

Productivity and Efficiency Analysis

2) Data envelopment analysis (DEA)

a) Application of DEA

Timo Kuosmanen

Aalto University School of Business

<https://people.aalto.fi/timo.kuosmanen>

Cost frontier model (2012-2015)

$$\ln x = \ln C(y_1, y_2, y_3) + \delta z + u + v$$

- x is the total cost
- C is the frontier cost function
- y_1 is the energy transmission (GWh of 0.4 kV equivalents)
- y_2 is the total length of the network (km)
- y_3 is the number of customers connected to the network
- z is the proportion of underground cables (%)
- u is an asymmetric inefficiency term
- v is a random noise term

Simplified DEA model

$$\ln x = \ln C(y_1, y_2, y_3) + u$$

- x is the total cost
- C is the frontier cost function
- y_1 is the energy transmission (GWh of 0.4 kV equivalents)
- y_2 is the total length of the network (km)
- y_3 is the number of customers connected to the network
- ~~• z is the proportion of underground cables (%)~~
- u is an asymmetric inefficiency term
- ~~• v is a random noise term~~

DEA model specification

To apply DEA, user must first make the following specifications

- Input variables **X**
- Output variables **Y**
- Returns to scale: **Constant**, variable (non-increasing, non-decreasing)
- Orientation: **input orientation** (saving mode), output orientation (expansion mode)

DEA results

There are three types of information that we can get from DEA

- Efficiency scores of each firm
- Multiplier weights γ (output weights)
- Intensity weights λ (benchmark firms)

Computing DEA in R

The following examples are based on the R tutorial prepared by Sheng Dai, available on the course website.

Further reading:

- [1] Bogetoft P, Otto L. Benchmarking with DEA, SFA, and R. Springer; 2010.
- [2] Behr A. Production and efficiency analysis with R. Springer; 2015.

DEA efficiency scores: CRS, input orientation

Example: the first 8 firms:

##		eff	eff.d
##	[1,]	0.756	0.756
##	[2,]	0.805	0.805
##	[3,]	0.645	0.645
##	[4,]	0.807	0.807
##	[5,]	0.693	0.693
##	[6,]	0.907	0.907
##	[7,]	0.815	0.815
##	[8,]	0.690	0.690

Average Efficiency: 80.2%

Standard Deviation: 11.9%

Multiplier weights γ (output weights)

Example: the first 8 firms, the highest weight indicated by red rectangle:

v1	v2	v3
3.52e-03	5.10e-04	9.01e-06
0.00e+00	4.80e-04	5.57e-05
0.00e+00	4.66e-04	5.41e-05
2.79e-04	4.92e-05	0.00e+00
1.39e-03	9.41e-04	0.00e+00
1.03e-03	1.39e-04	2.05e-05
3.95e-03	6.99e-04	0.00e+00
1.61e-03	2.34e-04	4.13e-06

Intensity weights λ (benchmarks)

Example: the first 8 firms. There are 8 firms that are 100% efficient (#22, #28, #32, #37, #46, #56, #70, #73). These 8 firms serve as benchmarks for all other units.

	L22	L28	L32	L37	L46	L56	L70	L73
	0.01987	0.000000	0.00995	0.00000	0.0000	0.0000	0.20775	0.00000
	0.21307	0.000000	0.00000	0.19495	0.0000	0.0000	0.00000	0.00000
	0.32064	0.000000	0.00000	0.08602	0.0000	0.0000	0.00000	0.00000
	0.00000	0.000000	0.00000	2.40268	0.0000	0.0000	0.86121	0.00000
	0.00000	0.026182	0.00000	0.00708	0.0000	0.0000	0.00000	0.00000
	0.26732	0.000000	0.00000	0.00000	0.0000	0.1283	0.00000	0.06900
	0.00000	0.000000	0.00000	0.22793	0.0000	0.0000	0.01590	0.00000
	0.28274	0.000000	0.03214	0.00000	0.0000	0.0000	0.30727	0.00000

DEA efficiency scores: CRS vs VRS, input orientation

Example: the first 8 firms:

CRS				VRS			
##		eff	eff.d	##		eff	eff.d
##	[1,]	0.756	0.756	##	[1,]	0.767	0.767
##	[2,]	0.805	0.805	##	[2,]	0.815	0.815
##	[3,]	0.645	0.645	##	[3,]	0.655	0.655
##	[4,]	0.807	0.807	##	[4,]	0.834	0.834
##	[5,]	0.693	0.693	##	[5,]	0.730	0.730
##	[6,]	0.907	0.907	##	[6,]	0.912	0.912
##	[7,]	0.815	0.815	##	[7,]	0.847	0.847
##	[8,]	0.690	0.690	##	[8,]	0.692	0.692

Note: VRS efficiency score is always greater than or equal to CRS efficiency

Intensity weights λ (benchmarks) in VRS

Example: the first 8 firms. In VRS case, there are 11 firms that are 100% efficient (#12, #15, #22, #28, #32, #37, #46, #56, #61, #70, #73). These 11 firms serve as benchmarks for all other units.

Note: intensity weights λ must sum to 1 in VRS.

L12	L15	L22	L28	L32	L37	L46	L56	L61	L70	L73
0.0000	0.0000	0.00000	0.00000	0.000000	0.0169	0.0000	0.000000	0.8114	0.17170	0.00000
0.0000	0.0000	0.15485	0.00000	0.000000	0.1888	0.0000	0.000000	0.6563	0.00000	0.00000
0.0000	0.0000	0.26228	0.00000	0.000000	0.0799	0.0000	0.000000	0.6578	0.00000	0.00000
0.0000	0.0000	0.00000	0.41232	0.106158	0.0000	0.0000	0.000000	0.0000	0.43117	0.05036
0.0000	0.0000	0.00000	0.00000	0.000000	0.0233	0.5079	0.000000	0.4688	0.00000	0.00000
0.0000	0.0000	0.15870	0.00000	0.000000	0.0000	0.0000	0.146362	0.6320	0.00000	0.06289
0.0000	0.0000	0.00000	0.00000	0.000000	0.1418	0.2889	0.000000	0.5693	0.00000	0.00000
0.0000	0.0000	0.24774	0.00000	0.030439	0.0000	0.0000	0.000000	0.4186	0.30318	0.00000

Some basic properties of DEA

- VRS efficiency is always greater than or equal to the CRS efficiency
- 100% efficient units in CRS are also 100% efficient in VRS.
- Scale efficiency = CRS efficiency / VRS efficiency
- In CRS, input-oriented efficiency score
= $1 /$ output-oriented efficiency
- In VRS, input and output orientations can yield different results

Advantages of DEA

- Data-driven approach: does not depend on any arbitrary parametric assumptions
- Axiomatic foundation: DEA enforces the right curvature of the frontier
 - Monotonicity and convexity of output isoquants are important properties in incentive regulation
- *Simple to use: advantage or disadvantage?*

Disadvantages of DEA

- Frontier is determined by just a handful of efficient units: “a great waste of information” (Farrell, 1957)
- Any omitted factors, unobserved heterogeneity, or data errors in the evaluated unit or in the benchmarks will be directly attributed as “inefficiency”: DEA is sensitive to noise
- If the number of input and outputs increases, a large proportion of units will appear as “efficient”: *the curse of dimensionality*

Next lesson

2b) DEA formulations