Project – ACM RecSys Challenge 2020

Recommender Systems

VU 194.035, 2020S

Dimitris Sacharidis





ACM RecSys Challenge

- in cooperation with the ACM RecSys Conference
- real-world (industry) recommendation task with real data
- competition with leaderboard (held-out data)
- prizes, invitation to write a report

ACM RecSys Challenge 2020

- Industry Organizer: Twitter
- Predict Tweet Engagement



- Information about the challenge:
 - http://www.recsyschallenge.com/2020/
- Information about the data and leaderboard:
 - https://recsys-twitter.com

ACM RecSys Challenge 2020

- task: predict the user engagement with a tweet
 - four different types of engagement
 - Likes, Replies, Retweets, and Retweets with comments
- information available about
 - the tweet
 - Tweet id, Text tokens, Hashtags, Present media/links/domains, Tweet type, Language, Timestamp
 - the engaging user (who interacts-engages with the tweet)
 - User id, Follower count, Following count, Is verified?, Account creation time
 - the engaged-with user (who is the owner of the tweet)
 - same as for engaging user
 - the engagement
 - Engaged-with follows engaging?, Timestamps

Data Fields

- each training example is about an engaging user (user) interacting with a tweet (item)
- contains additional information about
 - the **engaging user** (user profile)
 - the metadata of the tweet (item content), including the user profile of the engaged-with user
 - the follow relationship between engaged-with and engaging user
- label is the timestamp of the engagement (implicit feedback)
 - for: like, reply, retweet, retweet with comment

Data Fields

text_tokens	hashtags	tweet_id	present_media	present_links	present_domains t	weet_type	language	tweet_timestamp	engaged_with_user_id engaged_with_user_fo	ollower_count
[101, 56898, 137, 174, 63247, 10526, 131, 3197	NaN	3C21DCFB8E3FEC1CB3D2BFB413A78220	[Video]	NaN	NaN	Retweet	76B8A9C3013AE6414A3E6012413CDC3B	2020-02-12 00:28:43	D1AA2C85FA644D84346EDD88470525F2	737
[101, 102463, 10230, 10105, 21040, 10169, 1281	NaN	3D87CC3655C276F1771752081423B405	NaN [BB422	AA00380E45F312FD2CAA75F4960) [1	92D397F8E0F1E77B36B8C612C2C51E23]	TopLevel	D3164C7FBCF2565DDF915B1B3AEFB1DC	2020-02-06 07:49:51	4DC65AC7BD963DE1F7617C047C33DE99 engaged	52366425
[101, 56898, 137, 11255, 22037, 10263, 168, 11	DB32BD91C2F1B37BE700F374A07FBC61]	3701848B96AA740528A2B0E247777D7D	NaN [2423B		D323BE93766E79BE423FAC5C28BE39B]	Retweet	22C448FF81263D4BAF2A176145EE9EAD	2020-02-09 14:07:12	5С671539СВ41В9807Е209349 USEГ	988
[101, 13073, 28757, 106, 100, 14120, 131, 120,	NaN	18176C6AD2871729384062F073CCE94D	[Video]	NaN	NaN	TopLevel	D3164C7FBCF2565DDF915B1B3AEFB1DC	2020-02-08 12:18:12	70B900BE17416923D1E236A38798F202	1228134
[101, 3460, 1923, 6632, 2824, 30368, 2179, 188	NaN	AF11AF01F842E7F120667B7B0B38676D	NaN	NaN	NaN	Quote	22C448FF81263D4BAF2A176145EE9EAD	2020-02-09 07:34:10	E94C0E9E8494F3D603F9D1A5C5242E3D	73

engaged_with_user_followin	.g_count engaged_with_user_is_v	rerified engaged_with_user_account_creation False 140306982	n engaging_user_id o	engaging_user_follower_count enga	aging_user_following_count eng	aging_user_is_verified e	ngaging_user_account_creation 2019-11-17 08:11:09	engaged_follows_engaging False	reply_timestamp NaT		retweet_with_comment_time:	stamp lik	e_timestamp NaT
	engage	True 123013913	5 00006047187D0D18598EF12A650E1DAC	22	engagir	ng False	2012-06-26 01:26:02	False	NaT OCIA	nat O AM i	≏nt	NaT	NaT
	167 User		3 0000648BAA193AE4C625DDF789B67172	251	user	False	2016-02-26 08:01:11	False	Iga;	gem	Citt	NaT	NaT
	5413	False 137869994	3 000071667F50BAFEA722A8E8284581E5	18	58	False	2013-09-06 00:32:44	False	NaT	NaT		NaT	2020-02-10 03:29:24
	299	False 154905449	9 00007745A6EE969F1A0F44B10DC17671	268	526	False	2009-09-07 03:40:00	False	NaT	NaT		NaT	NaT

Prediction Task

- goal is to predict for each example the engagement
- specifically, you will predict and report the probability of engagement
 - separately for each engagement type
 - we do not want to predict the timestamp
- output format:
 - engaging user id, tweet id, probability
 - separately for each engagement type

Evaluation

- for each example:
 - you predict a probability,
 - and the ground truth is 0/1 (whether there is an engagement timestamp)
- evaluate as a binary classification task in two ways
- 1. Area Under Precision-Recall Curve
 - generate precision-recall pairs for various probability thresholds
 - assumes anything above threshold is predicted as relevant
- 2. Cross-Entropy Loss = Log-Loss (for binary classification)
 - measure how good the predicted probabilities are

For the Project

Data and Evaluation

- we provide a small sample of the data to work on
 - ~80,000 examples for 10,000 engaging users
 - you may sample it down even further
 - you may work with one engagement type
- we provide some sample code to parse data
- you will split it yourself into train and test subsets
- you will implement the evaluation metrics
 - using the provided code at https://recsys-twitter.com/code/snippets

Expected Work

- implement a couple of different recommendation approaches
 - go beyond pure collaborative filtering approaches (that only work with engaging user id and tweet id)
 - preprocess the data accordingly

- design an evaluation protocol
 - split into train/test (maybe also into a dev set)
 - decide on range of hyperparameter values to explore
 - implement evaluation metrics

What to Submit

- code
 - in any language you wish
- written report
 - detail all your work, thought process, decisions made
 - show evaluation results based on your protocol
 - draw conclusions

Collaboration across groups

- you may optionally share code that handles some basic tasks
 - make it public for other groups to use
- rewarded with project points
- tasks should **not** be recommendation approaches, but rather helper functions
- consider the following sample predefined tasks (T1-T5)
 - or propose other tasks

T1. Split into train, dev, test

• Sub-sample to create **test**, non-test datasets

- Optionally split non-test into train and dev
 - e.g., to implement k-fold validation

T2. Evaluation

- Parse test to create the ground truth output file
 - engaging user id, tweet id, label
- Implement the `read_predictions` function from https://recsystwitter.com/code/snippets

T3. Create a Ratings Matrix

for use in pure collaborative filtering approaches

a matrix for each engagement type

• implicit feedback: 0 or 1

T4. Extract the Social Network

- Twitter Social Network is directional (follower following)
- parse the `engaged_follows_engaging` field
 - each example gives you an edge
- Create the adjacency matrix representation of the social graph
 - 1 if an edge exists between two users, 0 otherwise
- how can you use this information?

T5. Implement a Baseline

- Implement the neural network approach described in the challenge paper:
 - https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.13715