DPLYR Bisection-Evaluate Many Unknown Nonlinear Equations Jointly, Solve Roots for Strictly Monotonic Functions with Single Zero-Crossing

Fan Wang

Contents

Bisection

Bisection

Go to the RMD, R, PDF, or HTML version of this file. Go back to fan's REconTools Package, R Code Examples Repository (bookdown site), or Intro Stats with R Repository (bookdown site).

See the ff opti bisect pmap multi function from Fan's REconTools Package, which provides a resuable function based on the algorithm worked out here.

The bisection specific code does not need to do much.

- list variables in file for grouping, each group is an individual for whom we want to calculate optimal choice for using bisection.
- string variable name of input where functions are evaluated, these are already contained in the dataframe, existing variable names, row specific, rowwise computation over these, each rowwise calculation using different rows.
- scalar and array values that are applied to every rowwise calculation, all rowwise calculations using the same scalars and arrays.
- string output variable name

This is how I implement the bisection algorithm, when we know the bounding minimum and maximum to be below and above zero already.

- 1. Evaluate $f_a^0 = f(a^0)$ and $f_b^0 = f(b^0)$, min and max points. 2. Evaluate at $f_p^0 = f(p^0)$, where $p_0 = \frac{a^0 + b^0}{2}$. 3. if $f_a^i \cdot f_p^i < 0$, then $b_{i+1} = p_i$, else, $a_{i+1} = p_i$ and $f_a^{i+1} = p_i$.

- 4. iteratre until convergence.

Generate New columns of a and b as we iteratre, do not need to store p, p is temporary. Evaluate the function below which we have already tested, but now, in the dataframe before generating all permutations, tb_states_choices, now the fl_N element will be changing with each iteration, it will be row specific. fl_N are first min and max, then each subsequent ps.

Initialize Matrix Prepare Input Data:

```
# Parameters
fl rho = 0.20
svr_id_var = 'INDI_ID'
# P fixed parameters, nN is N dimensional, nP is P dimensional
ar nN A = seq(-2, 2, length.out = 4)
```

```
ar_nN_alpha = seq(0.1, 0.9, length.out = 4)
# Choice Grid for nutritional feasible choices for each
fl_N_agg = 100
fl_N_min = 0
# Mesh Expand
tb states choices <- as tibble(cbind(ar nN A, ar nN alpha)) %>%
 rowid_to_column(var=svr_id_var)
# Convert Matrix to Tibble
ar_st_col_names = c(svr_id_var, 'fl_A', 'fl_alpha')
tb_states_choices <- tb_states_choices %>% rename_all(~c(ar_st_col_names))
Prepare Function:
# Define Implicit Function
ffi_nonlin_dplyrdo <- function(fl_A, fl_alpha, fl_N, ar_A, ar_alpha, fl_N_agg, fl_rho){
  ar_p1_s1 = exp((fl_A - ar_A)*fl_rho)
  ar_p1_s2 = (fl_alpha/ar_alpha)
  ar_p1_s3 = (1/(ar_alpha*fl_rho - 1))
  ar_p1 = (ar_p1_s1*ar_p1_s2)^ar_p1_s3
  ar_p2 = fl_N^((fl_alpha*fl_rho-1)/(ar_alpha*fl_rho-1))
  ar_overall = ar_p1*ar_p2
 fl_overall = fl_N_agg - sum(ar_overall)
  return(fl_overall)
}
Initialize the matrix with a_0 and b_0, the initial min and max points:
# common prefix to make reshaping easier
st_bisec_prefix <- 'bisec_'
svr_a_lst <- paste0(st_bisec_prefix, 'a_0')</pre>
svr_b_lst <- paste0(st_bisec_prefix, 'b_0')</pre>
svr_fa_lst <- paste0(st_bisec_prefix, 'fa_0')</pre>
svr_fb_lst <- paste0(st_bisec_prefix, 'fb_0')</pre>
# Add initial a and b
tb_states_choices_bisec <- tb_states_choices %>%
  mutate(!!sym(svr_a_lst) := fl_N_min, !!sym(svr_b_lst) := fl_N_agg)
# Evaluate function f(a_0) and f(b_0)
tb_states_choices_bisec <- tb_states_choices_bisec %>%
```

!!sym(svr_fb_lst) := ffi_nonlin_dplyrdo(fl_A, fl_alpha, !!sym(svr_b_lst),

mutate(!!sym(svr_fa_lst) := ffi_nonlin_dplyrdo(fl_A, fl_alpha, !!sym(svr_a_lst),

ar_nN_A, ar_nN_alpha,
fl_N_agg, fl_rho),

ar_nN_A, ar_nN_alpha,
fl_N_agg, fl_rho))

rowwise() %>%

Summarize

dim(tb_states_choices_bisec)

```
# summary(tb_states_choices_bisec)
```

Iterate and Solve for f(p), update f(a) and f(b) Implement the DPLYR based Concurrent bisection algorithm.

```
# fl_tol = float tolerance criteria
\# it\_tol = number of interations to allow at most
fl_tol <- 10^-2
it_tol <- 100
\# fl_p_dist2zr = distance to zero to initalize
fl_p_dist2zr <- 1000
it_cur <- 0
while (it_cur <= it_tol && fl_p_dist2zr >= fl_tol ) {
  it_cur <- it_cur + 1</pre>
  # New Variables
  svr_a_cur <- paste0(st_bisec_prefix, 'a_', it_cur)</pre>
  svr_b_cur <- pasteO(st_bisec_prefix, 'b_', it_cur)</pre>
  svr_fa_cur <- pasteO(st_bisec_prefix, 'fa_', it_cur)</pre>
  svr_fb_cur <- paste0(st_bisec_prefix, 'fb_', it_cur)</pre>
  # Evaluate function f(a_0) and f(b_0)
  # 1. generate p
  # 2. generate f_p
  # 3. generate f_p*f_a
  tb_states_choices_bisec <- tb_states_choices_bisec %>%
    rowwise() %>%
    mutate(p = ((!!sym(svr_a_lst) + !!sym(svr_b_lst))/2)) %>%
    mutate(f_p = ffi_nonlin_dplyrdo(fl_A, fl_alpha, p,
                                      ar_nN_A, ar_nN_alpha,
                                     fl_N_agg, fl_rho)) %>%
    mutate(f_p_t_f_a = f_p*!!sym(svr_fa_lst))
  # fl_p_dist2zr = sum(abs(p))
  fl_p_dist2zr <- mean(abs(tb_states_choices_bisec %>% pull(f_p)))
  # Update a and b
  tb_states_choices_bisec <- tb_states_choices_bisec %>%
    mutate(!!sym(svr_a_cur) :=
             case_when(f_p_t_f_a < 0 ~ !!sym(svr_a_lst),</pre>
                        TRUE ~ p)) %>%
    mutate(!!sym(svr_b_cur) :=
             case\_when(f\_p\_t\_f\_a < 0 \sim p,
                        TRUE ~ !!sym(svr_b_lst)))
  # Update f(a) and f(b)
  tb_states_choices_bisec <- tb_states_choices_bisec %>%
    mutate(!!sym(svr_fa_cur) :=
             case_when(f_p_t_f_a < 0 ~ !!sym(svr_fa_lst),</pre>
                        TRUE ~ f_p)) %>%
    mutate(!!sym(svr_fb_cur) :=
             case_when(f_p_t_f_a < 0 ~ f_p,</pre>
```

```
TRUE ~ !!sym(svr_fb_lst)))
  # Save from last
  svr_a_lst <- svr_a_cur</pre>
  svr_b_lst <- svr_b_cur</pre>
  svr_fa_lst <- svr_fa_cur</pre>
  svr_fb_lst <- svr_fb_cur</pre>
  # Summar current round
  print(paste0('it_cur:', it_cur, ', fl_p_dist2zr:', fl_p_dist2zr))
  summary(tb_states_choices_bisec %>%
            select(one_of(svr_a_cur, svr_b_cur, svr_fa_cur, svr_fb_cur)))
}
## [1] "it_cur:1, fl_p_dist2zr:1597.93916362849"
## [1] "it_cur:2, fl_p_dist2zr:676.06602535902"
  [1] "it_cur:3, fl_p_dist2zr:286.850590132782"
## [1] "it_cur:4, fl_p_dist2zr:117.225493866655"
  [1] "it_cur:5, fl_p_dist2zr:37.570593471664"
## [1] "it_cur:6, fl_p_dist2zr:4.60826664896022"
## [1] "it_cur:7, fl_p_dist2zr:14.4217689135683"
## [1] "it_cur:8, fl_p_dist2zr:8.38950830086659"
## [1] "it_cur:9, fl_p_dist2zr:3.93347761455868"
## [1] "it_cur:10, fl_p_dist2zr:1.88261338941038"
## [1] "it_cur:11, fl_p_dist2zr:0.744478952222305"
## [1] "it_cur:12, fl_p_dist2zr:0.187061801237917"
## [1] "it_cur:13, fl_p_dist2zr:0.117844913432613"
## [1] "it_cur:14, fl_p_dist2zr:0.0275365951418891"
## [1] "it cur:15, fl p dist2zr:0.0515488156908255"
## [1] "it_cur:16, fl_p_dist2zr:0.0191152349149135"
## [1] "it_cur:17, fl_p_dist2zr:0.00385372194545752"
```

Reshape Wide to long to Wide To view results easily, how iterations improved to help us find the roots, convert table from wide to long. Pivot twice. This allows us to easily graph out how bisection is working out iteration iteration.

Here, we will first show what the raw table looks like, the wide only table, and then show the long version, and finally the version that is medium wide.

Table One-Very Wide Show what the tb_states_choices_bisec looks like.

Variables are formatted like: bisec xx yy, where yy is the iteration indicator, and xx is either a, b, fa, or fb.

```
kable(head(t(tb_states_choices_bisec), 25)) %>%
kable_styling_fc()
# str(tb_states_choices_bisec)
```

Table Two-Very Wide to Very Long We want to treat the iteration count information that is the suffix of variable names as a variable by itself. Additionally, we want to treat the a,b,fa,fb as a variable. Structuring the data very long like this allows for easy graphing and other types of analysis. Rather than dealing with many many variables, we have only 3 core variables that store bisection iteration information.

Here we use the very nice *pivot_longer* function. Note that to achieve this, we put a common prefix in front of the variables we wanted to convert to long. This is helpful, because we can easily identify which variables need to be reshaped.

INDI_ID	1.000000e+00	2.0000000	3.0000000	4.0000000
fl_A	-2.0000000e+00	-0.6666667	0.6666667	2.0000000
fl_alpha	1.000000e-01	0.366667	0.6333333	0.9000000
bisec_a_0	0.0000000e+00	0.0000000	0.0000000	0.0000000
bisec_b_0	1.0000000e+02	100.0000000	100.0000000	100.0000000
bisec_fa_0	1.0000000e+02	100.0000000	100.0000000	100.0000000
bisec_fb_0	-1.288028e+04	-1394.7069782	-323.9421599	-51.9716069
p	1.544952e+00	8.5838318	24.8359680	65.0367737
	-7.637200e-03	-0.0052211	-0.0016162	-0.0009405
f_p_t_f_a	-3.800000e-04	-0.0000237	-0.0000025	-0.0000002
bisec_a_1	0.0000000e+00	0.0000000	0.0000000	50.0000000
bisec_b_1	5.0000000e+01	50.0000000	50.0000000	100.0000000
bisec_fa_1	1.0000000e+02	100.0000000	100.0000000	22.5557704
bisec_fb_1	-5.666956e+03	-595.7345364	-106.5105843	-51.9716069
bisec_a_2	0.000000e+00	0.0000000	0.0000000	50.0000000
$bisec_b_2$	2.500000e+01	25.0000000	25.0000000	75.0000000
bisec_fa_2	1.0000000e+02	100.0000000	100.0000000	22.5557704
bisec_fb_2	-2.464562e+03	-224.1460032	-0.6857375	-14.8701831
bisec_a_3	0.000000e+00	0.0000000	12.5000000	62.5000000
$bisec_b_3$	1.250000e+01	12.5000000	25.0000000	75.0000000
bisec_fa_3	1.0000000e+02	100.0000000	50.8640414	3.7940196
bisec_fb_3	-1.041574e+03	-51.1700464	-0.6857375	-14.8701831
bisec_a_4	0.0000000e+00	6.2500000	18.7500000	62.5000000
bisec_b_4	6.250000e+00	12.5000000	25.0000000	68.7500000
bisec_fa_4	1.000000e+02	29.4271641	25.2510409	3.7940196

```
# New variables
svr_bisect_iter <- 'biseciter'</pre>
svr_abfafb_long_name <- 'varname'</pre>
svr_number_col <- 'value'</pre>
svr_id_bisect_iter <- paste0(svr_id_var, '_bisect_ier')</pre>
# Pivot wide to very long
tb_states_choices_bisec_long <- tb_states_choices_bisec %>%
  pivot_longer(
    cols = starts_with(st_bisec_prefix),
    names_to = c(svr_abfafb_long_name, svr_bisect_iter),
    names_pattern = pasteO(st_bisec_prefix, "(.*)_(.*)"),
    values_to = svr_number_col
  )
# Print
# summary(tb_states_choices_bisec_long)
kable(head(tb_states_choices_bisec_long %>%
             select(-one_of('p','f_p','f_p_t_f_a')), 15)) %>%
  kable_styling_fc()
kable(tail(tb_states_choices_bisec_long %>%
             select(-one_of('p','f_p','f_p_t_f_a')), 15)) %>%
  kable_styling_fc()
```

INDI_ID	fl_A	fl_alpha	varname	biseciter	value
1	-2	0.1	a	0	0.000
1	-2	0.1	b	0	100.000
1	-2	0.1	fa	0	100.000
1	-2	0.1	fb	0	-12880.284
1	-2	0.1	a	1	0.000
1	-2	0.1	b	1	50.000
1	-2	0.1	fa	1	100.000
1	-2	0.1	fb	1	-5666.956
1	-2	0.1	a	2	0.000
1	-2	0.1	b	2	25.000
1	-2	0.1	fa	2	100.000
1	-2	0.1	fb	2	-2464.562
1	-2	0.1	a	3	0.000
1	-2	0.1	b	3	12.500
1	-2	0.1	fa	3	100.000
INDI ID	- Д - Л	fl alpha	**0 ***0 0 ***0 0	bigogiton	270 1220

INDI_ID	fl_A	fl_alpha	varname	biseciter	value
4	2	0.9	b	14	65.0390625
4	2	0.9	fa	14	0.0047633
4	2	0.9	fb	14	-0.0043628
4	2	0.9	a	15	65.0360107
4	2	0.9	b	15	65.0390625
4	2	0.9	fa	15	0.0002003
4	2	0.9	fb	15	-0.0043628
4	2	0.9	a	16	65.0360107
4	2	0.9	b	16	65.0375366
4	2	0.9	fa	16	0.0002003
4	2	0.9	fb	16	-0.0020812
4	2	0.9	a	17	65.0360107
4	2	0.9	b	17	65.0367737
4	2	0.9	fa	17	0.0002003
4	2	0.9	fb	17	-0.0009405

Table Two-Very Very Long to Wider Again But the previous results are too long, with the a, b, fa, and fb all in one column as different categories, they are really not different categories, they are in fact different types of variables. So we want to spread those four categories of this variable into four columns, each one representing the a, b, fa, and fb values. The rows would then be uniquly identified by the iteration counter and individual ID.


INDI_ID	fl_A	fl_alpha	biseciter	a	b	fa	fb
1	-2	0.1	0	0.000000	100.0000	100.00000	-12880.283918
1	-2	0.1	1	0.000000	50.0000	100.00000	-5666.955763
1	-2	0.1	2	0.000000	25.0000	100.00000	-2464.562178
1	-2	0.1	3	0.000000	12.5000	100.00000	-1041.574253
1	-2	0.1	4	0.000000	6.2500	100.00000	-408.674764
1	-2	0.1	5	0.000000	3.1250	100.00000	-126.904283
1	-2	0.1	6	0.000000	1.5625	100.00000	-1.328965
1	-2	0.1	7	0.781250	1.5625	54.69612	-1.328965
1	-2	0.1	8	1.171875	1.5625	27.46061	-1.328965
1	-2	0.1	9	1.367188	1.5625	13.23495	-1.328965

INDI_ID	fl_A	fl_alpha	biseciter	a	b	fa	fb
1	-2	0.1	0	0.000000	100.0000	100.00000	-12880.283918
1	-2	0.1	1	0.000000	50.0000	100.00000	-5666.955763
1	-2	0.1	2	0.000000	25.0000	100.00000	-2464.562178
1	-2	0.1	3	0.000000	12.5000	100.00000	-1041.574253
1	-2	0.1	4	0.000000	6.2500	100.00000	-408.674764
1	-2	0.1	5	0.000000	3.1250	100.00000	-126.904283
1	-2	0.1	6	0.000000	1.5625	100.00000	-1.328965
1	-2	0.1	7	0.781250	1.5625	54.69612	-1.328965
1	-2	0.1	8	1.171875	1.5625	27.46061	-1.328965
1	-2	0.1	9	1.367188	1.5625	13.23495	-1.328965

Graph Bisection Iteration Results Actually we want to graph based on the long results, not the wider. Wider easier to view in table.

```
# Graph results
lineplot <- tb_states_choices_bisec_long %>%
   mutate(!!sym(svr_bisect_iter) := as.numeric(!!sym(svr_bisect_iter))) %>%
   filter(!!sym(svr_abfafb_long_name) %in% c('a', 'b')) %>%
   ggplot(aes(x=!!sym(svr_bisect_iter), y=!!sym(svr_number_col),
               colour=!!sym(svr_abfafb_long_name),
               linetype=!!sym(svr_abfafb_long_name),
               shape=!!sym(svr_abfafb_long_name))) +
       facet_wrap( ~ INDI_ID) +
        geom_line() +
       geom_point() +
       labs(title = 'Bisection Iteration over individuals Until Convergence',
             x = 'Bisection Iteration',
             y = 'a (left side point) and b (right side point) values',
             caption = 'DPLYR concurrent bisection nonlinear multple individuals') +
      theme(axis.text.x = element_text(angle = 90, hjust = 1))
print(lineplot)
```

Bisection Iteration over individuals Until Convergence



DPLYR concurrent bisection nonlinear multple individuals