

ASSIGNMENT-5

2023/11/4011

ISHAN RANIL

Page No.

Date

6J) Prove that the subspace spanned by a non-empty subset of a vector space V is the set of all linear combinations of vectors in S .

A: Recalling that a subspace of V is a subset W of V , where V is a vector space over field F , and where W is itself a vector space over F with operations of vector addition and scalar multiplication on V .

Further recalling that the subspace spanned by a set of vectors in vector space V , denoted S , is defined to be the intersection W of all subspaces of V which contain S . When S is a finite set of vectors, $S = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$, we shall simply call W the subspace spanned by vectors $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$.

Let W be the subspace spanned by non-empty subset S of vector space V . Then, each linear combination,

$$\alpha = x_1 \alpha_1 + x_2 \alpha_2 + \dots + x_n \alpha_n$$

of vectors $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ in S is clearly in W .

Therefore, W contains set L of all linear combinations of vectors in S . It further contains all elements of S and thus contains S . As S is non-empty, L is also non-empty.

If α, β belong to L , then α is a linear combination

$$\alpha = x_1 \alpha_1 + x_2 \alpha_2 + \dots + x_m \alpha_m$$

of vectors α_i in S , and β is a linear combination,

$$\beta = y_1 \beta_1 + y_2 \beta_2 + \dots + y_n \beta_n$$

of vectors β_j in S . For each scalar c ,

$$c\alpha + \beta = \sum_{i=1}^m (cx_i) \alpha_i + \sum_{j=1}^n y_j \beta_j$$

Hence, $c\alpha + \beta$ belongs to L . Thus L is a subspace of V .

As it has been proven that L is a subspace of V which contains S , and also proven that any subspace which contains S also contains L , it follows that L is the intersection of all subspaces containing S . Thus, by definition of subspace spanning, L is the subspace spanned by set S .

Hence proved.

62. If W_1 and W_2 are finite dimensional subspaces of vector space V then prove the following:

a) $W_1 + W_2$ is finite dimensional

b) $\dim W_1 + \dim W_2 = \dim (W_1 \cap W_2) + \dim (W_1 + W_2)$

A: Recall that if S_1, S_2, \dots, S_k are subsets of a vector space V , the set of all sums

$$\alpha_1 \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_k$$

of vectors α_i in S_i is called the sum of the subsets S_1, S_2, \dots, S_k and is denoted by

$$S_1 + S_2 + \dots + S_k$$

or by,

$$\sum_{i=1}^k S_i$$

Further recalling that in a vector space V , a basis for V is a linearly independent set of vectors in V which spans the space V , and by intension, the space V can be said to be finite-dimensional if it has a finite basis.

~~Defining~~ Clarifying the definition of linearly dependent as there existing distinct vectors $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ in subset S of V vector space V , and scalars c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n in F , not all of which are 0, such that

$$c_1 \alpha_1 + c_2 \alpha_2 + \dots + c_n \alpha_n = 0$$

Further clarifying the definition of a linearly independent as not linearly dependent.

Let S be a linearly independent subset of a vector space V . Suppose $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m$ are distinct vectors in S , and that β is a vector in V which is not in the subspace spanned by S . Then the set obtained by adjoining β to S is also linearly independent. ~~$c_1 \alpha_1 + c_2 \alpha_2 + \dots + c_m \alpha_m + b \beta = 0$~~

As a proof for the above lemma, assume $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ are distinct vectors in S and that,

$$c_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + c_m \alpha_m + b \beta = 0.$$

If $b = 0$, otherwise,

$$\beta = \left(-\frac{c_1}{b} \right) \alpha_1 + \dots + \left(-\frac{c_m}{b} \right) \alpha_m,$$

and β is in the subspace spanned by S . Thus,

$c_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + c_m \alpha_m = 0$, and since S is linearly independent, each $c_i = 0$.

Using this, we can prove that if W is a subspace of a finite-dimensional vector space V , every linearly independent subset of W is finite and is part of a (finite) basis for W .

Suppose S_0 is a linearly independent subset of W . If S is a linearly independent subset of W containing S_0 , then S is also a linearly independent subset of V ; since V is finite-dimensional, S contains no more than $\dim V$ elements.

Extending S_0 to a basis for W , if S_0 spans W , then S is a basis for W . Otherwise, if S_0 does not span W , we use the lemma to find vector B_1 such that $S_1 = S_0 \cup \{B_1\}$ is independent. If S_1 spans W , it proves the theorem. Otherwise repeat the previous step recursively to obtain S_m , which is defined by:

$$S_m = S_0 \cup \{B_1, \dots, B_n\},$$

where S_m is a basis for W .

Based off of this theorem, we can say that in a finite-dimensional vector space V , every non-empty linearly independent set of vectors is part of a basis.

Using the theorem and above corollary, we know that $W_1 \cap W_2$ has a finite basis $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}$ which is part of basis

$$\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\} \text{ for } W_1$$

and part of basis

$\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\}$ for W_2 .

The subspace $W_1 + W_2$ is spanned by vectors

$\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$

with these vectors forming an independent set.

The reason for this is obvious. ~~Given~~ If

$$\sum x_i \alpha_i + \sum y_j \beta_j + \sum z_n \gamma_n = 0$$

Then,

$$-\sum z_n \gamma_n = \sum x_i \alpha_i + \sum y_j \beta_j$$

showing that $\sum z_n \gamma_n$ belongs to W_1 . As $\sum z_n \gamma_n$ also belongs to W_2 , it will follow that

$$\sum z_n \gamma_n = \sum c_i \alpha_i$$

for certain scalars c_1, \dots, c_k . We know $z_n = 0$ for all of these scalars as

$\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\}$

is independent. Thus,

$$\sum x_i \alpha_i + \sum y_j \beta_j = 0.$$

Since

$$\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\}$$

is also an independent set, each $x_i = 0$ and each $y_j = 0$.
Thus,

$$\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\}$$

is a basis for $W_1 + W_2$.

Finally, to prove (4),

$$\begin{aligned} \dim W_1 + \dim W_2 &= (k+m) + (k+n) \\ &= k + (m+k+n) \\ &= \dim(W_1 \cap W_2) + \dim(W_1 + W_2). \end{aligned}$$

Here proved.

(23) Let R be a non-zero row-reduced echelon matrix. then prove that the non-zero row vectors of R form a basis for the row space of R .

A: Let r be the number of non-zero row vectors of R and p_1, \dots, p_r be the non-zero row vectors of R .

$$p_i = (R_{i1}, \dots, R_{in}).$$

Obviously, these row vectors span the row space of R .

Recalling the definition of basis for a vector space V being a set of linearly independent vectors in V which spans the space V , we just need to prove that the row vectors are linearly independent.

As R is a row-reduced echelon matrix, there exist positive integers k_1, \dots, k_r such that, for $i \leq r$,

$$\textcircled{1} \begin{cases} (a) & R(i, j) = 0 \text{ if } j < k_i \\ (b) & R(i, k_i) = \delta_{ij} \\ (c) & k_1 < \dots < k_r \end{cases}$$

by definition of row-reduced echelon matrix.

Suppose $\beta = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ is a vector in the row space of R :

$$\beta = c_1 p_1 + \dots + c_n p_n \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

Claiming $c_j = b_{kj}$, via ~~(2.14)~~ (1),

$$b_{kj} = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i R(i, k_j)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \delta_{ij}$$

$$= c_j.$$

If $\beta = 0$, i.e. $c_1 p_1 + \dots + c_n p_n = 0$, c_j must be the b_j 's coordinate of zero vector in such a way that $c_j = 0$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. Thus, p_1, \dots, p_n are linearly independent.

Thus, the non-zero row vectors of R form a basis for the row space of R .

Hence proved.