

3: Advanced OOP Design





 For encapsulation, it is a good idea to restrict access to certain attributes and methods from outside the class

- For encapsulation, it is a good idea to restrict access to certain attributes and methods from outside the class
- Private members are only accessible from the class's own methods

- For encapsulation, it is a good idea to restrict access to certain attributes and methods from outside the class
- Private members are only accessible from the class's own methods
- Protected members are accessible from the class's own methods, and methods defined in subclasses

- For encapsulation, it is a good idea to restrict access to certain attributes and methods from outside the class
- Private members are only accessible from the class's own methods
- Protected members are accessible from the class's own methods, and methods defined in subclasses
- Public members are accessible from outside the class

Access control in C++

```
class MyClass
public:
    void thisMethodIsPublic();
    int thisFieldIsPublicToo;
protected:
    void thisMethodIsProtected();
    int thisFieldIsProtectedToo;
    float soIsThisOne;
private:
    void thisMethodIsPrivate():
    int thisFieldIsPrivateToo;
    std::string andThisOne;
```

➤ The **public interface** of an object is how it interacts with other objects and the rest of the program

- ➤ The public interface of an object is how it interacts with other objects and the rest of the program
- ► The **protected interface** of an object is what allows subclasses to change the way the base class behaves

- ➤ The public interface of an object is how it interacts with other objects and the rest of the program
- ➤ The **protected interface** of an object is what allows subclasses to change the way the base class behaves
- The private members of an object are implementation details, hidden from the outside world

- ► The public interface of an object is how it interacts with other objects and the rest of the program
- ➤ The protected interface of an object is what allows subclasses to change the way the base class behaves
- The private members of an object are implementation details, hidden from the outside world
- For maintainable and reusable classes, minimise the surface area

- ➤ The public interface of an object is how it interacts with other objects and the rest of the program
- ➤ The **protected interface** of an object is what allows subclasses to change the way the base class behaves
- The private members of an object are implementation details, hidden from the outside world
- For maintainable and reusable classes, minimise the surface area
 - Make as much as possible private

- ➤ The **public interface** of an object is how it interacts with other objects and the rest of the program
- ► The protected interface of an object is what allows subclasses to change the way the base class behaves
- The private members of an object are implementation details, hidden from the outside world
- For maintainable and reusable classes, minimise the surface area
 - Make as much as possible private
 - If it needs to be accessible from subclasses, make it protected

- ➤ The **public interface** of an object is how it interacts with other objects and the rest of the program
- ➤ The protected interface of an object is what allows subclasses to change the way the base class behaves
- The private members of an object are implementation details, hidden from the outside world
- For maintainable and reusable classes, minimise the surface area
 - ▶ Make as much as possible private
 - If it needs to be accessible from subclasses, make it protected
 - ▶ If it **needs** to be accessible from outside, make it public

- ➤ The **public interface** of an object is how it interacts with other objects and the rest of the program
- ➤ The protected interface of an object is what allows subclasses to change the way the base class behaves
- The private members of an object are implementation details, hidden from the outside world
- For maintainable and reusable classes, minimise the surface area
 - Make as much as possible private
 - If it needs to be accessible from subclasses, make it protected
 - ▶ If it **needs** to be accessible from outside, make it public
- Avoid making fields public

- ➤ The **public interface** of an object is how it interacts with other objects and the rest of the program
- ➤ The **protected interface** of an object is what allows subclasses to change the way the base class behaves
- The private members of an object are implementation details, hidden from the outside world
- For maintainable and reusable classes, minimise the surface area
 - Make as much as possible private
 - If it needs to be accessible from subclasses, make it protected
 - If it needs to be accessible from outside, make it public
- Avoid making fields public
 - Unless outside code needs unrestricted read/write access to your data? (If it does then you've probably designed it wrong...)

```
class MyClass
{
private:
    float speed;

public:
    float getSpeed() { return speed; }
    void setSpeed(float value) { speed = value; }
};
```

```
class MyClass
{
private:
    float speed;

public:
    float getSpeed() { return speed; }
    void setSpeed(float value) { speed = value; }
};
```

 Allows different access control for getting and setting, e.g. public getter, protected setter

```
class MyClass
{
private:
    float speed;

public:
    float getSpeed() { return speed; }
    void setSpeed(float value) { speed = value; }
};
```

- Allows different access control for getting and setting,
 e.g. public getter, protected setter
- ► Allows extra logic upon getting or setting values

```
class MyClass
{
private:
    float speed;

public:
    float getSpeed() { return speed; }
    void setSpeed(float value) { speed = value; }
};
```

- Allows different access control for getting and setting, e.g. public getter, protected setter
- Allows extra logic upon getting or setting values
- Maybe a slight performance penalty, but compiler can often inline them (if they're not virtual)





Inheritance

OOP models three types of real-world relationships:
 is a, has a and is a type of

▶ OOP models three types of real-world relationships: is a, has a and is a type of



▶ OOP models three types of real-world relationships: is a, has a and is a type of



► Donald is a duck

► OOP models three types of real-world relationships: is a, has a and is a type of



- ► Donald is a duck
- ► A duck has a bill

▶ OOP models three types of real-world relationships: is a, has a and is a type of



- ▶ Donald is a duck
- ► A duck has a bill
- ► A duck is a type of bird

"X is a Y" means "the specific object X is an object of the type Y"

- "X is a Y" means "the specific object X is an object of the type Y"
- ▶ Is-a is modelled by classes and instances:

- "X is a Y" means "the specific object X is an object of the type Y"
- ▶ Is-a is modelled by classes and instances:
 - ▶ "Donald is a duck" → "donald is an instance of the class Duck"

- "X is a Y" means "the specific object X is an object of the type Y"
- ▶ Is-a is modelled by classes and instances:
 - ▶ "Donald is a duck" → "donald is an instance of the class Duck"

```
class Duck
{
};
Duck donald;
```

$\overline{\mathsf{Has} ext{-}\mathsf{a}} o\mathsf{Compo}$ sition

$\mathsf{Has} ext{-}\mathsf{a} o\mathsf{Composition}$

"X has a Y" means "an object of type X possesses an object of type Y"

Has-a ightarrow Composition

- "X has a Y" means "an object of type X possesses an object of type Y"
- ➤ OOP models this by having a field on X which holds an instance of Y

$\mathsf{Has} ext{-}\mathsf{a} o\mathsf{Composition}$

- "X has a Y" means "an object of type X possesses an object of type Y"
- OOP models this by having a field on X which holds an instance of Y
 - NA duck has a bill" → "The class Duck has a field which contains an instance of the class Bill"

"A duck has a bill"

"A duck has a bill"

 "Each instance of class Duck contains an instance of class Bill"

```
class Bill { ... };

class Duck
{
private:
    Bill bill;
};
```

"A duck has a bill"

 "Each instance of class Duck contains an instance of class Bill"

```
class Bill { ... };

class Duck
{
private:
    Bill bill;
};
```

Or "Each instance of class Duck contains a pointer to an instance of class Bill"

```
class Bill { ... };

class Duck
{
private:
    Bill* bill;
};
```

 The contained instance of Bill is stored inside the instance of Duck (literally, in memory)

- The contained instance of Bill is stored inside the instance of Duck (literally, in memory)
- It is constructed when the Duck instance is constructed, and destroyed when it is destroyed

- The contained instance of Bill is stored inside the instance of Duck (literally, in memory)
- It is constructed when the Duck instance is constructed, and destroyed when it is destroyed

The contained instance of Bill is stored outside the instance of Duck, which only stores a pointer

- The contained instance of Bill is stored inside the instance of Duck (literally, in memory)
- It is constructed when the Duck instance is constructed, and destroyed when it is destroyed

- The contained instance of Bill is stored outside the instance of Duck, which only stores a pointer
- It is usually constructed manually using new, and so must be destroyed manually using delete

► Pointers are more versatile

- Pointers are more versatile
 - Allow several pointers to the same instance (e.g. several ducks might have-a single pond)

- Pointers are more versatile
 - Allow several pointers to the same instance (e.g. several ducks might have-a single pond)
 - Allow circular references (e.g. a duck has-a bill, and a bill has-a duck)

- ► Pointers are more versatile
 - Allow several pointers to the same instance (e.g. several ducks might have-a single pond)
 - Allow circular references (e.g. a duck has-a bill, and a bill has-a duck)
 - Pointers allow polymorphism (e.g. a pointer to a "duck" might actually be a pointer to a mallard)

- ► Pointers are more versatile
 - Allow several pointers to the same instance (e.g. several ducks might have-a single pond)
 - Allow circular references (e.g. a duck has-a bill, and a bill has-a duck)
 - Pointers allow polymorphism (e.g. a pointer to a "duck" might actually be a pointer to a mallard)
- But stored instances are easier to work with

- ► Pointers are more versatile
 - Allow several pointers to the same instance (e.g. several ducks might have-a single pond)
 - Allow circular references (e.g. a duck has-a bill, and a bill has-a duck)
 - Pointers allow polymorphism (e.g. a pointer to a "duck" might actually be a pointer to a mallard)
- But stored instances are easier to work with
 - Destruction is handled automatically

- ► Pointers are more versatile
 - Allow several pointers to the same instance (e.g. several ducks might have-a single pond)
 - Allow circular references (e.g. a duck has-a bill, and a bill has-a duck)
 - Pointers allow polymorphism (e.g. a pointer to a "duck" might actually be a pointer to a mallard)
- But stored instances are easier to work with
 - Destruction is handled automatically
- They model slightly different types of has-a relationship

- ► Pointers are more versatile
 - Allow several pointers to the same instance (e.g. several ducks might have-a single pond)
 - Allow circular references (e.g. a duck has-a bill, and a bill has-a duck)
 - Pointers allow polymorphism (e.g. a pointer to a "duck" might actually be a pointer to a mallard)
- But stored instances are easier to work with
 - Destruction is handled automatically
- They model slightly different types of has-a relationship
 - ▶ Instance: has-a in the sense of "contains"

- Pointers are more versatile
 - Allow several pointers to the same instance (e.g. several ducks might have-a single pond)
 - Allow circular references (e.g. a duck has-a bill, and a bill has-a duck)
 - Pointers allow polymorphism (e.g. a pointer to a "duck" might actually be a pointer to a mallard)
- But stored instances are easier to work with
 - Destruction is handled automatically
- They model slightly different types of has-a relationship
 - ▶ Instance: has-a in the sense of "contains"
 - Pointer: has-a in the sense of "is associated with"

Is-a-type-of \rightarrow Inheritance

$\overline{\text{Is-a-type-of}} o \overline{\text{Inheritance}}$

"X is a type of Y" means "If an object is of type X, then it is also of type Y"

ls-a-type-of ightarrow Inheritance

- "X is a type of Y" means "If an object is of type X, then it is also of type Y"
 - \blacktriangleright "A duck is a type of bird" \rightarrow "If something is a duck, then it is also a bird"

ls-a-type-of \rightarrow Inheritance

- "X is a type of Y" means "If an object is of type X, then it is also of type Y"
 - ➤ "A duck is a type of bird" → "If something is a duck, then it is also a bird"
 - "Every duck is a bird"

ls-a-type-of ightarrow Inheritance

- "X is a type of Y" means "If an object is of type X, then it is also of type Y"
 - \blacktriangleright "A duck is a type of bird" \rightarrow "If something is a duck, then it is also a bird"
 - "Every duck is a bird"
 - "If something is true for all birds, then it must be true for ducks"

ls-a-type-of \rightarrow Inheritance

- "X is a type of Y" means "If an object is of type X, then it is also of type Y"
 - \blacktriangleright "A duck is a type of bird" \rightarrow "If something is a duck, then it is also a bird"
 - "Every duck is a bird"
 - "If something is true for all birds, then it must be true for ducks"
- ▶ In OOP terms, this is called inheritance



▶ Recall: an object is a collection of fields (data) and methods (code)

- Recall: an object is a collection of fields (data) and methods (code)
- Recall: the class defines which fields and methods an object possesses

- Recall: an object is a collection of fields (data) and methods (code)
- Recall: the class defines which fields and methods an object possesses
- lacktriangle "X is a type of Y" o class x inherits from class x

- Recall: an object is a collection of fields (data) and methods (code)
- Recall: the class defines which fields and methods an object possesses
- ightharpoonup "X is a type of Y" ightharpoonup class x inherits from class x
- Class X inherits all of the fields and methods from class
 Y, as well as any fields and methods of its own

When modelling an is-a-type-of relationship from the real world

- When modelling an is-a-type-of relationship from the real world
- When several classes can share some fields and/or methods

- When modelling an is-a-type-of relationship from the real world
- When several classes can share some fields and/or methods
 - ▶ I.e. to minimise code duplication

- When modelling an is-a-type-of relationship from the real world
- When several classes can share some fields and/or methods
 - ▶ I.e. to minimise code duplication
- When several classes should have methods with the same names, but which do different things

- When modelling an is-a-type-of relationship from the real world
- When several classes can share some fields and/or methods
 - I.e. to minimise code duplication
- When several classes should have methods with the same names, but which do different things
 - ► This is called **polymorphism** more on this later

Inheritance in C++

```
class Shape
public:
    float centreX, centreY;
    Shape (float cx, float cy)
        : centreX(cx), centreY(cy) { }
class Circle : public Shape
public:
    float radius;
    Circle(float cx, float cy, float r)
        : Shape(cx, cy), radius(r) { }
    float getArea()
        return 3.14159f * radius * radius;
```

"A mallard is a type of duck, which is a type of bird, which is a type of vertebrate, which is a type of animal..."

- "A mallard is a type of duck, which is a type of bird, which is a type of vertebrate, which is a type of animal..."
- ► Is-a-type-of is transitive

- "A mallard is a type of duck, which is a type of bird, which is a type of vertebrate, which is a type of animal..."
- ▶ Is-a-type-of is transitive
 - If A is-a-type-of B and B is-a-type-of C, then A is-a-type-of C

- "A mallard is a type of duck, which is a type of bird, which is a type of vertebrate, which is a type of animal..."
- ► Is-a-type-of is transitive
 - If A is-a-type-of B and B is-a-type-of C, then A is-a-type-of C
- Likewise: class A inherits from class B, which inherits from class C, ...

- "A mallard is a type of duck, which is a type of bird, which is a type of vertebrate, which is a type of animal..."
- ► Is-a-type-of is transitive
 - If A is-a-type-of B and B is-a-type-of C, then A is-a-type-of C
- Likewise: class A inherits from class B, which inherits from class C, ...
 - "Inherits from" is also transitive

```
class A {
   int x:
   A(int x) : x(x) \{ \}
   int foo() { return x*x; }
class B: public A {
   int y;
class C: public B {
   int z:
   C(int x, int y, int z)
class D: public A {
   int y;
   D(int v) : A(20), v(v) {}
   int bar() { return x*x*x; }
class E {
   int x;
   E(int x) : x(x) \{ \}
```

Socrative FALCOMPED

```
class A {
   int x:
   A(int x) : x(x) \{ \}
   int foo() { return x*x; }
class B: public A {
   int y;
    B(int x, int y) : A(x), y(y) {}
class C: public B {
   int z:
class D: public A {
   int y;
   D(int v) : A(20), v(v) {}
   int bar() { return x*x*x; }
class E {
   int x;
   E(int x) : x(x) \{ \}
```

Socrative FALCOMPED

```
void first() {
    cout << c.z << endl:
void second() {
   B b(10, 20);
void third() {
   B b(10, 20);
   cout << b.foo() << endl;
void fourth() {
   B b(10, 20);
void fifth() {
   cout << d.foo() << endl;
```





► From Greek: "many-shape-ism"

- From Greek: "many-shape-ism"
- ► Different classes can have the same public interface

- From Greek: "many-shape-ism"
- Different classes can have the same public interface
- Thus we can write code that uses this interface, but doesn't need to worry about the implementation behind it

Method overriding

Method overriding

► A class can **override** methods defined in the class from which it inherits

Method overriding

- ► A class can **override** methods defined in the class from which it inherits
- The overridden method can call the method from the base class, but it doesn't have to

Without polymorphism

```
class Shape { ... };
class Circle : public Shape { ... };
class Square : public Shape { ... };
class Triangle : public Shape { ... };
std::vector<Shape*> shapes;
for (Shape* shape : shapes)
    if (shape->isCircle)
        drawCircle(shape->centre, shape->radius);
    else if (shape->isSquare)
        drawSquare(shape->centre, shape->size);
```

```
class Shape {
   public: virtual void draw() {}
class Circle : public Shape {
   public: void draw() override {
        drawCircle(centre, radius);
class Square : public Shape {
    public: void draw() override {
        drawSquare(centre, size);
for (Shape* shape : shapes)
    shape->draw();
```

► All subclasses of shape implement draw

- ► All subclasses of shape implement draw
- We can call shape->draw() without worrying which type of shape it is

- ► All subclasses of Shape implement draw
- We can call shape->draw() without worrying which type of shape it is
- The virtual method table takes care of calling the correct draw function depending on the type of shape, no extra code required



Shape myShape;

Shape myShape;

myShape is an instance of Shape, allocated on the stack

Shape myShape;

▶ myShape is an instance of Shape, allocated on the stack

Shape* myShapePtr;

Shape myShape;

▶ myShape is an instance of Shape, allocated on the stack

Shape* myShapePtr;

 myShapePtr points to an instance of shape or of a subclass of shape, allocated on the heap

Shape myShape;

▶ myshape is an instance of shape, allocated on the stack

Shape* myShapePtr;

- myShapePtr points to an instance of shape or of a subclass of shape, allocated on the heap
- Polymorphism works for pointers, but not for instances on the stack

 Some classes should never be instantiated directly, as they only exist to be inherited from

- Some classes should never be instantiated directly, as they only exist to be inherited from
 - ► Shape is an example

- Some classes should never be instantiated directly, as they only exist to be inherited from
 - ► Shape is an example
- Such classes are called abstract

- Some classes should never be instantiated directly, as they only exist to be inherited from
 - ► Shape is an example
- Such classes are called abstract
- Abstract classes generally have one or more pure virtual methods — methods which are left unimplemented so must be implemented in subclasses

```
class Shape
{
public:
    virtual void draw() = 0;
};
```

```
class Shape
{
public:
    virtual void draw() = 0;
};
```

► Here = 0 marks the method as pure virtual

```
class Shape
{
public:
    virtual void draw() = 0;
};
```

- ► Here = 0 marks the method as pure virtual
- In C++, having at least one pure virtual method implicitly marks the class as abstract

```
class Shape
{
public:
    virtual void draw() = 0;
};
```

- ► Here = 0 marks the method as pure virtual
- In C++, having at least one pure virtual method implicitly marks the class as abstract
- Now you will get a compile error if you try to instantiate Shape directly

```
class Shape
{
public:
    virtual void draw() = 0;
};
```

- ► Here = 0 marks the method as pure virtual
- In C++, having at least one pure virtual method implicitly marks the class as abstract
- Now you will get a compile error if you try to instantiate Shape directly
- ► To become not abstract, subclasses of shape must override draw

```
class Shape
{
public:
    virtual void draw() = 0;
};
```

- ► Here = 0 marks the method as pure virtual
- In C++, having at least one pure virtual method implicitly marks the class as abstract
- Now you will get a compile error if you try to instantiate Shape directly
- ► To become not abstract, subclasses of shape must override draw
- Subclasses of Shape which do override draw can be instantiated

```
class Shape
{
public:
    virtual void draw() = 0;
};
```

- ► Here = 0 marks the method as pure virtual
- In C++, having at least one pure virtual method implicitly marks the class as abstract
- Now you will get a compile error if you try to instantiate Shape directly
- ► To become not abstract, subclasses of shape must override draw
- ► Subclasses of Shape which do override draw can be instantiated
- ► Trying to instantiate a subclass of shape which does not override draw will also give a compile error

 An abstract class in which all methods are pure virtual is called an interface

- An abstract class in which all methods are pure virtual is called an interface
- Interfaces do not contain any implementation, but specify a set of methods which subclasses must implement

- An abstract class in which all methods are pure virtual is called an interface
- Interfaces do not contain any implementation, but specify a set of methods which subclasses must implement
- NB: some languages (e.g. C#, Java) make a distinction between classes and interfaces; C++ does not

OOP design





- What classes might be defined in a Mario-style platform game?
- ▶ What classes might inherit from one another?



