PEER GROUP EVALUATION Final Report, Presentation and Overall Project

NOTE THERE ARE 3 PAGES TO THIS EVALUATION

Your Name FAIZA UMATIYA Group ORANGE

Don't base your evaluations on friendship or personality conflicts. Your input can be a contributions in a fair manner. Also, be respectful.	valuable indicator to help assess
I. Names of your group members (including yourself as "a"). (The student's name corresponds to the letter when filling out responses)	
aYou:FAIZA UMATIYA	
bHRISHI PATEL	
cHARSH KAMLESHBHAI SHAH	
dKARAN SINGH RATHORE	
eQIWEI SUN	
Group Work Performance for the Final Report II. Rate each member (the a,b,c,d,e above) with a 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5 where 1=Strongly agree, 2=Agree, 3=Neutral, 4=Disagree and 5=Strongly disagree following att	ributes
Arrives on time to meetings	a1_ b1_ c1_ d1_e1_
Reliable with attending meetings/responding to emails or other group communications	a1_ b1_ c1_ d1_e1_
Reliable with attending labs and class to work on project components	a1_ b1_ c1_ d1_e1_

If your group was paid \$100 for this part of the final report, how would you distribute the funds (this does not have to
be equal amongst your group members – it should be based on how much work you think each member did)?

a20	b. <u></u> 20	c20_	d20_	e20_
	51 <u>—</u> =5	<u> </u>	~·v_	<u> </u>

Reliable with meeting internal team deadlines for feedback/work products

Contributes ideas to the group/participates in discussions

Respects each group member's opinions

Listens to each group member's opinions

Works hard at ensuring a quality submission

a._1_ b._1_ c._1_ d._1_e._1_

a._1_ b._1_ c._1_ d._1_e._1_

a._1_ b._1_ c._5_ d._4_e._1_

a._1_ b._1_ c._5_ d._4_e._1_

a._1_ b._1_ c._1_ d._1_e._1_

Group Work Performance for the Presentation

II. Rate each member (the a,b,c,d,e above) with a 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5 where

1=Strongly agree, 2=Agree, 3=Neutral, 4=Disagree and 5=Strongly disagree following attributes

Arrives on time to meetings	a1_ b1_ c1_ d1_e1_
Reliable with attending meetings/responding to emails or other group communications	a1_ b1_ c1_ d1_e1_
Reliable with attending labs and class to work on project components	a1_ b1_ c1_ d1_e1_
Reliable with meeting internal team deadlines for feedback/work products	a1_ b1_ c1_ d1_e1_
Contributes ideas to the group/participates in discussions	a1_ b1_ c1_ d1_e1_
Respects each group member's opinions	a1_ b1_ c5_ d4_e1_
Listens to each group member's opinions	a1_ b1_ c5_ d4_e1_
Works hard at ensuring a quality submission	a1_ b1_ c1_ d1_e1_

If your group was paid \$100 for this part of the <u>final report</u>, how would you distribute the funds (this does *not* have to be equal amongst your group members – it should be based on how much work you think each member did)?

a20	b20	c20	d20	e20

Group Work Performance for the overall project for the term

II. Rate each member (the a,b,c,d above) with a 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5 where

1=Strongly agree, 2=Agree, 3=Neutral, 4=Disagree and 5=Strongly disagree following attributes

Arrived on time to meetings over the term	a1_ b1_ c1_ d1_e1_
Was reliable with attending meetings/responding to emails or other group communications over the term	a1_ b1_ c1_ d1_e1_
Was reliable with attending labs and class to work on project components over the term	a1_ b1_ c1_ d1_e1_
Was reliable with meeting internal team deadlines for feedback/work products over the term	a1_ b1_ c1_ d1_e1_
Contributed ideas to the group/participated in discussions over the term	a1_ b1_ c1_ d1_e1_
Respected each group member's opinions over the term	a1_ b1_ c5_ d4_e1_
Listened to each group member's opinions over the term	a1_ b1_ c5_ d4_e1_
Worked hard at ensuring quality submissions over the term	a1_ b1_ c1_ d1_e1_

If your group was paid \$1000 for the entire term project, how would you distribute the funds (this does *not* have to be equal amongst your group members—it should be based on how much work you think each member did)?

5 Member Gro	oups				
a200_	b200_	c200_	d200_	e200_	

Other Comments:

I would like to mention few things that happened within group. One of the group member named "Harsh Kamleshbhai Shah" has been ignorant towards my and Qiwei's opinion or any views/ideas throughout the term. Whenever I contributed my ideas, he does not listens to it and when I draft my document he makes changes to my document without my consent or letting me know. I draft my document letting my team members know about my opinion but harsh does not listen to me at that time and makes changes without letting me know. This made me left out and not be able to share my ideas. I tried to talk about this to my teammates and him but still no change in the behavior.

As this is the end of the term, I just wanted to share my experience with them. Thankyou.