

Dr Ed Powley

## Introduction

In this assignment, you are required to **design** and **implement** a "de-make" of a well-known game. Your de-make will be implemented in assembly language and will run on the Nintendo Entertainment System (NES).

Bla bla bla motivation.

This assignment is formed of several parts:

(A) **Prepare** a 10-minute presentation that will:

- (i) **outline** the concept of your de-make, including the game it is based upon;
- (ii) **explain** the key mechanic around which your de-make will focus;
- (iii) justify the technical feasibility of your concept.
- (B) **Write** a draft computer program in 6502 assembly language that will (i) **implement** your de-make concept.
- (C) Write a final computer program in 6502 assembly language that will(i) address any shortcomings identified by tutors and peers in part (B).
- (D) **Demonstrate** your game in the timetabled demo session.

Moore's law, anything that an extremely clever graphics programmer can do at one point can be replicated by a merely competent programmer some number of years later."

"Because of the nature of

— John Carmack

"Programming is like this amazing puzzle game where the puzzles are created by your own stupidity."

- Brad O'Farrell

## **Assignment Setup**

This assignment is a **programming** task. Fork the GitHub repository at the following URL:

https://github.com/Falmouth-Games-Academy/comp310-portfolio

Use the existing directory structure and, as required, extend this structure with sub-directories. Ensure that you maintain the readme.md file. If necessary, please ensure that you add any editor-specific files and folders to .gitignore.

#### Part A

Part A consists of a **single formative submission**. This work is **individual** and will be assessed on a **threshold** basis. Answer the following questions to pass:

- What is the title of the game?
- On what well-known game is it based?
- What is the core mechanic that your game will implement?
- Is the game technically feasible, given the limitations of the target platform? It may be helpful to reference existing NES games here.
- What is the intended aesthetic?
- Is the scope appropriate for the product development time-frame?

To complete Part A, prepare a 10-minute presentation. You may use any presentation software and visual aids that you deem appropriate.



*Halo 2600*, a de-make of Halo developed for the Atari 2600 by Ed Fries.

Deliver your presentation in class. You will receive immediate **informal feed-back** from tutor and peers.

#### Part B

Part B consists of a **single formative submission**. This work is **individual** and will be assessed on a **threshold** basis.

To complete Part B, implement your game concept in 6502 assembly language targeting the NES.

You will receive immediate **informal feedback** from tutor and peers.

## **Additional Guidance**

As always, avoid underestimating the effort required to implement even simple software; always consider scope. From the proposal stage, you should consider very carefully what is feasible.

Your code will be assessed on **functional coherence**: how well the finished product corresponds to the user stories, and whether it has any obvious bugs. Correspondence to user stories runs both ways: implementing features that were not present in the design ("feature creep") is just as bad as neglecting to implement features.

Unlike your previous assignments, you will be assessed on the **performance** of your solution. Real-time graphics and simulation are not just about creating aesthetically pleasing effects, but doing so whilst maintaining a smooth and consistent framerate free of any lag or glitches that might frustrate the player. It may be necessary to trade-off the complexity or fidelity of an effect in order to achieve acceptable performance.

Your code will also be assessed on **sophistication**. To succeed on a project of this size and complexity, you will need to make use of appropriate algorithms, data structures, libraries, and object oriented programming concepts. Appropriateness to the task at hand is key: you will **not** receive credit for complexity where something simpler would have sufficed.

Maintainability is important in all programming projects, but doubly so when working in a team. Use **comments** liberally to improve code comprehension, and carefully choose the **names** for your files, classes, functions and variables. Use a well-established commenting convention for **high-level documentation**. The open-source tool Doxygen supports several such conventions. Also ensure that all code corresponds to a sensible and consistent **formatting style**: indentation, whitespace, placement of curly braces, etc. Hard-coded **literals** (numbers and strings) within the source should be avoided, with values instead defined as constants together in a single place. Consider allowing some literal values, where appropriate, to be "tinkered" without changing the source code, e.g. by defining them in an external file read at startup.

As with all assignments on this course, you are expected to display a level of **innovation and creative flair** befitting Falmouth University's reputation as a world-leading arts institution. One approach to promoting creativity is **divergent thinking**: generating ideas by exploring many possible solutions. Often the most interesting ideas are **subversive**: they deliberately go against convention or obvious solutions.

You will **not** be judged on the quality of your art assets. It is fine to use meshes and textures found online, as long as they are available under an appropriate license and are properly attributed.

## FAQ

## • What is the deadline for this assignment?

Falmouth University policy states that deadlines must only be specified on the MyFalmouth system.

#### • What should I do to seek help?

You can email your tutor for informal clarifications. For informal feedback, make a pull request on GitHub.

## • Is this a mistake?

If you have discovered an issue with the brief itself, the source files are available at:

https://github.com/Falmouth-Games-Academy/bsc-assignment-briefs. Please make a pull request and comment accordingly.

# **Additional Resources**

- http://www.opengl-tutorial.org
- http://gamedev.stackexchange.com/questions/32876/good-resources-for-learning-modern-opengl-3-0-or-later
- https://google.github.io/styleguide/cppguide.html

# Marking Rubric

Criterion	Weight	Refer for Resubmission	Novice Competency	Novice Proficiency	Professional Competency	Professional Proficiency	Expert Competency	
Basic Competency	40%	At least one part is missing or is unsatisfactory.	Submission is timely.					
Threshold			Enough work is available to hold a meaningful discussion.					
			Clear evidence of programming knowledge and communication skills.					
			No breaches of academic integrity.					
Appropriateness of User Stories and Sprint Plans	5%	Few user stories are distinguishable and easily measured.	Some user stories are distinguishable and easily measured.	Most user stories are distinguishable and easily measured.	Nearly all user stories are distinguishable and easily measured.	All user stories are distinguishable and easily measured.	All user stories are distinguishable and easily measured.	
		Sprint plans provide little support for the project.	Sprint plans provide some support for the project.	User stories correspond to the product design. Sprint plans provide much support for the project.	User stories clearly correspond to the product design.  Sprint plans provide considerable support for the project.	User stories clearly and comprehensively correspond to the product design.	User stories clearly and comprehensively correspond to the product design.	
						Sprint plans provide significant support for the project.	Sprint plans provide extensive support for the project.	
Functional Coherence	5%	Few user stories have been implemented and/or the code fails to compile or run.  Many obvious and serious bugs are detected.  The game's performance is unacceptable.	Some user stories have been implemented.	Many user stories have been implemented.	Almost all user stories have been implemented.	All user stories have been implemented.	All user stories have been implemented.	
			Some obvious bugs are detected. The game has many performance issues.	There is some evidence of feature creep.	There is little evidence of feature creep.	There is almost no evidence of feature creep.	There is no evidence of feature creep.	
				Few obvious bugs are detected.	Some minor bugs are detected.  The game has very few performance issues.	and/or superficial in nature, d	Few to no bugs are detected.	
				The game has some performance issues.		are detected.  The game has almost no performance issues.	The game has no performance issues.	
Sophistication	10%	Little insight into the appropriate use of programming constructs is evident from the source code.	Some insight into the appropriate use of programming constructs is evident from the source code.	Much insight into the appropriate use of programming constructs is evident from the source code.	Considerable insight into the appropriate use of programming constructs is evident from the source code.	Significant insight into the appropriate use of programming constructs is evident from the source code.	Extensive insight into the appropriate use of programming constructs is evident from the source code.	
		The program structure is poor or non-existant.	The program structure is adequate.	The program structure is appropriate.	The program structure is effective. There is high cohesion and low coupling.	The program structure is very effective. There is high cohesion and low coupling.	The program structure is extremely effective. There is very high cohesion and very low coupling.	
Maintainability	15%	The code is only sporadically commented, if at all, or	The code is somewhat well commented.	The code is reasonably well commented.	The code is reasonably well commented.	The code is very well commented.	The code is extremely well commented.	
		comments are unclear.  Few identifier names are clear or inappropriate.	Some identifier names are descriptive and appropriate.	Most identifier names are descriptive and appropriate.	Almost all identifier names are descriptive and appropriate.	All identifier names are descriptive and appropriate.	All identifier names are descriptive and appropriate.	
		Code formatting hinders readability.	An attempt has been made to adhere to a consistent	Most code adheres to a sensible formatting style.	Almost all code adheres to a sensible formatting style.	All code adheres to a sensible formatting style.	All code adheres to a sensible formatting style.	
			formatting style.  There is little obvious duplication of code or of literal values.	There is almost no obvious duplication of code or of literal values.	There is no obvious duplication of code or of literal values.	There is no obvious duplication of code or of literal values.	There is no duplication of code or of literal values.	
Creative Flair	10%	Little or no creativity.	Some creativity.	Much creativity.	Considerable creativity.	Significant creativity.	Extensive creativity.	
		The work is a clone of an existing work with mere cosmetic alterations.  The work delivers little or no	The work is derivative of existing works, with only minor alterations.  The work delivers some fun	The work is derivative of existing works, demonstrating little divergent and/or subversive	The work is somewhat novel, demonstrating some divergent and/or subversive thinking.	The work is novel, demonstrating significant divergent and/or subversive thinking.	The work is highly original, with strong evidence of divergent and/or subversive thinking.	
		fun and/or engagement.	and/or engagement.	thinking.  The work delivers much fun and/or engagement.	The work delivers considerable fun and/or engagement.	The work delivers significant fun and/or engagement.	The work delivers extensive fun and/or engagement.	

Criterion	Weight	Refer for Resubmission	Novice Competency	Novice Proficiency	Professional Competency	Professional Proficiency	Expert Competency
Portability and Navigability	5%	The game will not run at all.  The code can only be compiled on the student's machine.  The directory structure inside the submitted zip file is unclear.	The game runs on an NES emulator.  The code can be compiled on another machine.  The directory structure inside the submitted zip file is adequate.	The game runs on a variety of NES emulators, but with issues on some emulators.  The code can be compiled on another machine.  The directory structure inside the submitted zip file is	The game runs on a variety of NES emulators with only minor issues.  The code can be compiled on another machine.  The directory structure inside the submitted zip file is	The game runs on a variety of NES emulators, and on NES hardware, with only minor issues.  The code can be compiled on another machine.  The directory structure inside	The game runs on a variety of NES emulators, and on NES hardware, with no issues.  The code can be compiled on another machine.  The directory structure inside
Use of Version Control	5%	Material has been checked into GitHub once per sprint	Code has been checked into GitHub at least once	mostly sensible.  Code has been checked into GitHub several times per	sensible.  Code has been checked into GitHub several times per	the submitted zip file is sensible.  Code has been checked into GitHub several times per	the submitted zip file is sensible.  Code has been checked into GitHub several times per
Como		or less.	per sprint.	sprint.  Commit messages are clear, concise and relevant.	sprint.  Commit messages are clear, concise and relevant.	sprint.  Commit messages are clear, concise and relevant.	week.  Commit messages are clear, concise and relevant.
				There is some evidence of engagement with peers (e.g. code review).	There is much evidence of engagement with peers (e.g. code review).	There is significant evidence of engagement with peers (e.g. code review).	There is extensive evidence of engagement with peers (e.g. code review).