# Project 4: Reinforcement Learning

### Train a Smartcab How to Drive

## Implement a Basic Driving Agent

QUESTION: Observe what you see with the agent's behavior as it takes random actions. Does the smartcab eventually make it to the destination? Are there any other interesting observations to note?

#### **Answer:**

The current agent randomly takes actions (from a set of 4) with total disregard of its environment. Since the environment assigns a penalty to each negative action, such as going forward on a red light or when other cars are coming, the current learner keeps getting penalized and is likely to never reach the destination.

From this we can infer that our smart(er) agent has to take into account its state and the waypoints within each step of the episode. By following these updated rules, the agent can avoid incurring negative rewards and arrive the destination in a more direct / intelligent way.

Trips	Percentage		
Successful Trips	13%		
Failed Trips	87%		

*Note:* See outputs/output\_3.csv for the entire list of trials.

# **Inform the Driving Agent**

QUESTION: What states have you identified that are appropriate for modeling the smartcab and environment? Why do you believe each of these states to be appropriate for this problem?

OPTIONAL: How many states in total exist for the smartcab in this environment? Does this number seem reasonable given that the goal of Q-Learning is to learn and make informed decisions about each state? Why or why not?

#### **Answer:**

In the current environment, the givens which we can use to determine the state are the following:

- The next\_waypoint : a string denoting the direction of the destination
- The traffic light
- The other cars, including oncoming traffic as well as from the left and right

This implementation of the smart agent will build a state from a subset of that the information above. Namely, next\_waypoint for directions, light for traffic light signal and right (or left) for sideway traffic, and deadline.

Note: This implementation chooses to ignore either left or right because the currently traffic grid system being simulated only allows traffic in one of those directions at a time. Other cars going the other direction would cause an accident or a path change by one of the cars.

Also, we choose to leave out the deadline from the agent's state since it does not effect its policy in any way. If the environment allowed the learner to do actions such as drive faster when deadline is getting closer to 0 or perhaps an extra reward for maximizing the time remaining in a trip, only then would it effect the outcome and we would include it in the state.

Adding more features to the state will add to the learning time so we will chose to ignore features that are not relevant to the agent's policy. To this point, we can also choose to ignore cars from both ways ( right and left ) since there is no penalty for crashing into other cars.

In technical terms, adding more information to the state with require us to fill out a larger QTable.

Let's have a look at the numbers.

#### The Factors:

A summary of the values of the agent's state is as follows:

- Waypoints: the direction could be 'Left', 'Right' or 'Forward'. (3 possible values).
- Lights: the traffic lights could either be 'green' or 'red'. (2 possible values).
- Oncoming: is there oncoming traffic. (2 possible values).

As for the responses the agent can have to its state:

Actions: the actions the agent can take can be None, 'forward', 'left', 'right'. (4 possible values).

If we multiply each of the following values by each other  $\Rightarrow$  3 x 2 x 4 x 2, we get **48**. This will constitute all the possible combinations

between the state and the actions for our agent to add to its QTable.

Looking into the Environment class, we notice that it multiplies the distance by 5. Since the distance is always 1 to 12 steps from the agent to its destination. We conclude that the deadline is always between 5 to a maximum of 60 (56 possible values).

If we multiply the deadlines possible values  $\Rightarrow$  48 x 56, we get **2,688** combinations of state to actions which the agent needs to train for. Note that we can decrease this number to half (**1,344**) by dropping oncoming from the state if our environment does not penalize crashes between cars.

## Implement a Q-Learning Driving Agent

QUESTION: What changes do you notice in the agent's behavior when compared to the basic driving agent when random actions were always taken? Why is this behavior occurring?

To implement a QTable for the current smartcab agent, a QLearner class was created. An instance QLearner class is then instantiated by the agent upon starting. The QTable initializes all values to 1 (arbitrarily chosen).

Once a QTable is created, the agent tries to maximize its reward by picking the action with the highest Q value for that state. This however only works when the state the agent is at has been previously encountered. Otherwise, a random action is picked (from the 4 possible actions).

Along with the newly implemented QLearner, the current implementation sets the following parameters:

- Number of trials is set to 100
- The enforce\_deadline is set to **True**
- The initial Q value for all actions at a new state, Q\_init is set to 1
- The discounting rate, gamma, is set to 0.5
- The learning rate, alpha, is set to **0.5**
- The exploration rate, epsilon, is set to **0.5**

The results (see outputs/output\_5.csv) of the current implementation are below:

Trips	Percentage
Successful Trips	60%
Failed Trips	40%

Since the values of gamma, alpha and epsilon are not optimized, we can conclude these values need to be changed in order to achieve a higher success rate.

## Improve the Q-Learning Driving Agent

QUESTION: Report the different values for the parameters tuned in your basic implementation of Q-Learning. For which set of parameters does the agent perform best? How well does the final driving agent perform?

QUESTION: Does your agent get close to finding an optimal policy, i.e. reach the destination in the minimum possible time, and not incur any penalties? How would you describe an optimal policy for this problem?

In this sections, we will discuss how we can optimize the values

following values for the agent to get closer to finding the optimal policy. For example, epsilon is the exploration rate, a higher value indicates less randomness in response to states the agent has already seen.

Below is a table of the 3 main learning values (epsilon, alpha and gamma) and their respective successful trips percentage once we have set the update\_delay value to 0.1:

Experiment #	Success Rate	alpha	epsilon	gamma	Q_init
1	64%	0.5	0.5	0.5	2
2	57%	0.5	0.5	0.8	2
3	65%	0.5	0.5	0.25	2
4	71%	0.75	0.5	0.25	2
5	86%	0.75	0.75	0.25	2
6	91%	0.75	0.85	0.5	2
7	95%	0.75	0.85	0.4	2

During the first experiment, we set alpha, gamma and epsilon are to 0.5 arbitrarily as an exploratory step to set a benchmark with our Q-Learning implementation.

In **experiment #2** above (see outputs/output\_9.csv), we notice that having a higher gamma value causes a drop in rate of successful trips for the agent.

In **experiment #4** (see outputs/output\_11.csv), we set the learning rate, alpha, to 0.75 while setting gamma to 0.25 and we see an increase in our success rate. We could argue that this is due to our environment being predictable, i.e. the agent can repeat previously rewarding actions at a given state without having to consider possibly

changing environment factors.

In **experiment #5** (see outputs/output\_12.csv), we increase the predictability of how the agent will behave given that it has experienced this state previously by increasing epsilon. We note that achieve 86% success rate in this experiment.

We then keep changing those 3 variables until we converge to a success rate that is higher than 90% which we can consider acceptable for our learning agent. The final values are see above in **experiment #7** (see outputs/output\_15.csv).