

## 0.1 The Rational Numbers

Assume  $\mathbb{Z}$ , the integers, have arithmetic order. What is  $\mathbb{Q}$ ? Perhaps it's the set:

$$\left\{ \frac{m}{n} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0 \right\}.$$

However, what does that fraction notation actually mean? When we first begin teaching fractions to children we talk about splitting things like cake into smaller pieces. If we have a whole cake made of 3 slices, we can give one person a slice so they have  $\frac{1}{3}$  of the cake. If we have a cake of 6 slices, we could give them 2 slices instead. They would have  $\frac{2}{6}$ . These two fractions are equivalent though! We need more rigor (this is mathematics of course).

We say that the fractions are equivalent ordered pairs  $(1, 3) \sim (2, 6)$ . These belong to the same **equivalence class**,  $\left[ \frac{1}{3} \right]$ .

*Definition* (Rational Numbers):

The **rational numbers**,  $\mathbb{Q}$ , is the set  $\left\{ \frac{m}{n} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0 \right\}$  where  $\frac{m}{n}$  is an equivalence class of  $(m, n)$  with the relation  $(m, n) \sim (p, q)$  if  $mq = np$  and  $q, n \neq 0$

*Proof.* Is  $\sim$  an equivalence relation? Need to show  $\sim$  reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.

Step 1 Reflexive: Let  $(p, q) \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Show  $(p, q) \sim (p, q)$

Since  $ab = ba$ ,  $(p, q) \sim (p, q)$  ✓

Step 2 Symmetry: Let  $(p, q), (m, n) \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Assume  $(p, q) \sim (m, n)$ . Show  $(m, n) \sim (p, q)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} (p, q) \sim (m, n) &\implies pn = qm \\ &\implies qm = pn \\ &\implies mq = np \\ &\implies (m, n) \sim (p, q) \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

Step 3 Transitive: Let  $(p, q), (m, n), (a, b) \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Assume  $(p, q) \sim (m, n)$  and  $(m, n) \sim (a, b)$ . Show  $(p, q) \sim (a, b)$ .

Need cancellation law on  $\mathbb{Z}$ : if  $ab = ac$  and  $a \neq 0$  then  $b = c$ .

$$(p, q) \sim (m, n) \implies pn = qm \text{ and } (m, n) \sim (a, b) \implies mb = na$$

*Case 1:*  $p = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} p = 0 &\implies pn = qm = 0 \\ &\implies m = 0 \text{ since } q \neq 0 \\ &\implies mb = na = 0 \\ &\implies a = 0 \text{ since } n \neq 0 \\ &\implies pb = qa = 0 \\ &\implies (p, q) \sim (a, b) \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

Case 2:  $m = 0$

Similar to Case 1. ✓

Case 3:  $p, m \neq 0$

Multiplying  $pn = qm$  by  $ab$ :  $ab(pn) = ab(qm)$ .

$\implies na(pb) = mb(qa)$

$\implies pb = qa$  by cancellation law ( $m \neq 0$  and  $mb = na$ )  $\implies (p, q) \sim (a, b)$  ✓

□

### 0.1.1 Arithmetic (of Rationals)

Our definitions of arithmetic on  $\mathbb{Q}$  be well-defined. For example, we could define addition as follows:

$$\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d} = \frac{a+c}{b+d}$$

However,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} &= \frac{2}{5} \\ \frac{2}{4} + \frac{3}{7} &= \frac{3}{7} \end{aligned}$$

$\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{2}{4}$  are in the same equivalent class, but  $\frac{2}{5}$  and  $\frac{3}{7}$  are not. This is not well-defined. We want a definition of addition not dependent on our representatives chosen.

Now,  $\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d} = \frac{0}{1}$ . This is well-defined but not helpful.

*Definition* (Addition in  $\mathbb{Q}$ ):

$$\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d} = \frac{ad+bc}{bd}$$

If this well-defined?

*Proof.* Assume  $(a, b) \sim (a', b')$  and  $(c, d) \sim (c', d')$ . Show  $(ad+bc, bd) \sim (a'd'+b'c', b'd')$ .

$(a, b) \sim (a', b') \implies ab' = ba'$

$(c, d) \sim (c', d') \implies cd' = dc'$

$$\begin{aligned} b'd'(ad+bc) &= b'd'ad + b'd'bc \\ &= (d'd)(ab') + (b'b)(cd') \\ &= (d'd)(ba') + (b'b)(dc') \\ &= (bd)(a'd') + (bd)(c'b') \\ &= bd(a'd' + c'b') \end{aligned}$$

$\implies (ad+bc, bd) \sim (a'd'+b'c', b'd')$  ✓

□

*Definition* (Multiplication in  $\mathbb{Q}$ ):

$$\frac{a}{b} \cdot \frac{c}{d} = \frac{ac}{bd}$$

If this well-defined?

*Proof.* Assume  $(a, b) \sim (a', b')$  and  $(c, d) \sim (c', d')$ . Show  $(ac, bd) \sim (a'c', b'd')$ .

$$(a, b) \sim (a', b') \implies ab' = ba'$$

$$(c, d) \sim (c', d') \implies cd' = dc'$$

$$\begin{aligned} acb'd' &= (ab')(cd') \\ &= (ba')(dc') \\ &= (a'c')(bd) \end{aligned}$$

$$\implies (ac, bd) \sim (a'c', b'd') \checkmark$$

□

In what way does  $\mathbb{Q}$  extend  $\mathbb{Z}$ ?

The correspondence is  $\frac{n}{1} \longleftrightarrow n$ . Addition and multiplication is the same in  $\mathbb{Q}$  as in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

*Note.* We can define subtraction by adding the negative of a number (multiply by  $-1$ ).

### 0.1.2 Order

*Definition* (Order):

An **order** on a set  $S$  is a relation  $<$  satisfying:

1. (Trichotomy) If  $x, y \in S$ , exactly one is true:  $x < y$ ,  $x = y$ ,  $y < x$ .
2. (Transitivity) If  $x, y, z \in S$ ,  $x < y$ , and  $y < z$ ,  $x < z$ .

*Example:*

In  $\mathbb{Z}$ , say  $m < n$  if  $n - m$  is positive, i.e. in  $\mathbb{N}$ .

*Example:*

In  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ , say  $(a, b) < (c, d)$  if  $a < c$  or ( $a = c$  and  $b < d$ ). This is called the dictionary order.

*Example:*

In  $\mathbb{Q}$ , say  $\frac{m}{n}$  is positive if  $mn > 0$ . This is well-defined.

*Proof.* Assume  $(m, n) \sim (p, q)$  and  $mn > 0$ . Show  $pq > 0$ .

Suppose, to the contrary,  $pq < 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} (m, n) \sim (p, q) &\implies mq = np \\ &\implies (mq)^2 = mqn timerp \end{aligned}$$

By assumption,  $mnpq < 0$ , a contradiction since  $mn > 0$ . Thus,  $pq > 0$ .

□

So  $\frac{a}{b} < \frac{c}{d}$  if  $\frac{c}{d} + \frac{-a}{b}$  is positive.

Write  $y > x$  for  $x < y$  and  $x \leq y$  for  $x < y$  or  $x = y$ .

**Theorem 1.**  $x^2 = 2$  has no solution in  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

*Proof (by contradiction).* Assume  $x^2$  has a solution in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , i.e.  $x = \frac{p}{q}$  where  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Also assume  $p, q$  are in “lowest terms,” i.e. they have no common factors. (We can do this using elements in the equivalence classes of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .)

So  $\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^2 = 2$ , hence  $p^2 = 2q^2$ .

Then  $p^2$  is even (divisible by 2).

Then  $p$  is even. (If  $p$  was odd,  $p^2$  would be odd.)

So  $p = 2m$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , hence  $p^2 = 4m^2 = 2q^2$ .

Then  $2m^2 = q^2$ .

Then  $q^2$  is even, hence  $q$  is even.

This contradicts the fact that  $p, q$  are in “lowest terms.” So,  $x^2 = 2$  must have no solution in  $\mathbb{Q}$ .  $\square$

### 0.1.3 Fields

*Definition* (Field):

A **field** is a set  $F$  with two operations  $+, \times$  satisfying axioms:

**A1.**  $F$  is closed under  $+$ . (Adding two things in the set gives you something in the set.)

**A2.**  $+$  is commutative.

**A3.**  $+$  is associative.

**A4.**  $F$  has an additive identity, call it 0.

**A5.** Every element has an additive inverse.

**M1.**  $F$  is closed under  $\times$ .

**M2.**  $\times$  is commutative.

**M3.**  $\times$  is associative.

**M4.**  $F$  has an additive identity, call it 1.

**M5.** Every element except 0 has an additive inverse.

**D1.**  $\times$  distributes over  $+$ .

*Example:*

In  $\mathbb{Q}$ , the 0 element is  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and the 1 element is  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

*Definition* (Ordered Field):

An **ordered field** is a field with an order s.t. order is preserved by field operations.

1. If  $y < z$ , then  $x + y < x + z$ .
2. If  $y < z$  and  $x > 0$ , then  $xy < xz$ .

*Note.*  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a ring not a field. There are no multiplicative inverses.

$\mathbb{Q}$  is an ordered field!