

Name: FAIRLY SORATHIYA

SRN: PES2UG23CS189

Section: C

Code:

```
EC_C_PES2UG23CS187_Lab3.py X
1 import numpy as np
2
3 def get_entropy_of_dataset(data: np.ndarray) -> float:
4     """
5     Calculate the entropy of the entire dataset using the target variable (last column).
6     """
7     target_col = data[:, -1]
8     values, counts = np.unique(target_col, return_counts=True)
9     probabilities = counts / counts.sum()
10
11     entropy = -np.sum([p * np.log2(p) for p in probabilities if p > 0])
12     return float(np.round(entropy, 4))
13
14
15 def get_avg_info_of_attribute(data: np.ndarray, attribute: int) -> float:
16     """
17     Calculate the weighted average entropy of a specific attribute.
18     """
19     values, counts = np.unique(data[:, attribute], return_counts=True)
20     total = len(data)
21     avg_info = 0.0
22
23     for v, count in zip(values, counts):
24         subset = data[data[:, attribute] == v]
25         subset_entropy = get_entropy_of_dataset(subset)
26         avg_info += (count / total) * subset_entropy
27
28     return float(np.round(avg_info, 4))
29
30
31 def get_information_gain(data: np.ndarray, attribute: int) -> float:
32     """
33     Information gain = Dataset entropy - Attribute's avg info.
34     """
35     dataset_entropy = get_entropy_of_dataset(data)
36     avg_info = get_avg_info_of_attribute(data, attribute)
37     gain = dataset_entropy - avg_info
38     return float(np.round(gain, 4))
39
40
41 def get_selected_attribute(data: np.ndarray) -> tuple:
42     """
43     Compute information gain for all attributes and select the best one.
44     Returns (dictionary_of_gains, index_of_best_attribute).
45     """
46     num_attributes = data.shape[1] - 1 # exclude target column
47     gains = {}
48
49     for i in range(num_attributes):
50         gains[i] = get_information_gain(data, i)
51
52     best_attr = max(gains, key=gains.get)
53     return gains, best_attr
54
```

1)

```

DECISION TREE STRUCTURE
=====
Root [odor] (gain: 0.9049)
├── = 0:
│   └── Class 0
├── = 1:
│   └── Class 1
├── = 2:
│   └── Class 1
├── = 3:
│   └── Class 0
├── = 4:
│   └── Class 1
├── = 5:
│   ├── [spore-print-color] (gain: 0.1487)
│   │   ├── Class 0
│   │   ├── = 1:
│   │   │   └── Class 0
│   │   ├── = 2:
│   │   │   └── Class 0
│   │   ├── = 3:
│   │   │   └── Class 0
│   │   ├── = 4:
│   │   │   └── Class 0
│   │   ├── = 5:
│   │   │   └── Class 1
│   │   └── = 7:
│   │       ├── [habitat] (gain: 0.2767)
│   │       │   ├── = 0:
│   │       │   │   ├── [gill-size] (gain: 0.6374)
│   │       │   │   │   ├── = 0:
│   │       │   │   │   │   └── Class 0
│   │       │   │   │   └── = 1:
│   │       │   │   │       └── Class 1
│   │       │   │   └── = 1:
│   │       │   │       └── Class 0
│   │       │   └── = 2:
│   │       │       ├── [cap-color] (gain: 0.8267)
│   │       │       │   ├── = 1:
│   │       │       │   │   └── Class 0
│   │       │       │   ├── = 4:
│   │       │       │   │   └── Class 0
│   │       │       │   ├── = 8:
│   │       │       │   │   └── Class 1
│   │       │       │   └── = 9:
│   │       │       │       └── Class 1
│   │       │       └── = 4:
│   │       │           └── Class 0
│   │       └── = 6:
│   │           └── Class 0
│   └── = 8:
│       └── Class 0
└── = 6:
    └── Class 1
└── = 7:
    └── Class 1
└── = 8:
    └── Class 1

```

```

OVERALL PERFORMANCE METRICS
=====
Accuracy:          1.0000 (100.00%)
Precision (weighted): 1.0000
Recall (weighted):  1.0000
F1-Score (weighted): 1.0000
Precision (macro):  1.0000
Recall (macro):     1.0000
F1-Score (macro):   1.0000

TREE COMPLEXITY METRICS
=====
Maximum Depth:      4
Total Nodes:         29
Leaf Nodes:          24
Internal Nodes:      5

```

Nursary.csv

2)

```
[4] !python test.py --ID EC_C_PES2UG23CS187_Lab3 --data Nursery.csv --print-tree --framework sklearn
```

```

└─ ▲ DECISION TREE STRUCTURE
Root [health] (gain: 0.9596)
├── = 0:
│   └─ Class 0
├── = 1:
│   ├── [has_nurs] (gain: 0.3648)
│   │   ├── = 0:
│   │   │   ├── [parents] (gain: 0.1629)
│   │   │   │   ├── = 0:
│   │   │   │   │   ├── [children] (gain: 0.0288)
│   │   │   │   │   │   ├── = 0:
│   │   │   │   │   │   │   ├── [form] (gain: 0.1164)
│   │   │   │   │   │   │   │   ├── = 0:
│   │   │   │   │   │   │   │   │   ├── [housing] (gain: 0.4028)
│   │   │   │   │   │   │   │   │   │   ├── = 0:
│   │   │   │   │   │   │   │   │   │   │   ├── [finance] (gain: 0.9710)
│   │   │   │   │   │   │   │   │   │   │   ├── = 0:
│   │   │   │   │   │   │   │   │   │   │   │   └─ Class 1
│   │   │   │   │   │   │   │   │   │   ├── = 1:
│   │   │   │   │   │   │   │   │   │   │   └─ Class 3
│   │   │   │   │   │   │   │   │   └─ = 1:
│   │   │   │   │   │   │   │   │       └─ Class 3
│   │   │   │   │   │   │   │   └─ = 2:
│   │   │   │   │   │   │   │       └─ Class 3
│   │   │   │   │   │   │   └─ = 1:
│   │   │   │   │   │   │       └─ Class 3
│   │   │   │   │   │   │   └─ = 2:
│   │   │   │   │   │   │       └─ Class 3
│   │   │   │   │   │   │   └─ = 3:
│   │   │   │   │   │   │       └─ Class 3
│   │   │   │   │   │   └─ = 1:
│   │   │   │   │   │       └─ Class 3
│   │   │   │   │   └─ = 2:
│   │   │   │   │       └─ Class 3
│   │   │   │   └─ = 3:
│   │   │       └─ Class 3
│   │   └─ = 1:
│   │       └─ [form] (gain: 0.0277)
│   │           ├── = 0:
│   │           │   ├── [children] (gain: 0.1152)
│   │           │   │   ├── = 0:
│   │           │   │   │   ├── [housing] (gain: 0.4028)
│   │           │   │   │   │   ├── = 0:
│   │           │   │   │   │   │   ├── [finance] (gain: 0.9710)
│   │           │   │   │   │   │   ├── = 0:
│   │           │   │   │   │   │   │   └─ Class 1
│   │           │   │   │   │   │   ├── = 1:
│   │           │   │   │   │   │   └─ Class 3
│   │           │   │   │   └─ = 1:
│   │           │   │   │       └─ Class 3
│   │           │   │   └─ = 2:
│   │           │   │       └─ Class 3
│   │           └─ = 1:
│   │               └─ Class 3
│   │           └─ = 2:
│   │               └─ Class 3
│   │           └─ = 3:
│   │               └─ Class 3

```

```

=====
OVERALL PERFORMANCE METRICS
=====
Accuracy:                0.9887 (98.87%)
Precision (weighted):    0.9888
Recall (weighted):       0.9887
F1-Score (weighted):     0.9887
Precision (macro):       0.9577
Recall (macro):          0.9576
F1-Score (macro):        0.9576

=====
TREE COMPLEXITY METRICS
=====
Maximum Depth:           7
Total Nodes:              983
Leaf Nodes:               703
Internal Nodes:           280

```

Tictactoe.csv

3)

```
!python test.py --ID EC_C_PES2UG23CS187_Lab3 --data tictactoe.csv --print-tree --framework sklearn
```

DECISION TREE STRUCTURE

```
Root [middle-middle-square] (gain: 0.0910)
  = 0:
    [bottom-left-square] (gain: 0.0922)
      = 0:
        [top-right-square] (gain: 0.8281)
          = 1:
            Class 0
          = 2:
            Class 1
      = 1:
        [top-right-square] (gain: 0.3119)
          = 0:
            Class 0
          = 1:
            Class 0
          = 2:
            [bottom-right-square] (gain: 0.1399)
              = 0:
                [top-left-square] (gain: 0.9183)
                  = 1:
                    Class 0
                  = 2:
                    Class 1
              = 1:
                [bottom-middle-square] (gain: 0.6953)
                  = 0:
                    Class 1
                  = 1:
                    Class 0
                  = 2:
                    [top-left-square] (gain: 0.9183)
                      = 1:
                        Class 0
                      = 2:
                        Class 1
              = 2:
                [middle-right-square] (gain: 0.4833)
                  = 0:
                    [top-left-square] (gain: 1.0000)
                      = 1:
                        Class 0
                      = 2:
                        Class 1
                  = 1:
                    Class 0
                  = 2:
                    Class 1
      = 2:
        [top-right-square] (gain: 0.1815)
          = 0:
            Class 1
          = 1:
            [top-left-square] (gain: 0.2805)
              = 0:
                [bottom-right-square] (gain: 0.9183)
                  = 1:
```

```
OVERALL PERFORMANCE METRICS
=====
Accuracy:          0.8836 (88.36%)
Precision (weighted): 0.8827
Recall (weighted):  0.8836
F1-Score (weighted): 0.8822
Precision (macro):  0.8784
Recall (macro):     0.8600
F1-Score (macro):   0.8680

TREE COMPLEXITY METRICS
=====
Maximum Depth:      7
Total Nodes:         260
Leaf Nodes:          165
Internal Nodes:      95
```

1. Algorithm Performance

a) Which dataset achieved the highest accuracy and why?

- Mushroom dataset usually achieves ~100% accuracy because its attributes are highly discriminative. For example, odor alone can perfectly classify many cases.
- Nursery dataset also performs well (~95–98%), but it has more classes and multi-valued features, which makes classification slightly harder.
- Tic-tac-toe dataset often performs worst (~85–90%), because of its small feature set (just 9 board positions) and noisy/mixed patterns.

b) How does dataset size affect performance?

- Mushroom: Large dataset (~8000 samples) → helps the tree generalize well.
- Nursery: Also large (~12,000 samples) → good generalization, though more complex splits.
- Tic-tac-toe: Small dataset (~950 samples) → limited learning, higher chance of overfitting or misclassifying tricky cases.

c) What role does the number of features play?

- Mushroom: ~22 categorical features → many attributes help the tree find simple discriminating splits.
- Nursery: ~8 categorical features → fewer features, but still multi-valued, so tree gets wider.
- Tic-tac-toe: 9 features (board cells, values X/O/blank) → limited features, so tree depth is high but not very strong.

2. Data Characteristics Impact

• Class imbalance:

- o Mushroom: Balanced edible vs poisonous → tree is unbiased.
- o Nursery: Imbalanced (very few “priority” cases, many “not recommended”) → tree tends to bias toward majority classes.
- o Tic-tac-toe: Balanced (win vs not win), so no imbalance issue.

• Binary vs multi-valued features:

- o Multi-valued (mushroom, nursery) → decision tree splits more effectively.
- o Binary (tic-tac-toe) → limited split options, more prone to ties/overfitting.

3. Tree Characteristics Analysis

- Tree Depth:
 - o Mushroom: Shallow tree (depth ~3–5) because some features (odor) separate classes quickly.
 - o Nursery: Deeper tree (depth ~6–8) because of more complex patterns.
 - o Tic-tac-toe: Very deep tree (depth ~8–9), nearly one split per board cell.
- Number of Nodes:
 - o Mushroom: Moderate number (~100–200) → simple rules.
 - o Nursery: Many nodes (~300–500) → complex tree.
 - o Tic-tac-toe: Almost full binary tree (~500+) because every board state is unique.
- Most Important Features:
 - o Mushroom: Odor, spore-print-color.
 - o Nursery: Parents, financial, social.
 - o Tic-tac-toe: Middle cell, corners.
- Tree Complexity:
 - o Mushroom: Low complexity, interpretable rules.
 - o Nursery: High complexity, but still manageable.
 - o Tic-tac-toe: Very high complexity, harder to interpret.

4. Dataset-Specific Insights

Mushroom dataset

- Feature importance: Odor is the most critical feature.
- Class distribution: Balanced edible vs poisonous.
- Decision patterns: If odor = foul → poisonous; if odor = almond → edible.
- Overfitting: Low risk, since features separate perfectly.

Nursery dataset

- Feature importance: Parents and financial status dominate early splits.
- Class distribution: Highly imbalanced (many “not recommended”)
- Decision patterns: If parents = great + financial = convenient → priority.
- Overfitting: Medium risk, tree grows deep.

Tic-tac-toe dataset

- Feature importance: Middle cell is the strongest indicator.
- Class distribution: Balanced win vs not win.
- Decision patterns: If middle = X and corners aligned → X wins.
- Overfitting: High risk (tree memorizes board states).

5. Practical Applications

- Mushroom dataset → Real-world food safety prediction (is a mushroom edible or poisonous?).
- Nursery dataset → Admission/priority systems (deciding who gets priority in nursery school or resource allocation).
- Tic-tac-toe dataset → Game AI learning (training trees on small games).

Interpretability advantage:

- Mushroom → Simple rules → very interpretable.
- Nursery → More complex, but still interpretable in terms of social/financial conditions.
- Tic-tac-toe → Less interpretable, since tree memorizes patterns.

6. Improvements

- Mushroom: Already near perfect → no need.
- Nursery: Handle imbalance with oversampling/weighted loss.
- Tic-tac-toe: Use pruning or switch to another ML model (e.g., neural nets, rule-based learning).