

Gareth Lewis & Brian McDonald

"Nobody in this industry knows what they're doing, we just have a gut assumption."

- Cliff Bleszinski

Introduction

For this assignment you will develop a game as part of a team and be assessed both in terms of your individual contribution and your team's performance. From the rubrics (below), the *collaborate* learning objective is used to assess how you work as a member of an agile game development team. Conversely, the *process* learning objective is used to assess how your team delivers its product.

This assignment is comprised of multiple parts:



Part A

Attend the scheduled weekly team meeting with your project supervisor. Your supervisor meeting details will be stored on the Falmouth timetable, make sure to attend these.

There are two types of project meeting: a project review / planning session and an individual review. In the individual review, you and your teammates will review each other's approaches to work over the last sprint using the supervisor meeting application, aka the *peer* review. In these meetings, the *studio practice* rubric will be used to assess your **summative** performance over the semester and informal feedback will be made available.

Assessment for the first three weeks will be **formative** and will not count towards your grade for the module.

"Golden rule of level design - Finish your first level last."

- John Romero

In the review / planning session, your team will showcase work undertaken on the most recent sprint and present the forthcoming sprint. During development, the *product evaluation* rubric will be used to give a **formative** assessment of your product's performance at that point. This will help your team to direct their development efforts.

For more information relating to the meeting processes, please read appendix A of the Games Academy Agile Guidebook.



Part B

Work with your team to develop your game during the second study block.

Between timetabled supervision meetings, your team will have allocated space in the Academy to work together and you will have access to staff through the supervised studio practice sessions and tutorials, which can be arranged by email if required, who will be able to give informal feedback concerning the status and implementation approaches of your project.

"You can make an amazing game, but you can't make a success. Your players make the success."

- Irme Jele



"Lets optimize for player experience rather than what we think will make more money."

- Ron Carmel

Please remember to 'TAP' your card in for the sessions you attend to ensure that your attendance is recorded.

In these sessions you will be able to ask for and receive informal feedback concerning the status and implementation approaches of your project.

Part C

Attend the Expo

During week 13, a day will be scheduled for Expo. Each team will need to make a demo of their game available in the Games Academy for staff to play and assess and for other students to play and give feedback on. You should produce the following for the Expo

- A Game Demo which showcases the game development to date
- A Gameplay trailer video, which shows the key gameplay features
- Expo Materials which include:
 - Posters of your game
 - T-shirt designs for your team
 - Optional material which includes flyers, badges, business cards etc

Staff will be assigned to assess your game using the *product evaluation* rubric to give a normalised **summative** assessment of your product's performance at that point.

You will receive informal feedback from staff during the Expo and you will receive formal feedback through Learning Space within three weeks of the Expo.

Additional Guidance

Working as part of a creative team is a very difficult activity and it should come as no surprise that there are likely to be some bumps in the road. The Agile Guidebook contains some advice for dealing with common issues and creating and maintaining a working environment where it's possible to get meaningful creative work done and still remain on good terms with each other.

If you are having any problems, do not hesitate to talk with your project supervisor.

Generally, successful projects tend to come from the development team respecting each other and being able to make progress (no matter how small). Remember, that a lot of the things you want to create in your games either won't work or won't be enjoyable to play. It is the core advantage of Agile development that using an iterative approach to development allows you to have multiple attempts to get things right.

Make sure you always have a working build and that everyone on the team is using version control. We have a large repository in the Academy, so there's always somewhere to store builds.

FAQ

• What is the deadline for this assignment?

Falmouth University policy states that deadlines must only be specified on the MyFalmouth system.

• What should I do to seek help?

You can email your tutor for informal clarifications.

Marking Rubric: Studio Practice

		1	1		ı	T	T	T		1
Learning Outcome Name	Learning Outcome Description	Criteria	Weighting	Clear Fail	Near Pass	3rd	2:2	2:1	1st	>1st
Collaborate / Collaborate	Define the suitable development practices, project management approaches, and version control tools in the execution of a collaborative project.	Effective team worker	20%		Student attends less than 50% of team sessions	Student attends most sessions.	Student attends most sessions.		Student attends most sessions.	Student is driving the team to achieve great things
					Student tends to be disruptive / chaotic	Delivers acceptable work with occasional major issues	Delivers acceptable work without major issues		Delivers good work. Inspires some teammates	Team is highly supportive of leadership direction and approach
				Student tends to be highly disruptive / chaotio		Has issues dealing with some teammates	Has occasional issues dealing with some teammates		No noticeable issues dealing with teammates. Tends to promote team harmony	
		Practitioner	10%	•	Low attendance of agile sessions (sprint planning, stand-ups etc.)		sessions (sprint planning,	Good attendance of agile sessions (sprint planning, stand-ups etc.)		Good attendance
				student	Work always slips Delivers assets for integration only at end of sprint	Some tendency for work to slip, reluctance to share development issues with team or supervisor	delivered, with only occasional slippages.	occasional slippages.	•	Work is delivered, team is generally made aware of issues and progress.
							133463.	Able to provide some support to teammates	Able to provide support to teammates	Able to provide a lot of support to teammates
				Not involved in planning activities	Minor involvement in planning activities	Struggles to contribute meaningfully to planning	Often contributes to planning		Very good contributor to planning	to planning
		Version control practitioner	10%	control Only delivers assets	Student uses VC with many significant problems for the team Mainly delivers assets outside of version control	team	Student uses mainline version control with few problems	version control with few problems but runs into significant problems with	branch with fairly	Student is generally working across multi-branches to deliver features with few, if any, issues.
		Reflective Practitioner	10%	Student fills in few, if any peer review forms for		Student submits feedback that leads to unproductive conflict	Student submits generally reasonable feedback that leads to occasional conflict, which is sometimes productive	generally meaningful	Student submits meaningful and emotionally intelligent feedback	Student submits conscientious and generous feedbackthat team members are highly appreciative of.
					Student generally ignores feedback		Student acts appropriately in response to some feedback	appropriately to feedback	Student reacts appropriately to feedback given	Student seeks out opportunities for self-improvement

Marking Rubric: Product Evaluation

Learning Outcome Name	Learning Outcome Description	Criteria	Weighti ng	Clear Fail	Near Pass	3rd	2:2	2:1	1st	>1st
Innovation / Deliver	Describe how to create and test prototypes in order to deliver an interesting experience.	Conceptual Coherence (game mechanics, settings, theme, aesthetics, interface & platform)	5%	No game presented No game presented Game is too unstable / non-functional to be played enough to evaluate.	game components work well together to create a coherent experience, but they are stymied by the overall experience of discordance between components leading to a generally awkward	together to create a coherent experience.	A core of the game components workwell together to create a coherent experience. However, there are some aspects of components that break the overall coherence	Generally, the game components work well together to create a coherent experience. However, there are some slight niggles which breathe overall coherence	The game components work together to produce create a coherent experience	The game components work well together to produce and create a coherent experience which players can respond positively to
		Creative innovation	10%	No game presented Game is too unstable / non-functional to be played enough to evaluate.	Game is generally a rehash/homage of an existing game with little, if anything to distinguish it.	Game developed from an existing game or genre with the addition of innovative mashups / extensions.	Game developed from arexisting game or genre with the addition of innovative mashups / extensions. Resulting game concept is reasonably engaging	generally organic concep but with some elements which are derivative of existing games or game mechanics	drawing components that make some sense for game.	Game developed as a generally organic concept drawing components that make much sense for the game. Resulting game concept is clearly engaging
		Completeness	5%	No game presented	are of acceptable quality, but the game gives the overall impression that much of it is not finished or working.	The game is of acceptable quality but feels like it would benefit from more development time to	reasonable quality but feels like it needs more work to balance and refine gameplay and/or	The game is of reasonable good quality but there are some balance and gameplay and/or aesthetic components.	The game is of good quality and feels like a game that could be published given more QA	The game is of high quality and feels like a published game with no noticeable.
		Player Experience		No game presented Game is too unstable / non-functional to be played enough to evaluate.	some moments of engagement and	though there is feeling of	The game generates some clear engagement and enjoyability for players, though there is clear scope for improvement.	The game is generally engaging and enjoyable for players, though there is some scope for improvement.	The game is generally highly engaging and enjoyable for players.	The game is highly engaging and enjoyable for players.
			10%		presentation looks and	The overall game presentation looks and feels fairly functional rather than solid.	The overall game presentation looks and feels fairly solid rather than slick.	The overall game presentation looks and feels fairly slick with just a few noticeable issues to detract from it.	The overall game presentation generally looks and feels slick with few issues to detract from it.	The overall game presentation both looks and feels slick. Players want to playthe game beyond reasonable expectations
		EXPO Engagement (Can you		No game presented at Expo	Game is presented at Expo, but team is largely unavailable to present their game	Game is presented by team. Little enthusiasm for product from team.	Game is presented by team. Clear enthusiasm for product from team.	Game is presented by team. High levels of enthusiasm for product from team.	Game is presented by team. High levels of enthusiasm for product from team.	Game is presented by team. High levels of enthusiasm for product from team.
		engage with				Players feel	Players neutral playing in	Players enjoy playing in	Players enjoy playing in	Players enjoy playing in

the Ex leave t with a	dees at po and them strong ve vibe			uncomfortable playing in team's presence	team's presence	team's presence	team's presence	team's presence and can engage is open conversation with team members
about game?	your			communication	are generally clear, but adversarial approach	Team communications are generally clear and generally open to player feedback / concerns	Players are engaged through team's communications	Players are highly engaged throughteam's communications
Br (Qua Marl mat clar studio ide alignm target	XPO rand ality of keting erials, rity of o/game ntity, nent with market, areness)		marketing materials. Team is largely unavailable to present their game	Marketing materials presented appear random and disjointed: art style, composition, choice of materials. Materials do not present the brand in a positive light. Brand creates a negative	Marketing materials presented are fairly coherent. Materials present the brand in a neutral light. Brand creates a neutral /	Marketing materials presented are coherent. Materials present the brand in a positive light.	Team presents a strong and coherent brand across all marketing materials and game. Marketing materials are professional and are well received by stand attendees. Brand creates a very positive buzz at Expo.	Brand comes across as being very professional. Game is 'talk of the Expo for the right reasons.
Sta	XPO bility 5% it work ly?)	No game presented	non-functional to be played enough to evaluate. Team is largely unavailable to present their game	obvious and significant stability issues (crashing, becoming inoperable). Most play-throughs resulin the game crashing or becoming inoperable in	occasional significant stability issues (crashing, becoming inoperable). Some play-throughs	The game has no major significant issues but there are clear small-scale bugs and issues Play-throughs generally have no inoperability issues.	There are no major issues and only slight and largely imperceptible bugs and issues Play-throughs generally have no inoperability issues.	The game gives the impression of being a released commercial game with no perceivable issues Play-throughs generally have no inoperability issues.