COMP3222 Machine Learning Technologies Coursework 2022/23

Deliverables and deadlines

Deliverable	Deadline	Feedback	Marking Scheme	Weight
Machine	Week 12,	Week 16	Deliverable is used to	0%
learning	13 January,	(timetable week	provide evidence of	
algorithm	2023, Fri @ 4pm	19)	practical work, and is not	
implementation	(timetable week		awarded marks itself.	
	15)			
Final report	Week 12,	Week 16	Top scoring final reports	50%
	13 January,	(timetable week	will characterize the use	
	2023, Fri @ 4pm	19)	case problem and data,	
	(timetable week		and connect these	
	15)		characteristics to	
			attributes of the chosen	
			pre-processing, feature	
			selection, dimensionality	
			reduction and machine	
			learning algorithm.	
			They will provide a	
			rigorous evaluation of the	
			implementation and	
			justify chosen designs in	
			the context of other	
			algorithm options	
			available (from course text	
			or wider literature).	

Introduction

This assignment is about analysing use cases, designing machine learning algorithms and evaluating the resulting design/implementation. The use case is based on the MediaEval 2015 "verifying multimedia use" task.

The MediaEval 2015 "verifying multimedia use" task aims to test automatic ways to classify viral social media content propagating fake images or presenting real images in a false context. After a high impact event has taken place, a lot of controversial information goes viral on social media and investigation needs to be carried out to debunk it and decide whether the shared multimedia represents real information. As there is a lack of publicly accessible tools for assessing the veracity of user-generated content, the task intends to aid news professionals, such as journalists, to verify their sources and fulfil the goals of journalism that impose a strict code of faithfulness to reality and objectivity.

The task is to design/build algorithm(s) to classify social media posts within the MediaEval 2015 "verifying multimedia use" challenge dataset as 'real' or 'fake'.

Definition of fake posts:

- Reposting of real multimedia, such as real photos from the past re-posted as being associated with a current event
- Digitally manipulated multimedia
- Synthetic multimedia, such as artworks or snapshots presented as real imagery

You will accomplish the task through the following steps:

- 1. Design and build a machine learning algorithm to classify posts within the MediaEval 2015 "verifying multimedia use" dataset.
- 2. Analyse the use case (task and data) and select where needed pre-processing steps, feature selection methods, dimensionality reduction techniques and a machine learning algorithm.
- 3. Train your implemented algorithm using the MediaEval 2015 "verifying multimedia use" training dataset provided.
- 4. Evaluate your implemented algorithm by classifying posts in the MediaEval 2015 "verifying multimedia use" test dataset and scoring the answers against the test set ground truth labels.

In addition, you will write a final report. This will explain your use case analysis, justifying your choice of pre-processing step(s), feature selection method(s), dimensionality reduction technique(s) and machine learning algorithm. You will report your results using the MediaEval 2015 "verifying multimedia use" evaluation metrics.

The F1 scores of algorithm implementations will not affect the final assignment marks (unless they are incorrectly calculated - see section 'machine learning algorithm implementation'). As a guide only, the highest F1 results for this case study coursework in previous years were over 0.9.

Task dataset

The dataset and ground truth labels are provided on the module wiki page. Do not use any other dataset for this assignment (e.g., datasets shared via MediaEval website must not be used).

The MediaEval 2015 "verifying multimedia use" dataset consists of social media posts (e.g. Twitter, Facebook and blog posts) for which the social media identifiers are shared along with the post text and some additional characteristics of the post. In the original MediaEval challenge multimedia features (image, video) were provided in addition to text and metadata. However, only the text and metadata features have been provided to you to simplify the problem.

A set of ground truth labels (i.e., 'fake' or 'real') are provided in the dataset for both the training and test set. Algorithms will only train using ground truth labels in the training set.

The algorithm must not use the test ground truth labels for anything other than computing the final scoring.

Machine learning algorithm implementation

Algorithm implementation evaluations will be based on classifying the test set and using the ground truth labels to compute the F1 score.

A zip file of the final source code will be uploaded to the handin system along with a README.txt file containing instructions for how to install, run and generate the F1 scores reported in the final report.

Up to 5 points can be deducted from the final report marks if there are obvious errors in the software code regarding how F1 scores are computed.

Final report

The final report MUST have the following five sections:

- 1. **Introduction and data analysis:** Describe the problem being addressed. Provide a detailed characterization of the task dataset in terms of format, volume, quality and bias.
- 2. **Algorithm design:** Describe pre-processing, feature selection and dimensionality reduction used (if any). Describe the machine learning algorithm used. Outline all choices made and justify why they were considered best in the context of the wider options available in the literature and your analysis of data characteristics.
- 3. **Evaluation:** Report using the F1 metric scores for different configurations of the algorithm implementation you have tested.
- 4. **Conclusion:** Summarize your findings and suggest some areas for future improvement and lessons learnt.
- 5. **References:** List of reference papers cited in the report

The report PDF document should be between 5 and 10 pages long. The 10-page limit is not a target to aim for, and shorter reports that present information concisely are better - find your perfect balance. Use tables and figures to show data cleanly and highlight key information clearly such as the main findings. Use any document style (e.g. reference style) as long as it's clear and easy to read. Reports over 10 pages long may incur a 5-mark penalty for demonstrating a poor ability to summarize key information.

You need to explain both your design and the design choices, alternatives considered, and justifications for each choice in the context of the data and problem characteristics. You should describe in the final report how you iteratively developed your algorithm, what the evaluation results were at different design iterations and how you used these evaluation results to revise and refine your design choices.

The marking scheme shows you how marks are allocated to each section.

FAQs

Can I use external task-specific data?

No. Use only training and test data from the assignment ZIP file. MediaEval image content feature data (for example) is not provided in the ZIP file, so should not be used. Twitter profile pages and users home pages are not provided in the ZIP file, so should not be used. This is intended to simplify the assignment and allow easier comparison of how you extract most from the text-based features provided.

Can I use external generic data?

Yes. You can use static external resources such as NLTK stopwords, POS tagging, NER, lists of first names, lists of respected news organizations, sentiment word lists etc. These can generate additional useful features from the dataset which might be useful. Static resources should not be tailored to the test set as this would be cheating (e.g. no lists of usernames in testset who are fakers).

Can I edit the test data to improve my results?

No. All posts in the test data must be used for the final evaluation when calculating the final F1 scores. Your algorithm can filter the training data as part of its pre-processing if that is useful. You can pre-process test and training data as you wish to clean or add features, but ultimately you need to produce a classification for every entry in the testset. You must not use the test set for training in any way.

What's the humour label?

Humour label should be treated as a Fake label. The assignment is to create a binary classifier, so treat a Humour as a fake label when calculating F1 scores. You are allowed to use Humour labels to gain an advantage during training, if you want to, for example segmenting the training data to allow discovery of better discriminating features.

How should I define TP, FP, TN, FN?

The task is to classify 'fake' as defined by MediaEval. The binary classifier thus labels data as 'fake' (positive) or 'real' (negative). So a TP is a correct 'fake' classification. A FP is an incorrect 'fake' classification when its 'real'. A TN is a correct 'real' classification. A FN is an incorrect 'real' classification when its 'fake'.

What software can I use for the implementation?

You can use any programming language to implement your solution. The lecture material is based on Scikit-learn and Python, but it is OK to use other languages (e.g. Java, R) if you are more familiar with these. We are not expecting students to be on the same level of

expertise with Python and Scikit Learn. You can also use any software libraries that help. You must, however, justify your choices of programming language and libraries used. You may not use any third-party open-source algorithm implementation that was specifically designed to work on a MediaEval challenge (your work must be your own).

Do I need to submit software used for data visualization and characterisation?

No. You can use any software you like (e.g. some Python scripts) to segment and analyse the dataset and provide you with evidence to justify your algorithm design decisions. You should include evidence of data characterisation work in your final report, such as graphs & histograms to underpin your design choices and problem/data characterisation. However, the code to generate these graphs & histograms should not be submitted.

The task dataset posts contain text in multiple languages, do I need to translate them?

It is up to each student to analyse the dataset, and decide for themselves what to do with non-English posts. You can detect them (there are PyPI Python libs to detect languages), translate them, ignore them or just allow non-English phrases as features. There is no right answer. You need to analyse the problem and data yourself, then decide what algorithm design to use. Use the methodology taught in the lectures to analyse the problem and data space and match the characteristics to the algorithms available.

Plagiarism

Both the machine learning algorithm implementation and the final report need to be the student's own work unless mentioned otherwise.

For the machine learning algorithm, you can reuse example code from the course textbook, but anything else needs to be clearly acknowledged in the report and when submitting.

You are not allowed to copy the open-source algorithm releases from those who have previously participated in MediaEval competitions. You are expected to implement your own approach. You can use generic machine learning libraries, however, such as PyPI, Java libraries or R packages.

You are allowed to use ideas and strategies reported in academic papers, as long as you implement these strategies yourselves and acknowledge the papers in your report. In case of doubt, feel free to ask! This is important as any violations, deliberate or otherwise, will be automatically reported to the Academic Integrity Officer.

Late submissions

Late submissions will be penalised according to the standard rules.

References

[Boididou 2014] Boididou, C., Papadopoulos, S., Kompatsiaris, Y., Schifferes, S., Newman, N. Challenges of computational verification in social multimedia. In Proceedings of the companion publication of the 23rd international conference on World wide web companion (WWW Companion '14), pp. 743-748

[Boididou 2016] Boididou, C. Papadopoulos, S. Middleton, S.E. Dang Nguyen, D.T. Riegler, M. Petlund, A. Kompatsiaris, Y. The VMU Participation @ Verifying Multimedia Use 2016. In Proceedings of the MediaEval 2016 Workshop, Hilversum, The Netherlands, October 20-21, 2016.

[Conotter 2014] Conotter, V., Dang-Nguyen, D.-T., Riegler, M., Boato, G., Larson, M. A Crowdsourced Data Set of Edited Images Online. In Proceedings of the 2014 International ACM Workshop on Crowdsourcing for Multimedia (CrowdMM '14). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 49-52

[MediaEval 2015 proceedings] http://ceur-ws.org/Vol-1436/

[MediaEval 2015] http://www.multimediaeval.org/mediaeval2015/verifyingmultimediause/

[MediaEval 2016 proceedings] http://ceur-ws.org/Vol-1739/