

Gareth Lewis

"Nobody in this industry knows what they're doing, we just have a gut assumption."

- Cliff Bleszinski

Introduction

For this assignment you will develop a game as part of a team and be assessed both in terms of your individual contribution and your team's performance. From the rubrics (below), the *collaborate* learning objective is used to assess how you work as a member of an agile game development team. Conversely, the *process* learning objective is used to assess how your team delivers its product.

This assignment is comprised of multiple parts:



Part A

Attend the scheduled weekly team meeting with your project supervisor. Your supervisor meeting details will be stored on the Falmouth timetable, make sure to attend these.

There are two types of project meeting: a project review / planning session and an individual review. In the individual review, you and your teammates will review each other's approaches to work over the last sprint using the supervisor meeting application, aka the *peer* review. In these meetings, the *studio practice* rubric will be used to assess your **summative** performance over the semester and informal feedback will be made available.

Assessment for the first three weeks will be **formative** and will not count towards your grade for the module.

"Golden rule of level design - Finish your first level last."

- John Romero

In the review / planning session, your team will showcase work undertaken on the most recent sprint and present the forthcoming sprint. During development, the *product evaluation* rubric will be used to give a **formative** assessment of your product's performance at that point. This will help your team to direct their development efforts.

For more information relating to the meeting processes, please read appendix A of the Games Academy Agile Guidebook.



Part B

Work with your team to develop your game during the first semester.

Between timetabled supervision meetings, your team will have allocated space in the Academy to work together and you will have access to staff through the supervised studio practice sessions and tutorials, which can be arranged by email if required, who will be able to give informal feedback concerning the status and implementation approaches of your project.

"You can make an amazing game, but you can't make a success. Your players make the success."

- Irme Jele



"Lets optimize for player experience rather than what we think will make more money."

- Ron Carmel

Please remember to 'TAP' your card in for the sessions you attend to ensure that your attendance is recorded.

In these sessions you will be able to ask for and receive informal feedback concerning the status and implementation approaches of your project.

Part C

Attend the Demo Day

During week 13, a day will be scheduled for Demo Day. Each team will need to make a demo of their game available in the Games Academy for staff to play and assess and for other students to play and give feedback on.

Staff will be assigned to assess your game using the *product evaluation* rubric to give a normalised **summative** assessment of your product's performance at that point.

You will receive informal feedback from staff during the Demo Day and you will receive formal feedback through Learning Space within three weeks of the Demo Day.

Additional Guidance

Working as part of a creative team is a very difficult activity and it should come as no surprise that there are likely to be some bumps in the road – as you probably discovered in your $\mathbf{1}^{\text{st}}$ and $\mathbf{2}^{\text{nd}}$ year group projects. The Agile Guidebook contains some advice for dealing with common issues and creating and maintaining a working environment where it's possible to get meaningful creative work done and still remain on good terms with each other.

If you are having any problems, do not hesitate to talk with your project supervisor.

Generally, successful projects tend to come from the development team respecting each other and being able to make progress (no matter how small). Remember, that a lot of the things you want to create in your games either won't work or won't be enjoyable to play. It is the core advantage of Agile development that using an iterative approach to development allows you to have multiple attempts to get things right.

Make sure you always have a working build and that everyone on the team is using version control. We have a large repository in the Academy, so there's always somewhere to store builds.

FAQ

What is the deadline for this assignment?

Falmouth University policy states that deadlines must only be specified on the MyFalmouth system.

• What should I do to seek help?

You can email your tutor for informal clarifications.

Marking Rubric: Studio Practice

	5 Madric.			••						
Learning Outcome Name	Learning Outcome Description	Criteria	Weighting	Clear Fail	Near Pass	3rd	2:2	2:1	1st	>1st
Collaborate / Collaborate	Produce work as part of a multidisciplinary team critically appraising practices, approaches, and tools; applying them to enhance development pipelines.	Effective team worker	20%		Student attends less than 50% of team sessions	Student attends most sessions.	Student attends most sessions.	Student attends most sessions.	Student attends most sessions.	Student is driving the team to achieve great things
					Student tends to be disruptive / chaotic	Delivers acceptable work with occasional major issues	Delivers acceptable work without major issues	Delivers generally good work with only minor issues	Delivers good work. Inspires some teammates	Team is highly supportive of leadership direction and approach
				Student tends to be highly disruptive / chaotic		Has issues dealing with some teammates	Has occasional issues dealing with some teammates		No noticeable issues dealing with teammates. Tends to promote team harmony	
		Agile Practitioner	10%	•	, , , ,	Average attendance of agile sessions (sprint planning, stand-ups etc.)	sessions (sprint planning,	Good attendance of agile sessions (sprint planning, stand-ups etc.)	Good attendance of agile sessions (sprint planning, stand-ups etc.)	Good attendance
				student	Work always slips Delivers assets for integration only at end of sprint	Some tendency for work to slip, reluctance to share development issues with team or supervisor	delivered, with only	Work is generally delivered, with only occasional slippages. Team is generally made aware of issues.	very few slippages. Team	Work is delivered, team is generally made aware of issues and progress.
								Able to provide some support to teammates	Able to provide support to teammates	Able to provide a lot of support to teammates
				Not involved in planning activities	Minor involvement in planning activities	Struggles to contribute meaningfully to planning	Often contributes to	Good contributor to planning	Very good contributor to	Exceptional contributor to planning
					_					
1		Version control practitioner	10%	•	Student uses VC with	Student uses mainline	Student uses mainline	Student is generally	Student is generally	Student is successfully
				control	many significant	version control with few		working in a single	working across multi-	working across multiple
					problems for the team	problems	problems but runs into	branch with fairly	branches to deliver	branches
							significant problems with		features with few, if any,	
							branch-based	mainline	issues.	
				Only delivers assets outside of version control	Mainly delivers assets outside of version control		development			Student is an enthusiastic adopter of other 'advanced' version control concepts
		Reflective Practitioner	10%	Student fills in few, if any		Student submits feedback	Student submits	Student submits	Student submits	Student submits
				peer review forms for	generally lightweight	that leads to	generally reasonable	generally meaningful	meaningful and	conscientious and
					platitudes	unproductive conflict	feedback that leads to	feedback	emotionally intelligent	generous feedback that
						between team members	occasional conflict, which is sometimes productive		feedback	team members are highly appreciative of.
					Student generally ignores	Student often ignores	Student acts	Student tends to act	Student reacts	Student seeks out
					feedback			appropriately to feedback		
l						some onboard	to some feedback	given	given	improvement
	1	l	l		l .	poc onbourd	po some recaback	D 211	p	provement

Marking Rubric: Product Evaluation

Learning Outcome Name	Learning Outcome Description	Criteria	Weighting	Clear Fail	Near Pass	3rd	2:2	2:1	1st	>1st
Process / Deliver	Produce prototypes based on your own intellectual property that deliver distinguished experiences, justifying how and why it could engage, immerse an audience, and/or lead to innovation.	Conceptual Coherence (game mechanics, settings, theme, aesthetics, interface & platform)	10%	No game presented Game is too unstable / non-functional to be played enough to evaluate.	A small subset of the game components work well together to create a coherent experience, but they are stymied by the overall experience of discordance between components leading to a generally awkward experience for players.	A core of the game components work well together to create a coherent experience. However, there are some components that break the overall coherence leading to a conceptually awkward experience for players.	Generally, the game components work well together to create a coherent experience. However, there are some aspects of components that break the overall coherence	The game components work together to produce create a coherent experience	produce create a coherent experience which players can respond positively to	There is a clear harmony of design between game components creating a highly coherent experience which players can respond extremely positively to
		Creative innovation	10%	Game is too unstable / non-functional to be	Game is generally a rehash/homage of an existing game with little, if anything to distinguish it.	extensions.	existing game or genre with the addition of innovative mashups / extensions.	generally organic concept	generally organic concept drawing components that make much sense for the game.	and experience
		Quality	15%	Game is too unstable / non-functional to be played enough to	Some parts of the game are of acceptable quality, but the game gives the overall impression that much of it is not finished or working.	The game is of acceptable quality but feels like it would benefit from more development time to develop and refine	reasonable quality but	The game is of good quality and feels like a game that could be published given more QA.		The game is of extremely high quality, looks and feels like a published game with no noticeable issues
					have obvious and	The game runs, but may have obvious or significant stability issues	The game has no major issues but there are clear small-scale bugs and glitches	The game has no major issues but there are clear small-scale bugs and issues	There are no major issues and only slight and largely imperceptible bugs and issues	
		Player Engagement		Game is too unstable / non-functional to be played enough to	The game generates some moments of engagement and enjoyment for players, though it is generally not an engaging experience	The game generates some engagement and enjoyability for players, though there is feeling of endurance rather than enjoyment.	The game generates some clear engagement and enjoyability for players, though there is clear scope for improvement.	The game is generally engaging and enjoyable for players, though there is some scope for improvement.	The game is generally highly engaging and enjoyable for players.	The game is highly engaging and enjoyable for players.
					The overall game presentation looks and feels functional.	The overall game presentation looks and feels fairly functional rather than solid.	The overall game presentation looks and feels fairly solid rather than slick.	The overall game presentation looks and feels fairly slick with just a few noticeable issues to detract from it.	presentation generally looks and feels slick with few issues to detract from it.	The overall game presentation both looks and feels slick. Players want to play the game beyond reasonable expectations