

Winning Space Race with Data Science

Abdihamid Ali 30th Nov 2023



Outline

- Executive Summary
- Introduction
- Methodology
- Results
- Conclusion
- Appendix

Executive Summary

- Summary of methodologies
 - Data Collection through API
 - Data Collection with Web Scraping
 - Data Wrangling
 - Exploratory Data Analysis with SQL
 - Exploratory Data Analysis with Data Visualization
 - Interactive Visual Analytics with Folium
 - Machine Learning Prediction
- Summary of all results
 - Exploratory Data Analysis result
 - Interactive analytics in screenshots
 - Predictive Analytics result

Introduction

Project background and context

Space X advertises Falcon 9 rocket launches on its website with a cost of 62 million dollars; other providers cost upward of 165 million dollars each, much of the savings is because Space X can reuse the first stage. Therefore, if we can determine if the first stage will land, we can determine the cost of a launch. This information can be used if an alternate company wants to bid against space X for a rocket launch. This goal of the project is to create a machine learning pipeline to predict if the first stage will land successfully.

Problems you want to find answers

- What factors determine if the rocket will land successfully?
- The interaction amongst various features that determine the success rate of a successful landing.
- What operating conditions needs to be in place to ensure a successful landing program.



Methodology

Executive Summary

- Data collection methodology:
 - Data was collected using SpaceX API and web scraping from Wikipedia.
- Perform data wrangling
 - One-hot encoding was applied to categorical features
- Perform exploratory data analysis (EDA) using visualization and SQL
- Perform interactive visual analytics using Folium and Plotly Dash
- Perform predictive analysis using classification models
 - How to build, tune, evaluate classification models

Data Collection

- The data was collected using various methods
 - Data collection was done using get request to the SpaceX API.
 - Next, we decoded the response content as a Json using .json() function call and turn it into a pandas dataframe using .json_normalize().
 - We then cleaned the data, checked for missing values and fill in missing values where necessary.
 - In addition, we performed web scraping from Wikipedia for Falcon 9 launch records with BeautifulSoup.
 - The objective was to extract the launch records as HTML table, parse the table and convert it to a pandas dataframe for future analysis.

Data Collection - SpaceX API

- We used the get request to the SpaceX API to collect data, clean the requested data and did some basic data wrangling and formatting.
- The link to the notebook is https://github.com/Farah100/Final_p resentation/blob/main/spaceX_Data _collection.ipynb


```
1. Get request for rocket launch data using API
          spacex url="https://api.spacexdata.com/v4/launches/past"
          response = requests.get(spacex url)
   2. Use json normalize method to convert json result to dataframe
In [12]:
           # Use ison normalize method to convert the ison result into a dataframe
           # decode response content as json
           static json df = res.json()
           # apply json normalize
           data = pd.json_normalize(static_json_df)
   3. We then performed data cleaning and filling in the missing values
In [30]:
          rows = data falcon9['PayloadMass'].values.tolist()[0]
           df rows = pd.DataFrame(rows)
          df rows = df rows.replace(np.nan, PayloadMass)
          data falcon9['PayloadMass'][0] = df rows.values
           data_falcon9
```

Data Collection - WebScraping

- We applied web scrapping to webscrap Falcon 9 launch records with BeautifulSoup
- We parsed the table and converted it into a pandas dataframe.
- The link to the notebook is https://github.com/Farah100/Final_p resentation/blob/main/spaceX_web_ scrapping.ipynb

```
1. Apply HTTP Get method to request the Falcon 9 rocket launch page
       static url = "https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=List of Falcon 9 and Falcon Heavy launches&oldid=1927686922"
In [5]: # use requests.get() method with the provided static_url
          # assign the response to a object
          html data = requests.get(static url)
          html_data.status_code
   2. Create a BeautifulSoup object from the HTML response
          # Use BeautifulSoup() to create a BeautifulSoup object from a response text content
          soup = BeautifulSoup(html_data.text, 'html.parser')
         Print the page title to verify if the BeautifulSoup object was created properly
          # Use soup.title attribute
           soup.title
          <title>List of Falcon 9 and Falcon Heavy launches - Wikipedia</title>
       Extract all column names from the HTML table header
         column_names = []
         # Apply find all() function with "th" element on first launch table
         # Iterate each th element and apply the provided extract column from header() to get a column name
         # Append the Non-empty column name ('if name is not None and Len(name) > 0') into a list called column names
         element = soup.find all('th')
         for row in range(len(element)):
                name = extract_column_from_header(element[row])
                if (name is not None and len(name) > 0);
                    column names.append(name)
    4. Create a dataframe by parsing the launch HTML tables
    5. Export data to csv
```


Data Wrangling




- We performed exploratory data analysis and determined the training labels.
- We calculated the number of launches at each site, and the number and occurrence of each orbits
- We created landing outcome label from outcome column and exported the results to csv.
- The link to the notebook is https://github.com/Farah100/Final_present ation/blob/main/spaceX_Data_wrangling.ip ynb

EDA with Data Visualization

 We explored the data by visualizing the relationship between flight number and launch Site, payload and launch site, success rate of each orbit type, flight number and orbit type, the launch success yearly trend.





 The link to the notebook is https://github.com/Farah100/Final_prese ntation/blob/main/spaceX_exploring%26p reppingdata.ipynb

EDA with SQL

- We loaded the SpaceX dataset into a PostgreSQL database without leaving the jupyter notebook.
- We applied EDA with SQL to get insight from the data. We wrote queries to find out for instance:
 - The names of unique launch sites in the space mission.
 - The total payload mass carried by boosters launched by NASA (CRS)
 - The average payload mass carried by booster version F9 v1.1
 - The total number of successful and failure mission outcomes
 - The failed landing outcomes in drone ship, their booster version and launch site names.
- The link to the notebook is https://github.com/Farah100/Final_presentation/blob/main/spaceXSQL.ipynb

Build an Interactive Map with Folium

- We marked all launch sites, and added map objects such as markers, circles, lines to mark the success or failure of launches for each site on the folium map.
- We assigned the feature launch outcomes (failure or success) to class 0 and 1.i.e., 0 for failure, and 1 for success.
- Using the color-labeled marker clusters, we identified which launch sites have relatively high success rate.
- We calculated the distances between a launch site to its proximities. We answered some question for instance:
 - Are launch sites near railways, highways and coastlines.
 - Do launch sites keep certain distance away from cities.
- The link to the notebook is https://github.com/Farah100/Final_presentation/blob/main/spaceX_visualization_with_fo 13 lium.ipynb

Build a Dashboard with Plotly Dash

- We developed an interactive dashboard using Plotly Dash. Within the dashboard, we utilized pie charts to visually represent the total number of launches categorized by specific sites. Additionally, we generated scatter graphs to illustrate the correlation between Outcome and Payload Mass (in kilograms) across various booster versions.
- The link to the notebook is https://github.com/Farah100/Final_presentation/blob/main/spaceX_plotly.py

Predictive Analysis (Classification)

- We loaded the data using numpy and pandas, transformed the data, split our data into training and testing.
- We built different machine learning models and tune different hyperparameters using GridSearchCV.
- We used accuracy as the metric for our model, improved the model using feature engineering and algorithm tuning.
- We found the best performing classification model.
- The link to the notebook is https://github.com/Farah100/Final_presentation/blob/main/spaceX_prediction.i pynb


Results

- Exploratory data analysis results
- Interactive analytics demo in screenshots
- Predictive analysis results




Flight Number vs. Launch Site

By examining the plot, it became evident that a higher number of flights at a launch site corresponds to a higher success rate at that particular site.



Payload vs Launch Site



The success rate of the rocket increases with the greater payload mass at launch site CCAFS SLC 40.


Success Rate vs. Orbit Type

The plot illustrates that ES-L1, GEO, HEO, SSO, and VLEO exhibit the highest success rates.




Flight Number vs. Orbit Type

The plot below illustrates the correlation between Flight Number and Orbit type. It is evident that in the LEO orbit, success is associated with the number of flights, while in the GTO orbit, there is no discernible relationship between flight number and orbit




Payload vs. Orbit Type

It can be noted that successful landings are more prevalent for payloads with substantial weight in the PO, LEO, and ISS orbits.



Launch Success Yearly Trend

The graph indicates a continuous increase in success rates from 2013 to 2020.



All Launch Site Names

The keyword DISTINCT was employed to display only unique launch sites from the SpaceX data.

Display the names of the unique launch sites in the space mission

Launch Site Names Begin with 'CCA'

We employed the below query to present five records featuring launch sites that start with 'CCA'.

[11]:		FRO WHE LIM	ECT * M SpaceX RE Launc IT 5	hSite LIKE 'CC							
t[11]:		date	time	boosterversion	launchsite	payload	payloadmasskg	orbit	customer	missionoutcome	landingoutcome
	0	2010-04- 06	18:45:00	F9 v1.0 B0003	CCAFS LC- 40	Dragon Spacecraft Qualification Unit	0	LEO	SpaceX	Success	Failure (parachute)
	1	2010-08- 12	15:43:00	F9 v1.0 B0004	CCAFS LC- 40	Dragon demo flight C1, two CubeSats, barrel of	0	LEO (ISS)	NASA (COTS) NRO	Success	Failure (parachute)
	2	2012-05- 22	07:44:00	F9 v1.0 B0005	CCAFS LC- 40	Dragon demo flight C2	525	LEO (ISS)	NASA (COTS)	Success	No attempt
								LEO			
	3	2012-08- 10	00:35:00	F9 v1.0 B0006	CCAFS LC- 40	SpaceX CRS-1	500	(ISS)	NASA (CRS)	Success	No attempt

Total Payload Mass

The total payload transported by NASA boosters was computed as 45,596 using the following query:

```
Display the total payload mass carried by boosters launched by NASA (CRS)

In [12]:

task_3 = '''

SELECT SUM(PayloadMassKG) AS Total_PayloadMass
FROM SpaceX
WHERE Customer LIKE 'NASA (CRS)'

"""

create_pandas_df(task_3, database=conn)

Out[12]:

total_payloadmass

0 45596
```

Average Payload Mass by F9 v1.1

The average payload mass transported by the booster version F9 v1.1 was calculated to be 2,928.4.



First Successful Ground Landing Date

We observed that the dates of the first successful landing outcome on ground pad was 22nd December 2015

Successful Drone Ship Landing with Payload between 4000 and 6000

We employed the WHERE clause to narrow down boosters that achieved a successful landing on a drone ship. Additionally, we applied the AND condition to identify successful landings with a payload mass exceeding 4000 but less than 6000.

```
In [15]:
           task 6 =
                   SELECT BoosterVersion
                   FROM SpaceX
                   WHERE LandingOutcome = 'Success (drone ship)'
                        AND PayloadMassKG > 4000
                        AND PayloadMassKG < 6000
           create pandas df(task 6, database=conn)
             boosterversion
Out[15]:
          0
                F9 FT B1022
                F9 FT B1026
               F9 FT B1021.2
          3
              F9 FT B1031.2
```

Total Number of Successful and Failure Mission Outcomes

We utilized wildcard characters such as '%' to filter records where the MissionOutcome was either a success or a failure.

List the total number of successful and failure mission outcomes In [16]: task 7a = '''

```
task_7a = '''

SELECT COUNT(MissionOutcome) AS SuccessOutcome
FROM SpaceX
WHERE MissionOutcome LIKE 'Success%'

'''

task_7b = '''

SELECT COUNT(MissionOutcome) AS FailureOutcome
FROM SpaceX
WHERE MissionOutcome LIKE 'Failure%'

'''

print('The total number of successful mission outcome is:')
display(create_pandas_df(task_7a, database=conn))
print()
print('The total number of failed mission outcome is:')
create_pandas_df(task_7b, database=conn)
```

The total number of successful mission outcome is:

o 100


The total number of failed mission outcome is:

Out[16]: failureoutcome

0 1

Boosters Carried Maximum Payload

We identified the booster that carried the maximum payload by employing a subquery within the WHERE clause along with the MAX() function.




2015 Launch Records

We employed a combination of the WHERE clause, LIKE, AND, and BETWEEN conditions to narrow down failed landing outcomes on drone ships, their corresponding booster versions, and launch site names for the year 2015.




Rank Landing Outcomes Between 2010-06-04 and 2017-03-20

We chose landing outcomes and the COUNT of landing outcomes from the dataset, utilizing the WHERE clause to focus on landing outcomes between June 4, 2010, and March 20, 2010. Employing the GROUP BY clause, we grouped the landing outcomes, and with the ORDER BY clause, we arranged the grouped landing outcomes in descending order.







All launch sites global map markers




Markers showing launch sites with color labels



Launch Site distance to landmarks






Pie chart showing the success percentage achieved by each launch site




Pie chart showing the Launch site with the highest launch success ratio



KSC LC-39A achieved a 76.9% success rate while getting a 23.1% failure rate

Scatter plot of Payload vs Launch Outcome for all sites, with different payload selected in the range slider



We can see the success rates for low weighted payloads is higher than the heavy weighted payloads

Section 6 **Predictive Analysis** (Classification)


Classification Accuracy

Among the models considered, the decision tree classifier exhibits the highest accuracy in classification.

```
models = { 'KNeighbors':knn cv.best score ,
               'DecisionTree': tree cv.best score ,
               'LogisticRegression':logreg cv.best score ,
               'SupportVector': svm cv.best score }
bestalgorithm = max(models, key=models.get)
print('Best model is', bestalgorithm,'with a score of', models[bestalgorithm])
if bestalgorithm == 'DecisionTree':
     print('Best params is :', tree_cv.best params )
if bestalgorithm == 'KNeighbors':
    print('Best params is :', knn cv.best params )
if bestalgorithm == 'LogisticRegression':
     print('Best params is :', logreg cv.best params )
if bestalgorithm == 'SupportVector':
     print('Best params is :', svm cv.best params )
Best model is DecisionTree with a score of 0.8732142857142856
Best params is : {'criterion': 'gini', 'max_depth': 6, 'max_features': 'auto', 'min_samples_leaf': 2, 'min_samples_split': 5, 'splitter': 'random'}
```

Confusion Matrix

The confusion matrix of the decision tree classifier indicates its ability to differentiate between various classes. The primary issue lies in false positives, where unsuccessful landings are incorrectly identified as successful landings by the classifier.



Conclusions

In summary:

- i. A positive correlation is observed between the number of flights at a launch site and the success rate at that site.
- ii. The launch success rate has shown a consistent upward trend from 2013 to 2020.
- iii. Orbits ES-L1, GEO, HEO, SSO, and VLEO exhibit the highest success rates.
- iv. Among all launch sites, KSC LC-39A has recorded the highest number of successful launches.
- v. The Decision tree classifier stands out as the most effective machine learning algorithm for this particular task.

