

# Solving A Constrained Maximization Problem with Fixed Cost and Minimum Choice Bound

Fan Wang

2020-04-06

## Contents

Discrete and Continuous . . . . .	1
-----------------------------------	---

### Discrete and Continuous

Go back to [fan's REconTools](#) Package, [R4Econ](#) Repository, or [Intro Stats with R](#) Repository.

```
library(tidyverse)
library(tidyr)
library(knitr)
library(kableExtra)
```

Study the relationship between fixed cost  $\phi$  and minimum choice bounds  $a^{min}$ . This is a general problem that appears in a lot of context.

There is a cost to choosing  $U$  over  $B$ . And when choosing  $B$ , there is a minimum choice associated with choosing  $B$  category. So the question is, should we choose  $U$  or  $B$ , and if we choose  $B$ , how much of  $a$  should we choose.

Individuals are defined by a single state variable  $z$ , which determines current wealth, and also helps to determine future wealth. We are interested in solving for the proportion of people choosing  $U$  and  $B$ , and then within those choosing  $B$ , the proportion of individuals choosing the  $a^{min}$

There are three problems to solve:

1. The discrete choice problem over  $U$  and  $B$
2. The bounding threshold problem
3. The unbounded continuous choice problem

The problem presented here is a simple version of Udupa and Wang (2020)'s savings friction paper.

**Unconstrained Savings and Borrowing** There are endowments today and tomorrow. The endowment tomorrow is a function of the endowment today, higher endowment today increases endowment tomorrow. Households are defined by a single state variable  $z$ . In particular, there are three possible future, same endowment as today, endowment increases by  $\epsilon$ , or endowment decreases by  $\epsilon$

$$V^{unc}(z) = \max_a \left( \log(z - \phi - a') + \beta \begin{pmatrix} P_h \cdot \log(\exp(z + \epsilon) + a' \cdot R) + \\ P_m \cdot \log(\exp(z) + a' \cdot R) + \\ P_l \cdot \log(\exp(z - \epsilon) + a' \cdot R) \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

It is straight forward to solve the above problem numerically. we can solve the problem given a dense grid of  $a$  and  $z$  points, and find approximately exactly at each  $z$  point.

We will solve the problem twice, first using grid method, then using the R bisection method from Fan's [REconTools](#). We should see that the discretized solution is almost identical to the bisection solution which should be more exact.

Clearly some of the optimal savings or borrowing choices  $a'^*$  will be negative, when the household wants to borrow at some  $z$ , and it will be positive at other  $z$  points where households want to save.

**The Asset Choice Constraint** Now we add in a constraint, the constraint could be a borrowing or savings constraint.

**Compare Utility** What would be the optimal choice if households