

# Analyzing Atkinson Family Utility (CES)

Go back to [fan's REconTools Package](#), [R4Econ Repository](#), or [Intro Stats with R Repository](#).

```
rm(list = ls(all.names = TRUE))
options(knitr.duplicate.label = 'allow')

library(tidyverse)
library(knitr)
library(kableExtra)
library(REconTools)
# file name
st_file_name = 'fs_atkinson_ces'
# Generate R File
purl(paste0(st_file_name, ".Rmd"), output=paste0(st_file_name, ".R"), documentation = 2)
# Generate PDF and HTML
# rmarkdown::render("C:/Users/fan/R4Econ/math/function/fs_atkinson_ces", "pdf_document")
# rmarkdown::render("C:/Users/fan/R4Econ/math/function/fs_atkinson_ces", "html_document")
```

## Atkinson Family Utility

How does the Atkinson Family utility function work? The Atkinson Family Utility has the following functional form.

$$V^{\text{social}} = (\alpha \cdot A^\lambda + \beta \cdot B^\lambda)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}$$

Several key issues here:

1.  $V^{\text{social}}$  is the utility of some social planner
2.  $A$  and  $B$  are allocations for Alex and Ben.
3.  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are biases that a social planner has for Alex and Ben:  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , and  $\beta > 0$
4.  $-\infty < \lambda \leq 1$  is a measure of inequality aversion
  - $\lambda = 1$  is when the planner cares about weighted total allocations (efficient, Utilitarian)
  - $\lambda = -\infty$  is when the planner cares about only the minimum between  $A$  and  $B$  allocations (equality, Rawlsian)

### What if only care about Alex?

Clearly, if the planner only cares about Ben,  $\beta = 1$ , then:

$$V^{\text{social}} = (B^\lambda)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} = B$$

Clearly, regardless of the value of  $\lambda$ , as  $B$  increases  $V$  increases.

### What Happens to $V$ when $A$ or $B$ increases?

What is the derivative of  $V$  with respect to  $A$  or  $B$ ?

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial A} = \frac{1}{\lambda} (\alpha A^\lambda + \beta B^\lambda)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}-1} \cdot \lambda \alpha A^{\lambda-1}$$

With just a little bit of simplification, we have:

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial A} = (\alpha A^\lambda + \beta B^\lambda)^{\frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda}} \cdot \alpha A^{\lambda-1} > 0$$

It is important to note that  $\frac{\partial V}{\partial A} > 0$ . When  $\lambda < 0$ ,  $Z^\lambda > 0$ . For example  $10^{-2} = \frac{1}{100}$ . And For example  $0.1^{\frac{3}{-2}} = \frac{1}{0.1^{1.5}}$ . Still Positive.

This might be surprising, because when  $\lambda < 0$ :

$$\text{if } \lambda < 0 \text{ then } \frac{d(\alpha A^\lambda + \beta B^\lambda)}{dA} = \alpha \lambda A^{\lambda-1} < 0$$

so if we did not have the outer  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$  power, negative  $\lambda$  would lead to decreasing weighted sum. But:

$$\text{if } \lambda < 0 \text{ then } \frac{dG^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}{dG} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \cdot G^{\frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda}} < 0$$

so when  $G$  is increasing and  $\lambda < 0$ ,  $V$  would decrease. But when  $G(A, B)$  is decreasing, due to increasing  $A$  when  $\lambda < 0$ ,  $V$  will actually increase.