

COMP110: Principles of Computing Test Driven Development

Learning outcomes

By the end of this session, you will...

▶ asdf



Test driven development

Unit testing

 A unit test or test case is a piece of code that verifies a unit (e.g. a function or class) of a program

Unit testing

- A unit test or test case is a piece of code that verifies a unit (e.g. a function or class) of a program
- ► E.g. verifies that a function called with a particular set of parameters returns the expected result

Unit testing

- A unit test or test case is a piece of code that verifies a unit (e.g. a function or class) of a program
- E.g. verifies that a function called with a particular set of parameters returns the expected result
- The following might be unit tests for a factorial function:
 - ▶ factorial(1) == 1
 - ► factorial(2) == 2
 - ► factorial(3)== 6
 - ▶ factorial(4) == 24

Why do unit testing?

Can find problems that normal testing misses

Why do unit testing?

- Can find problems that normal testing misses
- Bottom-up testing if the parts work properly, it's easier to make the whole work properly

Why do unit testing?

- Can find problems that normal testing misses
- Bottom-up testing if the parts work properly, it's easier to make the whole work properly
- When code is changed, can verify that nothing was broken

► Have to spend time writing tests

- Have to spend time writing tests
 - Not really a drawback good unit tests will probably save more time in debugging than it takes to write them

- Have to spend time writing tests
 - Not really a drawback good unit tests will probably save more time in debugging than it takes to write them
- Can give a false sense of security

- Have to spend time writing tests
 - Not really a drawback good unit tests will probably save more time in debugging than it takes to write them
- Can give a false sense of security
 - Unit tests can't cover 100% of a complex program not a substitute for other forms of testing

 A development process that advocates writing the unit tests first

- A development process that advocates writing the unit tests first
- ► Repeat the following three steps:

- A development process that advocates writing the unit tests first
- Repeat the following three steps:
 - 1. Red: create a new test case, which should initially fail

- A development process that advocates writing the unit tests first
- ► Repeat the following three steps:
 - 1. Red: create a new test case, which should initially fail
 - 2. **Green**: write code to make the new test **succeed** (without causing the other test cases to fail)

- A development process that advocates writing the unit tests first
- Repeat the following three steps:
 - 1. Red: create a new test case, which should initially fail
 - 2. **Green**: write code to make the new test **succeed** (without causing the other test cases to fail)
 - 3. **Refactor**: **improve** the code, ensuring that all tests still **succeed**

► All the benefits of **unit testing**, plus...

- ► All the benefits of unit testing, plus...
- Often easier to convert a user story into test cases rather than directly into code

- All the benefits of unit testing, plus...
- Often easier to convert a user story into test cases rather than directly into code
- Writing the bare minimum of code to make the test "green" lets you focus on user stories, not on over-generalisation or non-essential functionality

- All the benefits of unit testing, plus...
- Often easier to convert a user story into test cases rather than directly into code
- Writing the bare minimum of code to make the test "green" lets you focus on user stories, not on over-generalisation or non-essential functionality
 - KISS: Keep It Simple, Stupid
 - YAGNI: You Aren't Gonna Need It

Create a new test case, which should initially fail

- Create a new test case, which should initially fail
- Write only enough code to allow the test case to compile and run, e.g. write a stub function

- Create a new test case, which should initially fail
- Write only enough code to allow the test case to compile and run, e.g. write a stub function
- ▶ What if the test succeeds?

- Create a new test case, which should initially fail
- Write only enough code to allow the test case to compile and run, e.g. write a stub function
- What if the test succeeds?
 - Maybe you already implemented that feature?

- Create a new test case, which should initially fail
- Write only enough code to allow the test case to compile and run, e.g. write a stub function
- What if the test succeeds?
 - Maybe you already implemented that feature?
 - Maybe the test case is wrong?

- Create a new test case, which should initially fail
- Write only enough code to allow the test case to compile and run, e.g. write a stub function
- What if the test succeeds?
 - Maybe you already implemented that feature?
 - Maybe the test case is wrong?
 - Maybe your unit testing code is broken?

 Add the bare minimum of code to make the new test case succeed

- Add the bare minimum of code to make the new test case succeed
 - ► Keep It Simple, Stupid!

- Add the bare minimum of code to make the new test case succeed
 - ► Keep It Simple, Stupid!
- Verify that all unit tests now succeed

- Add the bare minimum of code to make the new test case succeed
 - Keep It Simple, Stupid!
- Verify that all unit tests now succeed
- ▶ What if old tests now fail?

- Add the bare minimum of code to make the new test case succeed
 - Keep It Simple, Stupid!
- Verify that all unit tests now succeed
- ▶ What if old tests now fail?
 - ► Fix it

- Add the bare minimum of code to make the new test case succeed
 - Keep It Simple, Stupid!
- Verify that all unit tests now succeed
- ▶ What if old tests now fail?
 - ▶ Fix it
 - Or revert and start again can be faster than debugging

- Add the bare minimum of code to make the new test case succeed
 - Keep It Simple, Stupid!
- Verify that all unit tests now succeed
- What if old tests now fail?
 - ▶ Fix it
 - Or revert and start again can be faster than debugging
 - (you did commit before you started, right?)

► E.g. remove duplication, improve names, add documentation, apply design patterns, ...

- ► E.g. remove duplication, improve names, add documentation, apply design patterns, ...
- ► To generalise or not to generalise?

- ► E.g. remove duplication, improve names, add documentation, apply design patterns, ...
- ► To generalise or not to generalise?
- ▶ Do generalise if it makes the code simpler

- E.g. remove duplication, improve names, add documentation, apply design patterns, ...
- To generalise or not to generalise?
- Do generalise if it makes the code simpler
- Don't generalise because you "might" need it later

- E.g. remove duplication, improve names, add documentation, apply design patterns, ...
- To generalise or not to generalise?
- Do generalise if it makes the code simpler
- ▶ Don't generalise because you "might" need it later
 - You Aren't Gonna Need It!
 - Wait until it is needed in another cycle

- E.g. remove duplication, improve names, add documentation, apply design patterns, ...
- To generalise or not to generalise?
- Do generalise if it makes the code simpler
- Don't generalise because you "might" need it later
 - You Aren't Gonna Need It!
 - Wait until it is needed in another cycle
- Verify that all unit tests still succeed