

COMS31700 Design Verification:

# Verification Tools


Directed Testing with Manual Checking

Kerstin Eder

Design Automation and Verification

(Acknowledgement: Avi Ziv from the IBM Research Labs in Haifa has kindly permitted the re-use of some of his slides.)

# Functional Verification Approaches



# Achieving Automation

---

## Task of Verification Engineer:


- Ensure product does not contain bugs - as fast and as cost-effective as possible.

(... and of Verification Team Leader):

- Select/Provide appropriate tools.
- Select a verification team.
- Decide when cost of finding next bug violates **law of diminishing returns**.
- Parallelism, Abstraction and **Automation** can reduce the duration of verification. (Automation is currently the least applicable!)
- Automation reduces human factor, improves efficiency and reliability.
- **Verification TOOLS** are used to achieve automation.
  - Tool providers: Electronic Design Automation (EDA) industry

# Tools used for Verification

- **Dynamic Verification:**
  - Hardware Verification Languages (HVL)
  - Testbench automation
  - Test generators
  - Coverage collection and analysis
  - General purpose HDL Simulators
    - Event-driven simulation
    - Cycle-based simulation (improved performance)
    - Waveform viewers (for debug)
  - Hardware accelerators/emulators, FPGAs
- **Static Analysis / Verification Methods (Formal Methods):**
  - Linting Tools
  - Equivalence checkers
  - Model checkers
    - Property Specification Languages (ABV)
  - Theorem provers
- **Administration:**
  - Version Control and Issue Tracking
  - Metrics
  - Data Management and Data Mining related to Metrics
- **Third Party Models**



# Linting Tools

---

- Linters are **static** checkers.
- Assist in finding common coding mistakes
  - Linters exist for software and also for hardware.
    - `gcc -Wall` (When do you use this?)
- Only identify certain classes of problems
  - Many false negatives are reported.
  - Use a **filter** program to reduce false negatives.
    - Careful - don't filter true negatives though!
- Does assist in enforcing **coding guidelines!**
- Rules for coding guidelines can be added to linter.

# Simulation-Based Verification

Directed testing with  
manual checking

# Fundamentals of Simulation-based Verification

- Verification can be divided into two separate tasks

1. Driving the design - Controllability
2. Checking its behavior - Observability


- Basic questions a verification engineer must ask

1. Am I driving *all possible* input scenarios?
2. How will I know when a failure has occurred?

How do I  
know when  
I'm done?

- Driving and checking are the yin and yang of verification


- We cannot find bugs without creating the failing conditions
  - Drivers
- We cannot find bugs without detecting the incorrect behavior
  - Checkers



# What is a Testbench?

*“Code used to create a predetermined input sequence to a design, and to then observe the response.”*

- Generic term used differently across the industry.
- Always refers to a test case/scenario.
- Traditionally, a testbench refers to code **written in a Hardware Description Language (VHDL, Verilog)** at the top level of the design hierarchy.
- **A testbench is a “completely closed” system:**
  - No inputs or outputs.
  - Effectively a model of the universe as far as the design is concerned.





# Simulation-based Design Verification

- Simulate the design (not the implementation) **before** fabrication.
- **Simulating the design relies on simplifications:**
  - Functional correctness/accuracy can be a problem.

**Verification Challenge:** *"What input patterns to supply to the Design Under Verification (DUV) ..."*

- Simulation requires **stimulus**. It is dynamic, not just static!
- Requires to reproduce environment in which design will be used.
  - **Testbench** (Remember: Verification vs Testing!)

**Verification Challenge:** *"... and knowing what is expected for the output for a properly working design."*

- **Simulation outputs are validated externally** against design intent (specification)
  - Errors cannot be proven not to exist!

Two types of simulators: **event-based** and **cycle-based**


# General HDL Simulators

---


- Most Popular Simulators in Industry
  - **Mentor Graphics ModelSim - MTI VSIM**
  - **Cadence NCSim - Verilog XL**
  - Synopsys VCS
- Support for full language coverage
  - "EVENT DRIVEN" algorithms
- VHDL's execution model is defined in detail in the IEEE LRM (Language Reference Manual)
- Verilog's execution model is defined by Cadence's Verilog-XL simulator ("reference implementation")

# Simulation based on Compiled Code

- To simulate with **ModelSim**:
  - Compile HDL source code into a library.
  - Compiled design can be simulated.



# Event Flow Example



# Event-based Simulators


---

Event-based simulators are driven based on **events**. 😊

- Outputs are a function of inputs:
  - The outputs change only when the inputs do.
  - **The event is the input changing.**
  - An event causes the simulator to re-evaluate and calculate new output.
- Outputs (of one block) may be used as inputs (of another) ...
- **Re-evaluation happens until no more changes propagate through the design.**
- Zero delay cycles are called **delta cycles!**

# Delta Cycles

- **Event propagation** may cause new values being assigned after **zero** delays.
  - (Remember, this is only a **model** of the physical circuit.)
- Although **simulation time** does **not advance**, the **simulation makes progress**.




- NOTE: Simulation progress is first along the zero/delta-time axis and then along the simulation time axis.

# Event Driven Principles

---

- The event simulator maintains many lists:
  - A list of all **atomic** executable blocks
  - Fanout lists: A data structure that represents the interconnect of the blocks via signals
  - **A time queue** – points in time when events happen
  - **Event queues** – one queue pair for each entry in the time queue
    - Signal update queue
    - Computation queue
- The simulator needs to process all these queues at simulation time.

# Core Algorithm of an Event-Driven Simulation Engine





# Simulation Speed

What is holding us back?

Speedup strategies

# Improving Simulation Speed

---

- The most obvious **bottle-neck** for functional verification is **simulation throughput**
- There are several ways to improve throughput
  - Parallelization
  - Compiler optimization techniques
  - Changing the level of abstraction
  - Methodology-based subsets of HDL
    - **Cycle-based simulation**
  - Special simulation machines

# Parallelization

---

- Efficient parallel simulation algorithms are hard to develop
  - Much parallel event-driven simulation research
  - Has not yielded a breakthrough
  - Hard to compete against "trivial parallelization"
- Simple solution – run independent testcases on separate machines
  - Workstation "**SimFarms**"
  - 100s - 1000s of engineer's workstations run simulation in the background

# Compiler Optimization Techniques

---

- Treat sequential code constructs like general programming language
- All optimizations for language compilers apply:
  - Data/control-flow analysis
  - Global optimizations
  - Local optimizations (loop unrolling, constant propagation)
  - Register allocation
  - Pipeline optimizations
  - etc.
- Global optimizations are limited because of model-build turn-around time requirements
  - Example: modern microprocessor is designed with ~1 Million lines of HDL
    - Imagine the compile time for a C-program with ~1M lines!

# Changing the Level of Abstraction

---

- Common theme:
  - Cut down of number of scheduled events
  - Create larger sections of un-interrupted sequential code
  - Use less fine-grain granularity for model structure
    - Smaller number of schedulable blocks
  - Use higher-level operators
  - Use zero-delay wherever possible
- Data abstractions
  - Use binary over multi-value bit values
  - Use word-level operations over bit-level operations

# Verification Languages

Raising the level of abstraction

# Verification Languages

- Need to be designed to address **verification principles**.
- Deficiencies in RTL languages (HDLs such as Verilog and VHDL):
  - **Verilog** was designed with focus on describing low-level hardware structures.
    - No support for **data structures** (records, linked lists, etc).
    - Not object/aspect-oriented.
      - Useful when several team members develop testbenches.
  - VHDL was designed for large design teams.
- Limitations inhibit **efficient** implementation of verification strategy.
- High-level verification languages are (currently):
  - **System Verilog**
    - IEEE 1800 [2005] Standard for System Verilog- Unified Hardware Design, Specification, and Verification Language
  - e-language used for Cadence's Specman Elite [IEEE P1647]
  - (Synopsys' Vera, System C)

# Features of High-Level Verification Languages

---

- Raising the level of abstraction:
  - From bits/vectors to high-level data types/structures
    - lists, structs, scoreboards including ready made functions to access these
- Support for building the verification environment
  - Enable testbench automation
  - Modularity
    - Object/aspect oriented languages
    - Libraries (VIP) to enable re-use
- Support for test generation
  - Constrained random test generation features
    - Control over randomization to achieve the target values
    - Advanced: Connection to DUV to generate stimulus depending on DUV state
- Support for coverage
  - Language constructs to implement functional coverage models





# Any other \*verification\* Languages?

Tommy Kelly, CEO of Verilab:

**“Above all else, the Ideal Verification Engineer will know how to construct software.”**

- Toolkit contains not only Verilog, VHDL, SystemVerilog and e, but also Python, Lisp, MySQL, Java, ... ☺




# Directed Testing

Focus on checking

# The Importance of Driving and **Checking**

---



- Drivers activate the bug.
- The observable effects of the bug then need to propagate to a checker.
- A checker needs to be in place to detect the incorrect behaviour.

**All three are needed to find bugs!**


# Checking: How to predict expected results

---


- Methods for checking:
  - **Directed testing:**
    - Because we know what will be driven, a checker can be developed for each test case individually.
- Sources for checking:
  - Understanding of the inputs, outputs and the transfer function of the DUV.
  - Understanding of the design context.
  - Understanding of the internal structures and algorithms (uarch).
  - Understanding of the top-level design description (arch).
  - **Understanding of the specification.**
- Beware:
  - Often, all outputs of the design must be checked at every clock cycle!
    - However, if the outputs are not specified clock-cycle for clock-cycle, then verification should not be done clock-cycle for clock cycle!
  - Response verification should not enforce, expect, nor rely on an output being produced at a specific clock cycle.

# Limitations of Using Waveform Viewers as Checkers

- Often come as part of a simulator.
- **Most common verification tools used...**
  - Used to **visually inspect** design/testbench/verification environment.
  - Recording waves decreases performance of simulator. (Why?)
- **Don't use viewer to determine if DUV passes/fails a test.**
  - **Why not?**
- **Can use waveform viewer for debugging.**
  - Consider costs and alternatives.
  - Benefits of automation.
  - Need to increase productivity.



# Limitations of Directed Testing: Coverage



## Criteria:


- Effectiveness
- Efficiency
- Maintainability
- Re-usability

Directed testing has many shortfalls wrt these criteria.

*Why would one use Directed Testing?*

**Need to increase productivity!**

# Impact of Increasing Verification Productivity



# Verification Tools

Third Party Models

Metrics



# Third Party Models

---

- Chip needs to be verified in its **target environment**.
  - Board/SoC Verification
- Do you develop or purchase behavioural models (specs) for board parts?
  - Buying them may seem expensive!
  - Ask yourself:  
“If it was not worth designing on your own to begin with, why is writing your own model now justified?”
  - The model you develop is not as reliable as the one you buy.
  - The one you buy is used by many others - not just yourself.
- Remember: In practice, it is often more expensive to develop your own model to the **same degree of confidence** than licensing one.

# Metrics

- Not really verification tools - but managers love metrics and measurements!
  - Managers often have little time to personally assess progress.
  - They want something measurable.
- **Coverage** is one metric - will be introduced later.
- **Others metrics include:**
  - Number of lines of code
  - Ratio of lines of code (between design and verifier)
  - Drop of source code changes
  - Number of outstanding issues



# Summary

---

## We have covered:

- Verification Tools & Languages
- Basic testbench components
- Writing directed tests
- The importance of Driving and Checking
- Checking when we use directed testing
- Limitations of directed testing
- Cost of debug using waveforms