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*Abstract—* ***This paper presents a K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) classifier designed for the Neocortex API; a software toolkit inspired by neocortical information processing. This approach deviates from conventional KNN implementations by leveraging Neocortex API 's capabilities for processing sequential data, similar to the neocortex. The proposed method integrates spatial pooling for dimensionality reduction and hierarchical temporal memory (HTM) for temporal pattern recognition, enabling efficient classification of sequential data streams. This work bridges the gap between machine learning and neuromorphic computing by introducing a KNN classifier within a neocortex-inspired framework, offering a novel perspective on KNN classification and paving the way for further exploration at the intersection of these fields.***
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# Introduction

Our brains are remarkable pattern recognition machines, and machine learning draws inspiration from this biological marvel. Traditional classification algorithms often treat data points as isolated entities. This is unlike the human neocortex, where interconnected neurons process information in a hierarchical fashion. The neocortex excels at recognizing not just individual features, but also complex relationships between them.

Hierarchical Temporal Memory (HTM) attempts to bridge this gap by mimicking the neocortex's structure and function. Similar to how the neocortex organizes neurons into columns and areas, HTM uses a layered architecture. The Spatial Pooler, analogous to the initial processing stages in the neocortex, acts like a feature extractor. It encodes raw sensory data into sparse distributed representations (SDRs), capturing the essence of a pattern without unnecessary details. This is akin to how the neocortex identifies key features from visual stimuli, like edges or shapes. However, the neocortex doesn't stop there. It connects these features across space and time to form a coherent understanding. Here, HTM's Temporal Memory steps in, resembling the interconnected layers of the neocortex. It analyzes sequences of SDRs, enabling the system to learn temporal relationships and predict upcoming patterns. This is a significant advantage over traditional classification algorithms, allowing HTM to not just categorize data points, but also understand the context and sequence in which they appear.

Supervised and Unsupervised Machine Learning

Supervised learning entails the utilization of labeled data to establish a mapping function that correlates input features *x* with the output variable *y*, represented as *y* = *f*(*X*,*θ*). Through this process, supervised learning aims to discern patterns and relationships within the data to facilitate accurate predictions or classifications. On the other hand, unsupervised learning operates on unlabeled data, seeking to uncover inherent structures and patterns without predefined output variables. Unsupervised learning techniques, including clustering, anomaly detection, and latent variable mixture models, are pivotal in extracting meaningful insights from unannotated datasets.

In supervised machine learning, classification tasks involve training a model to predict discrete or categorical output variables *y*. Classification is a machine learning technique used to predict group membership for data instances. It involves assigning predefined categories or classes to input data based on their features or attributes. The primary objective of classification is to develop models that can accurately distinguish between different classes within a dataset, enabling automated decision-making and pattern recognition. To achieve this, classification algorithms analyze the characteristics of labeled training data to learn patterns and relationships that can be generalized to classify new, unseen instances. These algorithms employ various approaches, such as statistical methods, decision trees, support vector machines, and neural networks, each with its own advantages and suitability for different types of data and applications. For instance, in a classification scenario, the objective is to assign a given observation to one of several predefined classes {*C*1​,*C*2​,…,*Ck*​}, based on learned patterns from the labeled data.

Regression is a machine learning technique used to predict continuous numerical values based on input features. Unlike classification, where the goal is to classify data into predefined categories, regression aims to estimate the relationship between independent variables and a dependent variable. This relationship is typically represented by a mathematical function that predicts the value of the dependent variable given the values of the independent variables. Regression models are trained using labeled data, where the target variable is continuous and can take any value within a range. Common types of regression include linear regression, polynomial regression, ridge regression, and lasso regression, each suited to different types of data and modeling scenarios. Linear regression, for example, assumes a linear relationship between the independent and dependent variables, while polynomial regression allows for more complex, nonlinear relationships. For instance, regression models may be employed to predict phenomena like CO2 emissions on specific dates, leveraging historical data to infer future trends and patterns.

In machine learning and data mining, the k-Nearest Neighbor (k-NN) classifier is a fundamental and effective technique that is widely employed. It categorizes cases according to how closely they resemble nearby examples in the training dataset, using the proximity principle. K-NN provides a straightforward but efficient method for classifying jobs by designating a class label based on the majority class among the k nearest neighbors. In order to balance overfitting and underfitting, parameterization of the k value is essential to the k-NN classifier's performance. Because of its adaptability, practitioners can customize the model to fit certain datasets and classification needs. Additionally, the instance-based learning nature of k-NN, where classification decisions are made locally, makes it well-suited for scenarios with large or dynamic datasets.

Despite its simplicity, the effectiveness of k-NN hinges on the choice of distance metric used to compute pair-wise distances between data points. Practitioners often rely on Euclidean distances as a default metric, but selecting an appropriate distance measure becomes essential, particularly in high-dimensional data scenarios. Overall, k-NN stands as a versatile and reliable classification method, valued for its ease of implementation and robust performance across various domains and applications.

In this paper, we're focusing on developing a k-nearest neighbor (KNN) classifier, a fundamental tool in supervised machine learning. This classifier is designed to handle tasks like recognizing patterns and classifying data. Our goal is to create a reliable KNN algorithm and integrate it with the Neocortex API. This API is specifically built to work with the Hierarchical Temporal Memory (HTM). HTM models, inspired by how the brain's neocortex functions, excel at understanding patterns in dynamic datasets, such as those found in environmental sensors, multimedia, and time-series data. Combining HTM with KNN is especially useful when dealing with data that has complex temporal structures, where traditional classification methods fall short.

# **Literature Review**

In this section, we'll take a closer look at the k-nearest neighbor (KNN) algorithm, exploring its workings and applications. Our aim is to simplify the understanding of KNN, explaining how it's used in tasks like pattern recognition and classification. We will examine KNN from numerous study perspectives and analyze the integration of HTM with KNN in detail, offering comprehensive insights into the procedure.

For classification purposes, a class label is determined by a majority vote, where the label most frequently observed among neighboring data points is assigned. This terminology distinction arises because "majority voting" necessitates a majority of over 50% of the vote, typically applicable in binary classification scenarios. In cases involving multiple classes, such as four categories, reaching a 50% threshold may not be essential for class determination; assigning a label based on a vote exceeding 25% is sufficient. [5]