



understandable from the manager perspective,,,,, part b will be the wlan for further clerefication

Criteria	Marks (in %)	Fail	Pass 50%-59%	Credit 60%-69%	Distinction 70%-79%	High Distinction 80%-100%
<b>Structure</b>	10	The report has an unclear structure, paragraphs do not flow logically, headings, if used, do not clarify the writing. The writing does not have a clear narrative.	The report has some structure, but paragraphs are not developed, structured and/or linked logically throughout. Section headings are not used effectively to clarify the writing. The writing has a tenuously logical narrative as a whole.	The report has a mostly clear structure and consists of mostly well composed paragraphs that are in most cases linked logically. Section headings are used mostly effectively to clarify the writing. The writing has a logical narrative as a whole.	The report has a clear structure and consists of well composed paragraphs that are linked logically.  Section headings are used effectively to clarify the writing. The writing has a clear and logically formed narrative as a whole.	The report has a very clear structure and consists of very well composed paragraphs that are linked logically.  Section headings are used effectively and accurately to clarify the writing. The writing has a very clear and logically formed narrative as a whole.
<b>Figures and Tables</b>	5	No figures or tables have been used.	No figures or tables have been used.	Figures and/or tables have been used, but are unclear or do not always help understanding the text (too much/too little detail).	Figures and/or tables have been used efficiently and complement the writing, but may contain too much or too little detail.	The report makes excellent use of figures and/or tables, which appropriately complement the writing.
<b>Language and Grammar</b>	10	The style and vocabulary used in the writing are not accurate or articulate, and the writing may consist of poorly structured sentences with frequent grammatical errors.	The style and vocabulary used in the writing are not accurate or articulate most of time, and the writing, while still able to be followed, may contain some grammatical errors.	The style and vocabulary used in the writing is often not accurate or articulate, while the writing consists in the main of clearly structured sentences with few to no grammatical errors.	The style and vocabulary used in the writing are generally accurate and articulate, and the writing consists of clearly structured sentences without noteworthy grammatical errors.	The style and vocabulary used in the writing are consistently accurate and articulate, and the writing consists of clearly structured sentences with no grammatical errors.
<b>Overall presentation</b>	10	The report has an unclear format, looks unprofessional.	The document has some attempt at a format, but neglects most structural requirements.	The document has a readable format and follows some of the structural requirements.	The report looks professional and includes the expected structural elements (e.g. introduction, recommendations, conclusion).	The report looks very professional and expertly includes the expected structural elements (e.g. introduction, recommendations, conclusion).
<b>Referencing</b>	10	No sources given, or sources are inadequate.	At least two sources are cited, which may be somewhat inadequate and/or include referencing errors. The report does not always show clear understanding of the sources.	At least three sources are cited, which are mostly adequate, and the student follows the requirements for citing, with some errors.	At least four sources are cited, at least one of which is a scientific paper, and the student follows the requirements for citing with only minor errors.	At least five sources are cited, at least two of which are scientific papers, and the student follows the requirements for citing and referencing.
<b>Description of technology options</b> 	20	Insufficient or largely incorrect description of technology options.	The report correctly describes two technology options, but with too little detail.	The report correctly describes two technology options with sufficient detail.	The report correctly describes three technology options with appropriate detail.	The report correctly describes three technology options with appropriate detail, and explains how they relate to the requirements.

Criteria	Marks (in %)	Fail	Pass 50%-59%	Credit 60%-69%	Distinction 70%-79%	High Distinction 80%-100%
<b>Comparison of technology options</b>	20	No comparison of technologies attempted.	Some comparison has been attempted, but may be incorrect or focus on irrelevant features.	The report contains a comparison of two technology options, but at a superficial level, or only compares them at a technical or financial level but not both.	The report contains a comparison of two technology options in terms of both technological and financial aspects.	The report contains a comparison of three technology options in terms of both technological and financial aspects.
<b>Recommendations</b> 	15	No recommendations are attempted, or recommendations are inadequate, don't relate to specified requirements.	Some recommendations are given, but may not be sufficiently supported by evidence or argumentation.	Recommendations are given for the technology to adopt. Recommendations may lack substantiation or are not well argued.	Recommendations are given for the technology to adopt. Recommendations are reasonably argued and substantiated with some evidence.	Recommendations are given for the technology to adopt. Recommendations are well argued and substantiated with appropriate evidence.