

**To:** Fabio Massacci, Giorgio Di Tizio  
**From:** Claudio Facchinetti  
**Subject:** BGP Hijacking exercise  
**Date:** October 27, 2021

---



## 1 First task

**How many hops away is the ftp server from the client?**

As we can see from the screenshot, the client is four hops away from the ftp server: the path from the client goes through, in order, `asn3`, `asn2` and finally reaches the server.

```
traceroute to 10.1.1.2 (10.1.1.2), 30 hops max, 60 byte packets
 1  10.5.0.1  0.332 ms  0.313 ms  0.273 ms
 2  10.3.0.2  0.943 ms  0.933 ms  0.922 ms
 3  10.2.0.1  1.342 ms  1.330 ms  1.308 ms
 4  10.1.1.2  1.207 ms  1.163 ms  1.136 ms
```

Figure 1: Traceroute for the first task

**Explain what route is the client using to reach the server 10.1.1.2** In order to reach the server ( 10.1.1.2 ) the client is going to use the second route reported in the image below: in particular it is going to send the packets to 10.5.0.1, because the address 10.1.1.2 matches the destination 10.0.0.0 with netmask 255.0.0.0.

```
kernel IP routing table
Destination    Gateway      Genmask      Flags    MSS Window  irtt Iface
0.0.0.0        192.168.1.254  0.0.0.0      UG        0 0         0 eth4
10.0.0.0        10.5.0.1     255.0.0.0    UG        0 0         0 eth2
10.5.0.0        0.0.0.0      255.255.255.0  U         0 0         0 eth2
192.168.0.0     0.0.0.0      255.255.252.0  U         0 0         0 eth4
192.168.1.254  0.0.0.0      255.255.255.255 UH        0 0         0 eth4
```

Figure 2: Netstat output for the first task

**What does the README file say?** The content of the README file is still the same: `AS1` owns the prefix for 10.1/16

**(ASN3) What is the AS path to reach 10.1/16?** As we can see from the picture below the machine `asn3` is going to reach 10.1/16 by sending the traffic to 10.3.0.2.

**(ASN2) What is the AS path to reach 10.1/16?** As we can see from the picture below the machine `asn2` is going to reach 10.1/16 by sending the traffic to 10.2.0.1.

## 2 Second task

**How many hops away is the ftp server from the client this time? Is there a difference in output from the same command in Part-1?** As we can see from the picture the client

```

BGP table version is 0, local router ID is 10.3.0.1
Status codes: s suppressed, d damped, h history, * valid, > best, = multipath,
i internal, r RIB-failure, S Stale, R Removed
Origin codes: i - IGP, e - EGP, ? - incomplete

   Network        Next Hop           Metric LocPrf Weight Path
*> 10.1.0.0/16     10.3.0.2                 0 65002 65001 i
*> 10.1.1.0/24     10.3.0.2                 0 65002 65001 ?
*> 10.2.0.0/24     10.3.0.2                 0 65002 ?
*> 10.3.0.0/24     10.3.0.2                 0 65002 ?
*> 10.4.0.0/24     10.4.0.2                 0 65004 ?
*> 10.5.0.0/16     0.0.0.0                 0 32768 i
*> 10.6.0.0/24     10.4.0.2                 0 65004 i
*> 10.6.1.0/24     10.4.0.2                 0 65004 ?
* 192.168.0.0/22  10.3.0.2                 0 65002 ?
*>                  10.4.0.2                 0 65004 ?

Displayed 9 out of 10 total prefixes

```

Figure 3: BGP routes for ASN3 for the first task

```

BGP table version is 0, local router ID is 10.2.0.2
Status codes: s suppressed, d damped, h history, * valid, > best, = multipath,
i internal, r RIB-failure, S Stale, R Removed
Origin codes: i - IGP, e - EGP, ? - incomplete

   Network        Next Hop           Metric LocPrf Weight Path
*> 10.1.0.0/16     10.2.0.1                 0 65001 i
*> 10.1.1.0/24     10.2.0.1                 0 65001 ?
* 10.2.0.0/24     10.2.0.1                 0 65001 ?
*> 0.0.0.0         0.0.0.0                 0 32768 ?
*> 10.3.0.0/24     0.0.0.0                 0 32768 ?
*> 10.4.0.0/24     10.3.0.1                 0 65003 65004 ?
*> 10.5.0.0/16     10.3.0.1                 0 65003 i
*> 10.6.0.0/24     10.3.0.1                 0 65003 65004 i
*> 10.6.1.0/24     10.3.0.1                 0 65003 65004 ?
* 192.168.0.0/22  10.2.0.1                 0 65001 ?
*                  10.3.0.1                 0 65003 65004 ?
*> 0.0.0.0         0.0.0.0                 0 32768 ?

Displayed 9 out of 12 total prefixes

```

Figure 4: BGP routes for ASN2 for the first task

```

traceroute to 10.1.1.2 (10.1.1.2), 30 hops max, 60 byte packets
 1  10.5.0.1  0.387 ms  0.372 ms  0.348 ms
 2  10.3.0.2  0.509 ms  0.715 ms  0.706 ms
 3  10.2.0.1  0.895 ms  0.880 ms  0.857 ms
 4  10.1.1.2  1.539 ms  1.525 ms  1.489 ms

```

Figure 5: Traceroute output for the second task

```

BGP table version is 0, local router ID is 10.3.0.1
Status codes: s suppressed, d damped, h history, * valid, > best, = multipath,
               i internal, r RIB-failure, S Stale, R Removed
Origin codes: i - IGP, e - EGP, ? - incomplete

   Network        Next Hop           Metric LocPrf Weight Path
*> 10.1.0.0/16     10.4.0.2             0         0 65004 i
*                  10.3.0.2             0         0 65002 65001 i
*> 10.1.1.0/24     10.3.0.2             0         0 65002 65001 ?
*> 10.2.0.0/24     10.3.0.2             0         0 65002 ?
*> 10.3.0.0/24     10.3.0.2             0         0 65002 ?
*> 10.4.0.0/24     10.4.0.2             0         0 65004 ?
*> 10.5.0.0/16     0.0.0.0             0        32768 i
*> 10.6.0.0/24     10.4.0.2             0         0 65004 i
*> 10.6.1.0/24     10.4.0.2             0         0 65004 ?
* 192.168.0.0/22   10.3.0.2             0         0 65002 ?
*>                 10.4.0.2             0         0 65004 ?

Displayed 9 out of 11 total prefixes

```

Figure 6: BGP routes of ASN3 for the second task

```

BGP table version is 0, local router ID is 10.2.0.2
Status codes: s suppressed, d damped, h history, * valid, > best, = multipath,
               i internal, r RIB-failure, S Stale, R Removed
Origin codes: i - IGP, e - EGP, ? - incomplete

   Network        Next Hop           Metric LocPrf Weight Path
* 10.1.0.0/16     10.3.0.1             0         0 65003 65004 i
*>                 10.2.0.1             0         0 65001 i
*> 10.1.1.0/24     10.2.0.1             0         0 65001 ?
* 10.2.0.0/24     10.2.0.1             0         0 65001 ?
*> 0.0.0.0/0       0.0.0.0             0        32768 ?
*> 10.3.0.0/24     0.0.0.0             0        32768 ?
*> 10.4.0.0/24     10.3.0.1             0         0 65003 65004 ?
*> 10.5.0.0/16     10.3.0.1             0         0 65003 i
*> 10.6.0.0/24     10.3.0.1             0         0 65003 65004 i
*> 10.6.1.0/24     10.3.0.1             0         0 65003 65004 ?
* 192.168.0.0/22  10.2.0.1             0         0 65001 ?
*                  10.3.0.1             0         0 65003 65004 ?
*>                 0.0.0.0             0        32768 ?

Displayed 9 out of 13 total prefixes

```

Figure 7: BGP routes of ASN2 for the second task

is still four hops away from the server and there has been no modifications from the previous task.

**What does the README file say? Did the contents of README file differ from the output in Part-1?** The content of the README file is still AS1 owns the prefix for 10.1/16: it did not change from the previous task.

**(ASN3) What is the AS path to reach 10.1/16? Did the AS path differ from the last time?** Taking a look at the BGP routes we can notice that the path to reach 10.1/16 is still the same, however we can notice that the BGP Hijacking was success: now the route to 10.0.0.0/16 is different as the traffic directed to that network now go through asn4 instead of asn2 as in the previous case

**(ASN2) What AS path will be used to reach an IP address 10.1.1.2? What AS path will be used to reach an IP address 10.1.2.2?** Taking a look at the BGP routes we can say that the traffic directed to 10.1.1.2 will go through the address 10.2.0.1 while the traffic for 10.1.2.2 will go through the AS3 which will then be sent to the AS4. This happens because the AS1 is publishing a shorter prefix which is able to catch the traffic directed to 10.1.0.0/16.

```

traceroute to 10.1.1.2 (10.1.1.2), 30 hops max, 60 byte packets
 1  10.5.0.1  0.509 ms  0.494 ms  0.470 ms
 2  10.4.0.2  0.879 ms  0.863 ms  0.841 ms
 3  10.1.1.2  1.776 ms  1.755 ms  1.726 ms

```

Figure 8: Traceroute for the third task

```

BGP table version is 0, local router ID is 10.3.0.1
Status codes: s suppressed, d damped, h history, * valid, > best, = multipath,
               i internal, r RIB-failure, S Stale, R Removed
Origin codes: i - IGP, e - EGP, ? - incomplete

   Network        Next Hop           Metric LocPrf Weight Path
*> 10.1.0.0/16     10.3.0.2             0             0 65002 65001 i
*> 10.1.1.0/24     10.4.0.2             0             0 65004 i
*                  10.3.0.2             0             0 65002 65001 ?
*> 10.2.0.0/24     10.3.0.2             0             0 65002 ?
*> 10.3.0.0/24     10.3.0.2             0             0 65002 ?
*> 10.4.0.0/24     10.4.0.2             0             0 65004 ?
*> 10.5.0.0/16     0.0.0.0             0            32768 i
*> 10.6.0.0/24     10.4.0.2             0             0 65004 i
*> 10.6.1.0/24     10.4.0.2             0             0 65004 ?
* 192.168.0.0/22  10.3.0.2             0             0 65002 ?
*>                  10.4.0.2             0             0 65004 ?

Displayed 9 out of 11 total prefixes

```

Figure 9: BGP routes for ASN3 for the third task

### 3 Third task

**How many hops away is the ftp server 10.1.1.2 from the client this time? Is there a difference in output from the same command in Part-2?**

As we can see now the output of the command is different: the client machine traffic goes through AS4 in order to reach the server, while it was not the case before. From this we can see that asn4 successfully managed to hijack the prefix 10.1.0.0/16.

**Did the contents of README file differ from the output in Part-2?** The content of the README file did actually change: before it was AS1 owns the prefix for 10.1/16 while now it is I just hijacked your BGP prefix!.

**(ASN3) What is the AS path to reach 10.1/16? Did the AS path differ from Part-2? What is the AS path to reach 10.1.1.0/24?** Taking a look at the BGP routes for asn3 we can see that both the routes to 10.1/16 and to 10.1.1.0/24 have changed since last part.

Since asn4 stopped advertising the route for 10.1/16 now asn3 will take use the same AS path as in task one to reach such destination. Now asn4 also started advertising its route for 10.1.1/24, therefore asn3 will use that route as it is shorted in order to redirect traffic.

**(ASN2) What AS path will be used to reach an IP address 10.1.1.2? What AS path will be used to reach an IP address 10.1.1.2.2?** The machine asn2 in to reach 10.1.1.2 it will send traffic to asn3 while to reach 10.1.2.2 it will send traffic to 10.2.0.1

```

BGP table version is 0, local router ID is 10.2.0.2
Status codes: s suppressed, d damped, h history, * valid, > best, = multipath,
               i internal, r RIB-failure, S Stale, R Removed
Origin codes: i - IGP, e - EGP, ? - incomplete

   Network        Next Hop           Metric LocPrf Weight Path
*> 10.1.0.0/16     10.2.0.1             0             0 65001 i
* 10.1.1.0/24      10.3.0.1             0             0 65003 65004 i
*>                 10.2.0.1             0             0 65001 ?
* 10.2.0.0/24      10.2.0.1             0             0 65001 ?
*>                 0.0.0.0             0            32768 ?
*> 10.3.0.0/24      0.0.0.0             0            32768 ?
*> 10.4.0.0/24      10.3.0.1             0             0 65003 65004 ?
*> 10.5.0.0/16      10.3.0.1             0             0 65003 i
*> 10.6.0.0/24      10.3.0.1             0             0 65003 65004 i
*> 10.6.1.0/24      10.3.0.1             0             0 65003 65004 ?
* 192.168.0.0/22   10.2.0.1             0             0 65001 ?
*                  10.3.0.1             0            0 65003 65004 ?
*>                 0.0.0.0             0            32768 ?

Displayed 9 out of 13 total prefixes

```

Figure 10: BGP routes for ASN2 for the third task