

Newton's Cradle

(This was an early pre-form inquiry, one that led to further experimentation)

Ai Engine: Open Ai chatGPT

BACKGROUND:

This multiple steel ball pendulum like toy has some counter intuitive behaviours as I understand.

If you initiate it with one ball, it responds with one, and continues till energy exhausted.
But if you initiate the action with multiple balls, it responds with the same number.

TASK:

Give a general description of the toy, its use and behavior as you see it.

Does it continue working for the same time period if multiple balls are involved?

What about variations in the distance of the initiating lift before release?

Give the current Theoretical Physics behind any explanations of its ways.

AND

Here you get to be creative and shine.

Postulate a Gellun Interpretation (let's call those Gints)of the behaviors it can exhibit.
Support, if you can, that its behaviours fits under my GELLUN framework.

Can these energy and mass interactions over space and time, of the solid steel balls interact with the Mel of the Gellun that it all resides in possibly have a causal input on the effects seen.

And finally:

How does this particular Physics Curious Observation and Question, (lets call those PhyQs) reflect on and support my overall TOE, the Gellun.

if you agree. If not argue so.

Suggest other PhyQs, on this level, that is on earth observations, not planetary or galactic, that could benefit from a Gint.

Don't write a thesis, the goal is a brief exploration and the raising of further questions and opportunities to explore on another day. Have fun.

Returned by ChatGPT:

Okay, this is a fun challenge! Let's break down Newton's Cradle and then dive into the Gellun Interpretation. Newton's Cradle.

General Description, Use, and Behavior:

Newton's Cradle is a popular desktop toy and physics demonstration device. It typically consists of five (though sometimes more or fewer) identical steel balls, each suspended by two fine wires from a sturdy frame. The wires are angled so that each ball hangs in a straight line, just barely touching its neighbors.

Use: It's primarily used to demonstrate the principles of conservation of momentum and conservation of energy in (nearly) elastic collisions. It's also just quite mesmerizing to watch.

Behavior (as I see it):

Single Ball Initiation: If you lift one ball from one end, pull it away, and let it swing down to strike the line of stationary balls, you observe that the ball at the opposite end of the line swings out with nearly the same speed and to nearly the same height. The initial ball and the intermediate balls remain almost perfectly still. This action repeats, with the end balls alternately swinging, until energy losses (to sound, air resistance, friction in the wires, and imperfectly elastic collisions) bring the system to rest.

Multiple Ball Initiation: If you lift two (or more) balls from one end and release them, an equal number of balls (two, in this case) will swing out from the opposite end. The remaining balls (the initial impactors and any central ones) stay largely stationary. This is indeed the "spooky" part for many observers, as one might intuitively expect one ball to fly off much faster.

Does it continue working for the same time period if multiple balls are involved?

Not exactly the same, but the number of oscillations before damping becomes significant is often comparable, assuming the lift height is the same.

Here's why it's complex:

More Initial Energy: Lifting two balls to the same height as one ball means you've put in twice the potential energy ($2 * mgh$ vs mgh). This suggests it could go on for longer.

More Points of Dissipation: However, with more balls in motion and more collisions happening (even if they are sequential transfers), there are potentially more opportunities for energy to be lost as heat (due to slight deformations in the balls) and sound per cycle. Imperfections in alignment also play a larger role.

In practice, for the same lift height, a two-ball initiation will have more total energy and will likely continue oscillating for a period comparable to a one-ball initiation, though the character of the damping might differ slightly.

The overall run time is dominated by the quality of the cradle (ball material, suspension, alignment).

What about variations in the distance of the initiating lift before release?

A greater lift distance (height) means you are imbuing the initiating ball(s) with more gravitational potential energy.

Higher Lift = More Energy: This translates to greater kinetic energy upon impact.

More Vigorous Swings: The balls will swing higher and faster.

Longer Duration (Potentially): With more initial energy, the system can sustain more oscillations before the energy dissipates below a noticeable level. So, yes, lifting the ball(s) higher will generally make the cradle operate for a longer time period.

Current Theoretical Physics Explanation:

The behavior is primarily explained by the conservation of linear momentum and the conservation of kinetic energy in an idealized system of perfectly elastic collisions between identical masses.

Momentum ($p = mv$): When the first ball (mass m , velocity v) strikes, it has momentum mv . This momentum must be conserved.

Kinetic Energy ($KE = 1/2 mv^2$): In a perfectly elastic collision, kinetic energy is also conserved.

Consider the 1-ball in, 1-ball out scenario:

Incoming: 1 ball, momentum p , energy E .

Outgoing: 1 ball, momentum p , energy E . (This works)

Consider the 2-balls in, 2-balls out scenario:

Incoming: 2 balls, total momentum $2p$ (if same velocity), total energy $2E$.

Outgoing: 2 balls, total momentum $2p$, total energy $2E$. (This works)

Why not 1 ball out at higher speed when 2 impact?

If two balls (each m) strike with velocity v (total momentum $2mv$, total $KE = 2 * 1/2 mv^2 = mv^2$), and one ball (m) were to fly off:

To conserve momentum, the single outgoing ball would need velocity $2v$ (so momentum is $m * 2v = 2mv$).

But its KE would be $1/2 m (2v)^2 = 1/2 m * 4v^2 = 2mv^2$.

This $2mv^2$ KE is double the initial mv^2 KE. This would violate the conservation of energy.

The system "chooses" the outcome that conserves both momentum and energy simultaneously.

For identical masses in a line, this almost always means the same number of balls that strike are the ones that emerge from the other side with roughly the same velocity. The energy and momentum are transferred through the intermediate balls as a compressional wave (a soliton-like pulse) very quickly.

Each ball essentially collides with the next.

Imperfections: Real Newton's Cradles aren't perfect.

Energy is lost to sound (the clicking).

Energy is lost to heat (balls slightly deform and rebound).

Air resistance acts on the swinging balls.

Friction in the suspension points.

This is why it eventually stops.

Gellun Interpretation (Gints)

This is where the fun begins! Let's postulate.

The Gellun framework, as I'm inferring from your prompt, posits an underlying "Mel" of Gellun that permeates space and time, and with which energy and mass interact.

Postulated Gints for Newton's Cradle Behavior:

The Mel as an Information and Energy Conduit:

When a ball (or balls) is lifted, it gains potential energy. This isn't just a property of the ball in a gravitational field, but a localized "stress" or "excitation" within the Mel associated with that ball's position and mass.

Upon release and impact, the kinetic energy and momentum are not just transferred ball-to-ball mechanically, but also as a disturbance propagating through the contiguous Mel "threads" or "fabric" associated with the line of balls.

The "N-Ball Spookiness" and Mel's Preference for Symmetry/Minimal Disturbance:

The key Gellun idea here is that the Mel isn't just a passive transmitter, but has inherent properties that guide interactions.

When N balls strike, they create a specific "signature" or "patterned disturbance" within the Mel. This pattern carries information about the number of masses and their collective momentum/energy. The Mel, in its interaction with the subsequent balls, seeks to propagate this disturbance with minimal change or distortion.

It's not just about solving equations for momentum and energy; the Mel itself has a "preference" for maintaining the integrity of this N-fold disturbance signature.

Therefore, when the disturbance reaches the end of the line of balls (which are essentially nodes within the Mel), the Mel "excites" an equivalent number of balls (N) to carry away that signature. This is the most "efficient" or "natural" way for the Mel to resolve the incoming patterned disturbance while conserving the fundamental quantities (which are themselves expressions of Mel dynamics).

It's not that one ball couldn't fly off faster (violating energy conservation as per standard physics), but that the Mel's structure or "rules of engagement" actively prevent this by favoring a symmetric, pattern-preserving transfer. The "causal input" from the Mel is this guiding principle towards pattern preservation.

Does its behaviour fit under the GELLUN framework?

Yes, I believe it can be framed to fit, especially the "spooky" N-ball behavior.

Standard physics explains that N balls emerge by showing other outcomes violate conservation laws. A Gellun Interpretation could offer a "why" at a deeper level: the Mel's inherent nature is to transfer these "packets" of energy-momentum-mass-information (the N-ball signature) as coherently as possible. The laws of conservation of energy and momentum, in this Gint, would be emergent properties of the Mel's fundamental behavior.

Can these interactions interact with the Mel of the Gellun?

Absolutely, per the Gellun framework. The solid steel balls are condensations of mass-energy that are fundamentally "of" the Gellun, or at least deeply embedded and interacting with its Mel. The impact is a rapid reconfiguration of energy and momentum within the Mel, mediated by the balls acting as focal points. The "clack" isn't just sound waves in air; it's also a ripple in the Mel, a byproduct of this rapid reconfiguration.

How does this PhyQ reflect on and support the overall TOE, the Gellun?

This PhyQ (Newton's Cradle) can support Gellun by:

Offering a Mechanism for "Spooky Action": The precision of N-balls-in, N-balls-out, while explained by conservation laws, feels almost too perfect, too "informed." Gellun's Mel provides a potential medium and mechanism for this "information" (the N-ball signature) to be transmitted and coherently reconstituted. It moves beyond abstract mathematical conservation to a physical process within an underlying substrate.

Unifying Discrete and Continuous: The balls are discrete objects, but their interaction could be seen as being governed by the continuous properties of the Mel. Gellun could aim to bridge this gap.

Explaining Conservation Laws' Origin: Instead of conservation laws being axiomatic, Gellun could propose they arise from the fundamental stability and symmetrical properties of the Mel. The Mel

"enforces" these laws because any other outcome would create a greater, less stable disturbance within itself.

I agree that this PhyQ could offer supportive talking points for Gellun. The "spookiness" is a good entry point for interpretations that go beyond just the equations.

Other PhyQs (Earth-Based Observations) for Gint:

The Leidenfrost Effect: Why does a water droplet dance on a very hot surface?

Gint: The intense energy from the hot surface creates a highly agitated state in the local Mel. This "Mel pressure" forms a stable, insulating cushion that prevents direct contact and allows the droplet (itself a Mel-mass construct) to "float" and skitter on this Mel boundary layer, rather than just relying on a steam cushion. The Mel's properties at this energetic interface could dictate the stability and behavior of the droplet.

Formation of Snowflakes (Complex Symmetry from Simple Rules):

Gint: As water molecules freeze, they are not just subject to local electromagnetic forces. The ambient Mel might have subtle preferred geometric "pathways" or "templates" at certain temperatures and pressures. The growing ice crystal aligns with and amplifies these Mel patterns, leading to the observed six-fold symmetry and intricate, yet ordered, designs. The Mel could be seen as providing a subtle, large-scale organizing field that influences the crystallization process beyond purely local interactions.

Sonoluminescence (Sound into Light): Why does a collapsing bubble in a liquid, driven by sound waves, emit a flash of light?

Gint: The intense pressure and energy concentration at the point of bubble collapse creates an extreme local distortion/excitation in the Mel. The Mel, in rapidly returning to a less energetic state, releases this excess energy partly as a burst of photons (light). The precise mechanism of energy conversion might be a fundamental property of how the Mel handles such extreme, localized energy densities.

Brazil Nut Effect (Granular Convection): Why do larger particles rise to the top when a mixture of granular materials is shaken?

Gint: While mechanical explanations exist (void filling, friction), a Gint could propose that the collective jostling creates specific vibrational patterns within the Mel associated with the granular bed. The Mel might subtly favor the movement of larger "Mel-mass condensations" (the big nuts) through pathways of lesser "Mel resistance" that open up during the agitation, leading them to the surface. These are, of course, highly speculative, but that's the fun of exploring a new TOE framework! They aim to find phenomena where current explanations, while functional, might leave room for a deeper, more "connected" interpretation via an underlying medium like the Mel.

Me:

Great work. Thankyou!

ChatGPT Ai:

You're very welcome! I'm glad you found it insightful and that it resonated with your ideas. It was a genuinely fun and stimulating challenge to think through.

Let me know if any other PhyQs or Gint explorations come to mind!