Introduction to
Design Verification
COMS31700

Kerstin Eder

Design Automation and Verification

University of **BRISTOL** Department of **COMPUTER SCIENCE**

Welcome to COMS31700

- Lecturer
  - Kerstin EDER
  - Department of Computer Science
  - Room 3.25 MVB
  - Kerstin.Eder@bristol.ac.uk
  - Office hours:
    - Mo morning and Tu between our lectures but not 13:00-14:00
- Lecture notes, exercises and assignments are/will be online at:
  http://www.cs.bris.ac.uk/Teaching/Resources/COMS31700/
- Comments and feedback are always welcome

2

COMS31700 Unit Details

- Lectures (weeks 1 – 10)
  - Tuesday 11:00 in QB 1.69
  - Tuesday 16:00 in QB 1.69
  - Thursday 16:00 in QB 1.69
- Laboratory (weeks 1 – 10)
  - Need to sign Modelsim Agreement!
  - 2h of lab per week
  - DV Help Desk on demand
  - Teaching Assistant: Dr Jimson Mathew
- Assessment   Deadlines will soon be on COMS31700 web page!
  - 2 assignments (25% due in week 4/5, 25% due in week 9/10)
  - 1 exam (50% in January)

3

Literature and Study Resources

- **Janick Bergeron** [WTB]
  Writing Testbenches: Functional Verification of HDL Models.
  First Edition, Kluwer, 2000, ISBN: 0-7923-7766-4
  Second Edition, Kluwer, 2003, ISBN: 1-4020-7401-8
- In addition:
  - Lecture slides and on-line tutorials on COMS31700 web page
  - On-line documentation of ModelSim Simulator and SpecMan Elite
  - Watch the unit web page for further supplementary literature.

[**Credits:** Parts of the lecture notes contain material from the book "Comprehensive Functional Verification" by Bruce Wile etal, the book "Writing Testbenches: Functional Verification of HDL Models" by Janick Bergeron, the book "The Verilog Hardware Description Language" by Donald Thomas and from lecture slides developed at IBM (by Avi Ziv and Jaron Wolfstal), the University of Pittsburgh, Penn State University, North Carolina State University and Ohio State University. The HDL for the assignments has been developed at IBM.]

4

What is this unit about?

Aim:
To familiarise you with the routine tasks in carrying out **functional verification and verification management**, and to give you the **technical background** plus some of the **practical skills** expected from a professional Design Verification Engineer.

- Pre-/Co-requisites: programming experience

On successful completion of this unit, you will be able to:
- understand the complexities and limits of verification;
- carry out functional verification and determine its effectiveness;
- set appropriate verification goals, select suitable verification methods and assess the associated risks;
- compile a verification plan that fits into the flow of a design project;
- organise a verification team.

5

Unit Outline

Lecture Topics
- Introduction: What is Verification? What is a Testbench?
- Verification Flow and Tools including basic Verilog HDL coding
- Verification cycle, methodology and plan including coverage
- Simulation-based Verification: Stimulus Generation and Checking
- Assertion-based Verification (ABV)
- Advanced Testbench Design Methodology with SpecMan Elite
- (Functional Formal Verification and Property Checking)

Labs   Need to sign ModelSim agreement!
- Exercise 1: Evita Verilog interactive tutorial (do @ home asap)
- Exercise 2: Introduction to the ModelSim Simulator
- A1, weeks 2-5: Verification of calculator design with ModelSim
- Exercise 3: How to collect Code Coverage with ModelSim
- Exercise 4: Introduction to SpecMan Elite
- A2, weeks 6-10: Advanced testbench design with SpecMan Elite

6

What is Design Verification?

What is Design Verification?

"Design Verification is the process used to gain confidence in the correctness of a design w.r.t. the requirements and specification."

Types of verification:
- Functional verification
- Timing verification
- ...
- What about performance?

8

Verification vs Validation

- Verification:
  – Confirms that a system has a given input / output behaviour, sometimes called the **transfer function** of a system.
- Validation:
  – Confirms that the system's transfer functions results in the intended system behaviour when the system is employed in its target environment, e.g. as a component of an embedded system.
- Validation is sometimes used when verification is meant.

9

Why is Verification important?

- Verification is the single biggest lever to effect the triple constraints:

Quality
  - A high quality track record preserves revenue and reputation.
  - Ideally a team can establish a "right-first-time" track record.

Cost
  - Fewer revs through the development/fabrication process means lower costs.
  - Respinning a chip costs hundreds of thousands of £/$/€
    + the associated lost opportunity costs.

– **Timing/Schedule**
  - Fewer revs through the development/fabrication process means faster time-to-market.
  - Respinning a chip costs 6-8 weeks at least
   + the associated "lost opportunity" costs.

10

All about Bugs

Types of bugs
How are bugs introduced?
How can bugs be found?

Why do Designs have Bugs?

12

Slide 16

Cost of Bugs over...

- Loss of Reputation
- Lost opportunity cost
- Cost of bugs
- Mask costs
- Late to market cost
- Huge costs are associated with finding a bug in your customer's environment.
- Debug cost
- Recall cost

Number of bugs found

- Bug found early has little cost.
- Bug found at chip level has moderate cost.
- Bug found on system test floor requires respin of the chip.

Initial | Design | Chip | System | Customer → Time

The longer a bug goes undetected, the more expensive it is!

16

Slide 17

Mask costs (Electronics Weekly, 10 October 2007)

17

Slide 18

Increasing Design Complexity vs tight TTM Constraints

ITRS Edition 2009, Design Chapter (http://www.itrs.net)
– Hardware and Software Design Gaps versus Time

Getting it right (first time) is more and more difficult:
– rapidly increasing design complexity
– tight "time-to-market" constraints

18

Slide 19

Increasing Design Complexity

- 5-10K Lines of Control Code
- Video Display
- µC
- 250-500K Lines of F/W
- 50-100K Lines of Protocol F/W
- MPEG Processing Core
- TV Decode
- >100K Lines of Appl S/W
- Over 2M Lines of Application S/W
- 20-50K Lines of Protocol F/W
- 250-300K Lines of DSP F/W
- Wireless
- OFDM Modem Processor
- xDSL
- 5-10K Lines of Microcode
- Baseband Signal Processor
- Up to 2M Lines of Network S/W

**Multiple Power Domains, Security, Virtualisation
Nearly five million lines of code to enable Media gateway**

19

Slide 20

Increasing Design Complexity

- From mobile phone to smart phone

20

Slide 21

Shorter Time-To-Market Windows

- Volume
- Shipment windows: today
- Shipment windows: early 2000s
- Shipment windows: 90s
- Design
- Months
- 6 | 12 | 18 | 24
- 95%+
- Confidence
- Desired
- Actual
- Risks Quality Predictability Productivity
- Time
- Scheduled tapeout
- Final tapeout

21

Increasing Verification Productivity

Need to minimise verification time e.g. by using:
- **Parallelism:** Add more resources
- **Abstraction:**
  - Higher level of abstraction (i.e. C vs Assembly)
  - This often means a reduction of control!
- **Automation:**
  - Tools to automate standard processes
  - Requires standard processes/methodology.
  - Usually a variety of functions, interfaces, protocols, and transformations must be verified.
  - Not all (verification) processes can be automated.

Productivity improvements drive early problem discovery!

22

Increasing Verification Productivity

Productivity improvements drive early problem discovery


23

Verification in the IC Design Process

The IC Design Process

Functional verification aims to demonstrate that the functional intent of a design is preserved in its implementation.

26

Chip Design Process

Result: Description with all details for fabrication (tape-out). In practice, all "steps" start (almost) at same time - they run in parallel!

27

Role of Verification in IC Design

IC design process is complex:
- **Engineers need to balance conflict of interest:**
  - Tight time-to-market constraints vs. increasing design complexity
- **Aim:** "Right-first-time" design, "correct-by-construction"
- More and more time-consuming to obtain acceptable level of confidence in correctness of design!
- **design time << verification time**
  - Remember: Verification does not create value!
    - But it preserves revenue and reputation!
  - Roughly 70% of design effort goes into verification.
  - 80% of all written code is in the verification environment
  - Properly staffed design teams have dedicated verification engineers.
 - In some cases verification engineers outnumber designers 2:1.

28

Chip Design Process

- Customer Requirements
- General Specification and Architecture
- High Level Chip Design
- High Level Verification
- HDL Implementation (Logic Design) at RTL Level
- Fixes To HDL
- Functional Verification
- Physical Circuit Design via Synthesis Or Custom Layout
- Design sent to Fab
- Fabricated Chip

Result: Description with all details for fabrication (tape-out). In practice, all "steps" start (almost) at same time - they run in parallel!

29

What are you going to verify?

How do Designers know whether a circuit is correct?

Concept

VERIFY: What you specified is what you envisioned

Specification

VERIFY: What you designed is what you specified

HDL Design (RTL)

VERIFY: What you taped out is what the RTL describes

Tape-out

TEST: What was manufactured is what you taped out

Silicon

There is skill, science and methodology behind verification.

31

Reconvergence Models [Bergeron]

Conceptual representation of the verification process

- Most important question: **What are you verifying?**

Transformation

Verification

- Purpose of verification is to ensure that the result of some transformation is as intended or as expected.

32

Verification vs. Test

- **Often confused!**
  - Purpose of test is to show design was **manufactured** properly.
  - Verification is done to ensure that **design meets its functional intent prior to manufacture!**

HW Design   Fabrication

Specification   Silicon Chip

Verification   Netlist   Test

- One test method is scanning: **"Design for Test"** methodology
  - Link all internal registers together into a chain.
  - Chain accessible from chip pins.
  - Allows control/observation of internal state.
  - Impacts area of design, but keeps testing cost down.
- Why not **"Design for Verification"?** [Hot topic of research!]
- Consider: What is design supposed to do? How will it be verified?

33

Formal: Equivalence Checking

Compares two models to check for equivalence.
- Proves mathematically that both are *logically equivalent*.
  - Commonly used on **lower levels** of design process.
- Example: RTL to Gates (Post Synthesis)

Synthesis

RTL   Gates

Equivalence Check

Why do equivalence checking when EDA tools exist for synthesis?

- See "*HDL Chip Design - A Practical Guide for Designing, Synthesising, and Simulating ASICs and FPGAs using VHDL or Verilog*" book by Douglas Smith page 136 and compare MUX spec with what they claim will be synthesised!

34

Equivalence Checking

- Conceptually, is there an input vector such that the output of the XOR gate can be "1"?

35

Cost of Verification

Necessary Evil
- Always takes too long and costs too much.
- As number of bugs found decreases, cost and time of finding remaining ones increases.

So when is verification done?   (Will investigate this later!)
  – Remember: Verification does not generate revenue!

Yet indispensable
- To create revenue, design must be functionally correct and provide benefits to customer.
- Proper functional verification demonstrates **trustworthiness** of the design.
- Right-first-time designs demonstrate **professionalism** and "increase" reputation of design team.

36

Verification is similar to statistical hypothesis testing

Hypothesis "under test" is: **Is the design functionally correct?**

	Bugs found	No Bugs found
Bad Design (buggy design)		Type II: False Positive
Good Design (no bugs in design)	Type I: False Negative	

Type I mistakes: Easy to identify - found error where none exists.
Type II mistakes: Most serious - verification failed to identify an error!
  – Can result in a bad design being shipped unknowingly!

Knowing where you are in the verification process is much easier to estimate than how long it will take to complete the job.

37

Summary

- **What is Design Verification?**
  – Why do we care?
  – Verification vs validation
- **Bugs**
  – Sources of bugs
  – Cost of bugs
  – Importance of Design Verification
- **Impact of increasing design complexity**
  – ITRS
  – Shrinking time to market windows
  – Increasing Productivity
- **The chip design process**
  – Where does Verification "fit"?
- **Reconvergence Models**
  – Help us identify what is verified

38