

Both DECO3801/7381 Assessment 2 Grading Sheet – this rubric must be taken in conjunction with the task sheet

Team Name:			Presentation date/time:	
Grade Band	Problem Statement (<i>ECP 1.</i>)	Product (<i>ECP 1, 2, 3, 4.</i>)	Progress & Workplan (<i>ECP 2, 3.</i>)	Presentation (<i>ECP skills.</i>)
High Distinction	There is no doubt about the intended goals of the project. The team presentation provides an excellent brief of the project with excellent well articulated scope, direction goals and clear initiative.	The presentation gives excellent details of the product, methods, algorithms, APIs, software used, testing and evaluation. Demonstrates design driven development, understanding of stakeholder needs, feasible product and excellent initiative.	The team presentation clearly shows how they are tracking against the workplan, evidence of reflection on their original plan, what has changed, demonstrates excellent teamwork and build feasibility. Demonstrates initiative, pleasantly surprises.	Excellent structure, a pleasure to sit through. Excellent slides, professional, nicely formatted, good use of images, diagrams and visuals explain things, High quality. No spelling, grammar or punctuation errors.
Distinction	The team presentation has provided a clear outline of the project with some evidence of initiative.	Team gives clear coverage of algorithms, APIs and techniques. Very good feasible product proposed. Evidence of progress and initiative.	The team presentation demonstrates good evidence of reflection on their workplan and how they will achieve their build. Good teamwork.	Good structure, Neat and professional. Some minor, grammar or punctuation errors. Some visuals could be improved.
Credit	The team presentation gives the intended coverage of the project but does not demonstrate much initiative.	The team presentation provides coverage of approaches, APIs etc. Evidence of potential for a good feasible product but little initiative	The team shows good understanding of their workplan and some critical reflection on achievability with some evidence of working together.	Good structure, Neat and professional,. Some minor, grammar or punctuation errors. Much better use of visuals needed.
Pass	The team presentation gives a basic problem statement.	The team gives partial coverage of approaches, APIs etc. Evidence of progress towards a <i>possibly</i> feasible product.	The team presentation shows a satisfactory workplan, level of progress and teamwork.	Poorly structured in places, but still able to be understood. Just OK.
Marginal Fail	The team presentation gives little indication of problem to be solved. A poor attempt at description.	The team presentation gives little coverage of approaches, APIs etc. Little evidence of progress towards a feasible product.	The team presentation shows little evidence of progress and poor understanding of feasibility and the product does not seem achievable.	Lacking in structure, poor use of visuals. Hard to follow.
Fail	The team presentation shows no indication of problem to be solved.	The team presentation gives no evidence of understanding and no evidence of progress towards a feasible product.	The team presentation demonstrates poor progress and complete lack of teamwork and infeasible workplan.	Incomprehensible. Very hard to follow. Not clear at all.
Overall Grade:	Comments:			Date: