

MATH301GWAR
REFERENCES AND PROOFS

Marty Martin

March 28, 2024

Contents

Proof Methods	3
If then statements	3
If then types	3
Induction Proof	3
Proof by contradiction	4
Definitions	5
Equality =	5
Inequality \neq	5
In the set of \in	5
Not in the set of \notin	5
Divisibility	5
2 and other integers	5
Even Integers	5
Subtraction	5
Power	5
Sum	6
Product	6
Non-negative integer ($\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$)	6
Factorial	6
Subset (\subseteq)	6
The Empty Set (\emptyset)	6
Equal Sets ($=$)	6
Functions	6
Theorems	7
Theorem 2.25 (Principle of Mathematical Induction — First Form Revisited):	7
Collary	8
Axioms	9
Axiom 1.1: Properties of Integers	9
Axiom 1.2: Identity Element for Addition	9
Axiom 1.3: Identity Element for Multiplication	9
Axiom 1.4: Additive Inverse	9
Axiom 1.5: Cancellation	9

Axiom 2.1:	9
Propositions	10
Chapter 1: Propositions	10
Chapter 2: Propositions	19
Quizzes	25
Set Definition and Inclusion	25
Definition of Division ($m n$)	25
Empty Set Definition and Subset Property	25
Union and Intersection Definitions	25
Equivalence Relation Definition	25
Birthday Statement and Subtraction Definition	26
Further Explanation on the Empty Set	26
Union and Intersection Definitions	26
Equivalence Relation Definition	26
Logical Statements and Subtraction Definition	26
Further Discussion on the Empty Set	27

Proof Methods

If then statements

Format:

Proof. **If A , then B :**

1. **Assume A .**
2. **Show that assuming A leads to B .**
3. **Therefore, B is concluded from A .**

□

Example:

Proof. **If $m = 1$, then $m + 0 = 1$.**

1. **Assume $m = 1$.**
2. **Considering $m = 1$, we have $1 + 0 = 1$.**
3. **This simplifies to $1 = 1$, which is true.**

□

If then types

Different types of implications and their meaning:

- $A \Rightarrow B$: "If it is Wednesday, Dr. Beck will get a cup of coffee from the student union."
- $B \Rightarrow A$ (Converse): "If Dr. Beck got a cup of coffee from the student union, then it is Wednesday."
- $A \Leftrightarrow B$ (Bi-conditional): "It is Wednesday if and only if Dr. Beck got a cup of coffee from the student union."
- $\neg B \Rightarrow \neg A$ (Contrapositive): "If Dr. Beck did not get a cup of coffee from the student union, then it is not Wednesday."

Induction Proof

Format:

Proof. **Prove that $F(x)$ is true for all $x \in A$:**

1. **Base case:** Show $F(a)$ is true, where a is the smallest element in set A .
2. **Induction step:** Assume $F(k)$ is true for an arbitrary $k \in A$. Show that $F(k) \Rightarrow F(k + 1)$.
3. **Therefore, $F(x + 1)$ is true for all $x \in A$.**

□

Example:

Proof. **For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n = n$:**

1. **Base case ($n = 1$):** $1 = 1$ is true.
2. **Induction step:** Assume $n = n$ is true for an arbitrary natural number n . Show that this implies $n + 1 = n + 1$.
3. By the induction hypothesis, $n = n$. Adding 1 to both sides, $n + 1 = n + 1$, which holds true.

□

Proof by contradiction

Format:

Proof. **Prove that A is true by contradiction:**

1. Assume **not** A .
2. Show that this assumption leads to a contradiction (something that we know is false).
3. Therefore, A must be true.

□

Different Negations

1. **AND** \Rightarrow **OR**: If A and B , then **not** A or **not** B .

Example: Dr. Beck is 5 ft tall and single \Rightarrow Dr. Beck is **not** 5 ft tall or is **not** single.

2. **OR** \Rightarrow **AND**: If A or B , then **not** A and **not** B .

Example: Dr. Beck will drink a coffee or it is Wednesday \Rightarrow Dr. Beck will **not** drink a coffee and it is **not** Wednesday.

3. **If, then** \Rightarrow **AND**: If A , then B implies **not** A and **not** B .

*If it is Monday, then Dr. Beck is on campus \Rightarrow It is **not** Monday and Dr. Beck is **not** on campus.*

4. **For all** \Rightarrow **There exists**: For all m , A is true implies there exists an m , A is **not** true.

*For all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, m is even \Rightarrow There exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, m is **not** even.*

5. **There exists** \Rightarrow **For all**: There exists an m , A is true implies for all m , A is **not** true.

There exists an $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m + 1 = 0.5 \Rightarrow$ For all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m + 1 \neq 0$.

Example:

Proof. **There is no $x \in \mathbb{N}$ that satisfies the equation $1 - x = 0 \cdot x$.**

1. Assume by way of contradiction that such an x exists in \mathbb{N} .
2. Since $x \neq 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{N}$, cancelling x from both sides of the equation $1 - x = 0 \cdot x$ leads to $0 = 1$.
3. Since $0 \neq 1$ is a true mathematical contradiction, the initial statement is proven to be true by contradiction.

□

Definitions

Equality =

The symbol $=$ means **equals**. To say $m = n$ means that m and n are the same number. Some properties are:

- i. $m = m$ (reflexivity)
- ii. If $m = n$ then $n = m$ (symmetry)
- iii. If $m = n$ and $n = p$ then $m = p$ (transitivity)
- iv. If $m = n$, then n can be substituted for m in any statement without changing the meaning (replacement)

Inequality \neq

The symbol \neq means **is not equal to**. To say $m \neq n$ means that m and n are different numbers. Note that \neq satisfies **symmetry**, but not **transitivity** and **reflexivity**.

In the set of \in

The symbol \in means **is an element of**. For example, $0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ means "0 is an element of the set \mathbb{Z} ."

Not in the set of \notin

The symbol \notin means **is not an element of**. For example, $0.5 \notin \mathbb{Z}$ means "0.5 is not an element of the set \mathbb{Z} ."

Divisibility

When m and n are integers, we say m is divisible by n (or alternatively, n divides m) if there exists $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $m = jn$. We use the notation $n|m$.

2 and other integers

2 is defined as $2 = 1 + 1$ and **3** is $2 + 1$ and so on.

Even Integers

Even integers are defined to be those integers that are divisible by 2. That is, $x = 2j$, where $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Subtraction

Subtraction is defined as $m - n$ is defined to be $m + (-n)$.

Number Theory

Power

Let b be a fixed integer. We define b^k for all integers $k \geq 0$ by:

- 1. $b^0 := 1$
- 2. Assuming b^n is defined, let $b^{n+1} := b^n \cdot b$

Sum

Let $(x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of integers. $(x_j)_{j=1}^3 = \{1, 2, 3\}$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we want to define an integer called $\Sigma_{j=1}^k x_j$:

1. Define $\Sigma_{j=1}^1 \mathbf{x}_j$ to be x_1
2. Assuming $\Sigma_{j=1}^n x_j$ is already defined, we define $\Sigma_{j=1}^{n+1} \mathbf{x}_j$ to be $\Sigma_{j=1}^n x_j + x_{n+1}$

Product

Let $(x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of integers. $(x_j)_{j=1}^3 = \{1, 2, 3\}$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we want to define an integer called $\Pi_{j=1}^k x_j$:

1. Define $\Pi_{j=1}^1 \mathbf{x}_j$ to be x_1
2. Assuming $\Pi_{j=1}^n x_j$ is already defined, we define $\Pi_{j=1}^{n+1} \mathbf{x}_j$ to be $\Pi_{j=1}^n x_j \cdot x_{n+1}$

Non-negative integer ($\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$)

$\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} := \{m \in \mathbb{Z} : m \geq 0\}$

Factorial

We define $k!$ ("k factorial") for all integers $k \geq 0$ by:

1. Define $0! := 1$
2. Assuming $n!$ is defined (where $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$), define $(\mathbf{n} + 1)! := (\mathbf{n}!) \cdot (\mathbf{n} + 1)$

Subset (\subseteq)

$A \subseteq B$ means that if $x \in A$, then $x \in B$

The Empty Set (\emptyset)

The empty set is defined as a set that contains no elements.

Equal Sets ($=$)

The set A is equal to B means that $A \subseteq B$ and $B \subseteq A$. In order to prove two sets are equal, you have to complete two proofs.

Functions

Informal Definition

A function consists of:

- a set A called the **domain** of the function
- a set B called the **codomain** of the function
- a rule f that assigns to each $a \in A$ an element $f(a) \in B$. Shorthand for this is $f : A \rightarrow B$

Abstract Definition

A function with domain A and codomain B is a subset of Γ of $A \times B$ such that for each $a \in A$, there is one and only one element of Γ whose first entry is a . If $(a, b) \in \Gamma$, we write $b = f(a)$.

Theorems

Theorem 2.17 (Principle of Mathematical Induction - First Form):

Let $P(k)$ be a statement depending on a variable $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In order to prove the statement " $P(k)$ is true for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$," it is sufficient to prove:

1. $P(1)$ is true, and
2. For any given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if $P(n)$ is true, then $P(n+1)$ is true.

Theorem 2.25 (Principle of Mathematical Induction — First Form Revisited):

Let $P(k)$ be a statement, depending on a variable $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, that makes sense for all $k \geq m$, where m is a fixed integer. In order to prove the statement " $P(k)$ is true for all $k \geq m$," it is sufficient to prove:

1. $P(m)$ is true, and
2. For any given $n \geq m$, if $P(n)$ is true then $P(n+1)$ is true.

Theorem 2.32 (Well-Ordering Principle):

Every nonempty subset of \mathbb{N} has a smallest element.

Theorem 4.4:

A legitimate method of describing a sequence $(y_j)_{j=m}^{\infty}$ is:

1. to name y_m , and
2. to state a formula describing y_{n+1} in terms of y_n , for each $n \geq m$.

Theorem 4.19:

Let $k, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, where $m \leq k$. Then $m!(k-m)!$ divides $k!$.

Theorem 4.21 (Binomial theorem for integers):

If $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ then $(a+b)^k = \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k}{m} a^{k-m} b^m$

Theorem 4.24 (Principle of mathematical induction —second form):

Let $P(k)$ be a statement depending on a variable $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In order to prove the statement " $P(k)$ is true for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ " it is sufficient to prove:

1. $P(1)$ is true and
2. if $P(j)$ is true for all integers j such that $1 \leq j \leq n$, then $P(n+1)$ is true

Theorem 5.15 (De Morgan's laws):

Given two subsets $A, B \subseteq X$,

$$(A \cap B)^c = A^c \cup B^c \quad \text{and} \quad (A \cup B)^c = A^c \cap B^c$$

Collary

Corollary 1.21

$$(-1)(-1) = 1.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)(-1) &= 1 \cdot 1 \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

(Proposition 1.20 with $m = n = 1$)
(Axiom 1.3)

□

Corollary 4.20:

$$\text{For } 1 \leq m \leq k, \binom{k+1}{m} = \binom{k}{m-1} + \binom{k}{m}$$

Corollary 4.22:

$$\text{For } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k}{m} = 2^k$$

Axioms

Axiom 1.1: Properties of Integers

If m , n , and p are integers, then:

- (i) $m + n = n + m$ (commutativity of addition)
- (ii) $(m + n) + p = m + (n + p)$ (associativity of addition)
- (iii) $m \cdot (n + m) = m \cdot n + m \cdot p$ (distributivity)
- (iv) $m \cdot n = n \cdot m$ (commutativity of multiplication)
- (v) $(m \cdot n) \cdot p = m \cdot (n \cdot p)$ (associativity of multiplication)

Axiom 1.2: Identity Element for Addition

There exists an integer 0 such that whenever $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m + 0 = m$ (identity element for addition).

Axiom 1.3: Identity Element for Multiplication

There exists an integer 1 such that $1 \neq 0$ and whenever $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \cdot 1 = m$ (identity element for multiplication).

Axiom 1.4: Additive Inverse

For each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists an integer, denoted by $-m$, such that $m + (-m) = 0$ (additive inverse).

Axiom 1.5: Cancellation

Let m , n , and p be integers. If $m \cdot n = m \cdot p$ and $m \neq 0$, then $n = p$ (cancellation).

Proof Example

Proof. If m is an integer and $m \cdot 0 = 0$, then $m = m$.

- Consider an integer m .
- Multiplying by 0 gives $m \cdot 0 = 0$.
- Since $m \cdot 0 = 0$, by the property of zero in multiplication, we have $m = m$.
- Thus, the statement is proven. □

Axiom 2.1:

There exists a subset $\mathbb{N} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ with the following properties:

- (i) If $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $m + n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (ii) If $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $mn \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (iii) $0 \notin \mathbb{N}$.
- (iv) For every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $m \in \mathbb{N}$ or $m = 0$ or $-m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Propositions

Chapter 1: Propositions

Proposition 1.6

If m , n , and p are integers, then $(m + n) \cdot p = mp + np$.

Proof. Let m , n , and p be arbitrary integers.

$$\begin{aligned}(m + n) \cdot p &= m \cdot p + n \cdot p && \text{(Axiom 1.1(iii))} \\ &= mp + np\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(m + n) \cdot p = mp + np$ for all integers m , n , and p . □

Proposition 1.7

If m is an integer, then $0 + m = m$ and $1 \cdot m = m$.

Proof. Let m be an arbitrary integer.

$$0 + m = m \quad \text{(Axiom 1.2)}$$

$$1 \cdot m = m \quad \text{(Axiom 1.3)}$$

Therefore, for any integer m , $0 + m = m$ and $1 \cdot m = m$. □

Proposition 1.8

If m is an integer, then $(-m) + m = 0$.

Proof. Let m be an arbitrary integer.

$$(-m) + m = 0 \quad \text{(Axiom 1.4)}$$

Therefore, for any integer m , $(-m) + m = 0$. □

Proposition 1.9

Let m , n , and p be integers. If $m + n = m + p$, then $n = p$.

Proof. Let m , n , and p be arbitrary integers, and suppose $m + n = m + p$.

$$\begin{aligned}m + n &= m + p && \text{(given)} \\ (-m) + (m + n) &= (-m) + (m + p) && \text{(Axiom 1.1(i))} \\ ((-m) + m) + n &= ((-m) + m) + p && \text{(Axiom 1.1(ii))} \\ 0 + n &= 0 + p && \text{(Axiom 1.4)} \\ n &= p && \text{(Axiom 1.2)}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if $m + n = m + p$ for integers m , n , and p , then $n = p$. □

Proposition 1.10

Let $m, x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. If m, x_1, x_2 satisfy the equation $m + x_1 = 0$ and $m + x_2 = 0$, then $x_1 = x_2$.

Proof. Let $m, x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose $m + x_1 = 0$ and $m + x_2 = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 m + x_1 &= 0 && \text{(given)} \\
 (-m) + (m + x_1) &= (-m) + 0 && \text{(Axiom 1.1(i))} \\
 ((-m) + m) + x_1 &= (-m) + 0 && \text{(Axiom 1.1(ii))} \\
 0 + x_1 &= -m && \text{(Axiom 1.4)} \\
 x_1 &= -m && \text{(Axiom 1.2)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, from $m + x_2 = 0$, we can derive $x_2 = -m$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_1 &= -m && \text{(derived)} \\
 x_2 &= -m && \text{(derived)} \\
 x_1 &= x_2 && \text{(transitive property of equality)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if $m, x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $m + x_1 = 0$ and $m + x_2 = 0$, then $x_1 = x_2$. □

Proposition 1.11

If m, n, p , and q are integers, then:

- (i) $(m + n)(p + q) = (mp + np) + (mq + nq)$.
- (ii) $m + (n + (p + q)) = (m + n) + (p + q) = ((m + n) + p) + q$.
- (iii) $m + (n + p) = (p + m) + n$.
- (iv) $m(np) = p(mn)$.
- (v) $m(n + (p + q)) = (mn + mp) + mq$.
- (vi) $(m(n + p))q = (mn)q + m(pq)$.

Proof. Let m, n, p , and q be arbitrary integers.

- (i) $(m + n)(p + q) = (mp + np) + (mq + nq)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (m + n)(p + q) &= mp + mq + np + nq && \text{(Axiom 1.1(iii))} \\
 &= (mp + np) + (mq + nq) && \text{(Axiom 1.1(ii))}
 \end{aligned}$$

- (ii) $m + (n + (p + q)) = (m + n) + (p + q) = ((m + n) + p) + q$

$$\begin{aligned}
 m + (n + (p + q)) &= (m + n) + (p + q) && \text{(Axiom 1.1(ii))} \\
 &= ((m + n) + p) + q && \text{(Axiom 1.1(ii))}
 \end{aligned}$$

- (iii) $m + (n + p) = (p + m) + n$

$$\begin{aligned}
 m + (n + p) &= (m + n) + p && \text{(Axiom 1.1(ii))} \\
 &= (n + m) + p && \text{(Axiom 1.1(i))} \\
 &= (p + m) + n && \text{(Axiom 1.1(i))}
 \end{aligned}$$

- (iv) $m(np) = p(mn)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 m(np) &= (mn)p && \text{(Axiom 1.1(v))} \\
 &= (nm)p && \text{(Axiom 1.1(iv))} \\
 &= p(mn) && \text{(Axiom 1.1(v))}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(v) \ m(n + (p + q)) = (mn + mp) + mq$$

$$\begin{aligned} m(n + (p + q)) &= mn + m(p + q) && \text{(Axiom 1.1(iii))} \\ &= mn + (mp + mq) && \text{(Axiom 1.1(iii))} \\ &= (mn + mp) + mq && \text{(Axiom 1.1(ii))} \end{aligned}$$

$$(vi) \ (m(n + p))q = (mn)q + m(pq)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (m(n + p))q &= m((n + p)q) && \text{(Axiom 1.1(v))} \\ &= m(nq + pq) && \text{(Axiom 1.1(iii))} \\ &= (mnq) + (mpq) && \text{(Axiom 1.1(iii))} \\ &= (mn)q + m(pq) && \text{(Axiom 1.1(v))} \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 1.12

Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. If x has the property that for each integer m , $m + x = m$, then $x = 0$.

Proof. Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose for each integer m , $m + x = m$.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 + x &= 0 && \text{(substituting } m = 0\text{)} \\ x &= 0 && \text{(Axiom 1.2)} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ has the property that for each integer m , $m + x = m$, then $x = 0$.

□

Proposition 1.13

Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. If x has the property that there exists an integer m such that $m + x = m$, then $x = 0$.

Proof. Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose there exists an integer m such that $m + x = m$.

$$\begin{aligned} m + x &= m && \text{(given)} \\ (-m) + (m + x) &= (-m) + m && \text{(Axiom 1.1(i))} \\ ((-m) + m) + x &= (-m) + m && \text{(Axiom 1.1(ii))} \\ 0 + x &= 0 && \text{(Axiom 1.4)} \\ x &= 0 && \text{(Axiom 1.2)} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ has the property that there exists an integer m such that $m + x = m$, then $x = 0$.

□

Proposition 1.14

For all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \cdot 0 = 0 = 0 \cdot m$.

Proof. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$\begin{aligned} m \cdot 0 &= \underbrace{m + m + \cdots + m}_{0 \text{ times}} && \text{(definition of multiplication)} \\ &= 0 && \text{(additive identity)} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \cdot m &= \underbrace{0 + 0 + \cdots + 0}_{m \text{ times}} && \text{(definition of multiplication)} \\ &= 0 && \text{(additive identity)} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \cdot 0 = 0 = 0 \cdot m$.

□

Proposition 1.16

If m and n are even integers, then so are $m + n$ and mn .

Proof. Let m and n be even integers. Then, by the definition of even integers, $2 \mid m$ and $2 \mid n$.

$$\begin{aligned} m &= 2j && \text{(definition of divisibility, for some } j \in \mathbb{Z}) \\ n &= 2k && \text{(definition of divisibility, for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}) \end{aligned}$$

Part 1: $m + n$ is even.

$$\begin{aligned} m + n &= 2j + 2k && \text{(substitution)} \\ &= 2(j + k) && \text{(Axiom 1.1(iii))} \end{aligned}$$

Since $j + k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $2 \mid (m + n)$, so $m + n$ is even.

Part 2: mn is even.

$$\begin{aligned} mn &= (2j)(2k) && \text{(substitution)} \\ &= 2(2jk) && \text{(Axiom 1.1(v))} \end{aligned}$$

Since $2jk \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $2 \mid mn$, so mn is even.

Therefore, if m and n are even integers, then $m + n$ and mn are also even. □

Proposition 1.17

- (i) 0 is divisible by every integer.
- (ii) If m is an integer not equal to 0, then m is not divisible by 0.

Proof. (i) Let m be an arbitrary integer.

$$m \cdot 0 = 0 \quad \text{(Proposition 1.14)}$$

Thus, by the definition of divisibility, $m \mid 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(ii) Let m be a non-zero integer.

$$\begin{aligned} m \cdot 0 &= 0 && \text{(Proposition 1.14)} \\ m \cdot 0 &\neq m && \text{(since } m \neq 0) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the definition of divisibility, $0 \nmid m$ for all non-zero integers m . □

Proposition 1.18

Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. If x has the property that for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $mx = m$, then $x = 1$.

Proof. Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $mx = m$.

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \cdot x &= 1 && \text{(substituting } m = 1) \\ x &= 1 && \text{(Axiom 1.3)} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ has the property that for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $mx = m$, then $x = 1$. □

Proposition 1.19

Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. If x has the property that for some nonzero $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $mx = m$, then $x = 1$.

Proof. Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose there exists a nonzero $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $mx = m$.

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 mx = m & \text{(given)} \\
 m^{-1}(mx) = m^{-1}m & \text{(multiplying both sides by } m^{-1}) \\
 (m^{-1}m)x = m^{-1}m & \text{(Axiom 1.1(v))} \\
 1 \cdot x = 1 & \text{(multiplicative inverse)} \\
 x = 1 & \text{(Axiom 1.3)}
 \end{array}$$

Therefore, if $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ has the property that there exists a nonzero $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $mx = m$, then $x = 1$. \square

Proposition 1.20

For all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(-m)(-n) = mn$.

Proof. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 (-m)(-n) = ((-1) \cdot m)((-1) \cdot n) & \text{(definition of negation)} \\
 = ((-1) \cdot (-1))(m \cdot n) & \text{(Axiom 1.1(iv))} \\
 = (-1) \cdot ((-1) \cdot (m \cdot n)) & \text{(Axiom 1.1(v))} \\
 = (-1) \cdot ((-1) \cdot m) \cdot n & \text{(Axiom 1.1(v))} \\
 = (-1) \cdot (-m) \cdot n & \text{(definition of negation)} \\
 = m \cdot n & \text{(definition of negation)} \\
 = mn & \text{(simplification of notation)}
 \end{array}$$

Thus, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(-m)(-n) = mn$. \square

Proposition 1.22

(i) For all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $-(-m) = m$.

(ii) $-0 = 0$.

Proof. (i) Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 -(-m) = (-1)(-m) & \text{(Proposition 1.25(ii))} \\
 = (-1)(-1)m & \text{(Proposition 1.25(iii))} \\
 = 1 \cdot m & \text{(Corollary 1.21)} \\
 = m & \text{(Axiom 1.3)}
 \end{array}$$

(ii) $-0 = 0$.

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 -0 = (-1) \cdot 0 & \text{(Proposition 1.25(ii))} \\
 = 0 & \text{(Proposition 1.14)}
 \end{array}$$

\square

Proposition 1.23

Given $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists one and only one $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $m + x = n$.

Proof. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Consider the integer $x = n + (-m)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 m + x &= m + (n + (-m)) && \text{(substitution)} \\
 &= (m + n) + (-m) && \text{(Axiom 1.1(ii))} \\
 &= (n + m) + (-m) && \text{(Axiom 1.1(i))} \\
 &= n + (m + (-m)) && \text{(Axiom 1.1(ii))} \\
 &= n + 0 && \text{(Axiom 1.4)} \\
 &= n && \text{(Axiom 1.2)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, there exists an integer x such that $m + x = n$.

To prove uniqueness, suppose there exist $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $m + x_1 = n$ and $m + x_2 = n$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 m + x_1 &= n && \text{(given)} \\
 m + x_2 &= n && \text{(given)} \\
 m + x_1 &= m + x_2 && \text{(transitive property of equality)} \\
 x_1 &= x_2 && \text{(Proposition 1.9)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the integer x such that $m + x = n$ is unique. □

Proposition 1.24

Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $x \cdot x = x$ then $x = 0$ or 1 .

Proof. Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ and suppose $x \cdot x = x$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 x \cdot x &= x && \text{(given)} \\
 x \cdot x - x &= x - x && \text{(subtracting } x \text{ from both sides)} \\
 x(x - 1) &= 0 && \text{(Axiom 1.1(iii))} \\
 \text{Case 1: } x &= 0 \\
 \text{Case 2: } x - 1 &= 0 \\
 x &= 1 && \text{(adding 1 to both sides)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies $x \cdot x = x$, then $x = 0$ or 1 . □

Proposition 1.25

$$(i) \quad -(m + n) = (-m) + (-n).$$

$$(ii) \quad -m = (-1)m.$$

$$(iii) \quad (-m)n = m(-n) = -(mn).$$

Proof. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(i)

$$\begin{aligned}
 -(m + n) &= (-1) \cdot (m + n) && \text{(definition of negation)} \\
 &= (-1) \cdot m + (-1) \cdot n && \text{(Axiom 1.1(iii))} \\
 &= (-m) + (-n) && \text{(definition of negation)}
 \end{aligned}$$

(ii)

$$-m = (-1) \cdot m \quad \text{(definition of negation)}$$

(iii)

$$\begin{aligned}(-m)n &= ((-1) \cdot m)n && \text{(definition of negation)} \\ &= (-1) \cdot (mn) && \text{(Axiom 1.1(v))} \\ &= -(mn) && \text{(definition of negation)}\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}m(-n) &= m((-1) \cdot n) && \text{(definition of negation)} \\ &= (m \cdot (-1))n && \text{(Axiom 1.1(iv))} \\ &= (-1) \cdot (mn) && \text{(Axiom 1.1(v))} \\ &= -(mn) && \text{(definition of negation)}\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 1.26

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $mn = 0$, then $m = 0$ or $n = 0$.

Proof. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and suppose $mn = 0$. **Case 1:** $m = 0$ If $m = 0$, then $m \cdot n = 0$ for any integer n by Proposition 1.14, so the statement holds.

Case 2: $m \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}mn &= 0 && \text{(given)} \\ m^{-1}(mn) &= m^{-1} \cdot 0 && \text{(multiplying both sides by } m^{-1}) \\ (m^{-1}m)n &= 0 && \text{(Axiom 1.1(v))} \\ 1 \cdot n &= 0 && \text{(multiplicative inverse)} \\ n &= 0 && \text{(Axiom 1.3)}\end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $m \neq 0$, then $n = 0$.

Therefore, if $mn = 0$, then $m = 0$ or $n = 0$.

□

Proposition 1.27

- (i) $(m - n) + (p - q) = (m + p) - (n + q)$.
- (ii) $(m - n) - (p - q) = (m + q) - (n + p)$.
- (iii) $(m - n)(p - q) = (mp + nq) - (mq + np)$.
- (iv) $m - n = p - q$ if and only if $m + q = n + p$.
- (v) $(m - n)p = mp - np$.
- (i) $(m - n) + (p - q) = (m + p) - (n + q)$

Proof. Let $m, n, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$\begin{aligned}(m - n) + (p - q) &= (m + (-n)) + (p + (-q)) && \text{(definition of subtraction)} \\ &= (m + (p + (-q))) + (-n) && \text{(Axiom 1.1(ii))} \\ &= ((m + p) + (-q)) + (-n) && \text{(Axiom 1.1(ii))} \\ &= (m + p) + ((-q) + (-n)) && \text{(Axiom 1.1(ii))} \\ &= (m + p) + ((-n) + (-q)) && \text{(Axiom 1.1(i))} \\ &= (m + p) - (n + q) && \text{(definition of subtraction)}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(m - n) + (p - q) = (m + p) - (n + q)$ for all $m, n, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

□

- (ii) $(m - n) - (p - q) = (m + q) - (n + p)$

Proof. Let $m, n, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
(m - n) - (p - q) &= (m + (-n)) - (p + (-q)) && \text{(definition of subtraction)} \\
&= (m + (-n)) + (-1)(p + (-q)) && \text{(definition of subtraction)} \\
&= (m + (-n)) + (-p + q) && \text{(Axiom 1.1(iii))} \\
&= (m + (-p + q)) + (-n) && \text{(Axiom 1.1(ii))} \\
&= ((m + q) + (-p)) + (-n) && \text{(Axiom 1.1(ii))} \\
&= ((m + q) + (-n)) + (-p) && \text{(Axiom 1.1(i))} \\
&= (m + q) + ((-n) + (-p)) && \text{(Axiom 1.1(ii))} \\
&= (m + q) - (n + p) && \text{(definition of subtraction)}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(m - n) - (p - q) = (m + q) - (n + p)$ for all $m, n, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$. \square

$$(iii) \quad (m - n)(p - q) = (mp + nq) - (mq + np)$$

Proof. Let $m, n, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
(m - n)(p - q) &= (m + (-n))(p + (-q)) && \text{(definition of subtraction)} \\
&= mp + m(-q) + (-n)p + (-n)(-q) && \text{(Axiom 1.1(iii))} \\
&= mp + (-mq) + (-np) + nq && \text{(Axiom 1.1(iii))} \\
&= (mp + nq) + ((-mq) + (-np)) && \text{(Axiom 1.1(ii))} \\
&= (mp + nq) - (mq + np) && \text{(definition of subtraction)}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(m - n)(p - q) = (mp + nq) - (mq + np)$ for all $m, n, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$. \square

$$(iv) \quad m - n = p - q \text{ if and only if } m + q = n + p$$

Proof. Let $m, n, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(\Rightarrow) Assume $m - n = p - q$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
m - n &= p - q && \text{(assumption)} \\
m + (-n) &= p + (-q) && \text{(definition of subtraction)} \\
(m + (-n)) + q &= (p + (-q)) + q && \text{(Axiom 1.1(i))} \\
m + ((-n) + q) &= p + ((-q) + q) && \text{(Axiom 1.1(ii))} \\
m + ((-n) + q) &= p + 0 && \text{(Axiom 1.4)} \\
m + ((-n) + q) &= p && \text{(Axiom 1.2)} \\
(m + q) + (-n) &= p && \text{(Axiom 1.1(ii))} \\
((m + q) + (-n)) + n &= p + n && \text{(Axiom 1.1(i))} \\
(m + q) + ((-n) + n) &= p + n && \text{(Axiom 1.1(ii))} \\
(m + q) + 0 &= p + n && \text{(Axiom 1.4)} \\
m + q &= p + n && \text{(Axiom 1.2)} \\
m + q &= n + p && \text{(Axiom 1.1(i))}
\end{aligned}$$

(\Leftarrow) Assume $m + q = n + p$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
m + q &= n + p && \text{(assumption)} \\
(m + q) + (-q) &= (n + p) + (-q) && \text{(Axiom 1.1(i))} \\
m + (q + (-q)) &= n + (p + (-q)) && \text{(Axiom 1.1(ii))} \\
m + 0 &= n + (p + (-q)) && \text{(Axiom 1.4)} \\
m &= n + (p + (-q)) && \text{(Axiom 1.2)} \\
m + (-n) &= (n + (p + (-q))) + (-n) && \text{(Axiom 1.1(i))} \\
m + (-n) &= (n + (-n)) + (p + (-q)) && \text{(Axiom 1.1(ii))} \\
m + (-n) &= 0 + (p + (-q)) && \text{(Axiom 1.4)} \\
m + (-n) &= p + (-q) && \text{(Axiom 1.2)} \\
m - n &= p - q && \text{(definition of subtraction)}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $m - n = p - q$ if and only if $m + q = n + p$ for all $m, n, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$. \square

$$(v) \quad (m - n)p = mp - np$$

Proof. Let $m, n, p \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$\begin{aligned} (m - n)p &= (m + (-n))p && \text{(definition of subtraction)} \\ &= mp + (-n)p && \text{(Axiom 1.1(iii))} \\ &= mp + (-np) && \text{(Axiom 1.1(iii))} \\ &= mp - np && \text{(definition of subtraction)} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(m - n)p = mp - np$ for all $m, n, p \in \mathbb{Z}$. □

Chapter 2: Propositions

Proposition 2.2:

For every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, one and only one of the following is true: $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $-m \in \mathbb{N}$, or $m = 0$.

Proof. Consider an arbitrary $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. We'll analyze each possibility separately.

If $m > 0$, then by definition, m is a natural number. (Definition of \mathbb{N})

If $m < 0$, consider $-m$. Since $-m > 0$, by definition, $-m$ is a natural number. (Definition of \mathbb{N})

If $m = 0$, it is neither positive nor negative, making it distinct from natural numbers. (Axiom 1.3)

These scenarios are mutually exclusive, confirming the proposition's statement. □

Proposition 2.3:

$1 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. The assertion $1 \in \mathbb{N}$ is foundational to the definition of natural numbers:

1 is recognized as the first natural number. (Definition of \mathbb{N})

Thus, by definition, 1 is an element of \mathbb{N} . □

Proposition 2.4:

Let $m, n, p \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $m < n$ and $n < p$, then $m < p$.

Proof. Given $m, n, p \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $m < n$ and $n < p$, we apply the transitive property:

The transitivity of inequalities implies that if $m < n$ and $n < p$, then $m < p$. (Axiom 1.1)

Therefore, we conclude $m < p$. □

Proposition 2.5:

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m > n$.

Proof. Select any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. To find $m \in \mathbb{N}$ where $m > n$, we choose $n + 1$:

Consider $m = n + 1$. The natural numbers are closed under addition, so $m \in \mathbb{N}$. (Axiom 2.1(i))

Clearly, $m = n + 1 > n$.

This confirms the existence of such an m for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. □

Proposition 2.6:

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $m \leq n \leq m$, then $m = n$.

Proof. Assuming $m \leq n$ and $n \leq m$ for integers m and n :

The relationship $m \leq n \leq m$ directly leads to $m = n$ by the properties of order in \mathbb{Z} . (Definition of order)

Thus, we establish that $m = n$. □

Proposition 2.7:

- (i) If $m < n$, then $m + p < n + p$.
- (ii) If $m < n$ and $p < q$, then $m + p < n + q$.
- (iii) If $0 < m < n$ and $0 < p \leq q$, then $mp < nq$.
- (iv) If $m < n$ and $p < 0$, then $np < mp$.

Proposition 2.7 (i)

If $m < n$, then $m + p < n + p$ for all $m, n, p \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Given $m, n, p \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $m < n$:

Adding p to both m and n preserves the inequality:
 $m + p < n + p$, by the properties of integer addition.

(Axiom 1.1(ii))

Thus, we establish that $m + p < n + p$. □

Proposition 2.7 (ii)

If $m < n$ and $p < q$, then $m + p < n + q$ for all $m, n, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Given $m < n$ and $p < q$:

Adding m to p and n to q and using the properties of inequalities:
 $m + p < n + p$ and $n + p < n + q$, thus $m + p < n + q$.

(Axiom 1.1(ii))

Therefore, $m + p < n + q$ is proven. □

Proposition 2.7 (iii)

If $0 < m < n$ and $0 < p \leq q$, then $mp < nq$ for all $m, n, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Given $0 < m < n$ and $0 < p \leq q$:

Multiplying $m < n$ by p and noting $p < q$, we get $mp < np \leq nq$.

(Axiom 2.1(ii))

Hence, it follows that $mp < nq$. □

Proposition 2.7 (iv)

If $m < n$ and $p < 0$, then $np < mp$ for all $m, n, p \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Assume $m < n$ and $p < 0$:

Multiplying the inequality $m < n$ by the negative number p reverses the inequality:

Thus, $mp > np$, as multiplying by a negative number inverts the inequality.

(Axiom 1.1(ii))

Therefore, we confirm that $np < mp$. □

Proposition 2.8:

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Exactly one of the following is true: $m < n$, $m = n$, $m > n$.

Proof. For any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the trichotomy law ensures one and only one relation holds:

The integers are well-ordered, ensuring that $m < n$, $m = n$, or $m > n$. (Axiom 1.1)

This exclusivity confirms the statement of the proposition. □

Proposition 2.9:

Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $m \neq 0$ then $m^2 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Consider a non-zero $m \in \mathbb{Z}$:

If $m > 0$, then $m^2 > 0$ and is natural. If $m < 0$, then $m^2 > 0$ as well. (Definition of \mathbb{N})

Thus, in either case, m^2 is positive and belongs to \mathbb{N} . □

Proposition 2.10:

The equation $x^2 = -1$ has no solution in \mathbb{Z} .

Proof. Assume for contradiction there exists an $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ where $x^2 = -1$:

This implies x^2 is negative, contradicting the property that squares are non-negative. (Contradiction)

This contradiction shows no such integer x exists, validating the proposition. □

Proposition 2.11:

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $mn \in \mathbb{N}$, then $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Assume $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $mn \in \mathbb{N}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Since $m > 0$ and $mn > 0$, n must be non-negative. (Definition of \mathbb{N})

If n were negative, mn would be negative, contradicting $mn \in \mathbb{N}$. (Axiom 2.1(i))

Thus, n must be non-negative and, since it's an integer, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Hence, we establish that $n \in \mathbb{N}$. □

Proposition 2.12:

For all $m, n, p \in \mathbb{Z}$:

- (i) $-m < -n$ if and only if $m > n$.
- (ii) If $p > 0$ and $mp < np$ then $m < n$.
- (iii) If $p < 0$ and $mp < np$ then $n < m$.
- (iv) If $m \leq n$ and $0 \leq p$ then $mp \leq np$.

Proposition 2.12 (i)

For all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $-m < -n$ if and only if $m > n$.

Proof. We prove the bidirectional implication:

(\Rightarrow) Assume $-m < -n$. Multiplying both sides by -1 reverses the inequality: $m > n$. (Axiom 1.1(ii))

(\Leftarrow) Assume $m > n$. Multiplying both sides by -1 gives: $-m < -n$. (Axiom 1.1(ii))

Thus, we establish the bi-conditional relationship. □

Proposition 2.12 (ii)

If $p > 0$ and $mp < np$ then $m < n$.

Proof. Given $p > 0$ and $mp < np$:

Divide both sides by p to obtain $m < n$. (Axiom 1.1(ii) and Definition of Division)

This division is valid as p is positive, ensuring the inequality direction remains. □

Proposition 2.12 (iii)

If $p < 0$ and $mp < np$ then $n < m$.

Proof. Given $p < 0$ and $mp < np$:

Multiplying by a negative number, we reverse the inequality: Divide by p to get $n > m$. (Axiom 1.1(ii) and Definition of Division)

The direction of inequality changes due to multiplication by a negative number. □

Proposition 2.12 (iv)

If $m \leq n$ and $0 \leq p$ then $mp \leq np$.

Proof. Assuming $m \leq n$ and $0 \leq p$:

Multiplication by a non-negative number preserves the inequality: $mp \leq np$. (Axiom 2.1(ii))

Since $p \geq 0$, the order relation remains consistent. □

Proposition 2.13:

$\mathbb{N} = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : n > 0\}$.

Proof. This proposition defines \mathbb{N} based on the properties of integers:

By the definition of natural numbers, each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is greater than 0. (Definition of \mathbb{N})

Conversely, any positive integer by this definition is in \mathbb{N} . □

Proposition 2.14:

- (i) $1 \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (ii) If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $n + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 2.14 (i)

$1 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. This is a direct application of the definition of natural numbers:

By the foundational definition, 1 is included in \mathbb{N} .

(Definition of \mathbb{N})

Hence, it is established that $1 \in \mathbb{N}$. □

Proposition 2.14 (ii)

If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $n + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Assume $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

By the properties of natural numbers, adding 1 to any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ remains in \mathbb{N} . (Closure under addition, Axiom 2.1(i))

Therefore, we confirm that $n + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$. □

Axiom 2.15 (Induction Axiom):

If a subset $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies:

1. $1 \in A$, and
2. If $n \in A$, then $n + 1 \in A$,

then $\mathbb{N} \subseteq A$.

Proposition 2.16:

Let $B \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ be such that:

1. $1 \in B$, and
2. If $n \in B$, then $n + 1 \in B$,

then $B = \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 2.18:

- (i) For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k^3 + 2k$ is divisible by 3.
- (ii) For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k^4 - 6k^3 + 11k^2 - 6k$ is divisible by 4.
- (iii) For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k^3 + 5k$ is divisible by 6.

Proposition 2.20:

For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \geq 1$.

Proposition 2.21:

There exists no integer x such that $0 < x < 1$.

Corollary 2.22:

Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. There exists no integer x such that $n < x < n + 1$.

Proposition 2.23:

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. If n is divisible by m , then $m \leq n$.

Proposition 2.24:

For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k^2 + 1 > k$.

Proposition 2.26:

For all integers $k \geq -3$, $3k^2 + 21k + 37 \geq 0$.

Proposition 2.27:

For all integers $k \geq 2$, $k^2 < k^3$.

Proposition 2.33:

Let A be a nonempty subset of \mathbb{Z} and $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that for each $a \in A$, $b \leq a$. Then A has a smallest element.

Proposition 2.34:

If m and n are integers that are not both 0, then

$$S = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : k = mx + ny \text{ for some } x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

Quizzes

Set Definition and Inclusion

(a) Let A and B be sets. Carefully define $A \subseteq B$.

A set A is a subset of a set B , denoted $A \subseteq B$, means that if an element x is in A , then that element x must also be in B .

(b) Carefully define $A = B$.

Two sets A and B are equal, denoted $A = B$, means that every element x in A is also in B and vice versa.

Definition of Division ($m|n$)

(a) Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Carefully define what it means that m divides n .

We say that m divides n , denoted as $m|n$, if there exists an integer j such that $n = jm$.

(b) Carefully define what it means for n to be even.

An integer n is even if there exists an integer j such that $n = 2j$, where 2 is defined as the sum $1 + 1$ and 1 is established as the multiplicative identity.

Empty Set Definition and Subset Property

(a) Carefully define the empty set \emptyset .

The empty set \emptyset is the unique set that contains no elements.

(b) Explain why $\emptyset \subseteq S$ for any set S .

The statement $\emptyset \subseteq S$ holds true for any set S because the condition "if x is in \emptyset , then x is in S " is vacuously true due to the absence of any elements in \emptyset .

Union and Intersection Definitions

(a) Let A and B be sets. Carefully define $A \cup B$.

The union $A \cup B$ is defined as the set of elements that are in either A , B , or in both.

(b) Carefully define $A \cap B$.

The intersection $A \cap B$ is the set of elements that are in both A and B .

Equivalence Relation Definition

Let \sim be a relation on a set A . Carefully define what it means for \sim to be an equivalence relation.

An equivalence relation \sim on a set A satisfies three conditions:

1. Reflexivity: For all $a \in A$, $a \sim a$.
2. Symmetry: For all $a, b \in A$, if $a \sim b$, then $b \sim a$.
3. Transitivity: For all $a, b, c \in A$, if $a \sim b$ and $b \sim c$, then $a \sim c$.

Birthday Statement and Subtraction Definition

(a) **Decide whether or not the statement "today is Wednesday or it's my birthday" is true.**

This statement is true because in mathematics, the logical "or" is inclusive. If either condition is met, the entire statement holds true.

(b) **Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Carefully define $m - n$.**

The subtraction of n from m , denoted $m - n$, is defined as the addition of m to the additive inverse of n : $m + (-n)$.

Further Explanation on the Empty Set

(a) **Carefully define the empty set \emptyset :**

The *empty set* \emptyset is a set that contains no elements whatsoever.

(b) **Explain why $\emptyset \subseteq S$ for any set S .**

The statement $\emptyset \subseteq S$ is true for any set S because the premise "if x is in \emptyset " is never true, and therefore, the conditional statement "if x is in \emptyset , then x is in S " is vacuously true.

Union and Intersection Definitions

(a) **Let A and B be sets. Carefully define $A \cup B$.**

The union of two sets A and B , denoted by $A \cup B$, is the set that includes all the elements that are either in A , in B , or in both.

(b) **Carefully define $A \cap B$.**

The intersection of two sets A and B , denoted by $A \cap B$, is the set consisting of all elements that are both in A and B .

Equivalence Relation Definition

Let \sim be a relation on a set A . Carefully define what it means for \sim to be an equivalence relation.

An equivalence relation on a set A , denoted by \sim , must satisfy the following conditions:

1. **Reflexivity:** Every element is related to itself; that is, $a \sim a$ for all $a \in A$.
2. **Symmetry:** If one element is related to another, then the second element is related to the first; in other words, if $a \sim b$, then $b \sim a$ for all $a, b \in A$.
3. **Transitivity:** If an element is related to a second element, which is in turn related to a third, then the first element is related to the third; that is, if $a \sim b$ and $b \sim c$, then $a \sim c$ for all $a, b, c \in A$.

Logical Statements and Subtraction Definition

(a) **Decide whether or not the statement "today is Wednesday or it's my birthday" is true.**

This statement can be considered true if today is indeed Wednesday, as the 'or' in the statement is inclusive. Therefore, even if it is not the speaker's birthday, the statement is still true if today is Wednesday.

(b) **Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Carefully define $m - n$.**

Subtraction in the context of integers is defined by the operation $m - n = m + (-n)$, where $-n$ represents the additive inverse of n , such that $n + (-n) = 0$.

Further Discussion on the Empty Set

(a) Carefully define the empty set \emptyset :

The empty set \emptyset is the set with no elements. It is the unique set for which the statement "there exists an x such that x is in \emptyset " is always false.

(b) Explain why $\emptyset \subseteq S$ for any set S .

For any set S , the empty set \emptyset is a subset because there are no elements in \emptyset to contradict the statement "if x is in \emptyset , then x is in S ." Hence, the statement $\emptyset \subseteq S$ is always true.