



COMP110: Principles of Computing

9: Compilers and interpreters

Learning outcomes

- ▶ Outcome 1
- ▶ Outcome 2
- ▶ Outcome 3

How programs are executed



Executing programs

- ▶ CPUs execute **machine code**

Executing programs

- ▶ CPUs execute **machine code**
- ▶ Programs must be **translated** into machine code for execution

Executing programs

- ▶ CPUs execute **machine code**
- ▶ Programs must be **translated** into machine code for execution
- ▶ There are three main ways of doing this:

Executing programs

- ▶ CPUs execute **machine code**
- ▶ Programs must be **translated** into machine code for execution
- ▶ There are three main ways of doing this:
 - ▶ An **interpreter** is an application which reads the program source code and executes it directly

Executing programs

- ▶ CPUs execute **machine code**
- ▶ Programs must be **translated** into machine code for execution
- ▶ There are three main ways of doing this:
 - ▶ An **interpreter** is an application which reads the program source code and executes it directly
 - ▶ An **ahead-of-time (AOT) compiler**, often just called a **compiler**, is an application which converts the program source code into executable machine code

Executing programs

- ▶ CPUs execute **machine code**
- ▶ Programs must be **translated** into machine code for execution
- ▶ There are three main ways of doing this:
 - ▶ An **interpreter** is an application which reads the program source code and executes it directly
 - ▶ An **ahead-of-time (AOT) compiler**, often just called a **compiler**, is an application which converts the program source code into executable machine code
 - ▶ A **just-in-time (JIT) compiler** is halfway between the two — it compiles the program on-the-fly at runtime

Examples

Interpreted:

- ▶ Python
- ▶ Lua
- ▶ JavaScript
(in old web
browsers)
- ▶ Bespoke
scripting
languages

Examples

Interpreted:

- ▶ Python
- ▶ Lua
- ▶ JavaScript
(in old web
browsers)
- ▶ Bespoke
scripting
languages

Compiled:

- ▶ C
- ▶ C++
- ▶ Swift

Examples

Interpreted:

- ▶ Python
- ▶ Lua
- ▶ JavaScript
(in old web
browsers)
- ▶ Bespoke
scripting
languages

Compiled:

- ▶ C
- ▶ C++
- ▶ Swift

JIT compiled:

- ▶ Java
- ▶ C#
- ▶ JavaScript
(in modern
web
browsers)
- ▶ Jython

Interpreter vs compiler

- Run-time efficiency: compiler > interpreter

Interpreter vs compiler

- ▶ Run-time efficiency: compiler > interpreter
 - ▶ The compiler translates the program **in advance**, on the developer's machine

Interpreter vs compiler

- ▶ Run-time efficiency: compiler > interpreter
 - ▶ The compiler translates the program **in advance**, on the developer's machine
 - ▶ The interpreter translates the program **at runtime**, on the user's machine — this takes extra time

Interpreter vs compiler

- ▶ Portability: compiler < interpreter

Interpreter vs compiler

- ▶ Portability: compiler < interpreter
 - ▶ A compiled program can only run on the operating system and CPU architecture it was compiled for

Interpreter vs compiler

- ▶ Portability: compiler < interpreter
 - ▶ A compiled program can only run on the operating system and CPU architecture it was compiled for
 - ▶ An interpreted program can run on any machine, as long as a suitable interpreter is available

Interpreter vs compiler

- Dynamic language features: compiler < interpreter

Interpreter vs compiler

- ▶ Dynamic language features: compiler < interpreter
 - ▶ The interpreter is already on the end user's machine, so programs can use it e.g. to dynamically generate and execute new code

Interpreter vs compiler

- ▶ Dynamic language features: compiler < interpreter
 - ▶ The interpreter is already on the end user's machine, so programs can use it e.g. to dynamically generate and execute new code
 - ▶ The AOT compiler is not generally on the end user's machine, so this is more difficult

Interpreter vs compiler

- ▶ JIT compilers have similar pros/cons to interpreters, but are generally faster (e.g. code inside a loop only needs to be translated once, then can be executed many times)

Interpreter vs compiler

- ▶ JIT compilers have similar pros/cons to interpreters, but are generally faster (e.g. code inside a loop only needs to be translated once, then can be executed many times)
- ▶ For games, run-time efficiency is usually much more important than portability

Virtual machines

Virtual machines

- ▶ Many modern interpreters and JIT compilers translate programs into **bytecode**

Virtual machines

- ▶ Many modern interpreters and JIT compilers translate programs into **bytecode**
- ▶ Bytecode is essentially machine code for a **virtual machine (VM)**

Virtual machines

- ▶ Many modern interpreters and JIT compilers translate programs into **bytecode**
- ▶ Bytecode is essentially machine code for a **virtual machine (VM)**
- ▶ Translation from source code to bytecode can be done ahead of time

Virtual machines

- ▶ Many modern interpreters and JIT compilers translate programs into **bytecode**
- ▶ Bytecode is essentially machine code for a **virtual machine (VM)**
- ▶ Translation from source code to bytecode can be done ahead of time
- ▶ At runtime, translate the bytecode (by interpretation or JIT compilation) into machine code for the physical machine

Virtual machines

- ▶ Many modern interpreters and JIT compilers translate programs into **bytecode**
- ▶ Bytecode is essentially machine code for a **virtual machine (VM)**
- ▶ Translation from source code to bytecode can be done ahead of time
- ▶ At runtime, translate the bytecode (by interpretation or JIT compilation) into machine code for the physical machine
- ▶ E.g. a Java JAR file, a .NET executable, a Python .pyc or .pyo file all contain bytecode for their respective VMs