### Multi-dimensional Femtosecond-laser induced dynamics of CO on metals:

#### ACCOUNTING FOR ELECTRONIC FRICTION AND SURFACE MOTION WITH COMBINED MODELS

Robert Scholz<sup>1</sup>, Peter Saalfrank<sup>1</sup>, Ivor Lončarić<sup>2</sup>, Jean Cristophe Tremblay<sup>3</sup>, Gernot Füchsel<sup>3</sup>, and Gereon Floß<sup>1</sup>


<sup>1</sup>Institut für Chemie, Universität Potsdam, Karl-Liebknecht-Str. 24-25, 14476 Potsdam, Germany <sup>2</sup>Ruder Bošković Institute, Div. of Theor. Physics, Bijenička cesta 54, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia <sup>3</sup>Freie Universität, Inst. für Chemie und Biochemie, Takustr. 3, 14195 Berlin, Germany

#### Introduction

#### Motivation

- Aim: gain precise understanding of adsorbate bonding on metals ⇒ Important for Catalysis
- Why femtosecond(fs)-lasers?
- -produce non-equilibrium 2-T-states ⇒ different than normal heating
- -further **tool** besides STM and scattering -direct future applications possible  $\Rightarrow$  "femtochemistry"[1]
- Why CO/Ru(001) and CO/Cu(100)?
- -both are well studied **model systems**
- -recently, interesting **fs-laser experiments**[2][3]
- -also, **ab-initio** based **6-dim. potentials** available [4][5]

# How do fs-Lasers affect Adsorbate-Metal Systems?



## Desorption

iffusion (and possibly Reactions)

- **Electron-phonon coupling**
- **Electronic friction** 
  - Phonon-adsorbate interaction

high

Fermi-Dirac-Distribution

t/ps


- only **electrons** of metal **absorb laser** Af(E)
- electron-hole pairs thermalize fast
- ⇒ "hot" Fermi-Dirac-distribution • electrons transfer energy to ion lattice,
- via 1 electron-phonon coupling
- equilibration within ps-timescale
- $\Rightarrow$  Thus, for few ps **two temperatures**:
  - $-T_{\rm el}$  electron temperature
  - $-T_{\rm ph}$  phonon temperature
- both can **couple** to adsorbed **molecule**
- low electron heat **capacity**  $\Rightarrow$   $T_{\rm el}$  higher

Femtochemistry example

....low T<sub>el</sub>

 $T_{el}$  (Ru)

 $_{---}\ T_{ph}\left( Ru\right) |_{I}$ 



fs-Laser

Ru TTM

depth z

Electronic Friction: Langevin Dynamics [7] and

Force on

Atom *k* 

Local Density Friction Approximation (LDFA)[8] • the Langevin equation of motion, a stochastical differential equation:

Potential for CO/Ru(001)

• simulates **interaction** of **electrons** with **phonons** • electron and phonon heat

 $= -\underline{\nabla}_k V(\underline{r}_1, \underline{r}_2) - \eta_{\mathrm{el},k}(\underline{r}_k) \frac{a\underline{r}_k}{dt} + \underline{R}_{\mathrm{el},k}(t).$ Friction force Random force Force due

to PES slows movement from e-h pairs • describes movement of CO and interaction with electron-hole pairs (friction and excitation)

0.150

0.125

0.100

**0.075** ्रे

0.025

Potential for CO/Cu(100)

capacities  $C_{\rm el}$  and  $C_{\rm ph}$ 

 $\bullet$  elec. heat conductivity  $\kappa$ 

• elec.-phonon coupling g

• laser source term S(z,t)

• Local Density Friction Approx. (LDFA): ab-initio model that gives friction coefficients  $\eta_{el,k}$ 

Models and Methods

Basis of the Dynamics: the Six-dimensional Potential Energy Surfaces (PES)[4][5]

Two-Temperature Model (2TM)[6]

 $C_{\rm el} \frac{\partial T_{\rm el}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \kappa \frac{\partial}{\partial z} T_{\rm el} - g(T_{\rm el} - T_{\rm ph}) + S(z, t),$  $C_{\rm ph} \frac{\partial T_{\rm ph}}{\partial t} = g(T_{\rm el} - T_{\rm ph}).$ 

and laser  $\Rightarrow$  gives  $T_{\rm el}$  and  $T_{\rm ph}$  as f(z,t)

• **precomputed** with DFT (GGA)

⇒ many trajectories possible


• but: surface frozen  $\Rightarrow$  **no phonons** 

• analytical  $\Rightarrow$  very fast

• all **six dimensions** of the adsorbate (3)

- -Atom k embedded in free electron gas with density of bare surface at current position  $\underline{r}_k$
- Random forces  $\underline{R}_{el,k}$ : white noise, dependent on both  $\eta_{el,k}$  (from LDFA) and  $T_{el}$  (from 2TM)

#### Inclusion of Phonons: Generalized Langevin Oscillator(GLO)-model[9]




- influence of **phonons** effectifely **modeled** (**augments frozen surface**)
- entire surface understood as 3D oscillator (coords.  $\underline{r}_s$ , mass 1 atom)
- coupling to molecule via shifting:  $V_{\text{GLO}}(\underline{r}_{\text{C}},\underline{r}_{\text{O}};\underline{r}_{s}) = V(\underline{r}_{\text{C}} \underline{r}_{s},\underline{r}_{\text{O}} \underline{r}_{s})$
- additionally coupled to **ghost oscillator**  $\underline{r}_q$ , **models** influence of **bulk** -ghost oscillator is subject to **friction**  $\eta_{ph}$  and **random forces**  $\underline{\mathbf{R}}_{ph}(T_{ph})$

#### Results

### Desorption (Data for Ru)


## • desorption mainly dur-5 ing first 50 ps $(\mathring{A})$ $\mathbf{N}$ to experiment • no barrier in PMF MDEF, n=6.99 ■ MDEF-GLO, n=5.17

- fluence dependence of desorption yield close




### Diffusion (Data for Ru, but Cu similar)


- typical trajectory: hops between top sites and vibration
- increase in  $\theta$ -angle when CO moves away from top
- overall, very large diffusion
- also, nonisotropic diffusion behaviour observed:
- dynamical trapping effect at hcp site predicted



#### Vibrations (Data for Cu)

• Frequency-shift from time-resolved SFG





• Preliminary results from our dynamics

#### Conclusions

- 6D Langevin dynamics of CO on Ru and Cu
- based on first principles, no "free" parameters
- accounting for (via LDFA) electronic friction, hot electron excitation and (via GLO) substrate motion
- allows for detailed time- and space-resolved insights
- no physisorbed state, molecules desorp directly

#### Outlook

- better electronic friction ( $\eta(T_{\rm el})$ ) and beyond LDFA)  $\Rightarrow$  Long term goal: use tensorial friction (exact)
- non-equilibrium lattice model (NLM) instead 2TM
- simulate other coveages (bigger super cells)
- simulate bigger systems (CO + H; hydrocarbons)
- include interaction between adsorbate molecules

## References

- [1] M. Bonn, S. Funk, Ch. Hess, D.N. Denzler et al., Science 285, 1042 (1999).
- [2] M. Dell'Angela, T. Anniyev, M. Beye, R. Coffee et al., Science **339**, 1302 (2013).
- [3] K. Inoue, K. Watanabe, T. Sugimoto et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 186101 (2016).
- [4] G. Füchsel, J.C. Tremblay, and P. Saalfrank, *J. Chem. Phys.* **141**, 094704 (2014).
- [7] M. Head-Gordon and J.C. Tully, *J. Chem. Phys.* **103**, 10137 (1995).
- [5] R. Marquardt, F. Cuvelier, R.O. Olsen et al., J. Chem. Phys. 132, 074108 (2010).

[6] S.I. Anisimov, B.L. Kapeliovich and T.L. Perelman, Sov. Phys. - JETP 39, 375 (1974). [9] H.F. Busnengo, M.A. Di Césare, W. Dong et al., Phys. Rev. B 72, 125411 (2005).

- [8] J.I. Juaristi, M. Alducin, R. Díez Muiño et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 116102 (2008).
  - LATEX TikZposter