

PEER REVIEW

Overall assessment.

	weak	satisfactory	strong
Contents <ul style="list-style-type: none">• covering• relevance		X	
Presentation <ul style="list-style-type: none">• guiding the reader• flow of ideas• type-setting and spelling			X
Evidence <ul style="list-style-type: none">• credibility• correctness		X	
Overall effectiveness		X	

Specific comments on contents. The report describes the relevant contents of the projects and uses relevant equations to help describing their result. However, there would have been good if some more detailed information was presented. In some parts, one can have a hard time following the methods used and conclusions drawn.

Specific comments on presentation. Well made plots with detailed information. The ideas can some times be a bit short which can cause some confusion for a less competent reader, but the flow can not be complained about. Could not find any major language- or spelling issues.

Specific comments on evidence. The results presented was more or less the same as our results which hopefully should imply good correctness. The credibility is some what weak due to short explanations and evidence.