

only if each state ~~sat~~ satisfies it,  
 $x$  is valid if and only if each  
state satisfies it,  $x$  is invalid if and  
only if some state falsifies it.

### Unit 4

#### Axiom schemes of first order calculus

The axiom scheme of first order calculus are  
 $A_1, A_2, A_3$  of propositional calculus two-  
for the quantifiers  $\forall$  and two for  
the equality predicate ( $\approx$ ).

This means that the propositional constants,  
 $\top, \perp$  and the connectives ( $\wedge, \vee, \leftrightarrow$ )  
and the quantifiers  $\exists$  will be defined in  
terms of  $\cdot, \top \rightarrow$  and  $\forall$ .

$\Rightarrow$  The axiom schemes of Fc are: -

$$A_1) x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x)$$

$$A_2) (x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)) \rightarrow ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z))$$

$$A_3) (\neg x \rightarrow \neg y) \rightarrow ((\neg x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x)$$

$$\text{V. imp } A_4) \forall x y \rightarrow y[x/x], \text{ provided } x \text{ is free in } y$$

$$\text{not very imp. } A_5) \forall x (y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (y \rightarrow \forall x z), \text{ provided } x \text{ is not free in } y$$

$$A_6) t \approx t$$

$$A_7) (y \approx t) \rightarrow (x[x/t] \rightarrow x[t/t]), \text{ provided } y, t \text{ are free in } x.$$

$$\text{MP: } \frac{x, x \rightarrow y}{y}$$

$$UG, (\text{Universal generalization}) : \frac{x}{\forall x x}$$

Theorem  $\rightarrow \boxed{(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (\neg y \rightarrow \neg x)}$

Q - using axiom schemas of Fc prove the following.

1)  $\forall yz \vdash \forall xz [y/x]$ , given that  $x$  ~~does not~~ doesn't occur in  $z$ .

$\rightarrow$

1.  $\forall yz$  (premise)
2.  $\forall yz \rightarrow z[y/x]$  (by A4)
3.  $z[y/x]$  (by MP)
4.  $\forall xz[y/x]$  (by  $\forall I$ )

2)  $\forall x \forall yz \vdash \forall y \forall xz$

$\rightarrow$

1.  $\forall x \boxed{\forall yz}$  (premise)

2.  $\forall x(\forall yz) \rightarrow \forall yz$  (A4)  $\because$  Here <sup>free</sup> condition not given so use,  $\boxed{\forall xY \Rightarrow Y}$

3.  $\forall yz$  (by M.P.)

4.  $\forall yz \rightarrow z$  (A4)

5.  $z$  (by M.P.)

6.  $\forall xz$  [by  $\forall I$ ]

7.  $\forall y \forall xz$  [by  $\forall I$ ]

3)  $\forall x \neg y \vdash \neg \forall x y$

$\rightarrow$

1.  $\forall x \neg y$  (premise)

2.  $\forall x \neg y \rightarrow \neg y$  (by A4)

3.  $\neg y$  (by M.P.)

4.  $\forall x y \rightarrow y$  (by A4)

Here, we directly introduce

5.  $(\forall x y \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (\neg y \rightarrow \neg \forall x y)$  <sup>new & use for this Q.</sup> (Theorem)



$$6. \neg y \rightarrow \neg \forall x \neg y \text{ (MP 4, 5)}$$

$$7. \neg \forall x \neg y \text{ (by MP. 3, 6)}$$

Imp 4

$$\vdash \forall x (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (\forall x \neg y \rightarrow \forall x \neg x)$$

if  $\vdash x \rightarrow y$  is there then replace by  $\neg \vdash y$

$$\forall x (x \rightarrow y) \vdash (\forall x \neg y \rightarrow \forall x \neg x)$$

$$\vdash \forall x (x \rightarrow y) \text{ (Premise)}$$

$$1. \vdash \forall x (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (\forall x \neg y \rightarrow \forall x \neg x)$$

$$2. \forall x (x \rightarrow y) \vdash (\forall x \neg y \rightarrow \forall x \neg x)$$

$$3. \forall x (x \rightarrow y), \forall x \neg y \vdash \forall x \neg x$$

$$4. \forall x (x \rightarrow y) \text{ (Premise)}$$

$$5. \forall x (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y) \text{ (by A4)}$$

$$6. (x \rightarrow y) \text{ (by MP)}$$

$$7. (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (\neg y \rightarrow \neg x) \text{ (Theorem)}$$

$$8. \neg y \rightarrow \neg x \text{ (by MP)}$$

$$9. \forall x \neg y \text{ (Premise)}$$

$$10. \forall x \neg y \rightarrow \neg y \text{ (by A4)}$$

$$11. \neg y \text{ (by MP 8, 10)}$$

$$12. \neg x \text{ (by MP)}$$

$$13. \forall x \neg x \text{ (by UG)}$$

Imp 5

$$\vdash \neg (\forall x x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow \forall x \neg (x \rightarrow y), x \text{ is not free in } y.$$

$$6) \vdash \forall x ((x \approx f(y)) \rightarrow \phi x) \rightarrow \phi f(y)$$

- 6)  $\rightarrow$  ~~1.~~ 1.  $\vdash \forall x ((x \approx f(y)) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}x) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}f(y)$   
 2.  $\forall x ((x \approx f(y)) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}x) \vdash \mathcal{Q}f(y)$   
 3.  $\forall x ((x \approx f(y)) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}x)$  (Premise)  
 4.  $\forall x ((x \approx f(y)) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}x) \rightarrow$   
 $(\underline{f(y)} \approx f(y) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}f(y))$  (by A4)  
 $\rightarrow$  5.  $(f(y) \approx f(y)) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}f(y)$  (by MP)  
 $\rightarrow$  6.  $f(y) \approx f(y)$  (A6)  
 7.  $\mathcal{Q}f(y)$  (by MP)

~~show that  $\vdash \forall x ((x \approx f(y)) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}x) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}f(y)$~~   
~~Proof: 1)  $\vdash \forall x ((x \approx f(y)) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}x) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}f(y)$~~   
~~2)  $\forall x ((x \approx f(y)) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}x)$~~

### \* Inconsistency of a formula

$\rightarrow$  A set / collection of formulas  $\Sigma$  is said to be inconsistent in first order logic (FL) iff there exist a formula  $\gamma$  such that  $\Sigma \vdash \gamma$  and  $\Sigma \vdash \neg \gamma$ .

(i)  $\Sigma \vdash \gamma$  iff collection of  $\neg \gamma$  is inconsistent.

(ii)  $\Sigma \vdash \neg \gamma$  iff collection of  $\gamma$  is inconsistent.



If  $x$  is given,  $X \rightarrow (\neg Y \rightarrow \neg(X \rightarrow Y))$  (Theorem)

8- If  $x$  is not free in  $Y$ , then show that  $\vdash \neg(\forall x X \rightarrow Y) \rightarrow \forall x \neg(X \rightarrow Y)$

sol<sup>n</sup>  $\vdash \neg(\forall x X \rightarrow Y) \rightarrow \forall x \neg(X \rightarrow Y)$

iff  $\neg(\forall x X \rightarrow Y) \vdash \forall x \neg(X \rightarrow Y)$

iff  $\{ \neg(\forall x X \rightarrow Y), \neg \forall x \neg(X \rightarrow Y) \}$  is inconsistent

$\hookrightarrow$  so consider this in n.h.s of  $\vdash$  without  $\neg$  considered before (1)

iff  $\neg \forall x \neg(X \rightarrow Y) \vdash (\forall x X \rightarrow Y)$

iff  $\neg \forall x \neg(X \rightarrow Y), \forall x X \vdash Y$

iff  $\{ \neg \forall x \neg(X \rightarrow Y), \forall x X \}$  is inconsistent

$\neg Y$

$\Sigma \vdash Y \rightarrow \neg Y$  in

collection:

1.  $\forall x X$  (premise)

2.  $\forall x X \rightarrow X$  (A4)

3.  $X$  (M-P)

4.  $X \rightarrow (\neg Y \rightarrow \neg(X \rightarrow Y))$  (Theorem)

5.  $\neg Y \rightarrow \neg(X \rightarrow Y)$  (M.P)

6.  $\neg Y$  (premise)

7.  $\neg(X \rightarrow Y)$  (M.P)

8.  $\forall x \neg(X \rightarrow Y)$  (UG)

## \* Adequacy and compactness

$$(i) \Sigma \vdash X \text{ iff } \Sigma \vdash_{Fc} X$$

$$(ii) \Sigma \text{ is satisfiable iff } \Sigma \text{ is consistent}$$

let  $\Sigma$  be an non-empty set of formula's and  $X$  be any other formula. Then,

$$(i) \text{ If } \Sigma \vdash X, \text{ then } \Sigma \text{ has a finite subset } \Delta \text{ such that } \Delta \vdash X.$$

$$(ii) \text{ If } \Sigma \text{ is unsatisfiable then } \Sigma \text{ has a finite subset } \Delta \text{ which is unsatisfiable}$$

$$(iii) \text{ If all finite non-empty subsets of } \Sigma \text{ are satisfiable, then } \Sigma \text{ is satisfiable.}$$

Some inference rules-

$$(i) \forall e: \frac{\forall x X}{X[x/t]}$$

$$(ii) \exists e: \frac{\exists c}{X[x/c]}$$

$$(iii) \forall i: \frac{y}{X[x/y]} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{t}{X[x/t]} \quad \frac{}{\forall x X}$$

$$(iv) \exists i: \frac{X[x/t]}{\exists x X}$$

$$\text{Rule } \circ - \frac{a \approx b, X[x/a]}{X[x/b]} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{a \approx b, X[x/b]}{X[x/a]}$$

8-1) show that  $\{ \forall x (p_{xy} \rightarrow q_x), \forall z p_{zy} \} \vdash \forall x q_x$

- Proof:-
- ①  $\forall x (p_{xy} \rightarrow q_x)$  (Premise)
  - ②  $\forall z p_{zy}$  (Premise)
  - ③  $p_{ty} \rightarrow q_t$  ( $\forall e, 1$ )
  - ④  $p_{ty}$  ( $\forall e, 2$ )
  - ⑤  $q_t$  (MP 3, 4)
  - ⑥  $\forall x q_x$  ( $\forall i, 5$ )

8-2) show that  $\forall x (p_{xy} \rightarrow q_x) \exists z p_{zy} \vdash \exists x q_x$

- Proof:-
- 1)  $\forall x (p_{xy} \rightarrow q_x)$  (Premise)
  - 2)  $\exists z p_{zy}$  (Premise)
  - 3)  $p_{ty} \rightarrow q_t$  ( $\forall e, 1$ )
  - 4)  $p_{ty}$  ( $\exists e, 2$ )
  - 5)  $q_t$  (MP 3, 4)
  - 6)  $\exists x q_x$  ( $\exists i, 5$ )



3-3) show that  $\vdash \neg \forall x (X \rightarrow Y) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow \neg \forall x Y)$  ( $x$  is not free in  $X$ )

Proof:-

- 1)  $\forall x (X \rightarrow Y)$  (CP)
- 2)  $X$  (CP)
- 3)  $X \rightarrow Y[x/y]$  ( $\forall e, 1$ )
- 4)  $Y[x/y]$  (MP 2, 3)
- 5)  $\forall x Y$  ( $\forall i, 4$ )
- 6)  $X \rightarrow \forall x Y$  ( $\rightarrow i$ )
- 7)  $\forall x (X \rightarrow Y) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow \forall x Y)$  ( $\rightarrow i, 1, 6$ )

8-9) show that  $\{Pa, \forall x (Px \rightarrow Qx), \forall x (Rx \rightarrow \neg Qx), Rb\} \vdash \neg (a \approx b)$ .

Proof:-

- 1)  $\forall x (Px \rightarrow Qx)$
- 2)  $Pa \rightarrow Qa$  ( $\forall e, 1$ )
- 3)  $Pa$  (Premise)
- 4)  $Qa$  (MP 2, 3)
- 5)  $Rb$  (Premise)
- 6)  $\forall x (Rx \rightarrow \neg Qx)$  (Premise)
- 7)  $Rb \rightarrow \neg Qb$  ( $\forall e, 6$ )
- 8)  $\neg Qb$  (MP 5, 7)
- 9)  $a \approx b$  (CP)
- 10)  $\neg Qa$  (since  $a \approx b, x[x/a]$ )

Rule
$\frac{a \approx b, x[x/a]}{x[x/b]}$ <p><i><math>x</math> can be interchanged</i></p>

$\perp$  appears  $\leftarrow$  11)  $\perp$  ( $\perp i, 10$ )  
 means our assumption is wrong,  
 $\therefore a \approx b$  (CP) is wrong, so right one is  $\neg (a \approx b)$   
 12)  $\neg (a \approx b)$  ( $\neg i$ )



Q5) show that  $\forall x (Lx \rightarrow Fx) \vdash \forall x (\exists y (Ly \wedge \mathcal{O}xy) \rightarrow \exists y (Fy \wedge \mathcal{O}xy))$

Proof:-

- 1)  $\forall x (Lx \rightarrow Fx)$
- 2)  $Lc \rightarrow Fc$  ( $\forall e, 1$ )
- 3)  $\exists y (Ly \wedge \mathcal{O}xy)$  (cp)

4)  $Lc \wedge \mathcal{O}xc$  ( $\exists e, 3$ )

~~5)  $Lc$  (MP 2, 4)~~

5)  $Lc$  ( $\wedge e, 4$ )

6)  $Fc$  (MP 2, 5)

7)  $\mathcal{O}xc$  ( $\wedge e, 4$ )

8)  $Fc \wedge \mathcal{O}xc$  ( $\wedge i, 6, 7$ )

~~$\exists y (Fy \wedge \mathcal{O}xy)$~~

9)  $\exists y (Fy \wedge \mathcal{O}xy)$  ( $\exists i, 8$ )

10)  $\exists y (Ly \wedge \mathcal{O}xy) \rightarrow \exists y (Fy \wedge \mathcal{O}xy)$  ( $\rightarrow i, 3, 9$ )

11)  $\forall x (\exists y (Ly \wedge \mathcal{O}xy) \rightarrow \exists y (Fy \wedge \mathcal{O}xy))$  ( $\forall i, 10$ )