Machine Learning Assignment 3

1. Problem Definition

This project addresses a multi-class classification problem using the **Iris Dataset**, obtained from the "sklearn.datasets" module. The objective is to classify flowers into three species:

- Setosa
- Versicolor
- Virginica

We evaluate and compare the classification performance using two machine learning models:

- Support Vector Machine (SVM)
- Neural Network (NN)

2. Data Preprocessing

Dataset Summary:

- Features: 4 numerical input features (sepal length, sepal width, petal length, petal width)
- Target Classes: 3 classes (Setosa, Versicolor, Virginica)
- Total Records: 150

Preprocessing Steps:

- Missing Values: Checked and confirmed none.
- Train-Test Split: 70% training, 30% testing
- Normalization: Standardization applied using StandardScaler
- Exploratory Data Analysis:
 - o Correlation heatmap was plotted.
 - Additional EDA (histograms, pair plots) recommended for future improvements.

3. SVM Implementation

Models Tested: Linear, Polynomial, RBF

Performance (Untuned Models): Accuracy ranged from ~81% to ~95% depending on

the models

Hyperparameter Tuning: Using "GridSearchCV" on SVM with

✓ models: linear, poly, rbf✓ C values: 0.1, 1, 10, 100

✓ Gamma values: 1, 0.1, 0.01, 0.001

Best Configuration:

✓ Model: Linear

✓ C: 10

✓ **Gamma**: 0.01

✓ Accuracy: 98.25%

Evaluation Metrics (Linear Model):

• Confusion Matrix: High true positives and true negatives.

• Precision, Recall, F1-Score: Excellent across classes.

4. Neural Network Implementation

Model Architecture:

Input Layer: 4 neurons

Hidden Layer: 1 hidden layer (16 neurons, ReLU activation or SIGMOID activation)

Output Layer: 3 neurons (Softmax activation)

Training Details:

• Optimizer: Adam

• Loss Function: Categorical Crossentropy

• Epochs: 100

Performance:

• Accuracy: 86%

• Loss Curve: Smooth convergence

Confusion Matrix: Strong results, comparable to SVM

• Classification Report: Balanced precision and recall

5. Model Comparison and Analysis

ROC Curves: Both models showed high AUC values, indicating strong discriminatory power.

Overfitting/Underfitting:

· No significant overfitting observed

• Smooth loss and performance curves

Improvement Suggestions:

- Try deeper neural networks or dropout layers
- Use more extensive EDA
- Apply feature selection for optimization

6. Conclusion

Both models performed excellently, with the **SVM slightly outperforming** the Neural Network in accuracy and recall. The results confirm that both are suitable classifiers for this multi-class flower classification problem. Future work can focus on model robustness and interpretability.

Team Members

ID	Name	Role
23010036	Farah Walid	Neural Network & Report
23011052	Basmala Hossam El-Din	Preprocessing, SVM & Markdowns
23010014	Rodina Mohamed	Model comparison & Analysis