

COMP320: Research Practice

# 1: Intro to the final year project



### Learning outcomes

- Explain the aims and expectations of the final year project
- Select appropriate methodologies to conduct scholarly research
- Recall Falmouth University's policy on research ethics and the procedure for obtaining ethics approval





Final year project

It is expected that within an undergraduate programme, students will undertake a **major computing project**, normally in their final year and normally as an individual activity, giving them the opportunity to demonstrate:

their ability to apply practical and analytical skills present in the programme as a whole

- their ability to apply practical and analytical skills present in the programme as a whole
- ▶ innovation and/or creativity

- their ability to apply practical and analytical skills present in the programme as a whole
- innovation and/or creativity
- synthesis of information, ideas and practices to provide a quality solution together with an evaluation of that solution

- their ability to apply practical and analytical skills present in the programme as a whole
- innovation and/or creativity
- synthesis of information, ideas and practices to provide a quality solution together with an evaluation of that solution
- that their project meets a real need in a wider context

- their ability to apply practical and analytical skills present in the programme as a whole
- ▶ innovation and/or creativity
- synthesis of information, ideas and practices to provide a quality solution together with an evaluation of that solution
- that their project meets a real need in a wider context
- ▶ the ability to **self-manage** a significant piece of work

- their ability to apply practical and analytical skills present in the programme as a whole
- innovation and/or creativity
- synthesis of information, ideas and practices to provide a quality solution together with an evaluation of that solution
- that their project meets a real need in a wider context
- the ability to self-manage a significant piece of work
- critical self-evaluation of the process

➤ The final year project is made up of four separate assignments

- The final year project is made up of four separate assignments
- ► In COMP320 (this study block):
  - Prototype research artefact
  - Research review and proposal

- The final year project is made up of four separate assignments
- ► In COMP320 (this study block):
  - Prototype research artefact
  - Research review and proposal
- ► In COMP360 (next study block):
  - Research artefact
  - Dissertation



► Week 2: Brief project proposal

- ► Week 2: Brief project proposal
- ▶ Week 4: Research ethics Approval Form

- ► Week 2: Brief project proposal
- ▶ Week 4: Research ethics Approval Form
- ▶ Week 7: Presentation on Literature Review

- ► Week 2: Brief project proposal
- Week 4: Research ethics Approval Form
- ▶ Week 7: Presentation on Literature Review
- Week 8: Research Review and Proposal Peer Review

- Week 2: Brief project proposal
- Week 4: Research ethics Approval Form
- ▶ Week 7: Presentation on Literature Review
- Week 8: Research Review and Proposal Peer Review
- Week 10: Submit Research Review and Proposal

- Week 2: Brief project proposal
- Week 4: Research ethics Approval Form
- ▶ Week 7: Presentation on Literature Review
- Week 8: Research Review and Proposal Peer Review
- Week 10: Submit Research Review and Proposal
- Week 13 (after xmas): Submit Prototype Research Artefact

- Week 2: Brief project proposal
- Week 4: Research ethics Approval Form
- ▶ Week 7: Presentation on Literature Review
- Week 8: Research Review and Proposal Peer Review
- Week 10: Submit Research Review and Proposal
- Week 13 (after xmas): Submit Prototype Research Artefact
- Week 13 (after xmas): Presentation on Proposal,
   Prototype, and Preliminary Results

- Week 2: Brief project proposal
- Week 4: Research ethics Approval Form
- Week 7: Presentation on Literature Review
- Week 8: Research Review and Proposal Peer Review
- Week 10: Submit Research Review and Proposal
- Week 13 (after xmas): Submit Prototype Research Artefact
- Week 13 (after xmas): Presentation on Proposal,
   Prototype, and Preliminary Results
- ► Formative deadlines: check MyFalmouth

### Note on Presentations

The submission of the literature review and proposal in **Week 10** is worth 70% of the module. Or, 11.6% of the overall degree classification. Please do not neglect it!

It will require considerable effort to read the literature, refine your question, and propose a sound research design!

### Note on Presentations

Presentations will be delivered to 'non-domain' experts. These are research-active staff who will be outside of your field. Present your materials as-if writing for a competent computing professional who is unfamiliar with your specific field.

Essentially the first half of your dissertation

- Essentially the first half of your dissertation
- ► Maximum six pages, in IEEE Transactions format

- Essentially the first half of your dissertation
- ► Maximum six pages, in IEEE Transactions format
  - Same format as an academic journal paper

- Essentially the first half of your dissertation
- ► Maximum six pages, in IEEE Transactions format
  - Same format as an academic journal paper
  - Page limit excludes figures, tables, references and appendices

- Essentially the first half of your dissertation
- Maximum six pages, in IEEE Transactions format
  - Same format as an academic journal paper
  - Page limit excludes figures, tables, references and appendices
  - ▶ 6 pages  $\approx$  6000 words

► Give a thorough literature review of your chosen topic

- Give a thorough literature review of your chosen topic
- Suggest and justify one or more research questions

- Give a thorough literature review of your chosen topic
- Suggest and justify one or more research questions
- Describe your proposed methodology

- ► Give a thorough literature review of your chosen topic
- Suggest and justify one or more research questions
- Describe your proposed methodology
- Present any preliminary results you obtain this study block

Some kind of software artefact — depends on your project

- Some kind of software artefact depends on your project
- ► For example:

- Some kind of software artefact depends on your project
- For example:
  - A game or app that you will use to gather data from human testers

- Some kind of software artefact depends on your project
- For example:
  - A game or app that you will use to gather data from human testers
  - A testbed for computational experimentation

- Some kind of software artefact depends on your project
- For example:
  - A game or app that you will use to gather data from human testers
  - A testbed for computational experimentation
  - A case study for a particular design approach



- Some kind of software artefact depends on your project
- ▶ For example:
  - A game or app that you will use to gather data from human testers
  - A testbed for computational experimentation
  - A case study for a particular design approach
  - A tool to be evaluated

- Some kind of software artefact depends on your project
- ▶ For example:
  - A game or app that you will use to gather data from human testers
  - A testbed for computational experimentation
  - A case study for a particular design approach
  - A tool to be evaluated
- Discuss with your supervisor to decide what is appropriate



Research ethics

## Research ethics

Research involving people is premised on a fundamental moral commitment to advancing human welfare, knowledge, and understanding, and to examining cultural dynamics

 Historically, human participants have not been well-protected from researchers

- Historically, human participants have not been well-protected from researchers
- Need to protect people from dangerous and naïve research practices

- Historically, human participants have not been well-protected from researchers
- Need to protect people from dangerous and naïve research practices
- ► Conflicts of interest (e.g., financial gain, big business)

- Historically, human participants have not been well-protected from researchers
- Need to protect people from dangerous and naïve research practices
- ► Conflicts of interest (e.g., financial gain, big business)
- Monitoring of controversial issues (e.g., gene editing with CRISPR)

- Historically, human participants have not been well-protected from researchers
- Need to protect people from dangerous and naïve research practices
- ► Conflicts of interest (e.g., financial gain, big business)
- Monitoring of controversial issues (e.g., gene editing with CRISPR)
- Privacy and data protection

- Historically, human participants have not been well-protected from researchers
- Need to protect people from dangerous and naïve research practices
- ► Conflicts of interest (e.g., financial gain, big business)
- Monitoring of controversial issues (e.g., gene editing with CRISPR)
- Privacy and data protection
- Promoting high quality research

# Falmouth University research ethics policy

- Find and read the following documents on LearningSpace:
  - Falmouth University Research Ethics Policy
  - Research Ethics Approval Application Form





► Failure to adhere to the Research Ethics Policy is academic misconduct



- ► Failure to adhere to the Research Ethics Policy is academic misconduct
- In particular, you must fill in and submit an Ethics Approval Form before carrying out any research

- ► Failure to adhere to the Research Ethics Policy is academic misconduct
- In particular, you must fill in and submit an Ethics Approval Form before carrying out any research
- You must fill the form in, even if your project does not involve human subjects (though in this case it will be easy to fill in)

► You must meet your supervisor **next week** (week 2)

- ➤ You must meet your supervisor **next week** (week 2)
- You will meet at least once every 2 weeks thereafter

- ► You must meet your supervisor **next week** (week 2)
- You will meet at least once every 2 weeks thereafter
- Mandatory to attend

- You must meet your supervisor next week (week 2)
- You will meet at least once every 2 weeks thereafter
- Mandatory to attend
- Bring a draft of your ethics approval form to the meeting in Week 4



"Science and everyday life cannot and should not be separated."

Rosalind Franklin (1920 – 1958) English Chemist and X-ray crystallographer



#### Some common misconceptions

- Science is a collection of facts; x
- Science is the creation of new gadgets; x
- Scientific ideas are absolute and unchangeable; x
- Scientific ideas are subject to change, therefore unreliable; x
- Observations give answers directly to the scientists; x
- Science proves stuff; ×
- Science can only disprove stuff; x
- The scientist works to **show** that his/her theory is right;×
- Facts vs hypotheses vs theories vs laws;

STAND BACK

A good operational definition




"What do you think science is?
There's nothing magical about science.
It is simply a systematic way for carefully and thoroughly observing nature and using consistent logic to evaluate results."

Steven P. Novella

#### The scientific process


- Normally shown as a flowchart or a sequence of steps;
- Oversimplification of a complex and iterative process;
- Suggests an "end" to the process.



#### Actually includes:

- Several activities, performed at different stages;
- Interaction with the scientific community;
- Creative, "outside the box" thinking;
- Preliminary conclusions, subject to revision as new and better data become available;
- Learning from failures as much as from successes.

#### The scientific process



The scientific process

"Dans les champs de l'observation le hasard ne favorise que les esprits préparés." – Louis Pasteur (Univ. Lille, France, 1854).

- Observations → questions;
- Exploratory experimentation;
- Preparation + serendipity.



# Benzene (1865)



Kekule

#### Radioactivity (1896)



Becquerel

#### Penicillin (1928)



Fleming


990

Top image: http://goo.gl/fy8Glh-(c) Understanding Science, 2015. Used with permission.

Scientists: http://goo.gl/SG6sgp|http://goo.gl/rhLC9C|http://goo.gl/CFj8M1

#### The scientific process


- Drawing and testing hypotheses;
- Comparing alternative explanations;
- Accepting / rejecting ideas based on evidence;
- Predictions versus observation: corroboration or refutation?



The scientific process

Interaction with the scientific community is **fundamental**:

- Colleagues;
- Collaborators;
- Reviewers;
- Rivals:



This interaction plays essential roles for the progress of research:

# Criticism

#### Inspiration



#### Vigilance



#### Motivation



#### The scientific process

Publication and peer review.



 Additionally, post-publication review by the wider scientific community;

- Replication and verification of results;
- Reproducibility is essential.

Editor may send reviewer comments to the scientists who may then revise and resubmit the article for further review. If an article does not maintain sufficiently high scientific standards, it may be rejected at this point.




If an article finally meets editorial and peer standards it is published in a journal.


90 Q

#### The scientific process

The scientific process is a way of building knowledge:

- Generate and test new ideas about how the world works;
- Iteratively increasing the reliability of the knowledge;









#### Bibliography

#### Required reading

- Understanding Science. 2014. University of California Museum of Paleontology. 3 January 2014. http://www.understandingscience.org
- F.L.H. Wolfs, APPENDIX E: Introduction to the Scientific Method. http://goo.gl/osGpU

#### Recommended reading

- Carl Sagan, The demon-haunted world: science as a candle in the dark, Random House, 1996.
- The Skeptics Guide to the Universe. http://www.theskepticsguide.org

#### About this material

#### Conditions of use and referencing

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons CC BY-NC-SA 4.0 license (Attribution Non-Commercial Share Alike International License version 4.0).

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/

#### Please reference this work as:

Felipe Campelo (2018), Lecture Notes on Design and Analysis of Experiments.

Online: https://github.com/fcampelo/Design-and-Analysis-of-Experiments
Version 2.12. Creative Commons BY-NC-SA 4.0.

```
@Misc(Campelo2018,
    title={Lecture Notes on Design and Analysis of Experiments},
    author={Felipe Campelo},
    howPublished={\url{https://github.com/fcampelo/Design-and-Analysis-of-Experiments}},
    year={2018},
    note={Version 2.12. Creative Commons BY-NC-SA 4.0.},
}
```



### Next Week

- Locate and read at least TEN academic papers from your research area (using ACM DL and IEEE eXplore)
- Search for potential gaps in the literature which you think your work could fill—try to articulate it explicitly
- Refine your research question into something clear and succinct
- Setup an IEEE-style document on Overleaf, and Slack the link to your supervisor
- ► **Prepare** a draft proposal of 500-or-so words to take to Ed's workshop next week