

COMP220: Graphics & Simulation

11: Numerical accuracy

Next week

Catch-up tutorials

- ▶ Last chance for feedback on your CPD task

COMP210 and COMP220 vivas

- ▶ Timetable still being finalised
- ▶ Keep an eye on Slack / email

Deadlines?!?

- ▶ Check MyFalmouth

Representing numbers



Powers of 10

Powers of 10

$$10^6 = 1 \underbrace{000000}_{6 \text{ zeroes}}$$

Powers of 10

$$10^6 = 1 \underbrace{000000}_{6 \text{ zeroes}}$$

$$10^1 = 10$$

Powers of 10

$$10^6 = 1 \underbrace{000000}_{6 \text{ zeroes}}$$

$$10^1 = 10$$

$$10^0 = 1$$

Powers of 10

$$10^6 = 1 \underbrace{000000}_{6 \text{ zeroes}}$$

$$10^1 = 10$$

$$10^0 = 1$$

$$10^{-1} = 0.1$$

Powers of 10

$$10^6 = 1 \underbrace{000000}_{6 \text{ zeroes}}$$

$$10^1 = 10$$

$$10^0 = 1$$

$$10^{-1} = 0.1$$

$$10^{-6} = 0. \underbrace{000000}_{5 \text{ zeroes}} 1$$

Powers of 10

$$10^6 = 1 \underbrace{000000}_{6 \text{ zeroes}}$$

$$10^1 = 10$$

$$10^0 = 1$$

$$10^{-1} = 0.1$$

$$10^{-6} = 0. \underbrace{000000}_{5 \text{ zeroes}} 1$$

Multiplying by powers of 10 = shifting the decimal point left/right

Scientific notation

Scientific notation

- ▶ A way of writing **very large** and **very small** numbers

Scientific notation

- ▶ A way of writing **very large** and **very small** numbers
- ▶ $a \times 10^b$, where

Scientific notation

- ▶ A way of writing **very large** and **very small** numbers
- ▶ $a \times 10^b$, where
 - ▶ a ($1 \leq |a| < 10$) is the **mantissa**

Scientific notation

- ▶ A way of writing **very large** and **very small** numbers
- ▶ $a \times 10^b$, where
 - ▶ a ($1 \leq |a| < 10$) is the **mantissa**
 - ▶ (a is a positive or negative number with a single non-zero digit before the decimal point)

Scientific notation

- ▶ A way of writing **very large** and **very small** numbers
- ▶ $a \times 10^b$, where
 - ▶ a ($1 \leq |a| < 10$) is the **mantissa**
 - ▶ (a is a positive or negative number with a single non-zero digit before the decimal point)
 - ▶ b (an integer) is the **exponent**

Scientific notation

- ▶ A way of writing **very large** and **very small** numbers
- ▶ $a \times 10^b$, where
 - ▶ a ($1 \leq |a| < 10$) is the **mantissa**
 - ▶ (a is a positive or negative number with a single non-zero digit before the decimal point)
 - ▶ b (an integer) is the **exponent**
- ▶ E.g. 1 light year = 9.461×10^{15} metres

Scientific notation

- ▶ A way of writing **very large** and **very small** numbers
- ▶ $a \times 10^b$, where
 - ▶ a ($1 \leq |a| < 10$) is the **mantissa**
 - ▶ (a is a positive or negative number with a single non-zero digit before the decimal point)
 - ▶ b (an integer) is the **exponent**
- ▶ E.g. 1 light year = 9.461×10^{15} metres
- ▶ E.g. Planck's constant = 6.626×10^{-34} joules

Scientific notation

- ▶ A way of writing **very large** and **very small** numbers
- ▶ $a \times 10^b$, where
 - ▶ a ($1 \leq |a| < 10$) is the **mantissa**
 - ▶ (a is a positive or negative number with a single non-zero digit before the decimal point)
 - ▶ b (an integer) is the **exponent**
- ▶ E.g. 1 light year = 9.461×10^{15} metres
- ▶ E.g. Planck's constant = 6.626×10^{-34} joules
- ▶ Socrative FALCOMPED

Scientific notation in C++

Scientific notation in C++

Instead of writing $\times 10$, write e

Scientific notation in C++

Instead of writing $\times 10$, write e

```
double lightYear = 9.461e15;  
double plancksConstant = 6.626e-34;
```

Scientific notation in C++

Instead of writing $\times 10$, write e

```
double lightYear = 9.461e15;  
double plancksConstant = 6.626e-34;
```

This also works in Python and many other programming languages

Floating point numbers

Floating point numbers

- ▶ Similar to scientific notation, but **base 2** (binary)

Floating point numbers

- ▶ Similar to scientific notation, but **base 2** (binary)
- ▶ $\pm \text{mantissa} \times 2^{\text{exponent}}$

Floating point numbers

- ▶ Similar to scientific notation, but **base 2** (binary)
- ▶ $\pm \text{mantissa} \times 2^{\text{exponent}}$
- ▶ Sign is stored as a single bit: 0 = +, 1 = -

Floating point numbers

- ▶ Similar to scientific notation, but **base 2** (binary)
- ▶ $\pm \text{mantissa} \times 2^{\text{exponent}}$
- ▶ Sign is stored as a single bit: 0 = +, 1 = -
- ▶ Mantissa is a binary number with a 1 before the point; only the digits after the point are stored

Floating point numbers

- ▶ Similar to scientific notation, but **base 2** (binary)
- ▶ $\pm \text{mantissa} \times 2^{\text{exponent}}$
- ▶ Sign is stored as a single bit: 0 = +, 1 = -
- ▶ Mantissa is a binary number with a 1 before the point; only the digits after the point are stored
- ▶ Exponent is a signed integer, stored with a **bias**

IEEE 754 floating point formats

Type	Sign	Exponent	Mantissa	Total
<code>float</code>	1 bit	8 bits	23 bits	32 bits
<code>double</code>	1 bit	11 bits	52 bits	64 bits

IEEE 754 floating point formats

Type	Sign	Exponent	Mantissa	Total
<code>float</code>	1 bit	8 bits	23 bits	32 bits
<code>double</code>	1 bit	11 bits	52 bits	64 bits

Exponent is stored with a **bias**:

- ▶ Single precision: store exponent + 127
- ▶ Double precision: store exponent + 1023

Example

Example

0 10000001 101000000000000000000000

Example

0 10000001 101000000000000000000000

► Exponent: $129 - 127 = 2$

Example

0 10000001 101000000000000000000000

- ▶ Exponent: $129 - 127 = 2$
- ▶ Mantissa: binary 1.101

Example

0 10000001 101000000000000000000000

- ▶ Exponent: $129 - 127 = 2$
- ▶ Mantissa: binary 1.101
- ▶ $1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8} = 1.625$

Example

0 10000001 101000000000000000000000

- ▶ Exponent: $129 - 127 = 2$
- ▶ Mantissa: binary 1.101
- ▶ $1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8} = 1.625$
- ▶ $1.625 \times 2^2 = 6.5$

Example

0 10000001 101000000000000000000000

- ▶ Exponent: $129 - 127 = 2$
- ▶ Mantissa: binary 1.101
- ▶ $1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8} = 1.625$
- ▶ $1.625 \times 2^2 = 6.5$
- ▶ Alternatively: $1.101 \times 2^2 = 110.1$

Example

0 10000001 101000000000000000000000

- ▶ Exponent: $129 - 127 = 2$
- ▶ Mantissa: binary 1.101
- ▶ $1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8} = 1.625$
- ▶ $1.625 \times 2^2 = 6.5$
- ▶ Alternatively: $1.101 \times 2^2 = 110.1$
- ▶ $= 4 + 2 + \frac{1}{2} = 6.5$

Socratic FALCOMPED

Socrative FALCOMPED

What is the value of this number expressed in IEEE 754 single precision format?

0 01111100 100110000000000000000000

You have **5 minutes**, and you **may** use a calculator!

Floating point numbers

Floating point numbers

- ▶ Similar to scientific notation, but **base 2** (binary)

Floating point numbers

- ▶ Similar to scientific notation, but **base 2** (binary)
- ▶ $\pm \text{mantissa} \times 2^{\text{exponent}}$

Floating point numbers

- ▶ Similar to scientific notation, but **base 2** (binary)
- ▶ $\pm \text{mantissa} \times 2^{\text{exponent}}$
- ▶ Sign is stored as a single bit: 0 = +, 1 = -

Floating point numbers

- ▶ Similar to scientific notation, but **base 2** (binary)
- ▶ $\pm \text{mantissa} \times 2^{\text{exponent}}$
- ▶ Sign is stored as a single bit: 0 = +, 1 = -
- ▶ Mantissa is a binary number with a 1 before the point; only the digits after the point are stored

Floating point numbers

- ▶ Similar to scientific notation, but **base 2** (binary)
- ▶ $\pm \text{mantissa} \times 2^{\text{exponent}}$
- ▶ Sign is stored as a single bit: 0 = +, 1 = -
- ▶ Mantissa is a binary number with a 1 before the point; only the digits after the point are stored
- ▶ Exponent is a signed integer, stored with a **bias**

Limitations of floating point numbers

Limitations of floating point numbers

- ▶ Precision **varies** by **magnitude**: numbers near 0 can be stored more accurately than numbers further from 0.

Limitations of floating point numbers

- ▶ Precision **varies** by **magnitude**: numbers near 0 can be stored more accurately than numbers further from 0.
 - ▶ Why? Socratic FALCOMPED

Limitations of floating point numbers

- ▶ Precision **varies** by **magnitude**: numbers near 0 can be stored more accurately than numbers further from 0.
 - ▶ Why? Socratic `FALCOMPED`
- ▶ Many numbers cannot be represented exactly, e.g. $\frac{1}{5}$

Limitations of floating point numbers

- ▶ Precision **varies** by **magnitude**: numbers near 0 can be stored more accurately than numbers further from 0.
 - ▶ Why? Socratic `FALCOMPED`
- ▶ Many numbers cannot be represented exactly, e.g. $\frac{1}{5}$
 - ▶ Similar to how decimal notation cannot exactly represent $\frac{1}{3} = 0.333333 \dots$

Limitations of floating point numbers

- ▶ Precision **varies** by **magnitude**: numbers near 0 can be stored more accurately than numbers further from 0.
 - ▶ Why? Socratic `FALCOMPED`
- ▶ Many numbers cannot be represented exactly, e.g. $\frac{1}{5}$
 - ▶ Similar to how decimal notation cannot exactly represent $\frac{1}{3} = 0.333333 \dots$
- ▶ This can lead to **rounding errors** with some calculations

Limitations of floating point numbers

- ▶ Precision **varies** by **magnitude**: numbers near 0 can be stored more accurately than numbers further from 0.
 - ▶ Why? Socratic `FALCOMPED`
- ▶ Many numbers cannot be represented exactly, e.g. $\frac{1}{5}$
 - ▶ Similar to how decimal notation cannot exactly represent $\frac{1}{3} = 0.333333 \dots$
- ▶ This can lead to **rounding errors** with some calculations
 - ▶ E.g. according to Python,
`0.1 + 0.2 == 0.30000000000000004`

Testing for equality

Testing for equality

- ▶ Due to rounding errors, using `==` or `!=` with floating point numbers is almost always a bad idea

Testing for equality

- ▶ Due to rounding errors, using `==` or `!=` with floating point numbers is almost always a bad idea
- ▶ E.g. in Python, `0.1 + 0.2 == 0.3` evaluates to `False`

Testing for equality

- ▶ Due to rounding errors, using `==` or `!=` with floating point numbers is almost always a bad idea
- ▶ E.g. in Python, `0.1 + 0.2 == 0.3` evaluates to `False`
- ▶ Better to check for **approximate equality**: calculate the difference between the numbers, and check that it's smaller than some threshold

Numerical accuracy in simulations

Numerical accuracy in simulations

- Errors tend to **accumulate**

Numerical accuracy in simulations

- ▶ Errors tend to **accumulate**
- ▶ Mixing **orders of magnitude** (i.e. mixing large and small numbers) is particularly bad

Fix your timestep!

Fix your timestep!

- Euler integration: $x(t+h) \approx x(t) + h \times \frac{dx}{dt}(t)$

Fix your timestep!

- ▶ Euler integration: $x(t+h) \approx x(t) + h \times \frac{dx}{dt}(t)$
- ▶ If h varies, simulation becomes **non-deterministic** (or “random”)

Fix your timestep!

- ▶ Euler integration: $x(t+h) \approx x(t) + h \times \frac{dx}{dt}(t)$
- ▶ If h varies, simulation becomes **non-deterministic** (or “random”)
- ▶ This is bad!

Fix your timestep!

- ▶ Euler integration: $x(t + h) \approx x(t) + h \times \frac{dx}{dt}(t)$
- ▶ If h varies, simulation becomes **non-deterministic** (or “random”)
- ▶ This is bad!
- ▶ Better to use a **fixed time step** (we covered this in COMP150)

Fixed time step

```
bool running = true;
Uint32 lastUpdateTime = SDL_GetTicks();
const Uint32 timePerUpdate = 1000 / 60;

while (running)
{
    Uint32 currentTime = SDL_GetTicks();
    handleInput();

    while (currentTime - lastUpdateTime >= ←
           timePerUpdate)
    {
        update();
        lastUpdateTime += timePerUpdate;
    }

    render();
}
```

Further information on fixed time steps

- ▶ <http://gafferongames.com/game-physics/fix-your-timestep/>
- ▶ <http://gameprogrammingpatterns.com/game-loop.html>

Advanced physics simulation



[http://www.gdcvault.com.ezproxy.falmouth.ac.uk/play/
1022143/Math-for-Game-Programmers-Game](http://www.gdcvault.com.ezproxy.falmouth.ac.uk/play/1022143/Math-for-Game-Programmers-Game)

[http://www.gdcvault.com.ezproxy.falmouth.ac.uk/play/
1017644/Physics-for-Game-Programmers-Continuous](http://www.gdcvault.com.ezproxy.falmouth.ac.uk/play/1017644/Physics-for-Game-Programmers-Continuous)

[http://www.gdcvault.com.ezproxy.falmouth.ac.uk/play/
1020603/Physics-for-Game-Programmers-Understanding](http://www.gdcvault.com.ezproxy.falmouth.ac.uk/play/1020603/Physics-for-Game-Programmers-Understanding)