### Multi-dimensional Femtosecond-laser induced dynamics of CO on metals:

#### ACCOUNTING FOR ELECTRONIC FRICTION AND SURFACE MOTION WITH COMBINED MODELS

Robert Scholz<sup>1</sup>, Peter Saalfrank<sup>1</sup>, Ivor Lončarić<sup>2</sup>, Jean Cristophe Tremblay<sup>3</sup>, Gernot Füchsel<sup>3</sup>, and Gereon Floß<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institut für Chemie, Universität Potsdam, Karl-Liebknecht-Str. 24-25, 14476 Potsdam, Germany <sup>2</sup>Ruder Bošković Institute, Div. of Theor. Physics, Bijenička cesta 54, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia <sup>3</sup>Freie Universität, Inst. für Chemie und Biochemie, Takustr. 3, 14195 Berlin, Germany


The six dimensions of CO

#### Introduction

#### Motivation

- Aim: gain precise understanding of adsorbate bonding on metals ⇒ Important for Catalysis
- Why femtosecond(fs)-lasers?
- -produce non-equilibrium 2-T-states ⇒ different than normal heating
- -further **tool** besides STM and scattering -direct future applications possible  $\Rightarrow$  "femtochemistry"[1]
- Why CO/Ru(001) and CO/Cu(100)?
- -both are well studied **model systems**
- -recently, interesting **fs-laser experiments**[2][3]
- -also, **ab-initio** based **6-dim. potentials** available [4][5]

#### How do fs-lasers affect adsorbate-metal systems?



Desorption

iffusion (and possibly Reactions)

- **Electronic friction**
- only **electrons** of metal **absorb laser**  $\wedge f(E)$
- electron-hole pairs thermalize fast ⇒ "hot" Fermi-Dirac-distribution
- electrons transfer energy to ion lattice,
- via 1 electron-phonon coupling
- equilibration within ps-timescale
- $\Rightarrow$  Thus, for few ps **two temperatures**:
  - $-T_{\rm ph}$  phonon temperature

 $-T_{\rm el}$  - electron temperature

- both can **couple** to adsorbed **molecule**
- low electron heat **capacity**  $\Rightarrow$   $T_{\rm el}$  higher

Femtochemistry example

Fermi-Dirac-Distribution


t/ps

 $T_{el}$  (Ru)

 $T_{\text{ph}} \left( \mathsf{Ru} \right)$ 

- - Electron-phonon coupling

  - Phonon-adsorbate interaction



• Langevin equation of motion, a stochastical differential equation:  $m_k \frac{d \underline{t}_k}{dt^2} = -\underline{\nabla}_k V(\underline{r}_1, \underline{r}_2) - \eta_{\mathrm{el},k}(\underline{r}_k) \frac{d\underline{r}_k}{dt} + \underline{R}_{\mathrm{el},k}(t).$ 

Force on Atom *k* to PES

 $C_{\rm el} \frac{\partial T_{\rm el}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \kappa \frac{\partial}{\partial z} T_{\rm el} - g(T_{\rm el} - T_{\rm ph}) + S(z, t),$   $C_{\rm ph} \frac{\partial T_{\rm ph}}{\partial t} = g(T_{\rm el} - T_{\rm ph}).$ Ru The state of th

Models and Methods

Basis of the Dynamics: the Six-dimensional Potential Energy Surfaces (PES)[4][5]

Two-Temperature Model (2TM)[6]

Electronic Friction: Langevin Dynamics [7] and

Local Density Friction Approximation (LDFA)[8]

• **precomputed** with DFT (GGA)

• analytical  $\Rightarrow$  very fast

 $X \Rightarrow$  many trajectories possible

 $\Rightarrow$  get  $T_{\rm el}$  and  $T_{\rm ph}$  as f(z,t) from laser parameters and material properties

• describes interaction of electrons with phonons and laser

• all **six dimensions** of the adsorbate

• but: surface frozen  $\Rightarrow$  **no phonons** 

Random force Friction force

Potential for CO/Ru(001)

slows movement from e-h pairs

fs-Laser

Potential for CO/Ru(001)

- describes movement of CO and interaction with electron-hole pairs (friction and excitation)
- Local Density Friction Approx. (LDFA): simple model to get friction coefficients  $\eta_{el,k}$
- -Atom k embedded in free electron gas with density of bare surface at current position  $\underline{r}_k$
- Random forces  $\underline{R}_{el,k}$ : white noise, dependent on both  $\eta_{el,k}$  (from LDFA) and  $T_{el}$  (from 2TM)

# fs-Laser


- Inclusion of Phonons: Generalized Langevin Oscillator(GLO)-model[9]
  - influence of phonons effectifely modeled (augments frozen surface)
  - entire surface understood as 3D oscillator (coords.  $\underline{r}_s$ , mass 1 atom)
  - coupling to molecule via shifting:  $V_{\text{GLO}}(\underline{r}_{\text{C}},\underline{r}_{\text{O}};\underline{r}_{s}) = V(\underline{r}_{\text{C}} \underline{r}_{s},\underline{r}_{\text{O}} \underline{r}_{s})$
  - additionally coupled to **ghost oscillator**  $\underline{r}_q$ , **models** influence of **bulk**
  - -ghost oscillator is subject to friction  $\eta_{\rm ph}$  and random forces  $\underline{R}_{\rm ph}(T_{\rm ph})$

#### Results


## Desorption (Data for Ru)

- 5(Å)N 3 100 exp., n=4.81MDEF, n=6.99 ■ MDEF-GLO, n=5.17
- desorption mainly during first 50 ps
  - fluence dependence of desorption yield close to experiment
  - no barrier in PMF
- T=1000 K T=2000 K T=4000 K 200 300 fluence F / J/m<sup>2</sup> molecule-surface distance Z / Å

#### Diffusion (Data for Ru, but Cu similar)





- typical trajectory: hops between top sites and vibration
- increase in  $\theta$ -angle when CO moves away from top
- overall, very large diffusion
- also, nonisotropic diffusion behaviour observed:
- dynamical trapping effect at hcp site predicted



#### Vibrations (Data for Cu)

• Frequency-shift from time-resolved SFG





• Preliminary results from our dynamics

#### Conclusions

- 6D Langevin dynamics of CO on Ru and Cu
- based on first principles, no "free" parameters
- accounting for (via LDFA) electronic friction, hot electron excitation and (via GLO) substrate motion
- allows for detailed time- and space-resolved insights
- no physisorbed state, molecules desorp directly

#### Outlook

- better electronic friction ( $\eta(T_{\rm el})$ ) and beyond LDFA) ⇒ Long term goal: use tensorial friction (exact)
- non-equilibrium lattice model (NLM) instead 2TM
- simulate other coveages (bigger super cells)
- simulate bigger systems (CO + H; hydrocarbons)
- include interaction between adsorbate molecules

#### References

- [1] M. Bonn, S. Funk, Ch. Hess, D.N. Denzler et al., Science 285, 1042 (1999).
- [2] M. Dell'Angela, T. Anniyev, M. Beye, R. Coffee et al., Science **339**, 1302 (2013).
- [3] K. Inoue, K. Watanabe, T. Sugimoto et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 186101 (2016).
- [4] G. Füchsel, J.C. Tremblay, and P. Saalfrank, *J. Chem. Phys.* **141**, 094704 (2014). [5] R. Marquardt, F. Cuvelier, R.O. Olsen et al., J. Chem. Phys. 132, 074108 (2010).
- [7] M. Head-Gordon and J.C. Tully, *J. Chem. Phys.* **103**, 10137 (1995).
- [8] J.I. Juaristi, M. Alducin, R. Díez Muiño et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 116102 (2008).
- [6] S.I. Anisimov, B.L. Kapeliovich and T.L. Perelman, Sov. Phys.-JETP 39, 375 (1974). [9] H.F. Busnengo, M.A. Di Césare, W. Dong et al., Phys. Rev. B 72, 125411 (2005).