

Can Video games be tailored to their User by procedurally creating challenging emergent gameplay and narrative experiences.

COMP130 - Research Journal

1507729

March 30, 2017

1 Can Users Trust Computer Agents?

One of the most common elements in video games are computer agent or non player character (NPC). NPC characters are in computer terms agents which will perform certain actions depending on predetermined rule sets, a simple example might be if an NPC can see the player he will attack them. However if you wished to build a procedural narrative experience it's important that NPC characters who are relevant to your plot lines are capable of more complex or varied actions. An upcoming example is the Middle-earth: Shadow of war which features procedurally created NPC characters with unique behaviours that allow users to create their own narrative experiences. [1]. It's worth noting that various studies have looked into creating a sense

of trust with NPC characters and looking at ways in which users interact with NPC's. [2] [3] On the opposite end of this spectrum it's also worth noting ways in which NPC's or game agents could deceive or lie to a player, by limiting the knowledge of what the NPC is aware of it's possible to get a different response. Once such example of this research is shown here [4]. Though the applications for such a system would be dependant on context, one such example could be a merchant NPC who tells players the selling price for their items is much lower than the real average. This could be an effect way of making NPC's less omniscient and more human-like.

2 How Creative can Computational Creativity be for Narrative Design?

The study of social computing and computation creativity is still relatively new however the ideas of narrative creation were perhaps first realised with Kleins Novel Writer [5] back in 1973 and then in many works since [6]. Kleins system looked at creating murder mystery novels from a number of predefined options. This however is still limited and the structure will remain the same across all stories. A more advanced machine would be BRUTUS, [7] which allows for stories which can create intrigue and mystery. If video games were to incorporate this kind of technology architecture in a way that the user was able to interact with new narratives and further develop existing stories, it has the potential to create a sustained and engaging experience.

3 A Reactive System For Players

Taking the time to look at analytical data can greatly inform future works of a business or team. However gathering and sorting this data can be difficult

at times, especially if you have a large user base. You also can run into the problem of each user having a different personality and wanting to get a different experience than other users.

Through the use of in-game analytics it's possible to dynamically monitor and change certain aspects of a game, much like how the Game Master in traditional table-top role playing games might change encounters or situations based on how their party approaches certain situations making them easier or more difficult. [8] In fact a very good example of this is "The AI Director" from the popular co-op shooter Left 4 Dead [9].

Lots of research has taken place looking for ways to monitor users especially in the field of Serious Games, or games for education as it can also be known. [10] [11] This is called Learning Analytics [12] and from an educational standpoint being able to not only quantify this data but also instantiate changes based upon them.

One example of this type of dynamic alteration to gameplay can be found in most typical endless runner games, which scale their difficulty to make more enemies who are faster as time progresses. Though one clear example of this is the hamlet system embedded in the half-life engine [13]. Although not common, it isn't unheard of for games to introduce features allowing users to rate their experiences to provide feedback to developers to make future improvements [14]. If this type of system was added into a game which used a form of procedural creation it could through weighted percentages create more encounters of a similar nature allowing the player to experience the particular aspect of gameplay or narrative experience they enjoyed, more frequently.

4 Creating Systems for Autonomous Creation

Technology is always advancing and although currently computers are limited the idea of autonomous systems capable of updating themselves independently and being able to create solutions to problems, is called Autonomic Computing [15] [16] [17] and you could say it is the parent to creative computing.

Video games obviously can't be completely autonomous as then they wouldn't be games, but by creating a more symbiotic system /citekephart2015symbiotic that creates and learns from a human user it's possible to create a dynamic and personal experiences, though this area of research is still new and not likely to be available for mainstream or commercial usage for sometime.

"A concept of symbiotic computing was proposed as a computing methodology to compute humanity and sociality in order to bridge the gap between the Real Space (RS) and the Digital Space (DS)" [18] The idea of helping to bridge the gap between digital and real space could be looked at from the perspective of immersion and creating a sense of immersion, one such intention for symbiotic systems is to create cognitive agents who can recognise actions and intentions of users. The idea of symbiotic computing seems to stem from the idea of creating agents able to adapt, react and predict outcomes from users.

Looking at Mixed-initiative content creation, which is a form of symbiotic computing [19] [20]. Allowing users to have content created within parameters they can adjust and control. This allows users to have more control over how they want to experience the game. This is just the tip of the iceberg however as it can be more than just a tool for users to create content for themselves but also a way in which developers can prototype ideas and also create content quickly and easily by selecting a computerised model and then adapting it iteratively from there.

References

- [1] “Middle-earth: Shadow of war,” Monolith Productions, 2017.
- [2] F. S. do Couto, C. A. Delgado, and J. C. da Silva, “A trust and reputation framework for game agents: Providing a social bias to computer players,” in *Intelligent Systems (BRACIS), 2016 5th Brazilian Conference on.* IEEE, 2016, pp. 193–198.
- [3] J. Granatyr, V. Botelho, O. R. Lessing, E. E. Scalabrin, J.-P. Barthes, and F. Enembreck, “Trust and reputation models for multiagent systems,” *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)*, vol. 48, no. 2, p. 27, 2015.
- [4] P. I. Cowling, D. Whitehouse, and E. J. Powley, “Emergent bluffing and inference with monte carlo tree search,” in *Computational Intelligence and Games (CIG), 2015 IEEE Conference on.* IEEE, 2015, pp. 114–121.
- [5] S. Klein, J. Aeschlimann, D. Balsiger *et al.*, “Automatic novel writing: A status report,” 1973.
- [6] P. Gervás, “to storytelling and creativity,” *AI MAGAZINE*, 2009.
- [7] S. Bringsjord and D. A. Ferrucci, “Artificial intelligence and literary creativity: Inside the mind of BRUTUS, a storytelling machine,” *Computational Linguistics*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 642–647, 2000.
- [8] “The typing of the dead,” Sega, 1999.
- [9] “Left 4 dead,” Valve South, 2008.
- [10] V. J. Shute, M. Ventura, M. Bauer, and D. Zapata-Rivera, “Melding the power of serious games and embedded assessment to monitor and foster learning,” *Serious games: Mechanisms and effects*, vol. 2, pp. 295–321, 2009.
- [11] J. B. Hauge, R. Berta, G. Fiucci, B. F. Manjón, C. Padrón-Nápoles, W. Westra, and R. Nadolski, “Implications of learning analytics for

- serious game design,” in *Advanced Learning Technologies (ICALT), 2014 IEEE 14th International Conference on*. IEEE, 2014, pp. 230–232.
- [12] H. Fournier, R. Kop, and H. Sitlia, “The value of learning analytics to networked learning on a personal learning environment,” in *Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Learning Analytics and Knowledge*. ACM, 2011, pp. 104–109.
- [13] R. Hunicke, “The case for dynamic difficulty adjustment in games,” in *Proceedings of the 2005 ACM SIGCHI International Conference on Advances in computer entertainment technology*. ACM, 2005, pp. 429–433.
- [14] “Assassin’s Creed IV: Black Flag,” Ubisoft, 2013.
- [15] M. Viroli, A. Bucchiarone, D. Pianini, and J. Beal, “Combining self-organisation and autonomic computing in cass with aggregate-mape,” in *Foundations and Applications of Self* Systems, IEEE International Workshops on*. IEEE, 2016, pp. 186–191.
- [16] N. Capodieci, E. Hart, and G. Cabri, “Designing self-aware adaptive systems: from autonomic computing to cognitive immune networks,” in *Self-Adaptation and Self-Organizing Systems Workshops (SASOW), 2013 IEEE 7th International Conference on*. IEEE, 2013, pp. 59–64.
- [17] C. Krupitzer, F. M. Roth, S. Vansyckel, and C. Becker, “Towards reusability in autonomic computing,” in *Autonomic Computing (ICAC), 2015 IEEE International Conference on*. IEEE, 2015, pp. 115–120.
- [18] K. Sugawara, S. Fujita, T. Kinoshita, and N. Shiratori, “A design of cognitive agents for recognizing real spacetowards symbiotic computing,” in *Cognitive Informatics, 2008. ICCI 2008. 7th IEEE International Conference on*. IEEE, 2008, pp. 277–285.

- [19] A. Liapis, G. Smith, and N. Shaker, “Mixed-initiative content creation,” in *Procedural Content Generation in Games*. Springer, 2016, pp. 195–214.
- [20] G. N. Yannakakis, A. Liapis, and C. Alexopoulos, “Mixed-initiative co-creativity.” in *FDG*, 2014.