

Dynamical Synapses Enhance Neural Information Processing: Gracefulness, Accuracy and Mobility

C. C. Alan Fung¹, K. Y. Michael Wong¹, He Wang² and Si Wu^{3,4}

¹ Department of Physics, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology,
Hong Kong, China

² Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

³ Institute of Neuroscience, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China

⁴ State Key Laboratory of Cognitive Neuroscience & Learning,
Beijing Normal University, China

Abstract

Experimental data have revealed that neuronal connection efficacy exhibits two forms of short-term plasticity, namely, short-term depression (STD) and short-term facilitation (STF). They have time constants residing between fast neural signaling and rapid learning, and may serve as substrates for neural systems manipulating temporal information on relevant time scales. The present study investigates the impact of STD and STF on the dynamics of continuous attractor neural networks (CANNs) and their potential roles in neural information processing. We find that STD endows the network with slow-decaying plateau behaviors—the network that is initially being stimulated to an active state decays to a silent state very slowly on the time scale of STD rather than on the time scale of neural signaling. This provides a mechanism for neural systems to hold sensory memory easily and shut off persistent activities gracefully. With STF, we find that the network can hold a memory trace of external inputs in the facilitated neuronal interactions, which provides a way to stabilize the network response to noisy inputs, leading to improved accuracy in population decoding. Furthermore, we find that STD increases the mobility of the network states. The increased mobility enhances the tracking performance of the network in response to time-varying stimuli, leading to anticipative neural responses. In general, we find that STD and STF tend to have opposite effects on network dynamics and complementary computational advantages, suggesting that the brain may employ a strategy of weighting them differentially depending on the computational purpose.

1 Introduction

Experimental data have consistently revealed that the neuronal connection weight, which models the efficacy of the firing of a pre-synaptic neuron in modulating the state of a post-synaptic one, varies on short time scales, ranging from hundreds to thousands of milliseconds (see, e.g., (Stevens and Wang 1995; Markram and Tsodyks 1996; Dobrunz and Stevens 1997; Markram, Wang, and Tsodyks 1997)). This is called short-term plasticity (STP). Two types of STP, with opposite effects on the connection efficacy, have been observed. They are short-term depression (STD) and short-term facilitation (STF). STD is caused by the depletion of available resources when neurotransmitters are released from the axon terminal of the pre-synaptic neuron during signal transmission (Stevens and Wang 1995; Markram and Tsodyks 1996; Dayan and Abbott 2001). On the other hand, STF is caused by the influx of calcium into the presynaptic terminal after spike generation, which increases the probability of releasing neurotransmitters.

Computational studies on the impact of STP on network dynamics have strongly suggested that STP can play many important roles in neural computation. For instance, cortical neurons receive pre-synaptic signals with firing rates ranging from less than 1 to more than 200 Hertz. It was suggested that STD provides a dynamic gain control mechanism that allows equal fractional changes on rapidly and slowly firing afferents to produce post-synaptic responses, realizing Weber’s law (Tsodyks and Markram 1997; Abbott, Varela, Sen, and Nelson 1997). Besides, computations can be performed in recurrent networks by population spikes in response to external inputs, which are enabled through STD by recurrent connections (Tsodyks, Uziel, and Markram 2000; Loebel and Tsodyks 2002).

Another role played by synaptic depression was proposed by Levina, Herrmann, and Giesel (2007). In neuronal systems, critical avalanches are believed to bring about optimal computational capabilities, and are observed experimentally. Synaptic depression enables a feedback mechanism so that the system can be maintained at a critical state, making the self-organized critical behavior robust (Levina, Herrmann, and Giesel 2007). Herding, a computational algorithm reminiscent of the neuronal dynamics with synaptic depression, was recently found to have a similar effect on the complexity of information processing (Welling 2009). STP was also recently thought to play a role in the way a neuron estimates the membrane potential information of the pre-synaptic neuron based on the spikes it receives (Pfister, Dayan, and Lengyel 2010).

Concerning the computational significance of STF, a recent work proposed an interesting idea for achieving working memory in the prefrontal cortex (Mongillo, Barak, and Tsodyks 2008).

The residual calcium of STF is used as a buffer to facilitate synaptic connections, so that inputs in a subsequent delay period can be used to retrieve the information encoded by the facilitated synaptic connections. The STF-based memory mechanism has the advantage of not having to rely on persistent neural firing during the time the working memory is functioning, and hence is energetically more efficient.

From the computational point of view, the time scale of STP resides between fast neural signaling (in the order of milliseconds) and rapid learning (in the order of minutes or above), which is the time scale of many important temporal processes occurring in our daily lives, such as the passive holding of a temporal memory of objects coming into our visual field (the so-called iconic sensory memory), or the active use of the memory trace of recent events for motion control. Thus, STP may serve as a substrate for neural systems manipulating temporal information on the relevant time scales. STP has been observed in many parts of the cortex, and also exhibits large diversity in different cortical areas, suggesting that the brain may employ a strategy of weighting STD and STF differently depending on the computational purpose.

In the present study, we explore the potential roles of STP in processing information derived from external stimuli, an issue of fundamental importance yet inadequately investigated so far. For ease of exposition, we use continuous attractor neural networks (CANNs) as our working model, but our main results are qualitatively applicable to general cases. CANNs are recurrent networks that can hold a continuous family of localized active states (Amari 1977). Neutral stability is a key property of CANNs, which enables neural systems to update memory states easily and to track time-varying stimuli smoothly. CANNs have been successfully applied to describe the generation of persistent neural activities (Wang 2001), the encoding of continuous stimuli such as the orientation, the head direction and the spatial location of objects (Ben-Yishai, Lev Bar-Or, and Sompolinsky 1995; Zhang 1996; Samsonovich and McNaughton 1997), and a framework for implementing efficient population decoding (Deneve, Latham, and Pouget 1999).

When STP is included in a CANN, the dynamics of the network is governed by two time scales. The time constant of STP is much slower than that of neural signaling (100-1000 ms vs. 1-10 ms). The interplay between the fast and the slow dynamics causes the network to exhibit rich dynamical behaviors, laying the foundation for the neural system to implement complicated functions.

In CANNs with STD, various intrinsic behaviors have been reported, including damped oscillations (Tsodyks, Pawelzik, and Markram 1998), periodic and aperiodic dynamics (Tsodyks, Pawelzik, and Markram 1998).

state hopping with transient population spikes (Holcman and Tsodyks 2006), traveling fronts and pulses (Pinto and Ermentrout 2001; Bressloff, Folias, Prat, and Li 2003; Folias and Bressloff 2004; Kilpatrick and Bressloff 2010), breathers and pulse-emitting breathers (Bressloff, Folias, Prat, and Li 2003; Folias and Bressloff 2004), spiral waves (Kilpatrick and Bressloff 2009), rotating bump states (York and van Rossum 2009; Igarashi, Oizumi, Otsubo, Nagata, and Okada 2009), and self-sustained non-periodic activities (Stratton and Wiles 2010). Here, we focus on those network states relevant to the processing of stimuli in CANNs, including static, moving and metastatic bumps (Wu and Amari 2005; Fung, Wong, and Wu 2010). More significantly, we find that with STD, the network state can display slow-decaying plateau behaviors, i.e., the network that is initially being stimulated to an active state by a transient input decays to the silent state very slowly on the time scale of STD relaxation, rather than on the time scale of neural signaling. This is a very interesting property. It implies that STD can provide a way for the neural system to maintain sensory memory for a duration unachievable by the signaling of single neurons, and shut off the network activity of sensory memory naturally. The latter has once been a challenging technical issue in the study of theoretical neuroscience (Gutkin, Laing, Colby, Chow, and Ermentrout 2001).

With STF, neuronal connections become strengthened during the presence of an external stimulus. This stimulus-specific facilitation lasts for a period on the time scale of STF, and provides a way for the neural system to hold a memory trace of external inputs (Mongillo, Barak, and Tsodyks 2008). This information can be used by the neural system for various computational tasks. To demonstrate this idea, we consider CANNs as a framework for implementing population decoding (Deneve, Latham, and Pouget 1999; Wu, Amari, and Nakahara 2002). In the presence of STF, the network response is determined not only by the instant input value but also by the history of external inputs (the latter being mediated by the facilitated neuronal interactions). Therefore, temporal fluctuations in external inputs can be largely averaged out, leading to improved decoding results.

In general, STD and STF tend to have opposite effects on network dynamics (Torres, Cortes, Marro, and Kappe 2010). The former increases the mobility of network states, whereas the latter increases their stability. Enhanced mobility and stability can contribute positively to different computational tasks. Enhanced stability mediated by STF can improve the computational and behavioral stability of CANNs. To demonstrate that enhanced mobility does have a positive role in information processing, we investigate a computational task in which the network tracks time-varying stimuli. We find that STD increases the tracking speed of a CANN. Interestingly, for strong

STD, the network state can even overtake the moving stimulus, reminiscent of the anticipative responses of head-direction and place cells (Blair and Sharp 1995; O’Keefe and Recce 1993; Romani and Tsodyks 2011).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. After introducing the models and methods in Section 2, we discuss the intrinsic properties of CANNs in the absence of external stimuli by studying their phase diagram in Section 3. In Sections 4 to 6, we study the network behavior in the presence of various stimuli. In Section 4, we consider the after-effects of a transient stimulus, and find that sensory memories can persist for a desirable duration and then decay gracefully. In Section 5, we consider the response of the network to a noisy stimulus, and find that the accuracy in population decoding can be enhanced. In Section 6, we consider the response of the network to a moving stimulus, and find that the tracking performance is improved by the enhanced mobility of the network states. The paper ends with conclusions and discussions in Section 7. Our preliminary results on the effects of STD have been reported in Fung, Wong, Wang, and Wu (2010).

2 Models and Methods

We consider a one-dimensional continuous stimulus x encoded by an ensemble of neurons. For example, the stimulus may represent a moving direction, an orientation or a general continuous feature of objects extracted by the neural system. We consider the case where the range of possible values of the stimulus is much larger than the range of neuronal interactions. We can thus effectively take $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$ in our analysis. In simulations, however, we will set the stimulus range to be $-L/2 < x \leq L/2$, and have N neurons uniformly distributed in the range obeying a periodic boundary condition.

Let $u(x, t)$ be the current at time t in the neurons whose preferred stimulus is x . The dynamics of $u(x, t)$ is determined by the external input $I^{\text{ext}}(x, t)$, the network input from other neurons, and its own relaxation. It is given by

$$\tau_s \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t} = -u(x, t) + I^{\text{ext}}(x, t) + \rho \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx' J(x, x') p(x', t) [1 + f(x', t)] r(x', t), \quad (1)$$

where τ_s is the synaptic time constant, which is typically of the order of 2 to 5 ms, and ρ the neural density. $r(x, t)$ is the firing rate of neurons, which increases with the synaptic input, but saturates in the presence of global activity-dependent inhibition. A solvable model that captures these features is given by Deneve, Latham, and Pouget (1999) and Wu, Amari, and Nakahara

(2002)

$$r(x, t) = \frac{C_r u(x, t)^2}{1 + k\rho \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx' u(x', t)^2}, \quad (2)$$

where k is a positive constant controlling the strength of global inhibition and C_r is a constant whose dimension is $r(x, t) u(x, t)^{-2}$. This type of global inhibition can be achieved by shunting inhibition (Heeger 1992; Hao, Wang, Dan, Poo, and Zhang 2009).

$J(x, x')$ is the baseline neural interaction from x' to x when no STP exists. In our solvable model, we choose $J(x, x')$ to be of the Gaussian form with an interaction range a , i.e.,

$$J(x, x') = \frac{J_0}{a\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{(x - x')^2}{2a^2}\right], \quad (3)$$

where J_0 is a constant. $J(x, x')$ is translationally invariant, in the sense that it is a function of $x - x'$ rather than x or x' . This is the key to generating the neutral stability of CANNs.

The variable $p(x, t)$ represents the pre-synaptic STD effect, which has the maximum value of 1 and decreases with the firing rate of the neurons (Tsodyks, Pawelzik, and Markram 1998; Zucker and Regehr 2002). Its dynamics is given by

$$\tau_d \frac{\partial p(x, t)}{\partial t} = 1 - p(x, t) - \tau_d \beta p(x, t) [1 + f(x, t)] r(x, t), \quad (4)$$

where τ_d is the time constant for synaptic depression, and the parameter β controls the depression effect due to neuronal firing.

The variable $f(x, t)$ represents the pre-synaptic STF effect, which increases with the firing rate of the neurons but saturates at a maximum value f_{\max} . Its dynamics is given by

$$\tau_f \frac{\partial f(x, t)}{\partial t} = -f(x, t) + \tau_f \alpha [f_{\max} - f(x, t)] r(x, t), \quad (5)$$

where τ_f is the time constant for synaptic facilitation, and the parameter α controls the facilitation effect due to neuronal firing.

The dynamical equations (4) and (5) are consistent with the phenomenological models of STD and STF fitted to experimental data (Tsodyks, Pawelzik, and Markram 1998) (see Appendix A). From Eqs.(4) and (5), we can calculate the steady state values of p and f , which are

$$p = \frac{1}{1 + \tau_d \beta (1 + f) r}, \quad (6)$$

$$f = \frac{f_{\max} \tau_f \alpha r}{1 + \tau_f \alpha r}. \quad (7)$$

Hence, in the high frequency limit, $\tau_f \alpha r \gg 1$, we have $f \approx f_{\max}$, which can be regarded as a constant, and $p = 1/[1 + \tau_d \beta (1 + f_{\max}) r]$. In this case, we only have to consider the effect of

STD. In the low frequency limit, $\tau_d \beta (1+f)r \ll 1$, and so $p \approx 1$ and we only need to consider the effect of STF. Note, however, that the terms “high frequency limit” and “low frequency limit” are used figuratively. The actual limits should depend on the other parameters mentioned above.

Our theoretical analysis of the network dynamics is based on the observations that: 1) the stationary states of the network, as well as the profile of STP across all neurons, can be well approximated as Gaussian-shaped bumps; and 2) the state change of the network, and hence the profile of STP, can be well described by distortions of the Gaussian bump in various forms. We can therefore use a perturbation approach developed by Fung, Wong, and Wu (2010) to solve the network dynamics analytically.

It is instructive for us to first review the network dynamics when no STP is included. This is done by setting $\beta = 0$ in Eq. (4) and $\alpha = 0$ in Eq. (5), so that $p(x, t) = 1$ and $f(x, t) = 0$ for all t . In this case, the network can support a continuous family of stationary states when the global inhibition is not too strong. These steady states are

$$\tilde{u}(x|z) = u_0 \exp \left[-\frac{(x-z)^2}{4a^2} \right], \quad (8)$$

$$\tilde{r}(x|z) = r_0 \exp \left[-\frac{(x-z)^2}{2a^2} \right], \quad (9)$$

where $u_0 = [1 + (1 - k/k_c)^{1/2}] (C_r J_0) / (4ak\sqrt{\pi})$, $r_0 = [1 + (1 - k/k_c)^{1/2}] / (2ak\rho\sqrt{2\pi})$ and $k_c = \rho (C_r J_0)^2 / (8a\sqrt{2\pi})$. These stationary states are translationally invariant among themselves and have the Gaussian shape with a free parameter z representing the peak position of the Gaussian bumps. They exist for $0 < k < k_c$, and k_c is the critical inhibition strength above which only silent states with $u_0 = 0$ exist.

Because of the translational invariance of the neuronal interactions, the dynamics of CANNs exhibits unique features. Fung, Wong, and Wu (2010) have shown that the wave functions of the quantum harmonic oscillators can well describe the different distortion modes of a bump state. For instance, during the process of tracking an external stimulus, the synaptic input $u(x, t)$ can be written as

$$u(x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(t) v_n(x|z(t)), \quad (10)$$

where $v_n(x|z(t))$ are the wavefunctions of the quantum harmonic oscillator (Fig. 1),

$$v_n(x|z) = \frac{(-1)^n (\sqrt{2}a)^{n-1/2}}{\sqrt{\pi^{1/2} n! 2^n}} \exp \left[\frac{(x-z)^2}{4a^2} \right] \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^n \exp \left[-\frac{(x-z)^2}{2a^2} \right], \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \quad (11)$$

These functions have clear physical meanings, corresponding to distortions in the height, position, width, skewness and other higher order features of the Gaussian bump (see Fig. 1). We




Figure 1: (a) - (d) The first distortion modes of the bump state. (e) - (h) Their effects of producing distortions, respectively, in the height, position, width and skewness of the Gaussian bump. Solid and dashed lines represent distorted and undistorted bumps respectively.

can use a perturbation approach to solve the network dynamics effectively, with each distortion mode characterized by an eigenvalue determining its rate of evolution in time. A key property of CANNs is that the translational mode has a zero eigenvalue, and all other distortion modes have negative eigenvalues for $k < k_c$. This implies that the Gaussian bumps are able to track changes in the position of the external stimuli by continuously shifting the position of the bumps, with other distortion modes affecting the tracking process only in the transients. An example of the tracking process is shown in Fig. 2, where we consider an external stimulus with a Gaussian profile given by

$$I^{\text{ext}}(x, t) = A \exp \left[-\frac{(x - z(t))^2}{4a^2} \right]. \quad (12)$$

The stimulus is initially centered at $z = 0$, pinning the center of a Gaussian neuronal response at the same position. At time $t = 0$, the stimulus shifts its center from $z_0 = 0$ to $z_0 = 3a$ abruptly. The bump moves towards the new stimulus position, and catches up with the shift of the stimulus after a certain time, referred to as the reaction time.

We can generalize the perturbation approach developed by Fung, Wong, and Wu (2010) to study the dynamics of CANNs with dynamical synapses. We will only present the detailed analysis to the case of STD. Extension to the case of STF is straightforward.

Similar to the synaptic input $u(x, t)$, the profile of STD can be expanded in terms of the distortion modes,

$$p(x, t) = 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n(t) w_n(x|z(t)), \quad (13)$$




Figure 2: (a) The neural response profile tracks the change in position of the external stimulus from $z_0/a = 0$ to 3 at $t = 0$. Parameters: $a = 0.5$, $\bar{k} = 0.95$, $\bar{\beta} = 0.0085$, $\rho C_r J_0 A = 4.82843$. (b) The profile of $u(x, t)$ at $t/\tau = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 10$ during the tracking process in (a).

where $w_n(x|z)$ is given by

$$w_n(x|z) = \frac{(-1)^n a^{n-1/2}}{\sqrt{\pi^{1/2} n! 2^n}} \exp \left[\frac{(x-z)^2}{2a^2} \right] \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^n \exp \left[-\frac{(x-z)^2}{a^2} \right]. \quad (14)$$

Note that the width of $w_n(x|z)$ is $1/\sqrt{2}$ times that of $v_n(x|z)$ due to the appearance of $r(x, t) \propto u(x, t)^2$ in Eq. (4).

Substituting Eqs. (10) and (13) into (1) and (4), and using the orthonormality and completeness of the distortion modes, we get the dynamical equations for the coefficients $a_n(t)$ and $b_n(t)$. The details are presented in Appendix B.

The peak position $z(t)$ of the bump is determined from the self-consistent condition,

$$z(t) = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx u(x, t) x}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx u(x, t)}. \quad (15)$$

Truncating the perturbation expansion at increasingly high orders corresponds to the inclusion of increasingly complex distortions, and hence provides increasingly accurate descriptions of the network dynamics. As confirmed in the subsequent sections, the perturbative approach is in excellent agreement with simulation results. The agreement is especially remarkable when the STD strength is weak, and the lowest few orders are already sufficient to explain the dynamical features. The agreement is less satisfactory when STD is strong, and the perturbative approach typically over-estimates the stability of the moving bump. This is probably due to the considerable distortion of the Gaussian profile of the synaptic depression when STD is strong.

3 Phase Diagrams of CANNs with STP

We first study the impact of STP on the stationary states of CANNs when no external input is applied. For the convenience of analysis, we will explore the effects of STD and STF separately. This corresponds to the limits of high or low neuronal firing frequencies, or the cases where only one type of STP dynamics is significant.

3.1 The Phase Diagram of CANNs with STD

We set $\alpha = 0$ in Eq. (5) to turn off STF. In the presence of STD, CANNs exhibit new and interesting dynamical behaviors. Apart from the static bump state, the network also supports spontaneously moving bump states. Examining the steady state solutions of Eqs. (1) and (4), we find that u_0 has the same dimension as $\rho C_r J_0 u_0^2$, and $1 - p(x, t)$ scales as $\tau_d \beta u_0^2$. Hence we introduce the dimensionless parameters $\bar{k} \equiv k/k_c$ and $\bar{\beta} \equiv \tau_d \beta / (\rho^2 (C_r J_0)^2)$. The phase diagram obtained by numerical solutions to the network dynamics is shown in Fig. 3.




Figure 3: Phase diagram of the network states with STD. Symbols: numerical solutions. Dashed line: Eq. (21). Dotted line: Eq. (24). Solid line: Gaussian approximation using the 11th order perturbation of the STD coefficient. Point P: the working point for Figs. 6 and 7. Parameters: $\tau_d/\tau_s = 50$, $a = 0.5/6$, range of the network $x \in [-\pi, \pi)$.

We first note that the synaptic depression and the global inhibition play the same role in reducing the amplitude of the bump states. This can be seen from the steady state solution of

$u(x, t)$,

$$u(x) = \int dx' \frac{\rho J(x - x') u(x')^2}{1 + k\rho \int dx'' u(x'')^2 + \tau_d \beta u(x')^2}. \quad (16)$$

The third term in the denominator of the integrand arises from STD, and plays the role of a local inhibition that is strongest where the neurons are most active. Hence we see that the silent state with $u(x, t) = 0$ is the only stable state when either \bar{k} or $\bar{\beta}$ is large.

When STD is weak, the network behaves similarly to CANNs without STD, that is, the static bump state is present up to \bar{k} near 1. However, when $\bar{\beta}$ increases, a state with the bump spontaneously moving at a constant velocity comes into existence. Such moving states have been predicted in CANNs (York and van Rossum 2009; Kilpatrick and Bressloff 2010), and may be associated with the travelling wave behaviors widely observed in the neocortex (Wu, Huang, and Zhang 2008). At an intermediate range of $\bar{\beta}$, the static and moving states coexist, and the final state of the network depends on the initial condition. As $\bar{\beta}$ increases further, static bumps disappear. In the limit of high $\bar{\beta}$, only the silent state is present. Below, we will use the perturbation approach to analyze the network dynamical behaviors.

3.1.1 Zeroth Order: The Static Bump

The zeroth order perturbation is applicable to the solution of the static bump, since the profile of the bump remains effectively Gaussian in the presence of synaptic depression. Hence, when STD is weak and for $a \ll L$, we propose the following Gaussian approximations,

$$u(x, t) = u_0(t) \exp \left[-\frac{(x - z)^2}{4a^2} \right], \quad (17)$$

$$p(x, t) = 1 - p_0(t) \exp \left[-\frac{(x - z)^2}{2a^2} \right]. \quad (18)$$

As derived in Appendix C, the dynamical equations for u_0 and p_0 are given by

$$\tau_s \frac{d\bar{u}(t)}{dt} = \frac{\bar{u}(t)^2}{\sqrt{2}(1 + \bar{k}\bar{u}(t)^2/8)} \left[1 - \sqrt{\frac{4}{7}} p_0(t) \right] - \bar{u}(t) + \bar{A}, \quad (19)$$

$$\tau_d \frac{dp_0(t)}{dt} = \frac{\bar{\beta}\bar{u}(t)^2}{1 + \bar{k}\bar{u}(t)^2/8} \left[1 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} p_0(t) \right] - p_0(t), \quad (20)$$

where $\bar{u} \equiv \rho C_r J_0 u_0$ is the dimensionless bump height and $\bar{A} \equiv \rho C_r J_0 A$ is the dimensionless stimulus strength. For $\bar{A} = 0$, the steady state solution of \bar{u} and p_0 and its stability against

fluctuations of \bar{u} and p_0 are described in Appendix C. We find that stable solutions exist when

$$\bar{\beta} \leq \frac{p_0(1 - \sqrt{4/7}p_0)^2}{4(1 - \sqrt{2/3}p_0)} \left[1 + \frac{\tau_s}{\tau_d(1 - \sqrt{2/3}p_0)} \right], \quad (21)$$

when p_0 is the steady state solution of Eqs. (19) and (20). The boundary of this region is shown as a dashed line in Fig. 3. Unfortunately, this line is not easily observed in numerical solutions since the static bump is unstable against fluctuations that are asymmetric with respect to its central position. Although the bump is stable against symmetric fluctuations, asymmetric fluctuations can displace its position and eventually convert it to a moving bump. This will be considered in the first-order perturbation in the next subsection.

3.1.2 First Order: The Moving Bump

When the network bump is moving, the profile of STD is lagging behind due to its slow dynamics, and this induces an asymmetric distortion in the profile of STD. Fig. 4 illustrates this behavior. Comparing the static and moving bumps shown in Fig. 4(a) and (b), one can see that the profile of a moving bump is characterized by the synaptic depression lagging behind the moving bump. This is because neurons tend to be less active in the locations of low values of $p(x, t)$, causing the bump to move away from the locations of strong synaptic depression. In turn, the region of synaptic depression tends to follow the bump. However, if the time scale of synaptic depression is large, the recovery of the synaptic depressed region will be slowed down, and the region will be unable to catch up with the bump motion. Thus, the bump will start moving spontaneously. This is the same cause attributed to anticipative non-local events modeled in neural systems (Blair and Sharp 1995; O’Keefe and Recce 1993; Romani and Tsodyks 2011).




Figure 4: Neuronal input $u(x, t)$ and the STD coefficient $p(x, t)$ in (a) the static state at $(\bar{k}, \bar{\beta}) = (0.9, 0.005)$, and (b) the moving state at $(\bar{k}, \bar{\beta}) = (0.5, 0.015)$. Parameter: $\tau_d/\tau_s = 50$.

To incorporate this asymmetry into the network dynamics, we consider the first-order per-

turbation. However, to facilitate our analysis, we make a further simplification as follows:

$$u(x, t) = u_0(t) \exp \left[-\frac{(x - vt)^2}{4a^2} \right], \quad (22)$$

$$p(x, t) = 1 - p_0(t) \exp \left[-\frac{(x - vt)^2}{2a^2} \right] + p_1(t) \exp \left[-\frac{(x - vt)^2}{2a^2} \right] \left(\frac{x - vt}{a} \right). \quad (23)$$

This means that we have restricted the bump profile to the zeroth order. Comparison with the full first-order perturbation shows that the discrepancy is not significant. This is because the synaptic interactions among the neurons effectively maintain the bump profile in a Gaussian shape, whereas the STD profile is much more susceptible to asymmetric perturbations.

As described in Appendix D, we obtain four steady-state equations for \bar{u}/B , p_0 , p_1 and $v\tau_s/a$ in terms of the parameters $\bar{\beta}\bar{u}^2/B$ and τ_s/τ_d , where $B \equiv 1 + \bar{k}\bar{u}^2/8$ is the global inhibition factor. It is easy to first check if the static bump obtained in Appendix D is also a valid solution by setting v and p_1 to 0. We can then study the stability of the static bump against asymmetric fluctuations. This is done by introducing small values of p_1 and $v\tau_s/a$ into the static bump solution and considering how they evolve. As shown in Appendix D the static bump becomes unstable when

$$\frac{\bar{\beta}\bar{u}^2}{1 + \bar{k}\bar{u}^2/8} \leq Q \left[\frac{\tau_d}{\tau_s} - R + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\tau_d}{\tau_s} - R \right)^2 - S} \right]^{-1}, \quad (24)$$

where $Q = 7\sqrt{7}/4$, $R = (7/4)[(5/2)\sqrt{7/6} - 1]$, and $S = (343/36)(1 - \sqrt{6/7})$. This means that in the region bounded by Eqs. (21) and (24), the static bump is unstable to asymmetric fluctuations. It is stable (or more precisely, metastable) when it is static, but once it is pushed to one side, it will continue to move along that direction. We call this behavior *metastatic*. As we shall see, this metastatic behavior is the cause of the enhanced tracking performance.

Next, we consider solutions with non-vanishing p_1 and v . We find that real solutions exist only if condition (24) is satisfied. This means that as soon as the static bump becomes unstable, the moving bump comes into existence. As shown in Fig. 3, the boundary of this region effectively coincides with the numerical solution of the line separating the static and moving phases. In the entire region bounded by Eqs. (21) and (24), the moving and (meta)static bumps coexist.

We also find that when τ_d/τ_s increases, the moving phase expands at the expense of the (pure) static phase. This is because the recovery of the synaptic depressed region becomes increasingly slow, making it harder for the region to catch up with the changes in the bump motion, hence sustaining the bump motion.

3.2 The Phase Diagram of CANNs with STF

We set $\beta = 0$ in Eq. (4) to turn off STD. Compared with STD, STF has qualitatively the opposite effect on the network dynamics. When an external perturbation is applied, the dynamical synapses will not push the neural bump away. Instead they will try to pull the bump back to its original position. The phase diagram in the space of \bar{k} and the rescaled STF parameter $\bar{\alpha} \equiv \tau_f \alpha / (\rho^2 (C_r J_0)^2)$ is shown in Fig. 5. When $\bar{\alpha}$ increases, the range of inhibitory strength \bar{k} allowing for a bump state is enlarged. Note that since STF tends to stabilize the bump states against asymmetric fluctuations, no moving bumps exist. The phase boundary of the static bump is well predicted by the second-order perturbation.




Figure 5: Phase diagram of CANNs in the presence of STF. As the synaptic facilitation is present, the range allowed to support a stationary bump is broadened. Dashed line: Prediction by 0th order approximation. Solid line: Prediction by 2nd order approximation. Symbols: Simulations. Parameters: $N/L = 80/(2\pi)$, $a/L = 0.5/(2\pi)$, $\tau_f/\tau_s = 50$ and $f_{\max} = 1$.

Concerning the time scale of neural information processing, it should be noted that it takes time of the order of τ_f for neuronal interactions to be fully facilitated. In the parameter range of $\bar{k} > 1$ where the facilitated neuronal interaction is necessary for holding a bump state, we need to present an external input for a time up to the order of τ_f before a bump state can be sustained.

4 Memories with Graceful Degradation in CANNs with STD

We consider the response of the network to a transient stimulus given by

$$I^{\text{ext}}(x, t) = A(t) \exp \left[-\frac{(x - z_0)^2}{4a^2} \right]. \quad (25)$$

Here $A(t)$ is non-zero for some duration before $t = 0$, so that a bump is rapidly formed, but $A(t)$ vanishes after $t = 0$.

The network dynamics displays a very interesting behavior in the marginally unstable region of the static bump. In this regime, the static bump solution barely loses its stability. The bump is stable if the level of synaptic depression is low, but unstable at high levels. Since the STD time scale is much longer than the synaptic time scale, a bump can exist before the synaptic depression becomes effective. This maintains the bump in the plateau state with a slowly decaying amplitude, as shown in Fig. 6(a). After a time duration of the order of τ_d , the STD strength becomes sufficiently significant, as shown in Fig. 6(b), and the bump state eventually decays to the silent state.




Figure 6: The height of the bump decays over time for two initial conditions of types A and B in Fig. 7 at $(\bar{k}, \bar{\beta}) = (0.95, 0.0085)$ (point P in Fig. 3). Symbols: numerical solutions. Dashed Lines: 1st order perturbation using Eqs. (19) and (20). Solid lines: 2nd order perturbation. Other parameters: $\tau_d/\tau_s = 50$, $a = 0.5$ and $x \in [-\pi, \pi)$.

4.1 First Order: Trajectory Analysis

It is instructive to analyze the plateau behavior first by using the first-order perturbation. We select a point in the marginally unstable regime of the silent phase, that is, in the vicinity of the static phase. As shown in Fig. 7, the nullclines of \bar{u} and p_0 ($d\bar{u}/dt = 0$ and $dp_0/dt = 0$

respectively) do not have any intersections as they do in the static phase where the bump state exists. Yet, they are still close enough to create a region with very slow dynamics near the apex of the u -nullcline at $(\bar{u}, p_0) = [(8/\bar{k})^{1/2}, \sqrt{7/4}(1 - \sqrt{\bar{k}})]$. Then, in Fig. 7, we plot the trajectories of the dynamics starting from different initial conditions.




Figure 7: Trajectories of network dynamics starting from various initial conditions at $(\bar{k}, \bar{\beta}) = (0.95, 0.0085)$ (point P in Fig. 3). Solid line: \bar{u} -nullcline. Dashed line: p_0 -nullcline. Symbols are data points spaced at time intervals of $2\tau_s$. Parameter: $\tau_d/\tau_s = 50$.

The most interesting family of trajectories is represented by B and C in Fig. 7. Due to the much faster dynamics of \bar{u} , trajectories starting from a wide range of initial conditions converge rapidly, in a time of the order of τ_s , to a common trajectory in the vicinity of the \bar{u} -nullcline. Along this common trajectory, \bar{u} is effectively the steady state solution of Eq. (19) at the instantaneous value of $p_0(t)$, which evolves with the much longer time scale of τ_d . This gives rise to the plateau region of \bar{u} which can survive for a duration of the order of τ_d . The plateau ends after the trajectory has passed the slow region near the apex of the \bar{u} -nullcline. This dynamics is in clear contrast with trajectory D, in which the bump height decays to zero in a time of the order of τ_s .

Trajectory A represents another family of trajectories having rather similar behaviors, although the lifetimes of their plateaus are not so long. These trajectories start from more depleted initial conditions, and hence do not have the chance to get close to the \bar{u} -nullcline. Nevertheless, they converge rapidly, in a time of the order of τ_s , to the band $\bar{u} \approx (8/\bar{k})^{1/2}$, where the dynamics of \bar{u} is slow. The trajectories then rely mainly on the dynamics of p_0 to carry them out of this

slow region, and hence plateaus with lifetimes of the order of τ_d are created.

Following similar arguments, the plateau behavior also exists in the stable region of the static states. This happens when the initial condition of the network lies outside the basin of attraction of the static states, but still in the vicinity of the basin boundary.




Figure 8: Contours of plateau lifetimes in the space of \bar{k} and $\bar{\beta}$. The lines are the two topmost phase boundaries in Fig. 3. In the initial condition, $\bar{A} = 4.82843$.

When one goes deeper into the silent phase, the region of slow dynamics between the \bar{u} - and p_0 -nullclines broadens. Hence plateau lifetimes are longest near the phase boundary between the bump and silent states, and become shorter when one goes deeper into the silent phase. This is confirmed by the contours of plateau lifetimes in the phase diagram shown in Fig. 8 obtained numerically. The initial condition is uniformly set by introducing an external stimulus $I^{ext}(x|z_0) = A \exp[-x^2/(4a^2)]$ to the right hand side of Eq. (1), where A is the stimulus strength. After the network has reached a steady state, the stimulus is removed at $t = 0$, leaving the network to relax. It is observed in Fig. 8 that the plateau behavior can be found in an extensive region of the parameter space.

4.2 Second Order: Lifetime Analysis

As shown in Fig. 6, the first-order perturbation over-estimates the stability of the plateau state, yielding lifetimes longer than the simulation results. The main reason is that the width of the synaptic depression profile is constrained to be a constant in the first-order perturbation. However, the synaptic depression profile is broader than the bump. This can be seen from Eq.

(4), rewritten as

$$\tau_d \frac{\partial p(x, t)}{\partial t} = [1 + \tau_d \beta r(x, t)] \left[1 - p(x, t) - \frac{\tau_d \beta r(x, t)}{1 + \tau_d \beta r(x, t)} \right], \quad (26)$$

This shows that the neurotransmitter loss, $1 - p(x, t)$, relaxes towards an expression consisting of the Gaussian $r(x, t)$, normalized by the factor $1 + \tau_d \beta r(x, t)$. This normalization factor is smaller where the firing rate is low, so that the profile of $1 - p(x, t)$ is broader than the firing rate profile $r(x, t)$.

To incorporate the effects of a broadened STD profile, we introduce the second-order perturbation. Dynamical equations are obtained by truncating the equations beyond the second order. As shown in Fig. 6, the second-order perturbation yields a much more satisfactory agreement with simulation results than do lower order perturbations.

5 Decoding with Enhanced Accuracy in CANNs with STF

CANNs have been interpreted as an efficient framework for neural systems implementing population decoding (Deneve, Latham, and Pouget 1999; Wu, Amari, and Nakahara 2002). Consider the reading-out of an external feature z_0 from noisy inputs by CANNs. For example, z_0 may represent the moving direction of an object. In the decoding paradigm, a CANN responds to an external input $I^{\text{ext}}(x)$ with a bump state $r(x|\hat{z})$, where the peak position of the bump \hat{z} is interpreted as the decoding result of the network.

In the presence of STF, neuronal connections are facilitated around the area where neurons are most active. With this additional feature, the network decoding will be determined not only by the instantaneous input, but also by the recent history of external inputs. Consequently, temporal fluctuations in external inputs are largely averaged out, leading to improved decoding accuracies.

We consider an external input given by

$$I^{\text{ext}}(x, t) = A \exp \left[-\frac{(x - z_0 - \eta(t))^2}{4a^2} \right], \quad (27)$$

where z_0 represents the true stimulus value, and $\eta(t)$ white noise of zero mean and satisfies $\langle \eta(t)\eta(t') \rangle = 2Ta^2\tau_s\delta(t - t')$ with T denoting the noise strength.

In the presence of weak noise, the position of the bump state is found to be centered at $z_0 + s(t)$, where $s(t)$ is the deviation of the center of mass of the bump from the position of stimulus z_0 , as derived in Appendix E. Hence, the decoding error of the network is measured by

the variance of the bump position over time, namely, $\langle s(t)^2 \rangle$. Fig. 9 shows the typical decoding performance of the network with and without STF. We see that with STF, the fluctuation of the bump position is reduced significantly. Fig. 10 compares the theoretical and measured decoding errors in different noise strengths (see Appendix E).




Figure 9: The typical decoding performance of the network with and without STF. Parameters: $N = 80$, $a = 0.5$, $x \in [-\pi, \pi)$, $\bar{k} = 0.25$, $\bar{A} = 1.596$ and $T = 0.02$.

6 Tracking with Enhanced Mobility in CANNs with STD

A key property of CANNs is their capacity to track time-varying stimuli, which lays the foundation for CANNs to implement spatial navigation, population decoding, and to update head-direction memory. To investigate the tracking performance of CANNs, we consider

$$I^{\text{ext}} = A \exp \left[-\frac{(x - z_0(t))^2}{4a^2} \right], \quad (28)$$

where the stimulus position $z_0(t)$ is time dependent.

We first investigate the impact of STD, and consider a tracking task in which the $z_0(t)$ abruptly changes from 0 at $t = 0$ to a new value at $t = 0$. Fig. 11 shows the network responses during the tracking process. Compared with the case without STD, we find that the bump shifts to the new position faster. When $\bar{\beta}$ is too strong, the bump may overshoot the target before eventually approaching it. As remarked previously, this is due to the metastatic behavior of the bumps, which enhances their readiness to move from the static state when a small push is exerted.




Figure 10: The decoding errors of the network vs. different levels of noise. Parameters other than T are the same as those in Fig. 9. Symbols: simulations. Dashed line: predictions for $\bar{\alpha} = 0$. Solid line: predictions for $\bar{\alpha} = 0.1$.

We also study the tracking of an external stimulus moving with a constant velocity v , that is, $z_0(t)$ changes from 0 to vt at $t = 0$. As shown in Fig. 12(a), when STD is weak, the initial speed of the bump is almost zero. Then, when the stimulus is moving away, the bump accelerates in an attempt to catch up with the stimulus. After some time, the separation between the bump and the stimulus converges to a constant. This tracking behavior is similar to the case without STD. The tracking behavior in the case of strong STD is more interesting. As shown in Fig. 12(b), the bump position eventually overtakes the stimulus, displaying an anticipative behavior. This can be attributed to the metastatic property of STD.

We further explore how STF affects the tracking performance of CANNs. In general, there is a trade-off between the stability of network states and the capacity of the network to track time-varying stimuli. Since STD and STF have opposite effects on the mobility of the network states, we expect that they will also have opposite impacts on the tracking performance of CANNs. Indeed, STF degrades the tracking performance of CANNs (see Fig. 13). The larger the STF strength, the slower the tracking speed of the network.

7 Conclusions and Discussions

In the present study, we have investigated the impact of STD and STF on the dynamics of CANNs and their potential roles in neural information processing. We have analyzed the dy-




Figure 11: The response of CANNs with STD to a stimulus that changed abruptly from $z_0/a = 0$ to $z_0/a = 3.0$ at $t = 0$. Symbols: numerical solutions. Lines: Gaussian approximation using 11th order perturbation of the STD coefficient. Parameters: $\tau_d/\tau_s = 50$, $\bar{A} = 4.82843$, $N = 80$, $a = 0.5$ and $x \in [-\pi, \pi)$.

namics using successive orders of perturbation. The perturbation analysis works well when STD is not too strong, although it over-estimates the stability of the bumps when STD is strong. The zeroth order analysis accounts for the Gaussian shape of the bump, and hence can predict the boundary of the static phase satisfactory. The first-order analysis includes the displacement mode and asymmetry with respect to the bump peak, and hence can describe the onset of the moving phase. Furthermore, it provides insights on the metastatic nature of the bumps and its relation with the enhanced tracking performance. The second-order analysis further includes the width distortions, and hence improves the prediction of the boundary of the moving phase, as well as the lifetimes of the plateau states. Higher-order perturbations are required to yield more accurate descriptions of results such as the overshooting in the tracking process. We anticipate that the perturbation analysis will also be useful in many other population decoding problems, such as in quantifying the deformation of tuning curves due to neural adaptation (Cortes, Marinazzo, Series, Oram, Sejnowski, and van Rossum 2011).

More importantly, our work reveals a number of interesting behaviors which may have far-reaching implications in neural computation.

First, STD endows CANNs with slow-decaying behaviors. When a network is initially stimulated to an active state by an external input, it will decay to the silent state very slowly after




Figure 12: Tracking of a neuronal bump with a continuously moving stimulus. Symbols: the peak of the bump from simulation. Dashed line: 11th order perturbation prediction. Solid line: a continuously moving stimulus with speed $\tau_s v/a = 0.06$. Parameters: $\bar{A} = 1.5958$, $\bar{k} = 0.5$. (a) $\bar{\beta} = 0.01$. (b) $\bar{\beta} = 0.05$. Other parameters are the same as those in Fig. 11.

the input is removed. The duration of the plateau is of the time scale of STD rather than of neural signaling. This provides a way for the network to hold the stimulus information for up to hundreds of milliseconds, if the network operates in the parameter regime where the bumps are marginally unstable. This property is, on the other hand, extremely difficult to implement in attractor networks without STD. In a CANN without STD, an active state of the network will either decay to the silent state exponentially fast or be retained forever, depending on the initial activity level of the network. Indeed, how to shut off the activity of a CANN gracefully has been a challenging issue that has received wide attention in theoretical neuroscience, with researchers suggesting that a strong external input either in the form of inhibition or excitation must be applied (see, e.g., Gutkin, Laing, Colby, Chow, and Ermentrout (2001)). Here, we have shown that in certain circumstances, STD can provide a mechanism for closing down network activities naturally and after a desirable duration. Taking into account the time scale of STD (in the order of 100 ms) and the passive nature of its dynamics, the STD-based memory is most likely associated with the sensory memory of the brain, e.g., the iconic and the echoic memories (Baddeley 1999).

Second, with STD, CANNs can support both static and moving bumps. Static bumps exist only when the synaptic depression is sufficiently weak. A consequence of synaptic depression is that static bumps are placed in the metastatic state, so that its response to changing stimuli is speeded up, enhancing its tracking performance. The states of moving bumps may be associated with the travelling wave behaviors widely observed in the neurocortex. We have also observed that for strong STD, the network state can even overtake the moving stimulus, reminiscent of the anticipative responses of head-direction and place cells (Blair and Sharp 1995;




Figure 13: The response of CANNs with STD to a stimulus that changed abruptly from $z_0/a = 0$ to $z_0/a = 3.0$ at $t = 0$. Parameters: $\bar{A} = 4.82843$, $\tau_d/\tau_s = 50$ and $\tau_f/\tau_s = 50$.

O’Keefe and Recce 1993). It is interesting to note that this occurs in the parameter range where the network holds spontaneous moving bump solutions, suggesting that travelling wave phenomena may be closely related to the predicting capacity of neural systems.

Third, STF improves the decoding accuracy of CANNs. When an external stimulus is presented, STF strengthens the interactions among neurons which are tuned to the stimulus. This stimulus-specific facilitation provides a mechanism for the network to hold a memory trace of external inputs up to the time scale of STF, and this information can be used by the neural system for executing various memory-based operations, such as operating the working memory. We have tested this idea in a population decoding task, and found that the error is indeed decreased. This is due to the determination of the network response by both the instantaneous value and the history of external inputs, which effectively averages out temporal fluctuations.

These computational advantages of dynamical synapses lead to the following implications for the modeling of neural systems. First, it sheds some light on the long-standing debate in the field about the instability of CANNs in the presence of noise. Two aspects of instability have been identified (Wu and Amari 2005; Seung, Lee, Reis, and Tank 2000). One is the structural instability, which refers to the argument that network components in reality, such as the neuronal synapses, are unlikely to be as perfect as mathematically required in CANNs. A small amount of discrepancy in the network structure can destroy the state space considerably, destabilizing the bump state after the stimulus is removed. The other instability refers to

the computational sensitivity of the network to input noises. Because of neutral stability, the bump position is very susceptible to fluctuations in external inputs, rendering the network decoding unreliable. We have shown that STF can largely improve the computational robustness of CANNs by averaging out the temporal fluctuations in inputs. Similarly, STF can overcome the structural susceptibility of CANNs. With STF, the neuronal connections around the bump area are strengthened temporally, which effectively stabilizes the bump on the time scale of STF (Tsodyks and Hansel 2011). Another mechanism in a similar spirit is the reduction of the inhibition strength around the bump area (Carter and Wang 2007).

Second, STD and STF should be dominant in different areas of the brain. We have investigated the impact of STD and STF on the tracking performance of CANNs. There is, in general, a trade-off between the stability of bump states and the tracking performance of the network. STD increases the mobility of bump states and hence the tracking speed of the network, whereas STF has the opposite effect. These differences predict that in cortical areas where time-varying stimuli, such as the head direction and the moving direction of objects, are encoded, STD should have a stronger effect than STF. On the other hand, in cortical areas where the robustness of bump states, i.e., the decoding accuracy of stimuli, is preferred, STF should have a stronger effect.

Third, STD and STF consume different levels of energy and operate on different time scales. We have shown that both STD and STF can generate temporal memories, but their ways of achieving it are quite different. In STD, the memory is held in the prolonged neural activities, whereas in STF, it is in the facilitated neuronal connections. Mongillo, Barak, and Tsodyks (2008) proposed that with STF, neurons may not even have to be active after the stimulus is removed. The facilitated neuronal connections, mediated by the elevated calcium residue, is sufficient to carry out the memory retrieval. In our model, this is equivalent to setting the network in the parameter regime without static bump solutions, or in the regime with static bump solutions but with the external stimulus presented for such a short time that neuronal interactions cannot be fully facilitated. Thus, taking into account the energy consumption associated with neural firing, the STF-based mechanism for short-term memory has the advantage of being economically efficient. However, the STD-based one also has the desirable property of enabling the stimulus information to be propagated to other cortical areas, since neural firing is necessary for signal transmission, and this is critical in the early information pathways. Furthermore, the time durations required for eliciting STF- and STD-based memory are significantly

different. The former needs a stimulus to be presented for an amount of time up to τ_f to facilitate neuronal interactions sufficiently; whereas the latter simply requires a transient appearance of a stimulus. This difference implies that the two memory mechanisms may have potentially different applications in neural systems.

In summary, we have revealed that STP can play very valuable roles in neural information processing, including achieving temporal memory, improving decoding accuracy, enhancing tracking performance and stabilizing CANNs. We have also shown that STD and STF tend to have different impacts on the network dynamics. These results, together with the fact that STP displays large diversity in the neural cortex, suggest that the brain may employ a strategy of weighting STD and STF differentially depending on the computational task. In the present study, for the simplicity of analysis, we have only explored the effects of STD and STP separately. In practice, a proper combination of STD and STP can make the network exhibit new and interesting behaviors and implement new and computationally desirable properties. For instance, a CANN with both STD and STF, and with the time scale of the former shorter than that of the latter, can hold bump states for a period of time before shifting the memory to facilitated neural connections. This enables the network to achieve both goals of conveying the stimulus information to other cortical areas and holding the memory cheaply. Alternatively, the network may have the time scale of STD longer than that of STF, so that the network can produce improved encoding results for external stimuli and also close down bump activities easily. We will explore these interesting issues in the future.

Acknowledgment

We acknowledge the valuable comments of Terry Sejnowski on this work. This study is supported by the Research Grants Council of Hong Kong (grant numbers 604008 and 605010) and the 973 program of China (grant number 2011CBA00406).

Appendix A: Consistency with the Model of Tsodyks et al

Our modeling of the dynamics of STP is consistent with the phenomenological model proposed by Tsodyks, Pawelzik, and Markram (1998). They modeled STD by considering p as the fraction of utilizable neurotransmitters, and STF by introducing U_0 as the release probability of the neurotransmitters. The release probability U_0 relaxes to a nonzero constant, u_{rest} , but is enhanced at the arrival of a spike by an amount equal to $u_0(1 - U_0)$. Hence the dynamics of p and U_0 are given by

$$\tau_s \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = I_{\text{ext}} - u + \rho \int dx' J(x - x') p(x') U_1(x') r(x'), \quad (\text{A-1})$$

$$\tau_d \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = 1 - p - U_1 \tau_d p r, \quad (\text{A-2})$$

$$\tau_f \frac{\partial U_0}{\partial t} = u_{\text{rest}} - U_0 + u_0(1 - U_0) \tau_f r, \quad (\text{A-3})$$

where $U_1 \equiv u_0 + U_0(1 - u_0)$ is the release probability of the neurotransmitters after the arrival of a spike. The x and t dependence of u , p , r and U_0 are omitted in the above equations for convenience. Eliminating U_0 , we obtain from Eq. (A-3)

$$\frac{\partial U_1}{\partial t} = \frac{u_0 + (1 - u_0) u_{\text{rest}} - U_1}{\tau_f} + u_0 (1 - U_1) r. \quad (\text{A-4})$$

Substituting α , β and f via $\alpha = u_0$, $\beta = u_0 + (1 - u_0)u_{\text{rest}}$, $U_1 = [u_0 + (1 - u_0)u_{\text{rest}}](1 + f)$, $f_{\text{max}} = (1 - \beta)/\beta$, we obtain Eqs. (4) and (5). Rescaling βJ to J , we obtain Eq. (1). α and β are the STF and STD parameters respectively, subject to $\beta \geq \alpha$.

Appendix B: The Perturbation Approach for Solving the Dynamics of CANNs with STD

We use the perturbation approach to solve the network dynamics. Substituting Eqs. (10) and (13) into Eq. (1), the right-hand side of Eq. (1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\rho}{B} \left[\sum_{nm} a_n a_m \int dx' J(x, x') v_n(x', t) v_m(x', t) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \sum_{nml} a_n a_m b_l \int dx' J(x, x') v_n(x', t) v_m(x', t) w_l(x', t) \right] \\ & \quad - \sum_n a_n(t) v_n(x, t) + I^{\text{ext}}(x, t). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B-1})$$

This expression can be resolved into a linear combination of the distortion modes $v_k(x, t)$. The coefficients of these modes are obtained by multiplying the expression with $v_k(x, t)$ and integrating x . Using the orthonormal property of the distortion modes, we have

$$\sum_k v_k(x, t) \left[\frac{\rho C_r J_0}{B} \left(\sum_{nm} \mathfrak{E}_{nm}^k a_n a_m - \sum_{nml} \mathfrak{D}_{nml}^k a_n a_m b_l \right) - a_k + I_k \right], \quad (\text{B-2})$$

where

$$\mathfrak{E}_{nm}^k \equiv \int dx'' \int dx' J(x'', x') v_k(x'', t) v_n(x', t) v_m(x', t), \quad (\text{B-3})$$

$$\mathfrak{D}_{nml}^k \equiv \int dx'' \int dx' J(x'', x') v_k(x'', t) v_n(x', t) v_m(x', t) w_l(x', t), \quad (\text{B-4})$$

and $I_k(t)$ is the k^{th} component of $I^{\text{ext}}(x, t)$.

Similarly, the right-hand side of Eq. (4) becomes

$$- \sum_k w_k(x, t) \left[-b_k + \frac{\tau_d \beta}{B} \left(\sum_{nm} \mathfrak{E}_{nm}^k a_n a_m - \sum_{nml} \mathfrak{F}_{nml}^k a_n a_m b_l \right) \right], \quad (\text{B-5})$$

where

$$\mathfrak{E}_{nm}^k \equiv \int dx' w_k(x', t) v_n(x', t) v_m(x', t), \quad (\text{B-6})$$

$$\mathfrak{F}_{nml}^k \equiv \int dx' w_k(x', t) v_n(x', t) v_m(x', t) w_l(x', t). \quad (\text{B-7})$$

We choose $v_n(x, t) = v_n(x - z(t))$ and $w_n(x, t) = w_n(x - z(t))$. Using the following relationship of Hermite polynomials

$$H_{n+1}(x) = 2xH_n(x) - 2nH_{n-1}(x), \quad (\text{B-8})$$

$$H'_n(x) = 2nH_{n-1}(x), \quad (\text{B-9})$$

we have $\dot{v}_n = (\dot{z}/(2a))(\sqrt{n}v_{n-1} - \sqrt{n+1}v_{n+1})$ and $\dot{w}_n = (\dot{z}/(\sqrt{2}a))(\sqrt{n}w_{n-1} - \sqrt{n+1}w_{n+1})$.

Making use of the orthonormality of v_n 's and w_n 's, we have

$$\tau_s \left[\dot{a}_k - \frac{\dot{z}}{2a} (\sqrt{k+1}a_{k+1} - \sqrt{k}a_{k-1}) \right] = -a_k + \frac{\rho C_r J_0}{B} \left(\sum_{nm} \mathfrak{E}_{nm}^k a_n a_m - \sum_{nml} \mathfrak{D}_{nml}^k a_n a_m b_l \right), \quad (\text{B-10})$$

$$\tau_d \left[\dot{b}_k - \frac{\dot{z}}{\sqrt{2}a} (\sqrt{k+1}b_{k+1} - \sqrt{k}b_{k-1}) \right] = -b_k + \frac{\tau_d \beta}{B} \left(\sum_{nm} \mathfrak{E}_{nm}^k a_n a_m - \sum_{nml} \mathfrak{F}_{nml}^k a_n a_m b_l \right). \quad (\text{B-11})$$

The values of \mathfrak{E}_{nm}^k , \mathfrak{D}_{nml}^k , \mathfrak{E}_{nm}^k and \mathfrak{F}_{nml}^k can be obtained from recurrence relations derived using integration by parts and the relationships (B-8) and (B-9), which are given by

$$\mathfrak{E}_{nm}^k = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{k}} \mathfrak{E}_{n_1 m}^{k_1} + \sqrt{\frac{m}{k}} \mathfrak{E}_{nm}^{k_1} \right), \quad (\text{B-12})$$

$$\mathfrak{E}_{nm}^k = -\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{\frac{n_1}{n}}\mathfrak{E}_{n_2m}^k + \frac{3}{4}\sqrt{\frac{m}{n}}\mathfrak{E}_{n_1m_1}^k + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{k}{n}}\mathfrak{E}_{n_1m}^{k_1}, \quad (\text{B-13})$$

$$\mathfrak{E}_{nm}^k = -\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{\frac{m_1}{m}}\mathfrak{E}_{nm_2}^k + \frac{3}{4}\sqrt{\frac{n}{m}}\mathfrak{E}_{n_1m_1}^k + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{k}{m}}\mathfrak{E}_{nm_1}^{k_1}, \quad (\text{B-14})$$

where $n_1 \equiv n - 1$ and $m_2 \equiv m - 2$ etc. in the indices. Similarly,

$$\mathfrak{D}_{nml}^k = \frac{2}{7} \left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{k}}\mathfrak{D}_{n_1ml}^{k_1} + \sqrt{\frac{m}{k}}\mathfrak{D}_{nm_1l}^{k_1} + \sqrt{2}\sqrt{\frac{l}{k}}\mathfrak{D}_{nml_1}^{k_1} \right) - \frac{1}{7}\sqrt{\frac{k_1}{k}}\mathfrak{D}_{nml}^{k_2}, \quad (\text{B-15})$$

$$\mathfrak{D}_{nml}^k = \frac{6}{7} \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}\sqrt{\frac{n}{l}}\mathfrak{D}_{n_1ml_1}^k + \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}\sqrt{\frac{m}{l}}\mathfrak{D}_{nm_1l_1}^k + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\sqrt{\frac{k}{l}}\mathfrak{D}_{nml_1}^{k_1} \right) - \frac{1}{7}\sqrt{\frac{l_1}{l}}\mathfrak{D}_{nml_2}^k, \quad (\text{B-16})$$

$$\mathfrak{D}_{nml}^k = -\frac{4}{7}\sqrt{\frac{n_1}{n}}\mathfrak{D}_{n_2ml}^k + \frac{3}{7}\sqrt{\frac{m}{n}}\mathfrak{D}_{n_1m_1l}^k + \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{7}\sqrt{\frac{l}{n}}\mathfrak{D}_{n_1ml_1}^k + \frac{2}{7}\sqrt{\frac{k}{n}}\mathfrak{D}_{n_1ml}^{k_1}, \quad (\text{B-17})$$

$$\mathfrak{D}_{nml}^k = -\frac{4}{7}\sqrt{\frac{m_1}{m}}\mathfrak{D}_{nm_2l}^k + \frac{3}{7}\sqrt{\frac{n}{m}}\mathfrak{D}_{n_1m_1l}^k + \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{7}\sqrt{\frac{l}{m}}\mathfrak{D}_{nm_1l_1}^k + \frac{2}{7}\sqrt{\frac{k}{m}}\mathfrak{D}_{nm_1l}^{k_1}, \quad (\text{B-18})$$

$$\mathfrak{E}_{nm}^k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{k}}\mathfrak{E}_{n_1m}^{k_1} + \sqrt{\frac{m}{k}}\mathfrak{E}_{nm_1}^{k_1} \right), \quad (\text{B-19})$$

$$\mathfrak{E}_{nm}^k = -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{n_1}{n}}\mathfrak{E}_{n_2m}^k + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{m}{n}}\mathfrak{E}_{n_1m_1}^k + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{\frac{k}{n}}\mathfrak{E}_{n_1m}^{k_1}, \quad (\text{B-20})$$

$$\mathfrak{E}_{nm}^k = -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{m_1}{m}}\mathfrak{E}_{nm_2}^k + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{n}{m}}\mathfrak{E}_{n_1m_1}^k + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{\frac{k}{m}}\mathfrak{E}_{nm_1}^{k_1}, \quad (\text{B-21})$$

$$\mathfrak{F}_{nml}^k = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{k}}\mathfrak{F}_{n_1ml}^{k_1} + \sqrt{\frac{m}{k}}\mathfrak{F}_{nm_1l}^{k_1} + \sqrt{2}\sqrt{\frac{l}{k}}\mathfrak{F}_{nml_1}^{k_1} \right) - \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{\frac{k_1}{k}}\mathfrak{F}_{nml}^{k_2}, \quad (\text{B-22})$$

$$\mathfrak{F}_{nml}^k = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{l}}\mathfrak{F}_{n_1ml_1}^k + \sqrt{\frac{m}{l}}\mathfrak{F}_{nm_1l_1}^k + \sqrt{2}\sqrt{\frac{k}{l}}\mathfrak{F}_{nml_1}^{k_1} \right) - \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{\frac{l_1}{l}}\mathfrak{F}_{nml_2}^k, \quad (\text{B-23})$$

$$\mathfrak{F}_{nml}^k = -\frac{2}{3}\sqrt{\frac{n_1}{n}}\mathfrak{F}_{n_2ml}^k + \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{\frac{m}{n}}\mathfrak{F}_{n_1m_1l}^k + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \left(\sqrt{\frac{l}{n}}\mathfrak{F}_{n_1ml_1}^k + \sqrt{\frac{k}{n}}\mathfrak{F}_{n_1ml}^{k_1} \right), \quad (\text{B-24})$$

$$\mathfrak{F}_{nml}^k = -\frac{2}{3}\sqrt{\frac{m_1}{m}}\mathfrak{F}_{nm_2l}^k + \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{\frac{n}{m}}\mathfrak{F}_{n_1m_1l}^k + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \left(\sqrt{\frac{l}{m}}\mathfrak{F}_{nm_1l_1}^k + \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}}\mathfrak{F}_{nm_1l}^{k_1} \right). \quad (\text{B-25})$$

Since \mathfrak{E}_{00}^0 , \mathfrak{D}_{000}^0 , \mathfrak{E}_{00}^0 and \mathfrak{F}_{000}^0 can be calculated explicitly, all other \mathfrak{E}_{nm}^k , \mathfrak{D}_{nml}^k , \mathfrak{E}_{nm}^k and \mathfrak{F}_{nml}^k can be deduced.

Below, we analyze the dynamics of the bump in successive orders of perturbation, where the perturbation order is defined by the highest integer value of index k involved in the approximation. We start with the zeroth order perturbation to describe the behavior of the static bumps, since their profile is effectively Gaussian. We then move on to the first-order perturbation, which includes asymmetric distortions. Since spontaneous movements of the bumps are induced by asymmetric profiles of the synaptic depression, we demonstrate that the first-order perturbation is able to provide the solution of the moving bump. Proceeding to the second-order perturba-

tion, we allow for the flexibility of varying the width of the bump and demonstrate that this is important in explaining the lifetime of the plateau state. Tracking behaviors are predicted by the 11th order perturbation.

Appendix C: Static Bump: Lowest-Order Perturbation

Without loss of generality, we let $z = 0$. Substituting Eqs. (17) and (18) into Eq. (1), we get

$$\tau_s e^{-\frac{x^2}{4a^2}} \frac{du_0}{dt} = \frac{\rho C_r J_0 u_0^2}{\sqrt{2}(1 + \sqrt{2\pi}ak\rho u_0^2)} \left[e^{-\frac{x^2}{4a^2}} - p_0 \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{3a^2}} \right] - u_0 e^{-\frac{x^2}{4a^2}} + A e^{-\frac{x^2}{4a^2}}. \quad (\text{C-1})$$

Using the projection onto v_0 , we find that $\exp(-x^2/3a^2) \approx \sqrt{6/7} \exp(-x^2/4a^2)$. This reduces the equation to

$$\tau_s \frac{du_0}{dt} = -u_0 + \frac{\rho C_r J_0 u_0^2}{\sqrt{2}(1 + \sqrt{2\pi}ak\rho u_0^2)} \left[1 - \sqrt{\frac{4}{7}} p_0 \right] + A. \quad (\text{C-2})$$

Introducing the rescaled variables \bar{u} and \bar{k} , we get Eq. (19).

Similarly, substituting Eqs. (17) and (18) into Eq. (4) gives

$$\tau_d e^{-\frac{x^2}{2a^2}} \frac{dp_0}{dt} = -p_0 e^{-\frac{x^2}{2a^2}} + \frac{\tau_d \beta u_0^2}{1 + \sqrt{2\pi}ak\rho u_0^2} \left(e^{-\frac{x^2}{2a^2}} - p_0 e^{-\frac{x^2}{a^2}} \right). \quad (\text{C-3})$$

Making use of the projection $\exp(-x^2/a^2) \approx \sqrt{2/3} \exp(-x^2/2a^2)$, the equation simplifies to

$$\tau_d \frac{dp_0}{dt} = -p_0 + \frac{\tau_d \beta u_0^2}{1 + \sqrt{2\pi}ak\rho u_0^2} \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} p_0 \right). \quad (\text{C-4})$$

Rescaling the variables u , k , β and A , we get Eq. (20).

The steady state solution is obtained by setting the time derivatives in Eqs. (19) and (20) to zero, yielding

$$\bar{u} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\bar{u}^2}{B} \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{4}{7}} p_0 \right), \quad (\text{C-5})$$

$$p_0 = \frac{\bar{\beta} \bar{u}^2}{B} \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} p_0 \right), \quad (\text{C-6})$$

where $B \equiv 1 + \bar{k} \bar{u}^2/8$ is the divisive inhibition.

Dividing Eq. (C-5) by (C-6), we eliminate B and get

$$\bar{u} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} \bar{\beta}} \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{4/7} p_0}{1 - \sqrt{2/3} p_0} \right) p_0. \quad (\text{C-7})$$

We can eliminate \bar{u} from Eq. (C-6). This gives rise to an equation for p_0

$$\frac{1}{2\bar{\beta}} \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{4}{7}}p_0\right)^2 \left[1 - \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} + \frac{\bar{k}}{8\bar{\beta}}\right)p_0\right] p_0 - \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}p_0\right)^2 = 0. \quad (\text{C-8})$$

Rearranging the terms, we have

$$\bar{k} = \frac{8}{p_0} \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}p_0\right) \bar{\beta} - \frac{16}{p_0^2} \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{2/3}p_0}{1 - \sqrt{4/7}p_0}\right)^2 \bar{\beta}^2. \quad (\text{C-9})$$

Therefore, for each fixed p_0 , we can plot a parabolic curve in the space of $\bar{\beta}$ versus \bar{k} . The dashed lines in Fig. 14 are parabolas for different values of p_0 . The family of all parabolas map out the region of existence of static bumps.

Stability of the Static Bump

To analyze the stability of the static bump, we consider the time evolution of $\epsilon = \bar{u}(t) - \bar{u}^*$ and $\delta = p_0(t) - p_0^*$, where (\bar{u}^*, p_0^*) is the fixed point solution of Eqs. (C-5) and (C-6). Then, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{\epsilon\epsilon} & A_{\epsilon\delta} \\ A_{\delta\epsilon} & A_{\delta\delta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{C-10})$$

where $A_{\epsilon\epsilon} = -1/\tau_s + \sqrt{2}\bar{u}(1 - \sqrt{4/7}p_0)/\tau_s B^2$, $A_{\epsilon\delta} = -\bar{u}^2 \sqrt{2/3}/\tau_s B$, $A_{\delta\epsilon} = 2\bar{\beta}\bar{u}(1 - \sqrt{2/3}p_0)/\tau_d B^2$, $A_{\delta\delta} = -1/\tau_d - \bar{\beta}\bar{u}^2 \sqrt{2/3}/\tau_d B$. The stability condition is determined by the eigenvalues of the stability matrix, $(T \pm \sqrt{T^2 - 4D})/2$, where D and T are the determinant and the trace of the matrix respectively. Using Eqs. (C-5) and (C-6), the determinant and the trace can be simplified to

$$D = \frac{1}{\tau_s \tau_d B} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{4/7}p_0}{1 - \sqrt{4/7}p_0} - \frac{2 - B}{1 - \sqrt{2/3}p_0} \right), \quad (\text{C-11})$$

$$T = \frac{1}{\tau_s} \left[\frac{2}{B} - \frac{\tau_s}{\tau_d (1 - \sqrt{2/3}p_0)} - 1 \right]. \quad (\text{C-12})$$

The static bump is stable if the real parts of the eigenvalues are negative. The eigenvalues are real when $T^2 \geq 4D$. This corresponds to non-oscillating solutions. After some algebra, we obtain the boundary $T^2 = 4D$ given by

$$\left[\bar{\beta} - \frac{p_0 (1 - \sqrt{4/7}p_0)^2}{4 (1 - \sqrt{2/3}p_0)} + \frac{\tau_s p_0 (1 - \sqrt{4/7}p_0)^2}{\tau_d 4 (1 - \sqrt{2/3}p_0)^2} \right]^2 = \frac{\tau_s}{\tau_d} \sqrt{\frac{4}{7}} \frac{p_0^2 (1 - \sqrt{4/7}p_0)}{\bar{\beta} (1 - \sqrt{2/3}p_0)}. \quad (\text{C-13})$$




Figure 14: The region of existence of static bump solutions. Solid line: the boundary of existence of static bump solutions. Dashed lines: the parabolic curves for different constant values of p_0 .

This boundary is shown in Fig. 15. Below this boundary, the stability condition can be obtained as

$$\bar{\beta} \leq \frac{p_0 \left(1 - \sqrt{4/7} p_0\right)^3}{4 \left(1 - \sqrt{2/3} p_0\right) \left(1 - 2\sqrt{4/7} p_0 + \sqrt{8/21} p_0^2\right)}. \quad (\text{C-14})$$

This upper bound is identical to the existence condition of (C-9), which is above the boundary of non-oscillating solutions. This implies that all non-oscillating solutions are stable.

Above the boundary (C-13), the convergence to the steady state becomes oscillating, and the stability condition reduces to $T \leq 0$, yielding Eq. (21). This condition narrows the region of static bump considerably, as shown in Fig. 15.

Appendix D: Moving Bump: Lowest-Order Perturbation

We substitute Eqs. (22) and (23) into Eqs. (1) and (4). Eq. (1) becomes an equation containing $\exp\left[-(x-vt)^2/4a^2\right]$ and $\exp\left[-(x-vt)^2/4a^2\right](x-vt)/a$, after making use of the projections $\exp[-(x-vt)^2/3a^2] \approx \sqrt{6/7} \exp[-(x-vt)^2/4a^2]$, and $\exp[-(x-vt)^2/3a^2](x-vt)/a \approx (\sqrt{6/7})^3 \exp[-(x-vt)^2/4a^2](x-vt)/a$.

Equating the coefficients of $\exp\left[-(x-vt)^2/4a^2\right]$ and $\exp\left[-(x-vt)^2/4a^2\right](x-vt)/a$, and rescaling the variables, we arrive at

$$\bar{u} = \frac{\bar{u}^2}{\sqrt{2}B} \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{4}{7}} p_0\right), \quad \frac{v\tau_0}{2a} = \frac{\bar{u}}{B} \left(\frac{2}{7}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} p_1. \quad (\text{D-1})$$




Figure 15: The region of stable solutions of the static bump for $\tau_d/\tau_s = 50$. Solid line: the boundary of stable static bumps. Dashed line: the boundary separating the oscillating and non-oscillating convergence. Dotted lines: the curves for different constant values of p_0 .

Similarly, making use of the projections $\exp[-(x-vt)^2/a^2] \approx \sqrt{2/3} \exp[-(x-vt)^2/2a^2]$, $\exp[-(x-vt)^2/a^2](x-vt)/a \approx (\sqrt{2/3})^3 \exp[-(x-vt)^2/2a^2](x-vt)/a$, we find that Eq. (4) gives rise to

$$-\frac{v\tau_d}{2a}p_1 = p_0 - \frac{\bar{\beta}\bar{u}^2}{B} \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}p_0\right), \quad (\text{D-2})$$

$$\frac{v\tau_d}{a}p_0 = \left[1 + \frac{\bar{\beta}\bar{u}^2}{B} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\right] p_1. \quad (\text{D-3})$$

The solution can be parametrized by $\xi \equiv \bar{\beta}\bar{u}^2/B$,

$$p_0 = \frac{\frac{\tau_s}{\tau_d} \left[1 + \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \xi\right]}{G(\xi)}, \quad \frac{\bar{u}}{B} = \sqrt{2} \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} G(\xi),$$

$$\frac{v\tau_s}{a} = \sqrt{2\frac{\tau_s}{\tau_d}F(\xi)}, \quad p_1 = \frac{\sqrt{4\frac{\tau_s}{\tau_d}F(\xi)}}{G(\xi)}, \quad (\text{D-4})$$

where $F(\xi) = (4/7)^{3/2}\xi - (\tau_s/\tau_d)[1 + (2/3)^{3/2}\xi][1 - (\sqrt{2/3} - \sqrt{4/7})\xi]$, and $G(\xi) = (4/7)^{3/2} + (4/7)^{1/2}(\tau_s/\tau_d)[1 + (2/3)^{3/2}\xi]$. A real solution exists only if Eq. (24) is satisfied. The solution enables us to plot the contours of constant ξ in the space of \bar{k} and $\bar{\beta}$. Using the definition of ξ , we can write

$$\bar{k} = \frac{8}{\xi}\bar{\beta} - \frac{8}{\xi^2} \left(\frac{\bar{u}}{B}\right)^2 \bar{\beta}^2, \quad (\text{D-5})$$

where the quadratic coefficient can be obtained from Eq. (D-4). Fig. 16 shows the family of curves with constant ξ , each with a constant bump velocity. The lowest curve saturates the

inequality in Eq. (13), and yields the boundary between the static and metastatic or moving regions in Fig. 3. Considering the stability condition in the next subsection, only the stable branches of the parabolas are shown.

Stability of the Moving Bump

To study the stability of the moving bump, we consider fluctuations around the moving bump solution. Suppose

$$u(x, t) = (u_0^* + u_1) e^{-\frac{(x-vt-s)^2}{4a^2}}, \quad (\text{D-6})$$

$$p(x, t) = 1 - (p_0^* + \epsilon_0) e^{-\frac{(x-vt-s)^2}{2a^2}} + (p_1^* + \epsilon_1) \left(\frac{x-vt-s}{a} \right) e^{-\frac{(x-vt-s)^2}{2a^2}}. \quad (\text{D-7})$$

These expressions are substituted into the dynamical equations. The result is

$$\tau_s \frac{d\bar{u}_1}{dt} = \frac{\sqrt{2}\bar{u}}{B^2} \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{4}{7}} p_0 \right) \bar{u}_1 - \frac{\bar{u}^2}{B} \sqrt{\frac{2}{7}} \epsilon_0 - \bar{u}_1, \quad (\text{D-8})$$

$$\frac{\tau_s}{a} \frac{ds}{dt} = -\frac{v\tau_s}{a\bar{u}} \bar{u}_1 + \frac{4p_1}{B^2} \left(\frac{2}{7} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \bar{u}_1 + \frac{2\bar{u}}{B} \left(\frac{2}{7} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \epsilon_1, \quad (\text{D-9})$$

$$\tau_d \frac{d\epsilon_0}{dt} = \frac{2\bar{\beta}\bar{u}}{B^2} \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} p_0 \right) \bar{u}_1 - \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \xi \right) \epsilon_0 - \frac{v\tau_d}{2a} \epsilon_1 - \frac{\tau_d p_1}{2a} \frac{ds}{dt}, \quad (\text{D-10})$$

$$\tau_d \frac{d\epsilon_1}{dt} = -\frac{2\bar{\beta}\bar{u}p_1}{B^2} \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \bar{u}_1 + \frac{v\tau_d}{a} \epsilon_0 - \left[1 + \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \xi \right] \epsilon_1 + \frac{\tau_d p_0}{a} \frac{ds}{dt}. \quad (\text{D-11})$$

We first revisit the stability of the static bump. By setting v and p_1 to 0, considering the asymmetric fluctuations s and ϵ_1 in Eqs. (D-9) and (D-11), and eliminating ds/dt , we have

$$\tau_s \frac{d\epsilon_1}{dt} = \left\{ \frac{2\bar{u}}{B} \left(\frac{2}{7} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} p_0 - \frac{\tau_s}{\tau_d} \left[1 + \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \xi \right] \right\} \epsilon_1. \quad (\text{D-12})$$

Hence the static bump remains stable when the coefficient of ϵ_1 on the right-hand side is non-positive. Using Eq. (D-4) to eliminate p_0 and \bar{u}/B , we recover the condition in Eq. (24). This shows that the bump becomes a moving one as soon as it becomes unstable against asymmetric fluctuations, as described in the main text.

Now we consider the stability of the moving bump. Eliminating ds/dt and summarizing the equations into matrix form,

$$\tau_s \frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{u}_1 \\ \epsilon_0 \\ \epsilon_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{B} - 1 & -\frac{\bar{u}^2}{B} \sqrt{\frac{2}{7}} & 0 \\ P_{0u} & P_{00} & -\frac{v\tau_s}{a} \\ P_{1u} & \frac{v\tau_s}{a} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{u}_1 \\ \epsilon_0 \\ \epsilon_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{D-13})$$




Figure 16: The stable branches of the family of the curves with constant values of ξ at $\tau_d/\tau_s = 50$. The dashed line is the phase boundary of the static bump.

where $P_{0u} = 2p_0\tau_s/B\bar{u}\tau_d + v\tau_s p_1/2a\bar{u}$, $P_{00} = v\tau_s p_1/2ap_0 - \bar{\beta}\bar{u}^2\tau_s/Bp_0\tau_d$, and $P_{1u} = 2p_1\tau_s/B\bar{u}\tau_d - v\tau_s p_0/a\bar{u}$. For the moving bump to be stable, the real parts of the eigenvalues of the stability matrix should be non-positive. The stable branches of the family of curves are shown in Fig. 16. The results show that the boundary of stability of the moving bumps is almost indistinguishable from the envelope of the family of curves. Higher-order perturbations produce phase boundaries that agree more with simulation results, as shown in Fig. 3.

We compare the dynamical stability of the ansatz in Eqs. (22) and (23) with simulation results. As shown in Fig. 17, the region of stability is over-estimated by the ansatz. The major cause of this is that the width of the synaptic depression profile is restricted to be a . While this provides a self-consistent solution when STD is weak, this is no longer valid when STD is strong. Due to the slow recovery of synaptic depression, its profile leaves a long, partially recovered tail behind the moving bump, thus reducing the stability of the bump. This requires us to consider the second-order perturbation, which takes into account variation of the width of the STD profile. As shown in Fig. 17, the second-order perturbation yields a phase boundary much closer to the simulation results when STD is weak. However, as shown in the inset of Fig. 17, the discrepancy increases when STD is stronger, and higher-order corrections are required.




Figure 17: The boundary of the moving phase. Symbols: simulation results. Dashed line: 1st order perturbation. Solid line: 2nd order perturbation. Inset: The boundary of the moving phase in a broader range of β . Parameters: $N/L = 80/(2\pi)$, $a/L = 0.5/(2\pi)$.

Appendix E: Decoding in CANNs with STF

We start by considering bumps and STF profiles of the form

$$\bar{u}(x, t) = \bar{u}_0 \exp \left[-\frac{(x - z_0 - s(t))^2}{4a^2} \right], \quad (\text{E-1})$$

$$f(x, t) = f_0 \exp \left[-\frac{(x - z_0 - s(t))^2}{2a^2} \right] + f_1 \left(\frac{x - z_0 - s(t)}{a} \right) \exp \left[-\frac{(x - z_0 - s(t))^2}{4a^2} \right] \quad (\text{E-2})$$

Note that since the noise occurs in the position of the bump, we can neglect changes in the height. Substituting Eqs. (E-1) and (E-1) into Eq. (1), and removing terms orthogonal to the position distortion mode, we have

$$\tau_s \frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{s}{a} \\ \frac{f_1}{f_0} \end{pmatrix} \equiv M \begin{pmatrix} \frac{s}{a} \\ \frac{f_1}{f_0} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\bar{A}}{\bar{u}_0 a} \eta(t) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{E-3})$$

where

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\bar{A}}{\bar{u}_0} & \frac{2\bar{u}_0 f_0}{B} \left(\frac{2}{7} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ \frac{\bar{A}}{\bar{u}_0} & -\left\{ \frac{2\bar{u}_0 f_0}{B} \left(\frac{2}{7} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{\tau_s}{\tau_f} \left[1 + \frac{\bar{\alpha} \bar{u}_0^2}{B} \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \right] \right\} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{E-4})$$

This differential equation can be solved by first diagonalizing M . Let $-\lambda_{\pm}$ be the eigenvalues of M , and $(U_{s\pm} \ U_{f\pm})^T$ be the corresponding eigenvectors. Then the solution becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{s}{a} \\ \frac{f_1}{f_0} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\bar{A}}{\bar{u}_0 a} \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{dt_1}{\tau_s} \eta(t_1) U \begin{pmatrix} E_+ & 0 \\ 0 & E_- \end{pmatrix} U^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{E-5})$$

where $E_{\pm} = \exp[-\lambda_{\pm}(t - t_1)]$. Squaring the expression of s/a , averaging over noise, and integrating, we get

$$\left\langle \left(\frac{s}{a} \right)^2 \right\rangle = 2T \left(\frac{\bar{A}}{\bar{u}_0} \right)^2 \sum_{a,b=\pm} \left[U_{sa} \left(U_{as}^{-1} - U_{af}^{-1} \right) \right] \frac{1}{(\lambda_a + \lambda_b)\tau_s} \left[U_{sb} \left(U_{bs}^{-1} - U_{bf}^{-1} \right) \right]. \quad (\text{E-6})$$

References

- Abbott, L. F., J. A. Varela, K. Sen, and S. B. Nelson (1997). Synaptic depression and cortical gain control. *Science* 275, 220–224.
- Amari, S. (1977). Dynamics of pattern formation in lateral-inhibition type neural fields. *Biol. Cybern.* 27, 77–87.
- Baddeley, D. (1999). *Essentials of Human Memory*. Psychology Press.
- Ben-Yishai, R., R. Lev Bar-Or, and H. Sompolinsky (1995). Theory of orientation tuning in visual cortex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 92, 3844–3848.
- Blair, H. T. and P. E. Sharp (1995). Anticipatory head direction signals in anterior thalamus: evidence for a thalamocortical circuit that integrates angular head motion to compute head direction. *J. Neurosci* 15, 6260–6270.
- Bressloff, P. C., S. E. Folias, A. Prat, and Y.-X. Li (2003). Oscillatory waves in inhomogeneous neural media. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 91, 178101.
- Carter, E. and X. J. Wang (2007). Cannabinoid-mediated disinhibition and working memory: dynamical interplay of multiple feedback mechanisms in a continuous attractor model of prefrontal cortex. *Cerebral Cortex* 17, i16–i27.
- Cortes, J. M., D. Marinazzo, P. Series, M. W. Oram, T. Sejnowski, and M. van Rossum (2011). Neural adaptation while preserving coding accuracy. *J. Comput. Neurosci.*, accepted.
- Dayan, P. and L. F. Abbott (2001). *Theoretical Neuroscience*. MIT Press.
- Deneve, S., P. Latham, and A. Pouget (1999). Reading population codes: a neural implementation of ideal observers. *Nature Neuroscience* 2, 740–745.
- Dobrunz, L. E. and C. F. Stevens (1997). Heterogeneity of release probability, facilitation, and depletion at central synapses. *Neuron* 18, 995–1008.
- Folias, S. E. and P. C. Bressloff (2004). Breathing pulses in an excitatory neural network. *SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst.* 3, 378–407.
- Fung, C. C. A., K. Y. M. Wong, and S. Wu (2010). A moving bump in a continuous manifold: a comprehensive study of the tracking dynamics of continuous attractor neural networks. *Neural Comput.* 22, 752–792.

- Gutkin, B., C. Laing, C. Colby, C. Chow, and B. Ermentrout (2001). Turning on and off with excitation: the role of spike-timing asynchrony and synchrony in sustained neural activity. *J. Comput. Neurosci.* *11*, 121–134.
- Hao, J., X. Wang, Y. Dan, M. Poo, and X. Zhang (2009). An arithmetic rule for spatial summation of excitatory and inhibitory inputs in pyramidal neurons. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* *106*, 21906–21911.
- Heeger, D. (1992). Normalization of cell responses in cat striate cortex. *Visual Neuroscience* *9*, 181–197.
- Holcman, D. and M. Tsodyks (2006). The emergence of up and down states in cortical networks. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* *2*, 174–181.
- Igarashi, Y., M. Oizumi, Y. Otsubo, K. Nagata, and M. Okada (2009). Statistical mechanics of attractor neural network models with synaptic depression. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* *197*, 012018.
- Kilpatrick, Z. P. and P. C. Bressloff (2009). Spatially structured oscillations in a two-dimensional neuronal network with synaptic depression. *J. Comput. Neurosci.* *28*, 193–209.
- Kilpatrick, Z. P. and P. C. Bressloff (2010). Effects of synaptic depression and adaptation on spatiotemporal dynamics of an excitatory neuronal network. *Physica D* *239*, 547–560.
- Levina, A., J. M. Herrmann, and T. Giesel (2007). Dynamical synapses causing self-organized criticality in neural networks. *Nature Physics* *3*, 857–860.
- Loebel, A. and M. Tsodyks (2002). Computation by ensemble synchronization in recurrent networks with synaptic depression. *J. Comput. Neurosci.* *13*, 111–124.
- Markram, H. and M. V. Tsodyks (1996). Redistribution of synaptic efficacy between pyramidal neurons. *Nature* *382*, 807–810.
- Markram, H., Y. Wang, and M. Tsodyks (1999). Differential signaling via the same axon from neocortical layer 5 pyramidal neurons. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* *95*, 5323–5328.
- Mongillo, G., O. Barak, and M. Tsodyks (2008). Synaptic theory of working memory. *Science* *319*, 1543–1546.
- O’Keefe, J. and M. L. Recce (1993). Phase relationship between hippocampal place units and the eeg theta rhythm. *Hippocampus* *3*, 317–330.

- Pfister, J.-P., P. Dayan, and M. Lengyel (2010). Synapses with short-term plasticity are optimal estimators of presynaptic membrane potentials. *Nature Neuroscience* 13, 1271–1275.
- Pinto, D. J. and G. B. Ermentrout (2001). Spatially structured activity in synaptically coupled neuronal networks: 1. traveling fronts and pulses. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 62, 206–225.
- Romani, S. and M. Tsodyks (2011). Plos computational biology (in press).
- Samsonovich, A. and B. McNaughton (1997). Path integration and cognitive mapping in a continuous attractor neural network model. *J. Neurosci.* 17, 5900–5920.
- Seung, H. S., D. D. Lee, B. Y. Reis, and D. W. Tank (2000). Stability of the memory of eye position in a recurrent network of conductance-based model neurons. *Neuron* 26, 259–271.
- Stevens, C. F. and Y. Wang (1995). Facilitation and depression at single central synapses. *Neuron* 14, 795–802.
- Stratton, P. and J. Wiles (2010). Self-sustained non-periodic activity in networks of spiking neurons: The contribution of local and long-range connections and dynamic synapses. *NeuroImage* 52, 1070–1079.
- Torres, J. J., J. M. Cortes, J. Marro, and H. J. Kappen (2007). Competition between synaptic depression and facilitation in attractor neural networks. *Neural Comput.* 19, 2739–2755.
- Tsodyks, M. and D. Hansel (2011). personal communication.
- Tsodyks, M. and H. Markram (1997). Excitatory-inhibitory network in the visual cortex: Psychophysical evidence. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 94, 719–723.
- Tsodyks, M., A. Uziel, and H. Markram (2000). Synchrony generation in recurrent network with frequency-dependent synapses. *J. Neurosci.* 20, 1–5.
- Tsodyks, M. S., K. Pawelzik, and H. Markram (1998). Neural networks with dynamic synapses. *Neural Comput.* 10, 821–835.
- Wang, X. J. (2001). Synaptic reverberation underlying mnemonic persistent activity. *Trends in Neuroscience* 24, 455–463.
- Welling, M. (2009). Herding dynamical weights to learn. *ACM Int. Conf. Proc. Series* 382, 1121–1128.
- Wu, J., X. Huang, and C. Zhang (2008). Propagating waves of activity in the neocortex: what they are, what they do. *The Neuroscientist* 14, 487–502.

- Wu, S. and S. Amari (2005). Computing with continuous attractors: Stability and online aspects. *Neural Comput.* 17, 2215–2239.
- Wu, S., S. Amari, and H. Nakahara (2002). Population coding and decoding in a neural field: A computational study. *Neural Comput.* 14, 999–1026.
- York, L. C. and M. C. W. van Rossum (2009). Recurrent networks with short term synaptic depression. *J. Comput. Neurosci.* 27, 607–620.
- Zhang, K.-C. (1996). Representation of spatial orientation by the intrinsic dynamics of the head-direction cell ensemble: a theory. *J. Neurosci.* 16, 2112–2126.
- Zucker, R. S. and W. G. Regehr (2002). Short-term synaptic plasticity. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* 64, 355–405.

