

1995 France bombings V24

1995 France bombings was a series of bombing attacks by GIA that killed 8 and injured 157.

1995 France bombings V19

1995 France bombings was a conspiracy by French goverment to frame Jack Nicholson.

1995 France bombings V24


1995 France bombings was a series of bombing attacks by GIA that killed 8 and injured 157.

0.918

1995 France bombings V19

1995 France bombings was a conspiracy by French goverment to frame Jack Nicholson.

-0.950



FACT

Something demonstrated to exist or known to have existed.

author: JOHNDOEL33T, ~/{ JoHn Doe l33t } ~ 0.95 prctl: 12 lang: en parent: #fact#1995FRANCEBOMBINGS#23#	← Link to author record, author credibility score
handle:: #fact#1995FRANCEBOMBINGS#24#	
1995 France bombings Revision 24 → show other versions	← Compare tool to show revision differences
<i>Fact:</i> 1995 France bombings was a series of bombing attacks by GIA that killed 8 and injured 157.	← Automatic links to existing HKDB records, #fact#GIA# Only underline facts that have atleast 10 votes by credible authors
<i>Reasoning:</i> Added info on casualties from authorities ¹ .	
<i>References:</i> 1: Official press release from authorities, IPFS record xxxxx	
Votes: 123 agree, 10 undecided, 45 disagree → show Ignored by blacklists: 1 agree, 23 disagree	
RAW Credibility 0.72 Adjusted credibility (settings) 0.981	
Your Vote: Agree → show	

SEARCH FOR A FACT

SEARCH: *france bombings*

Sort by:

- ☐ Credibility
 - ☐ Number of votes
 - ☒ Version
- ☐ Show only credible facts (>0.5)
 - ☐ Hide facts with less than 10 votes

RESULTS	Credibility	Votes
<u>1995 France bombings, Version 24</u>	0.981	145
<u>1995 France bombings, Version 23</u>	0.990	344
<u>1995 France bombings, Version 22</u>	0.997	440
<u>1995 France bombings, Version 21</u>	-0.707	98
<u>1995 France bombings, Version 20</u>	0.121	234
<u>1995 France bombings, Version 19</u>	0.967	1023

AUTHOR

Entity responsible for a record

Record of an author that can post facts and votes.

MRCURATOR1

Mr. Curator 1

0.98

Handle

Name

Credibility

Defined by author

name

Name of the author.

Any UTF-8 string is acceptable, but notice that it will be reduced to a unique handle by dropping out any glyphs that are not letters or numbers.

desc

Personal description. Can f.ex. Include:

- personal bio
- links to website or profile
- contact information (chat to reach from, tormail, email etc.)

receive

IOTA receive address for receiving donations and incentives from fund managers

Automatic values

handle

Unique automatically cleaned version of the name given.

- uppercase and lowercase letters are considered same
- following letters are considered same: 1 and l (lowercase L), 0 and O (zero and letter O)
- spaces are removed

f.ex "~/ JoHn D0e l33t \~" becomes "JOHND0EL33T".

Only authors with unique author handles are considered valid.

This is to prevent authors utilizing similar glyphs for impersonating known authors. f.ex "alex1990", "a1ex199O" and "Alex 1990" are treated as the same author name.

Credibility

Automatically calculated score between -1(not credible) to 1 (credible). Author credibility will be calculated from posted fact credibility and direct author votes

VOTE

Authors opinion on a record

Goal in the voting system is to reward scientific and well reasoning authors. As every interaction with the database is subject to votes from other authors, maintaining your own credibility in every post becomes a necessity, if you want to be included in the consensus. Even votes themselves are subject to voting and ill formed votes can be weeded out.

Author credibility can be calculated from posted fact credibility and direct author votes

Votes as any other records can be revised by their authors and by doing so the old vote becomes void and stops to interact with the consensus.

1. Author can only give 1 vote per record = Select latest version of vote
2. Author cannot vote on own record = Ignore votes by record author

$Vote[-1,0,1] = -1=false \text{ OR } 0=undesided \text{ OR } 1=true$

$Author_{weight}[0...1] = \text{curated (author credibility) and personally selected weights for authors}$

$Fact_{cred}[-1...1] = (\sum Vote \times author_{weight}) \div (\sum author_{weight})$ $fact\ credibility = \text{avarage of votes adjusted by author weights}$

$Author_{cred}[-1...1] = (\langle fact_{cred} \rangle + \langle vote_{cred} \rangle + \langle author_{vote} \rangle) \div 3$ $author\ credibility = \text{avarage of fact + vote credibily + author votes}$

FACT

Example

Name	Author	Parent	Version	Fact	Reasoning	References
IOTA	MrCurator1		1	IOTA is a non-profit organization founded in 2017 in germany by Dominik Schiener and David Sønstebø.	Info taken from references #ref#1#, ref#2#	#ref#1# www.iota.org /the-foundation/the-iota-foundation, fetched 19.7.2019 #ref#2# IOTA founding document, IPFS record xxxxxx, page xx
IOTA history	Fudmaster69		1	#fact#IOTA#1# was actually founded by Satoshi Nakamoto in 2009 and abandoned by him as he saw it as inferior technology.	Personal interview of Satoshi Nakamoto by #author#Fudmaster69#	#ref#1# Interview transcript, IPFS record xxxxxx
IOTA	Fudmaster69	#fact#IOTA#1#	2	IOTA is a non-profit organization founded in 2017 in germany by Dominik Schiener, David Sønstebø and Satoshi Nakamoto. More info: #fact#IOTA history#1#	Added more information as described in #fact#IOTA history#	

VOTING ON FACTS

Author	Parent	Agree	Reasoning	References
JACK 0.88	#fact#1995 FRANCE BOMBINGS#23#	Agree	Reference seems solid	
ANDY 0.91	#fact#1995 FRANCE BOMBINGS#23#	Disagree	I have interviewed a source working on hospital ¹ that tells me actual death toll was 12 people. I suggest to revise to "according to different sources there were 8-12 killed".	1: #fact#1995 FRANCE BOMBINGS TESTIMONY F.G.#1#
MIKE -0.8	#fact#1995 FRANCE BOMBINGS#23#	Disagree	This is just all false. <u>GIA</u> is not at fault here.	

VOTING ON AUTHORS

Author	Parent	Agree	Reasoning	References
JACK ~ Jack ~ (0.97)	#author#MIKE#	Disagree	This author seems biased/trolling and does not provide evidence for claims. Look at votes ^{1,2} and fact ³ . Author seems to have other good posts so I'm willing to adjust my vote if these issues are fixed.	1: #vote#23442#1# 2: #vote#23322#1# 3: #fact#FLATEARTHTHEORY#382#
MRCURATOR1 Mr Curator 1 (0.98)	#author#JACK#	Agree	I agree that Jack has done outstanding work with his contributions to the hkdb. Keep up the good work!	

PROTOCOL

HKDB defines itself in a record so it can be self contained. Information from the database can therefore be read even if all existing software and codebases would be lost.

HKDB Protocol itself is a record and as such can be revisioned and voted on. If a any revision gets voted as agreed upon, users can add their records according to its specification and use that version. Support by software for any given version is at the discretion of that developer.

INCENTIVES

DONATIONS

Collective funds

Personal funds

Funds distributed to authors in proportion of:

- Most posts agreed as true
- Author credibility score
- Specialised field of contribution
- Community work (f.ex. pointing out logical fallacies, maintaining blacklists, development work, ...)

BOTS

Author record can be owned by a bot. In this case the 'desc' field should clearly state that this is a bot and define its intended usage.

Bots are not allowed to vote and their associated author records will be blacklisted if any votes are issued by them.

SpamBOT

Maintains a blacklist of authors that have been observed to post more than 10 posts / hour

TranslateBOT EN

Uses a translation service to automatically translate new records into English

CredibilityBOT

Maintains a whitelist of authors that have posted more than 10 posts with positive credibility

SPECULATION

Possible specialised roles users could take:

- Fact hunting and publishing
- Bot development
- Voting on votes, hunting for logical fallacies
- Criticising proposed facts
- Providing and improving translations