
CN-Basic L30

Router Architecture

Dr. Ram P Rustagi rprustagi@ksit.edu.in http://www.rprustagi.com https://www.youtube.com/rprustagi

Chapter 4 Network Layer




We're making these slides freely available to all (faculty, students, readers). They're in PowerPoint form so you see the animations; and can add, modify, and delete slides (including this one) and slide content to suit your needs. They obviously represent a *lot* of work on our part. In return for use, we only ask the following:

- If you use these slides (e.g., in a class) that you mention their source (after all, we'd like people to use our book!)
- If you post any slides on a www site, that you note that they are adapted from (or perhaps identical to) our slides, and note our copyright of this material.

Thanks and enjoy! JFK/KWR

All material copyright 1996-2016 J.F Kurose and K.W. Ross, All Rights Reserved





Computer Networking: A Top Down Approach

7th edition
Jim Kurose, Keith Ross
Pearson/Addison Wesley
April 2016


Network Layer

chapter goals:

- Understand principles behind network layer services, focusing on data plane:
 - Network layer service models
 - Forwarding versus routing
 - How a router works
 - Generalized forwarding
- Instantiation, implementation in the Internet

Network layer

- Transport segment from sending to receiving host
- On sending side encapsulates segments into datagrams
- On receiving side, delivers segments to transport layer
- Network layer protocols in every host, router
- Router examines header fields in all IP datagrams passing through it
 - Either forwards it or drops



Two key network-layer functions

- Forwarding & Routing
- Forwarding: move packets from router's input to appropriate router output
- Routing: determine route taken by packets from source to dest.
 - Routing algorithms

Analogy:


- Routing: process of planning trip from source to dest
- Forwarding: process of getting through single interchange
- Link layer Switches or layer-2 switching
- Network layer switches, called routers

Q: Is there another n/w layer function?

Network layer: data plane, control plane

Data plane

- Local, per-router function
- Determines how datagram arriving on router input port is forwarded to router output port
- Forwarding function




Control plane

- Network-wide logic
- Determines how datagram is routed among routers along end-end path from source host to destination host
- Two control-plane approaches:
 - Traditional routing algorithms: implemented in routers
 - Software-defined networking (SDN): implemented in (remote) servers


Per-router control plane

Individual routing algorithm components in each and every router interact in the control plane



Logically centralized control plane

A distinct (typically remote) controller interacts with local control agents (CAs)



Network service model

- Q: Service model for college?
 - What does it provide to prospective students?

```
Grades?
```

```
Jobs?
```

Joining delay/time (jobs)?

Class size?

Teaching quality?

Attendance?

Fee benefits?

Migration to other disciplines/colleges?

Network service model

- Q: What service model for "channel" transporting datagrams from sender to receiver?
- Can transport layer rely on n/w layer?
 - Will the packets be in order?
 - Will the time gap between two pkts be maintained?
 - Will network provide any congestion information?
 - Will network provide any time gurantees?
 - Will network provide any BW guarantees?

Network service model

Q: What service model for "channel" transporting datagrams from sender to receiver?

Example services for individual datagrams:

- Guaranteed delivery
- guaranteed delivery with less than 40 msec delay

Example services for a flow of datagrams:

- In-order datagram delivery
- Guaranteed minimum bandwidth to flow
- Restrictions on changes in inter-packet spacing (jitter)
- Security services?

Q: Is there only one service model?

Q: What does n/w layer of internet provides?

Destination-based forwarding

forwarding table

Destination Address Range				Link I/f
		00010000		
11001000	00010111	00010111	11111111	0
		00011000		
11001000	00010111	00011000	1111111	•
		00011001		2
11001000	00010111	00011111	11111111	
otherwise				3

Q: but what happens if ranges don't divide up so nicely?

Longest prefix matching

Longest prefix matching

When looking for forwarding table entry for given destination address, use *longest* address prefix that matches destination address.

	LINK	<u>I</u> /T	
Destination Address Range			
11001000 00010111 00010*** *****	1		
11001000 00010111 00011000 *****	2]	
11001000 00010111 00011*** *****	3		
otherwise			

examples:

DA: 11001000 00010111 0001011<mark>0 10100001</mark>

010


which interface? which interface?

Longest prefix matching

- Longest prefix matching: often performed using ternary content addressable memories (TCAMs)
 - Content addressable: present address to TCAM: retrieve address in one clock cycle, regardless of table size
 - Cisco Catalyst: can up ~IM routing table entries in TCAM

Switching fabrics


- Transfer packet from input buffer to appropriate o/p buf
- Switching rate: rate at which packets can be transferred from inputs to outputs
 - Often measured as multiple of input/output line rate
 - N inputs lines each of rate R
 - Desirable switching rate N times R
- Three types of switching fabrics



Switching via memory


first generation routers:

- Traditional computers with switching under direct control of CPU
- Packet copied to system's memory
- Speed limited by memory bandwidth (2 bus crossings per datagram)




Switching via a bus

- datagram from input port memory to output port memory via a shared bus
- bus contention: switching speed limited by bus bandwidth
- 32 Gbps bus, Cisco 5600: sufficient speed for access and enterprise routers




Switching via interconnection network

- Overcomes bus bandwidth limitations
- Banyan networks, crossbar, other interconnection nets initially developed to connect processors in multiprocessor
- Advanced design: fragmenting datagram into fixed length cells, switch cells through the fabric.
- Cisco 12000: switches 60 Gbps through the interconnection network



Input port queuing

- fabric slower than input ports combined -> queueing may occur at input queues
 - queueing delay and loss due to input buffer overflow!
- Head-of-the-Line (HOL) blocking: queued datagram at front of queue prevents others in queue from moving forward




output port contention:
only one red datagram can be
transferred.

lower red packet is blocked

one packet time later:
 green packet
 experiences HOL
 blocking

Output ports






Datagram (packets) can be lost due to congestion, lack of buffers

Priority scheduling – who gets best performance, network neutrality

- buffering required when datagrams arrive from fabric faster than the transmission rate
- scheduling discipline chooses among queued datagrams for transmission

Output port queueing




- buffering when arrival rate via switch exceeds output line speed
- queueing (delay) and loss due to output port buffer overflow!

How much buffering?

- RFC 3439 rule of thumb: average buffering equal to "typical" RTT (say 250 msec) times link capacity C
 - e.g., C = 10 Gpbs link:
 - 2.5 Gbit buffer
- recent recommendation: with N flows, buffering equal to


Scheduling mechanisms

- scheduling: choose next packet to send on link
- FIFO (first in first out) scheduling: send in order of arrival to queue
 - real-world example?
 - discard policy: if packet arrives to full queue: who to discard?
 - tail drop: drop arriving packet
 - priority: drop/remove on priority basis
 - random: drop/remove randomly



Scheduling policies: priority


- priority scheduling: send
 highest priority queued
 packet
- multiple classes, with different priorities
 - class may depend on marking or other header info, e.g. IP source/dest, port numbers, etc.
 - real world example?



Scheduling policies: still more

Round Robin (RR) scheduling:


- multiple classes
- cyclically scan class queues, sending one complete packet from each class (if available)
- real world example?



Scheduling policies: still more

Weighted Fair Queuing (WFQ):

- generalized Round Robin
- each class gets weighted amount of service in each cycle
- real-world example?



Summary

- Goals
- Network layer functions
- Data and Control plane
- Service model
- Destination based forwarding
 - Longest prefix match
- Switching fabrics
 - memory, bus, cross connect
- Queuing
- Scheduling