

# COMP140: Creative Computing Hacking Psychology of Game Interactions

#### Lecture Objectives

Today's lecture will build upon HCl as both a discipline of study and a discipline of design to address:

- A well-known theory about cognition from the area of human-computer interaction.
- How to apply the theory to the design of user interfaces for games.

This will be followed up by a sprint review in which you will demonstrate your work-in-progress to your tutor.

# Important Notice



Remember to bring your *Makey Makey* kit and associated materials to these lectures for practical support toward the end of each of these sessions.





# Learning Outcomes

In this section you will learn how to...

- Explain what is meant by 'cognition'
- Show the importance of information processing models to HCI
- Explain the shortcomings of cognitive and information processing models
- Discuss the role of cognitive models in games, and HCI more broadly

#### Further Reading

- Eysenck, M.W. and Keane, M.T. (2000) Cognitive Psychology: A Student's Handbook. 4th Edition. Erlbaum Associatates.
- Preece, J., Rogers, Y., Sharp, H., Benyon, D., Holland, S., and Carey, T. (1994) *Human-Computer Interaction*. Addison-Wesley.

- ► The cognitive approach is currently the dominant framework (or paradigm) for HCI (Perry, 2006).
- Players are characterised as 'information processors', in which information undergoes a series of ordered processes in the player's mind.
- This worldview draws a comparison between the human brain and a computer; we can therefore model player activity in the same way that we model computer processing.

#### Socrative JBYPC3BBY

- ► In pairs.
- Quietly discuss what you think is meant by the term 'cognition' for 2-minutes.
- ► **Explain** cognition in your own words.

- Cognition itself refers to the 'processes by which we gain knowledge' (Perry, 2006, p. 8).
- This includes understanding, remembering, reasoning, attending to, awareness and acquiring skills.


In a simple model of cognition, such as that proposed by Barber (1988), the process of cognition can be described as composing four sequential stages:

- Information entering the system as input is first encoded and turned from a physical environment event (i.e., pixels on the screen) into a mental representation held electrochemically in the brain.
- ➤ This encoded information is then compared to existing repesentations stored in memory.

In a simple model of cognition, such as that proposed by Barber (1988), the process of cognition can be described as composing four sequential stages:

- Having compared the representation to the information represented in the memory, the information processor can select an appropriate response.
- The final stage involves the execution of the selected response.

In a simple model of cognition, such as that proposed by Barber (1988), the process of cognition can be described as composing four sequential stages:



#### Socrative JBYPC3BBY

- ► In pairs.
- Quietly revisit what is meant by the term 'cognition' for 2-minutes.
- ► **Explain** cognition in your own words.

- It is important to note that cognitive activity is often conceptualised as being 'goal orientated'
- This means there is an intended and planned result for the processing.
- Or, in other words, `means end analysis' —
  determining the difference between the current-state
  and the goal-state (e.g. interpret current position and
  move towards a power-up, in order to collect it)

Newman and Lamming (1995) somewhat controversially called moelling human behaviour in this manner 'human virtual machines', drawing from the term 'virtual machine', which is a description of an abstract system.

However, as previously illustrated, they have much utility:

- Understand information requirements needed to identify and progress toward a goal
- Optimise representations that are easy for people to encode and compare (e.g. health bar vs absolute number);
- Make predictions which can be used to test the efficacy of a design
- ▶ and so on...

#### Or more generally:

- Understand what is going on when users use systems
- Predict in advance how users will behave
- Identify and explain the nature of problems that users encounter
- Provide knowledge about what users can and can't be expected to do
- Design systems to take advantage of partucular aspects of user skills and abilities

There are, however, several drawbacks:

- An idealised information processing unit is assumed people are not so ideal
- Individual and ephemeral factors such as motivation and mood play an important role in behaviour;
- Considerable variation in characterisites and abilities
- The system boundary may ignore real-world tools and contexts (e.g. using a DPS calculator instead of performing mental arithmetic manually).

#### Socrative JBYPC3BBY

- ▶ In pairs.
- Quietly discuss how cognitive models could inform the design of a game interface.
- ► List the possible uses.





#### Learning Outcomes

In this section you will learn how to...

- Discuss different explanations of 'perception' and their characteristics
- Discuss the importance of perception to HCl and explain how we can exploit our understanding of perception in designing game interfaces
- Discuss the role of attention in our cognitive processes and explain how human attention can be exploited in HCl design

# Further Reading

- Eysenck, M.W. and Keane, M.T. (2000) Cognitive Psychology: A Student's Handbook. 4th Edition. Erlbaum Associatates.
- Newman, W.M. and Lamming, M.G. (1995) Interactive System Design. Addison-Wesley.
- Norman, D. (1988) The Psychology of Everyday Things.
   MIT Press.

# Further Reading

- Preece, J., Rogers, Y., Sharp, H., Benyon, D., Holland,
   S., and Carey, T. (1994) Human-Computer Interaction.
   Addison-Wesley.
- Gibson, J.J. (1979) The Ecological Approach to Visual Perception. Houghton Mifflin.
- ► Gregory, R.L. (1978) Eye and Brain: The Psychology of Seeing. 5th Edition. Weidenfeld and Nicolson.

- Perception covered the sequence of events from the presentation of physical stimulus to the concious (or, indeed, unconcious) experience of it.
- ► Two approaches to explain visual perception include:
  - ► Gregory's (1978) **construcitivist** approach;
  - and the direct perception approach advanced by Gibson (1979).

- The constructivist approach claims '(person person seeing) constructs a model of the world by transforming, enhancing, distoring, and discarding information' (from Preece et al, 1994).
- In essence, humans construct a world of objects from information in the environment and our own knowledge.
- ► In other words, the images we `see' are not copies of the world, but are `mentally constructed' from our own knowledge and skills in comination with sensory information.

- In contrast, the direct perception approach claims 'perception is picking up information from the environment and does not require any further processing' (from Perry, 2006, p. 12).
- In essence, perception is a direct copy of the environment with information being added or removed for the purpose of meaning making.
- In other words, the images we 'see' are indeed copies of the world, without any further need for processing, but we may process our perception in order to interpret things and make meaning.

- Central to the ecology of the, latter, direct perception approach is the notion of an affordance (Norman, 1988)
- What we 'see' as the permissable actions or behaviours of a systems, objects, or events is permitted—or afforded by that thing—is obvious; there is no need for further information processing.

"...the term affordance refers to the perceived and actual properties of the thing, primarily those fundamental properties that determine just how the thing could possibly be used. (...) Affordances provide strong clues to the operations of things. Plates are for pushing. Knobs are for turning. Slots are for inserting things into. Balls are for throwing or bouncing. When affordances are taken advantage of, the user knows what to do just by looking: no picture, label, or instruction needed."

(Norman, 1988, p.9)





- Affordances are usually viewed an important aspect of the design of game interfaces. It is important to appreciate that affordances are detected—not interpreted.
- Disambiguation: A backpack icon for an inventory is a metaphor, not an affordance. This is because it is not obvious how to use it, it has to be interpreted in light of the users' existing knowledge.

- Disambiguation: The escape key to access a menu is a convention, not an affordance. This is because the functionality is premised upon user expectation and therefore requires prior knowledge.
- ➤ **Disambiguation:** Solid Snake's wavy bandana illustrating freedom is a semiotic, not an affordance. This is because its a non-verbal sign that communicates something and has nothing to do with functionality.

#### Socrative JBYPC3BBY


- ▶ In pairs.
- Quietly discuss what affordances exist in game interfaces.
- ► **List** them.

#### Socrative JBYPC3BBY



- In pairs.
- Quietly discuss whether this is an affordance, metaphore, semiotic, or convention.
- ► **Select** your answer.

- In games, affordances are represented through the interface—often through the pixels.
- As such, they are often illusions. They are not 'real' affordances because they have no physical form.
- HCI professionals often refer to such affordances as 'perceived affordances' due to their virtual nature.
   They exist only as an artefact of perception.



Caution should be exercised when using perceived affordances due to the risk of creating a false affordance in an interface (Adapted from Norman, 1988).

We can exploit these artefacts in the design of game interfaces (from Perry, 2006):

 Size: For two similar objects, differing only in size, close together, the larger object will appear much closer than the smaller object.

We can exploit these artefacts in the design of game interfaces (from Perry, 2006):

- Size: For two similar objects, differing only in size, close together, the larger object will appear much closer than the smaller object.
- Interposition: If an object is placed 'over' another, such that it is obscured, the unobscured object will appear to sit on top of the other.

We can exploit these artefacts in the design of game interfaces (from Perry, 2006):

Contrast: Objects that are further away, gradually dissapring into the distance, appear to lose their contrast, clarity, and brightness; this can be simulated in the interface to illustrate relative distances between objects.

We can exploit these artefacts in the design of game interfaces (from Perry, 2006):

- Contrast: Objects that are further away, gradually dissapring into the distance, appear to lose their contrast, clarity, and brightness; this can be simulated in the interface to illustrate relative distances between objects.
- ► **Texture:** Level of detail of objects appears to grow larger as they come closer. As such, objects with more detail appear closer than those with less clearly defined features.

We can exploit these artefacts in the design of game interfaces (from Perry, 2006):

Shadow: Placing a shadow behind an object makes it appear to sit above the screen; with changes to shadow size making the 'space' behind it appear deeper or shallower.

- ▶ It is not possible to show all information as directly perceivable physical objects.
- Designers have to consider moving beyond represented objects to representing abstract forms.
- That is, to create symbols: something standing in for something else.
- ► There are two ways this is done in games—direct mappings and arbitrary mappings (from Perry, 2006).

▶ **Direct Mapping:** A direct correspondence exists between the objects represented and the form of respresentation. Examples include the use of metaphors (e.g. a backpack icon for an inventory) and (some) semiotics (e.g. red to highlight a hostile entity).

Arbitrary Mapping: No direct link between the object represented and the form of presentation. Examples include the use of abstract codes (e.g. 50 for a creeper when using the /summon command), abstract shapes (e.g. + for health), and team colors in multiplayer games. However, these abritrary codes need to be learned before they can be used effectively.

- ▶ In pairs.
- Quietly discuss what other direct mappings exist in game interfaces.
- ▶ List them.

- ▶ In pairs.
- Quietly discuss what other arbitrary mappings exist in game interfaces.
- ▶ List them.

# Colour Perception and Colour Blindness





- ► When designing user interfaces, it is important that we do not arbitrarily exclude users with impairment.
- A common form of visual impairment that affects players is deuteranomaly (8% of men and 0.5% of women).

# Colour Perception and Colour Blindness

- Colour coding of interface design elements must take this into account
- ► Examples of common issues can be found at gameaccessibilityguidelines.com.

- ▶ In pairs.
- Review the guidelines at: gameaccessibilityguidelines.com.
- Identify ONE example of a flaw in a game interface and how to overcome it.

## Attention and Memory Constraints

- At a biological level, humans have capacity constraints that limit the information that they can perceive and process.
- To manage this, we tend to converge on two forms of attention:
  - foused attention: attending to one event only.
  - divided attention: multi-tasking

- ► Give ONE example of a game interface that requires focused attention.
- Give ONE example of a game interface that requires divided attention.

# Attention and Memory Constraints

Several techniques can be used to focus attention in a game interface (adapted from Preece *et al,* 1994):

- colour: colour schemes differentiate areas on the screen, such as the grey side-bar in C&C games
- structure: information is positioned to faciliate ease of access, such as resources positioned on the HUD
- alerting: noise and flashing to direct attention, such as the shields down alert in the Halo series

# Attention and Memory Constraints

Several techniques can be used to focus attention in a game interface (adapted from Preece *et al,* 1994):

- highlighting: information is highlighted at relevant location, such as the grenade off-screen arrow in Fallout
- windowing: information pops up in a window, such as a confirmation dialogue when trading in Guild Wars



Mental Representation & Conceptual Models



# Learning Outcomes

In this section you will learn how to...

- Define what is meant by the term 'mental model'
- Explain the place of mental models in HCI
- Explain what a metaphor is
- Describe the use of metaphor in HCI
- Describe the use of mental models in HCI

# Further Reading

- ► Lackoff, G. and Johnson, M. (1980) Metaphors We Live By. UCP.
- Norman, D. and Draper, S. (1986) User-Centred Systems Design: New Perspectives on Human-Computer Interaction. LEA.
- Norman, D. (1983) 'Some Observations on Mental Models' in Gentner and Stevens (eds) User-Centred Systems Design: New Perspectives on Human-Computer Interaction. Erlbaum.

### Human Memory Storage

- ➤ The cognitive approach is currently the dominant framework (or paradigm) for HCI (Perry, 2006).
- Players are characterised as 'information processors', in which information undergoes a series of ordered processes in the player's mind.
- This worldview draws a comparison between the human brain and a computer; we can therefore model player activity in the same way that we model computer processing.

- ► In pairs.
- Quietly discuss what you think is meant by the term 'cognition' for 2-minutes.
- ► **Explain** cognition in your own words.

# A Cognitive Economy

- ► The cognitive approach is currently the dominant framework (or paradigm) for HCI (Perry, 2006).
- Players are characterised as 'information processors', in which information undergoes a series of ordered processes in the player's mind.
- This worldview draws a comparison between the human brain and a computer; we can therefore model player activity in the same way that we model computer processing.

# Mental Models in Psychology

- ► The cognitive approach is currently the dominant framework (or paradigm) for HCI (Perry, 2006).
- Players are characterised as 'information processors', in which information undergoes a series of ordered processes in the player's mind.
- This worldview draws a comparison between the human brain and a computer; we can therefore model player activity in the same way that we model computer processing.

- ► In pairs.
- Quietly discuss what you think is meant by the term 'cognition' for 2-minutes.
- ► **Explain** cognition in your own words.

#### Metaphor in the Interface

- ► The cognitive approach is currently the dominant framework (or paradigm) for HCI (Perry, 2006).
- Players are characterised as 'information processors', in which information undergoes a series of ordered processes in the player's mind.
- This worldview draws a comparison between the human brain and a computer; we can therefore model player activity in the same way that we model computer processing.

- ► In pairs.
- Quietly discuss what you think is meant by the term 'cognition' for 2-minutes.
- ► **Explain** cognition in your own words.

# Conceptual Models in the Interface

- ► The cognitive approach is currently the dominant framework (or paradigm) for HCI (Perry, 2006).
- Players are characterised as 'information processors', in which information undergoes a series of ordered processes in the player's mind.
- This worldview draws a comparison between the human brain and a computer; we can therefore model player activity in the same way that we model computer processing.

- ► In pairs.
- Quietly discuss what you think is meant by the term 'cognition' for 2-minutes.
- ► **Explain** cognition in your own words.





## Sprint Review

- Load the game you are working with.
- Connect your novel game controller.
- Demonstrate the prototype to your tutor.
- While you are waiting, self-organise into pairs to discuss your work-in-progress. Focus on the topics discussed in today's lecture. Remember, you are assessing the interactivity; not just the controller itself. Be broard and all-encompassing in your discussions.