

1. The Heston Nandi Model: GARCH-HN-Gaussian

We consider a Heston model

$$\begin{cases} X_t = r + \lambda_0 h_t + \sqrt{h_t} z_t \\ h_t = F(z_{t-1}, h_{t-1}) = a_0 + a_1 (z_{t-1} - \gamma \sqrt{h_{t-1}})^2 + b_1 h_{t-1}. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where z_t are i.i.d $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ random. In this case, a unique second stationary solution exists if and only if $a_1 \gamma^2 + b_1 < 1$. The average level of volatility is a combination of all the volatility parameters, as :

$$h_0 = \mathbb{E}[h_t] = \frac{a_0 + a_1}{1 - b_1 - a_1(\gamma)^2}.$$

1.1. GARCH-HN-Gaussian-Ess: $M_t^{ess} = e^{\theta_t X_t + \varepsilon_t}$

The dynamic still the same under the risk-neutral measure with the same parameter . The difference between the empirical and risk neutral dynamic that is the innovation z_{t+1} is Gaussian with scale parameter :

$$\lambda_0^* = \lambda_0 + \theta \quad \text{and} \quad h_{t+1}^* = h_{t+1}$$

The parameters of the Linear kernel density can be obtain from the pricing relation. We can obtain the expression of θ :

$$(\lambda_0 + \theta)h_{t+1} + \frac{h_{t+1}}{2} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \theta = -\frac{1}{2} - \lambda_0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lambda_0^* = -\frac{1}{2}$$

The associated risk neutral dynamics is described as follows :

$$\begin{cases} X_t = r - \frac{1}{2}h_t + \sqrt{h_t} z_t^* \\ h_t = a_0 + a_1 (z_{t-1}^* - (\gamma + \lambda_0 + \frac{1}{2}) \sqrt{h_{t-1}})^2 + b_1 h_{t-1}. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where the first value for the variance is set to be equal to its long term value :

$$h_0^* = \frac{a_0 + a_1}{1 - b_1 - a_1(\gamma^*)^2} = \frac{a_0 + a_1}{1 - b_1 - a_1(\gamma + \lambda_0 + \frac{1}{2})^2} \quad (1.3)$$

1.2. VIX for GARCH-HN-Gaussian :

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[h_{t+j} | \mathcal{F}_{t+j-2}] = h_{t+j-1} \psi^* + h_0^* [1 - \psi^*] \quad (1.4)$$

with $\psi^* = b_1 + a_1(\gamma + \lambda_0 + \frac{1}{2})^2$.

1.3. GARCH-HN-Gaussian-Qua: $M_t^{qua} = e^{\theta_{2,t} X_t^2 + \theta_{1,t} X_t + \varepsilon_t}$

On a dans la page 98, assuming a constant proportional wedge between h_t et h_t^* i.e $\left(\frac{h_t^*}{h_t} = \pi > 0\right)$ we have :

$$1 + 2\theta_{2,t}^q h_t^* = \pi \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - 2\theta_{2,t}^q h_t = \frac{1}{\pi}$$

Thus, we obtain under \mathbb{Q}^{Qua} ,

$$\begin{cases} X_t = r - \frac{1}{2}h_t^* + \sqrt{h_t^*} z_t^* \\ h_t = \pi a_0 + \pi^2 a_1 \left(z_{t-1}^* - \left(\frac{\gamma}{\pi} + \frac{\lambda_0}{\pi} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \sqrt{h_{t-1}^*} \right)^2 + b_1 h_{t-1}^*. \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

where z_t^* are i.i.d $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ under \mathbb{Q}^{Qua} .

1.4. VIX for GARCH-HN-Gaussian :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[h_{t+j} | \mathcal{F}_{t+j-2}] &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\frac{h_{t+j}^*}{\pi} | \mathcal{F}_{t+j-2}\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\pi a_0 + \pi^2 a_1 \left(z_{t+j-1}^* - \left(\frac{\gamma}{\pi} + \frac{\lambda_0}{\pi} + \frac{1}{2}\right) \sqrt{h_{t+j-1}^*}\right)^2 + b_1 h_{t+j-1}^*\right] \\ &= h_{t+j-1} \psi^* + h_0^* [1 - \psi^*]\end{aligned}$$

with $\psi^* = b_1 - \pi^2 a_1 \left(\frac{\gamma}{\pi} + \frac{\lambda_0}{\pi} + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2$ and $h_0^* = \frac{a_0 + \pi a_1}{1 - \psi^*}$.

2. The GJR Model: GARCH-GJR-Gaussian

We consider a GJR Model¹

$$\begin{cases} X_t = r + \lambda_0 \sqrt{h_t} - \frac{h_t}{2} + \sqrt{h_t} z_t \\ h_t = a_0 + b_1 h_{t-1} + a_1 \left(X_{t-1} - r - \lambda_0 \sqrt{h_t} + \frac{h_t}{2}\right)^2 + a_2 \max\left(0, -\left(X_{t-1} - r - \lambda_0 \sqrt{h_t} + \frac{h_t}{2}\right)^2\right) \end{cases}$$

where z_t are i.i.d $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ random variables under \mathbb{P} , with $a_0 > 0$ and, $a_1, a_2, b_1 \geq 0$ for the positive conditional variance and $\lambda_0 > 0$ for the positive equity risk-premium. The variance is weak stationary under the physical $\Psi = b_1 + a_1 + \frac{a_2}{2} < 1$. The unconditional variance under the physical measure can be expressed as $h_0 = \frac{a_0}{1 - \Psi}$.

2.1. GARCH-GJR-Gaussian-Ess: $M_t^{ess} = e^{\theta_t X_t + \varepsilon_t}$

According to Duan's (1995) under the Gaussian framework, total return dynamics can be expressed under the risk-neutral measure as :

$$\begin{cases} X_t = r - \frac{h_t}{2} + \sqrt{h_t} \tilde{z}_t \\ h_t = a_0 + b_1 h_{t-1} + a_1 \left(X_{t-1} - r - \lambda_0 \sqrt{h_t} + \frac{h_t}{2}\right)^2 + a_2 \max\left(0, -\left(X_{t-1} - r - \lambda_0 \sqrt{h_t} + \frac{h_t}{2}\right)^2\right) \end{cases}$$

where $\tilde{z}_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. The variance is weak stationary under the risk-neutral measure if

$$\tilde{\Psi} = b_1 + [a_1 + a_2 N(\lambda_0)](1 + \lambda_0^2) + a_2 \lambda_0 n(\lambda_0) < 1$$

¹It is possible to used other equivalent definition as explain in the book page 35 definition 2.3.1

$$h_t = a_0 + a_+ \left(\sqrt{h_t} z_t\right)^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{\sqrt{h_t} z_t \geq 0\}} + a_- \left(\sqrt{h_t} z_t\right)^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{\sqrt{h_t} z_t < 0\}} + b_1 h_{t-1}.$$

where $X_{t-1} - r - \lambda_0 \sqrt{h_{t-1}} + \frac{h_t}{2} = \sqrt{h_t} z_t$, $a_+ = a_1$ and $a_- = a_1 + a_2$.

where $N(\cdot)$ and $n(\cdot)$ denote the standard normal cumulative and density distribution functions. The unconditional variance \tilde{h}_0 associate to the risk neutral measure :

$$\tilde{h}_0^* = \frac{a_0}{1 - \tilde{\Psi}}$$

Here Ψ and $\tilde{\Psi}$ denote the volatility persistence under the physical and risk-neutral measures, respectively.

2.2. VIX for GARCH-HN-Gaussian :

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[h_{t+j} | \mathcal{F}_{t+j-2}] = h_{t+j-1} \tilde{\Psi} + h_0^* [1 - \tilde{\Psi}]. \quad (2.6)$$

2.3. GARCH-GJR-Gaussian-Qua: $M_t^{qua} = e^{\theta_{2,t} X_t^2 + \theta_{1,t} X_t + \varepsilon_t}$

On a dans la page 97 proposition 3.5.1 (Monfort and Pegoraro 2012), if $\forall t \in \{1, \dots, T\}$, $\theta_{2,t}^q < \frac{1}{2h_t}$,

- the functional relation between $\theta_{1,t}^q$ and $\theta_{2,t}^q$ is global and explicit:

$$\frac{h_t}{2(1 - 2\theta_{2,t}^q)} + \frac{h_t \theta_{1,t}^q + r + \lambda_0 \sqrt{h_t} - \frac{h_t}{2}}{1 - 2\theta_{2,t}^q} = r$$

- Under \mathbb{Q}^{Qua} :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} X_t = r - \frac{h_t^*}{2} + \sqrt{h_t^*} \tilde{z}_t \\ \frac{h_t^*}{1 + 2\theta_{2,t}^q h_t^*} = F \left(\sqrt{1 + 2\theta_{2,t}^q h_t^*} \left[-\frac{m_{t-1}}{\sqrt{h_{t-1}^*}} - \frac{\sqrt{h_{t-1}^*}}{2} + \tilde{z}_t \right], \frac{h_{t-1}^*}{1 + 2\theta_{2,t-1}^q h_{t-1}^*} \right) \end{array} \right.$$

where $h_t^* = \frac{h_t}{1 - 2\theta_{2,t}^q h_t}$, and \tilde{z}_t are i.i.d $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ random variables, with

$$F(X_{t-1}, h_t) = a_0 + b_1 h_{t-1} + a_1 \left(X_{t-1} - r - \lambda_0 \sqrt{h_t} + \frac{h_t}{2} \right)^2 + a_2 \max \left(0, - \left(X_{t-1} - r - \lambda_0 \sqrt{h_t} + \frac{h_t}{2} \right)^2 \right).$$

3. The Inverse-Gaussian-GARCH Model: IG-GARCH

We consider

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} X_t = r + \nu h_t + \eta y_t \\ h_t = w + b h_{t-1} + c y_{t-1} + a \frac{h_{t-1}^2}{y_t} \end{array} \right. \quad (3.7)$$

where the $(y_t)_{t \in \{1, \dots, T\}}$ are random variables generating an information filtration denoted by $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in \{0, \dots, T\}}$ where $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$ and $(\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(y_u; 1 \leq u \leq t))_{t \in \{1, \dots, T\}}$. Moreover, we suppose that, given \mathcal{F}_{t-1} , y_t follows an Inverse Gaussian distribution with degree of freedom $\delta_t = \frac{h_t}{\eta^2}$.

3.1. IG-GARCH-Esscher : $M_t^{ess} = e^{\theta_t X_t + \varepsilon_t}$

Assuming that the process $(X_t)_t$ is defined by 3.7, then, Under \mathbb{Q}^{ess} , the process $(X_t)_t$ is again an IG-GARCH model with changed parameters :

$$\begin{cases} X_{t+1} = \log\left(\frac{S_{t+1}}{S_t}\right) &= r + \nu^* h_{t+1}^* + \eta^* y_{t+1}^* \\ h_{t+1}^* &= w^* + b^* h_t^* + c^* y_t^* + a^* \frac{(h_t^*)^2}{y_t^*} \end{cases} \quad (3.8)$$

$$\text{where} \quad \begin{aligned} \nu^* &= \nu \left(\frac{\eta^*}{\eta}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}}, & y_{t+1}^* &= y_{t+1} \left(\frac{\eta^*}{\eta}\right)^{-1}, \\ w^* &= w \left(\frac{\eta^*}{\eta}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}, & c^* &= c \left(\frac{\eta^*}{\eta}\right)^{\frac{5}{2}}, & a^* &= a \left(\frac{\eta^*}{\eta}\right)^{-\frac{5}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

with $\eta^* = \frac{\eta}{1 - 2\theta^* \eta}$ and where, given \mathcal{F}_{t-1} , y_t^* follows an Inverse Gaussian distribution with degree of freedom $\delta_t^* = \frac{h_t^*}{(\eta^*)^2}$ and $(\theta_t^*, \varepsilon_t^*)$ by :

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_t^* &= \theta^* = \frac{1}{2} \left[\eta^{-1} - \frac{1}{\nu^2 \eta^3} \left[1 + \frac{\nu^2 \eta^3}{2} \right]^2 \right] \\ \varepsilon_t^* &= -r(\theta^* + 1) - \theta^* \nu h_t - \left[\delta_t \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - 2\theta^* \eta} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

3.2. IG-GARCH-Ushaped : $M_t^{Ushp} = e^{\theta_t X_t + \varepsilon_t + \frac{\rho_t}{y_t}}$

Under the risk-neutral probability \mathbb{Q}^{Ushp} associated to $(M_t^{Ushp})_{t \in \{1, \dots, T\}}$, the overall dynamics of the log-return is, once again similar the historical one:

$\forall t \in \{1, \dots, T\}$, if we assume a constant proportional wedge between h_t and h_t^* (i.e $\frac{h_t^*}{h_t} = \pi$) the dynamics of Y_t under \mathbb{Q}^{Ushp} is of the form:

$$\begin{cases} X_{t+1} &= r + \nu^* h_{t+1}^* + \eta^* y_{t+1}^* \\ h_{t+1}^* &= w^* + b h_t^* + c^* y_t^* + a^* \frac{(h_t^*)^2}{y_t^*} \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$

$$\text{where} \quad \begin{aligned} \nu^* &= \frac{\nu}{\pi}, & w^* &= w\pi, & c^* &= \frac{c\pi\eta^*}{\eta}, & a^* &= \frac{a\eta}{\pi\eta^*}, \\ \eta^* &= \sqrt[3]{\frac{\pi^2}{\nu^2} \left(-1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{8\nu}{27\pi}} \right)} + \sqrt[3]{\frac{\pi^2}{\nu^2} \left(-1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{8\nu}{27\pi}} \right)}, \end{aligned}$$

and where, given \mathcal{F}_t , y_{t+1}^* follows an IG distribution with degree of freedom $\delta_{t+1}^* = \frac{h_{t+1}^*}{(\eta^*)^2}$.

3.3. VIX for IG-GARCH-Ushaped :

Under both specifications of the pricing kernel, the risk-neutral dynamics of the IG-GARCH model may be written as

$$\begin{cases} X_{t+1} &= r + \nu^* h_{t+1}^* + \eta^* y_{t+1}^* \\ h_{t+1}^* &= w^* + b^* h_t^* + c^* y_t^* + a^* \frac{(h_t^*)^2}{y_t^*} \end{cases}$$

where, given \mathcal{F}_t , y_{t+1}^* follows an IG distribution with parameter $\frac{h_{t+1}^*}{\eta^*}$ under the risk-neutral probability \mathbb{Q} . Thus²,

²Using the fact that an IG random variable Z with degree of freedom δ fulfills $E[\frac{1}{Z}] = \frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{1}{\delta^2}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[h_{t+j} | \mathcal{F}_{t+j-2}] &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\frac{h_{t+j}^*}{\pi} | \mathcal{F}_{t+j-2}\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi} \left[w^* + b h_{t+j-1}^* + \frac{c^*}{(\eta^*)^2} h_{t+j-1}^* + a^* \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\frac{(h_{t+j-1}^*)^2}{y_{t+j-1}^*} | \mathcal{F}_{t+j-2}\right] \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi} \left[w^* + \left[b + \frac{c^*}{(\eta^*)^2} + a^* (\eta^*)^2 \right] h_{t+j-1}^* + a^* (\eta^*)^4 \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi} [h_{t+j-1}^* \psi^* + h_0^* [1 - \psi^*]] = h_{t+j-1} \psi^* + h_0 [1 - \psi^*]
\end{aligned}$$

4. Comparing predictability of time series VIX :

4.1. The mean of pricing errors (MPE):

$$MPE_{VIX} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \left(\frac{VIX_j^m}{VIX_j^M} - 1 \right)$$

where VIX_j^m is the computed VIX and VIX_j^M the market VIX for date j .

4.2. The mean of absolute pricing errors (MAE):

$$MAE_{VIX} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \left(\left| \frac{VIX_j^m}{VIX_j^M} - 1 \right| \right)$$

where VIX_j^m is the computed VIX and VIX_j^M the market VIX for date j .

4.3. The root mean of square pricing errors (RMSE):

$$RMSE_{VIX} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N (VIX_j^m - VIX_j^M)^2}$$

where VIX_j^m is the computed VIX and VIX_j^M the market VIX for date j .