleep.

• It throws an error, then read the error message.

- It throws an error, then read the error message.
 - pdb is your friend. StackOverflow is your friend. ChatGPT is your friend.
 Error is your friend.

- It throws an error, then read the error message.
 - pdb is your friend. StackOverflow is your friend. ChatGPT is your friend.
 Error is your friend.
- It does not crash, but doesn't work as expected. That's harder, usually.

 Garbage in, garbage out. Therefore check your inputs before anything else.

- Garbage in, garbage out. Therefore check your inputs before anything else.
- Debugging the system is hard. Always try to isolate the problem, and work with a single function

- Garbage in, garbage out. Therefore check your inputs before anything else.
- Debugging the system is hard. Always try to isolate the problem, and work with a single function
 - Write down toy-input and expected output.

- Garbage in, garbage out. Therefore check your inputs before anything else.
- Debugging the system is hard. Always try to isolate the problem, and work with a single function
 - Write down toy-input and expected output.
- Print/log everything. Input, outputs, types, counters. Everything.

Debugging. Specific advices Data type

Check the data type.

Debugging. Specific advices Data type

Check the data type.

```
>>> import numpy as np
>>> a=[1,2]
>>> b=[3,4]
>>> a+b
[1, 2, 3, 4]
```

Debugging. Specific advices

Data type

Check the data type.

```
>>> import numpy as np
>>> a=[1,2]
>>> b=[3,4]
>>> a+b
[1, 2, 3, 4]
>>> np.array(a) + np.array(b)
array([4, 6])
```

```
>>> import torch
>>> a = torch.tensor([1,1])
>>> b = torch.ones(2)
>>> c = torch.zeros(2) + 1
```

```
>>> import torch
>>> a = torch.tensor([1,1])
>>> b = torch.ones(2)
>>> c = torch.zeros(2) + 1

>>> print (a.dtype, b.dtype, c.dtype)
torch.int64 torch.float32 torch.float32
```

```
>>> import torch
>>> a = torch.tensor([1,1])
>>> b = torch.ones(2)
>>> c = torch.zeros(2) + 1

>>> print (a.dtype, b.dtype, c.dtype)
torch.int64 torch.float32 torch.float32

[>>> c[a]
tensor([1., 1.])
```

```
>>> import torch
>>> a = torch.tensor([1,1])
>>> b = torch.ones(2)
>>> c = torch.zeros(2) + 1
>>> print (a.dtype, b.dtype, c.dtype)
torch.int64 torch.float32 torch.float32
[>>> c[a]
tensor([1., 1.])
[>>> a[c]
Traceback (most recent call last):
  File "<stdin>", line 1, in <module>
IndexError: tensors used as indices must be long, int, byte or bool tensors
```

```
>>> a+b
>>> import torch
                                                     tensor([2., 2.])
>>> a = torch.tensor([1,1])
>>> b = torch.ones(2)
                                                     >>> b+a
>>> c = torch.zeros(2) + 1
                                                     tensor([2., 2.])
>>> print (a.dtype, b.dtype, c.dtype)
torch.int64 torch.float32 torch.float32
[>>> c[a]
tensor([1., 1.])
[>>> a[c]
Traceback (most recent call last):
  File "<stdin>", line 1, in <module>
IndexError: tensors used as indices must be long, int, byte or bool tensors
```

Some operations silently change data type, Others do not

Some operations silently change data type,

Others do not

```
[>>> a+1
tensor([2, 2])
```

Some operations silently change data type,

Others do not

```
[>>> a+1
tensor([2, 2])
[>>> a*2
tensor([2, 2])
```

Some operations silently change data type,

Others do not

```
>> a+1
tensor([2, 2])
>>> a*2
tensor([2, 2])
>> a/1
tensor([1., 1.])
```

It is not always you

- Sometimes libraries have bugs too.
- Double check before blaming them, though.
- When you find a bug in an open source library raise issue on GitHub.

Some operations depend on shape.

• Some operations depend on shape.

```
a = torch.tensor([1,2,3]).float()
b = torch.tensor([1,2,3]).float()
```

• Some operations depend on shape.

```
a = torch.tensor([1,2,3]).float()
b = torch.tensor([1,2,3]).float()

def mul_with_print(a, b):
    c = a * b
    print (f'a.shape = {a.shape}, b.shape={b.shape}, c.shape={c.shape}')
    print (f'c={c}')
```

• Some operations depend on shape.

```
a = torch.tensor([1,2,3]).float()
b = torch.tensor([1,2,3]).float()

def mul_with_print(a, b):
    c = a * b
    print (f'a.shape = {a.shape}, b.shape={b.shape}, c.shape={c.shape}')
    print (f'c={c}')

[In [5]: mul_with_print(a, b)
    a.shape = torch.Size([3]), b.shape=torch.Size([3]), c.shape=torch.Size([3])
    c=tensor([1., 4., 9.])
```

```
[In [9]: mul_with_print(a.reshape(3,1), b)
 a.shape = torch.Size([3, 1]), b.shape=torch.Size([3]), c.shape=torch.Size([3, 3])
c=tensor([[1., 2., 3.],
         [2., 4., 6.],
         [3., 6., 9.]])
[In [10]: mul_with_print(a.reshape(3,1, 1), b)
a.shape = torch.Size([3, 1, 1]), b.shape=torch.Size([3]), c.shape=torch.Size([3, 1, 3])
c=tensor([[[1., 2., 3.]],
        [[2., 4., 6.]],
        [[3., 6., 9.]]])
[In [11]: mul_with_print(a.reshape(3,1, 1, 1), b)
 a.shape = torch.Size([3, 1, 1, 1]), b.shape=torch.Size([3]), c.shape=torch.Size([3, 1, 1, 3])
 c=tensor([[[[1., 2., 3.]]],
         [[[2., 4., 6.]]],
         [[[3., 6., 9.]]]])
```

Solution 1: understand broadcasting

- Solution 1: understand broadcasting
 - https://numpy.org/doc/stable/user/basics.broadcasting.html
 - https://pytorch.org/docs/stable/notes/broadcasting.html

- Solution 1: understand broadcasting
 - https://numpy.org/doc/stable/user/basics.broadcasting.html
 - https://pytorch.org/docs/stable/notes/broadcasting.html
- Solution 2: check the shape in the input, throw error if not expected

- Solution 1: understand broadcasting
 - https://numpy.org/doc/stable/user/basics.broadcasting.html
 - https://pytorch.org/docs/stable/notes/broadcasting.html
- Solution 2: check the shape in the input, throw error if not expected

- Solution 1: understand broad
 - https://numpy.org/doc/sta
 - https://pytorch.org/docs/s
- Solution 2: check the shape i not expected

```
def find_fundamental(
                                                                                     [docs]
    points1: torch.Tensor, points2: torch.Tensor, weights: Optional[torch.Tensor] = None
) -> torch.Tensor:
    r"""Compute the fundamental matrix using the DLT formulation.
    The linear system is solved by using the Weighted Least Squares Solution for the 8 Poi
    Args:
        points1: A set of points in the first image with a tensor shape :math: `(B, N, 2),
        points2: A set of points in the second image with a tensor shape :math: (B, N, 2),
        weights: Tensor containing the weights per point correspondence with a shape of in
    Returns:
        the computed fundamental matrix with shape :math: (B, 3, 3) .
    11 11 11
    if points1.shape != points2.shape:
        raise AssertionError(points1.shape, points2.shape)
    if points1.shape[1] < 8:</pre>
        raise AssertionError(points1.shape)
    if not (weights is None):
        if not (len(weights.shape) == 2 and weights.shape[1] == points1.shape[1]):
            raise AssertionError(weights.shape)
```

 Many python objects share memory, e.g. lists, np.arrays, dicts

 Many python objects share memory, e.g. lists, np.arrays, dicts

```
[In [1]: a=[1, 2]
[In [2]: b = a]
[In [3]: b[1]+=1]
In [4]: print (a, b)
[1, 3] [1, 3]
```

- Many python objects share memory, e.g. lists, np.arrays, dicts
- your friend is:

```
[In [1]: a=[1, 2]
[In [2]: b = a]
[In [3]: b[1]+=1]
In [4]: print (a, b)
[1, 3] [1, 3]
```

- Many python objects share memory, e.g. lists, np.arrays, dicts
- your friend is:
 - from copy import deepcopy

```
[In [1]: a=[1, 2]
[In [2]: b = a]
[In [3]: b[1]+=1
[In [4]: print (a, b)
[1, 3] [1, 3]
```

- Many python objects share memory, e.g. lists, np.arrays, dicts
- your friend is:
 - from copy import deepcopy

```
[In [10]: c=deepcopy(a)

[In [11]: c[1]+=1

[In [12]: print (a, c)
 [1, 3] [1, 4]
```

```
In [1]: a=[1, 2]
[In [2]: b = a]
In [3]: b[1]+=1
In [4]: print (a, b)
[1, 3] [1, 3]
  [5]:
```

Always check xy order



Odpověď uživatelům @ducha_aiki a @kornia_foss

A common bug: confusing height and width (x and y) in some reshape operation. A classic followup is trying to debug it with matplotlib and getting further confused by the difference between scatter and imshow conventions.

Přeložit Tweet

```
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import torch
image = torch.randn(12, 12) > 0
x, y = torch.where(image)
plt.imshow(image)
_ = plt.scatter(x, y)
```

...

1. Did I prepared minimal input and expected output? Math-based, or reliable library based

- 1. Did I prepared minimal input and expected output? Math-based, or reliable library based
- 2. Did I visualize everything?

- 1. Did I prepared minimal input and expected output? Math-based, or reliable library based
- 2. Did I visualize everything?
- 3. Did I printed shape, data types, and values?

- 1. Did I prepared minimal input and expected output? Math-based, or reliable library based
- 2. Did I visualize everything?
- 3. Did I printed shape, data types, and values?
- 4. Did I checked for a stupid mistakes? Like typos in variable names, naming variables as function

- 1. Did I prepared minimal input and expected output? Math-based, or reliable library based
- 2. Did I visualize everything?
- 3. Did I printed shape, data types, and values?
- 4. Did I checked for a stupid mistakes? Like typos in variable names, naming variables as function

- 1. Did I prepared minimal input and expected output? Math-based, or reliable library based
- 2. Did I visualize everything?
- 3. Did I printed shape, data types, and values?
- 4. Did I checked for a stupid mistakes? Like typos in variable names, naming variables as function

- 1. Did I prepared minimal input and expected output? Math-based, or reliable library based
- 2. Did I visualize everything?
- 3. Did I printed shape, data types, and values?
- 4. Did I checked for a stupid mistakes? Like typos in variable names, naming variables as function
- 5. Did I checked library versions and updates?

- 1. Did I prepared minimal input and expected output? Math-based, or reliable library based
- 2. Did I visualize everything?
- 3. Did I printed shape, data types, and values?
- 4. Did I checked for a stupid mistakes? Like typos in variable names, naming variables as function
- 5. Did I checked library versions and updates?
 - E.g. old torch.solve(B, A), but torch.linalg.solve(A, B)

- 1. Did I prepared minimal input and expected output? Math-based, or reliable library based
- 2. Did I visualize everything?
- 3. Did I printed shape, data types, and values?
- 4. Did I checked for a stupid mistakes? Like typos in variable names, naming variables as function
- 5. Did I checked library versions and updates?
 - E.g. old torch.solve(B, A), but torch.linalg.solve(A, B)
- 6. Do I have NaN-prone operations?

- 1. Did I prepared minimal input and expected output? Math-based, or reliable library based
- 2. Did I visualize everything?
- 3. Did I printed shape, data types, and values?
- 4. Did I checked for a stupid mistakes? Like typos in variable names, naming variables as function
- 5. Did I checked library versions and updates?
 - E.g. old torch.solve(B, A), but torch.linalg.solve(A, B)
- 6. Do I have NaN-prone operations?
 - e.g. log, sqrt, division, etc. Use eps there or some kind of guards

- 1. Did I prepared minimal input and expected output? Math-based, or reliable library based
- 2. Did I visualize everything?
- 3. Did I printed shape, data types, and values?
- 4. Did I checked for a stupid mistakes? Like typos in variable names, naming variables as function
- 5. Did I checked library versions and updates?
 - E.g. old torch.solve(B, A), but torch.linalg.solve(A, B)
- 6. Do I have NaN-prone operations?
 - e.g. log, sqrt, division, etc. Use eps there or some kind of guards
- 7. Do I have some memory sharing?

- 1. Did I prepared minimal input and expected output? Math-based, or reliable library based
- 2. Did I visualize everything?
- 3. Did I printed shape, data types, and values?
- 4. Did I checked for a stupid mistakes? Like typos in variable names, naming variables as function
- 5. Did I checked library versions and updates?
 - E.g. old torch.solve(B, A), but torch.linalg.solve(A, B)
- 6. Do I have NaN-prone operations?
 - e.g. log, sqrt, division, etc. Use eps there or some kind of guards
- 7. Do I have some memory sharing?
- 8. Is there anything hardcoded?

- 1. Did I prepared minimal input and expected output? Math-based, or reliable library based
- 2. Did I visualize everything?
- 3. Did I printed shape, data types, and values?
- 4. Did I checked for a stupid mistakes? Like typos in variable names, naming variables as function
- 5. Did I checked library versions and updates?
 - E.g. old torch.solve(B, A), but torch.linalg.solve(A, B)
- 6. Do I have NaN-prone operations?
 - e.g. log, sqrt, division, etc. Use eps there or some kind of guards
- 7. Do I have some memory sharing?
- 8. Is there anything hardcoded?
- 9. Can the bug in one function be compensated by other bug in other function?