

Gareth Lewis

"Nobody in this industry knows what they're doing, we just have a gut assumption."

- Cliff Bleszinski

# Introduction

For this assignment you will develop a game as part of a team and be assessed both in terms of your individual contribution and your team's performance. From the rubrics (below), the *collaborate* learning objective is used to assess how you work as a member of an agile game development team. Conversely, the *process* learning objective is used to assess how your team delivers it product.

This assignment is comprised of multiple parts:



### Part A

Attend the scheduled weekly team meeting with your project supervisor. Your supervisor meeting details will be stored on the Falmouth timetable, make sure to attend these. There are two types of project meeting: a project review / planning session and an individual review. In the review / planning session, your team will showcase work undertaken on the most recent sprint and present the forthcoming sprint. For the individual review, you and your teammates will review each other's approaches to work over the last sprint. For more information, please read appendix A of the Agile Guide.

In these sessions you will be able to ask for and receive informal feedback concerning the status and implementation approaches of your project.

"Golden rule of level design - Finish your first level last."

- John Romero

### Part B

# Work with your team to prepare your game for the Games Academy Expo

Between timetabled supervision meetings, your team will have allocated space in the Academy to work together and you will have access to staff through the supervised studio practice sessions who will be able to give informal feedback concerning the status and implementation approaches of your project.



Your work on the project during the semester will be used to evaluate your performance for the 'Studio Practice' component of this assignment.

Please remember to 'TAP' your card in for the sessions you attend to ensure that your attendance is recorded.

In these sessions you will be able to ask for and receive informal feedback concerning the status and implementation approaches of your project.

"You can make an amazing game, but you can't make a success. Your players make the success."

- Irme Jele



"Lets optimize for player experience rather than what we think will make more money."

- Ron Carmel

#### Part C

#### Prepare your Expo presence

In addition to creating your game, your team will be required to create an eyecatching and engaging presence at the Expo. The precise details of Expo content will be revealed closer to the Expo date, but will consist of creating suitable marketing plan that will result in the creation of a memorable and professional brand, delivered through posters, videos and materials that can be handed out to attendees to create a (hopefully) positive buzz around your game.

Your supervisor will be available to discuss marketing and branding considerations during the weekly supervision meetings.

#### Part D

#### Attend the Game Academy Expo

You will be required to attend the Game Academy Expo to demonstrate your game to Expo attendees. Your game and your team's performance at the Expo will be evaluated through the 'Product & Expo Evaluation' component of the assignment.

You will receive informal feedback from staff during the Expo and you will receive formal feedback through Learning Space within three weeks of the Expo.

## **Additional Guidance**

This assignment builds on your team development experiences from, all the additional guidance from GAM320 Studio Practice is valid for this assignment. However, this assignment builds on those experiences through the planning & preparation and delivery of your team's stand at the Expo.

Creating a coherent brand for a game is not a simple or straightforward process and should not be left until the last minute. Likewise, getting marketing materials delivered requires careful planning as working with third parties (printers, poster markers etc) normally has lead times associated with it, so plan early.

Typically, developing a brand, creating eye-catching stand materials, attendee giveaways and marketing videos is a job for multiple people to consider and should not just be "left to artists". Whoever is engaged on these activities will end up delivering less game content, so work out a plan that will not kill your team members but will still allow you to deliver a game that works as well as deliver suitably professional and engaging marketing materials.

### FAQ

#### What is the deadline for this assignment?

Falmouth University policy states that deadlines must only be specified on the MyFalmouth system.

#### What should I do to seek help?

You can email your tutor for informal clarifications.

Marking Rubric
Assignment 2 – Studio Practice

Learning	Learning	adio i i d								
Outcome Name	Outcome Description	Criteria	Weighting	Clear Fail	Near Pass	3rd	2:2	2:1	1st	>1st
	Produce work as part of a multidisciplinary team critically appraising practices, approaches, and tools; applying them to enhance development pipelines.	Effective team worker	20%	large number of team meetings / SSP sessions	Student attends less than 50% of team sessions Student tends to be disruptive / chaotic	Student attends most sessions.  Delivers acceptable work with occasional major issues  Has issues dealing with some teammates	Student attends most sessions.  Delivers acceptable work without major issues  Has occasional issues dealing with some	Student attends most sessions. Delivers generally good work with only minor issues	Student attends most sessions.  Delivers good work. Inspires some teammates  No noticeable issues dealing with teammates.	Student is driving the team to achieve great things  Team is highly supportive of leadership direction and approach
				Very low attendance	Low attendance	Average attendance	teammates Good attendance	Good attendance	Tends to promote team harmony Good attendance	Good attendance
Collaborate		Agile Practitioner	10%	Team has little to no idea what's going on		Some tendency work to slip, reluctance to share development issues	Work is generally delivered, with only occasional slippages. Team is often, but not always made aware of issues.	Work is generally delivered, with only occasional slippages.	Work is delivered, with very few slippages. Team is generally made aware of issues and progress.  Able to provide reasonable support to	Work is delivered, team is
/ Collaborate				Not involved in planning activities	Minor involvement in planning activities	Struggles to contribute meaningfully to planning	Often contributes to	Good contributor to	teammates  Very good contributor to planning	Extremely good contributor to planning
		Version control practitioner	10%	Student ignores version control at all costs  Only delivers assets outside of version control	Student uses VC with many significant problems for the team Mainly delivers assets outside of version control	Student uses VC with few problems	•	Student is generally working in a single branch with fairly successful integration to mainline Integration generally works well	Student is generally working across multi- branches to deliver features Integration works well	Student is successfully working across multiple branches  Student is an enthusiastic adopter of other 'advanced' version control concepts
		Reflective Practitioner		teammates	generally lightweight		Student submits generally reasonable feedback that leads to occasional flair ups between team members Student acts appropriately to some feedback	feedback Student tends to act	Student submits meaningful and emotionally intelligent feedback Student acts appropriately to feedback	Student submits wise and highly enlightened feedback that team members are highly appreciative of.  Student seeks out opportunities for selfimprovement

Assignment 2 – Product & Expo Evaluation

Learning Outcome Name	Learning Outcome Description	Criteria	Weighting		Near Pass	3rd	2:2	2:1	1st	>1st
	Produce prototypes based on your own intellectual property that deliver distinguished experiences, justifying how and why it could engage, immerse an audience, and/or lead to innovation.	Conceptual Coherence (game mechanics, settings, theme, aesthetics, interface & platform)	5%	No game presented  Game is too unstable / non-functional to be played enough to evaluate.	A small subset of the game components work well together to create a coherent experience, but they are stymied by the overall experience of discordance between components leading to a generally awkward experience for players.	coherent experience. However, there are some components that break the overall coherence	Generally, the game components work well together to create a coherent experience. However, there are some aspects of components that break the overall coherence	The game components work together to produce create a coherent experience	The game components work well together to produce create a coherent experience which players can respond positively to	There is a clear harmony of design between game components creating a highly coherent experience which players can respond extremely positively to
		Creative innovation  (is there novelty in what you have made)	10%	No game presented  Game is too unstable / non-functional to be played enough to evaluate.	Game is generally a rehash/homage of an existing game with little, if anything to distinguish it.	Game developed from an existing game or genre with the addition of innovative mashups / extensions.  Resulting game concept is of questionable	Game developed from an existing game or genre with the addition of innovative mashups / extensions.  Resulting game concept is reasonably engaging	generally organic concept drawing components that make some sense for game.	Game developed as a generally organic concept drawing components that make much sense for the game.  Resulting game concept is clearly engaging	Game is genuinely novel and extremely engaging to play
Process / Deliver		Completeness  (does it feel like a completed product or a tech demo / prototype)	5%	No game presented	Game is presented but feels like a 'proof of concept' or prototype with few features.	engagement Game feels like a product in development with placeholder game elements, wrapper and levels.	Game feels like a near content-complete product with some placeholder elements in game, wrapper or levels (not all three).	Game feels like a complete product but may have a time/content limited player experience (number of levels / player activities, gameplay components)	balanced player	The game gives the impression of being a released commercial game with no perceivable issues
		Player Experience (do people enjoy playing it?)	10%	No game presented  Game is too unstable / non-functional to be played enough to evaluate.	The game generates some moments of engagement and enjoyability for players, though its generally not a great experience	The game generates some engagement and enjoyability for players, though there is feeling of endurance rather than enjoyment.	The game generates some clear engagement and enjoyability for players, though there is clear scope for improvement.	The game is generally engaging and enjoyable for players, though there is some scope for improvement.	The game is generally highly engaging and enjoyable for players.	Players want to play the game beyond reasonable expectations

	Т		No game procented at	Game is presented at	Gama is prosented by	Game is presented by	Gama is presented by	Cama is presented by	Cama is presented by
			No game presented at	Expo, but team is largely		team.	Game is presented by team.	Game is presented by team.	Game is presented by
	EXPO		Expo			Clear enthusiasm for			team.
	Engagement								High levels of enthusiasm
				their game	product from team.	product from team.	for product from team.	for product from team.	for product from team.
	(Can you				Players feel	Players neutral playing in	Players enjoy playing in	Players enjoy playing in	Players enjoy playing in
	engage with				uncomfortable playing in		team's presence	team's presence	team's presence and can
	attendees at	10%			team's presence	leam's presence	leam's presence	team s presence	engage is open
	the Expo and	1070			team s presence				conversation with team
	leave them								members
	with a strong								incinders
	positive vibe				Players are left unclear /	Team communications	Team communications	Players are engaged	Players are highly
	about your					are generally clear, but	are generally clear and	through team's	engaged through team's
	game?)					adversarial approach	,	communications	communications
							feedback / concerns		
	51/00		No game presented or	Game presented but with		Marketing materials	Marketing materials	Team presents a strong	Brand comes across as
	EXPO		no marketing materials		o .	presented are fairly	presented are coherent.	and coherent brand	being very professional.
	Brand		· ·	marketing materials.	random and disjointed:	coherent.		across all marketing	
	(O alt) af				art style, composition,			materials and game.	Game is 'talk of the Expo'
	(Quality of			Team is largely	choice of materials.				for the right reasons.
	Marketing			unavailable to present					
	materials, clarity of	5%		their game	Materials do not present	Materials present the	Materials present the	Marketing materials are	
	studio/game	3%			the brand in a positive	brand in a neutral light.	brand in a positive light.	professional and are	
	identity,				light.			well-received by stand	
	alignment with							attendees.	
1	target market,								
	IP awareness)				Brand creates a negative	Brand creates a neutral /	Brand creates some	Brand creates a very	
	ii awareness,				buzz at Expo	no buzz at Expo	positive buzz at Expo	positive buzz at Expo.	
			No game presented		The game runs, but has	The game runs but has	,	There are no major	The game gives the
					_	J	significant issues but	issues and only slight and	
	EXPO					, ,	there are clear small-	largely imperceptible	released commercial
	Stability			evaluate.	becoming inoperable).	becoming inoperable).	scale bugs and issues	bugs and issues	game with no
		5%							perceivable issues
	(Does it work			L					
	reliably?)			0 ,		Some play-throughs	, , ,		Play-throughs generally
						result in the game	have no inoperability	have no inoperability	have no inoperability
				•		crashing or becoming	issues.	issues.	issues.
					inoperable in some way	inoperable in some way			