

Why do we need strategic exploration in a finite horizon MDP?

Set $M = \{\{r_n\}_{n=0}^{H-1}, \{p_n\}_{n=0}^{H-1}, H, \mu, S, A\}$, consider a chain:

Su $= \{\{r_n\}_{n=0}^{H-1}, \{p_n\}_{n=0}^{H-1}, H, \mu, S, A\}$, Length: H.

Then the prob. of random walk hitting reward 1 is $(\frac{1}{3})^{-H}$, which is extremly small. We need to find a better policy.

The next question is: How can we find the optimal policy in face with unknow MDPs?

There are two attempts:

- 1) Treat it as a MAB and run UCB.
 - If we consider the policy as the arms, then we have A^{SH} unique arms. Let K be the number of episodes.

Run UCB and we have the regret of $O(\sqrt{A^{SH}K})$

Note: shouldn't treat policies as independent arms since they do share infos.

- ② We run a new algorithm: The UCB Value-Iteration.

 UCBVI protocol:
 - 1. estimate Ph
 - 2. design reward bonus $b_{k}^{k}(s,a)$
 - 3. optimise with learned model: The value-iter (i Ph, rh+bh) h=0)
 - 4. collect a new trajectory under π^n .

The setting of UCBVI is similar with UCB, while the VI is designed as:

 $Q_{k}^{k}(s,a) = \min_{s} \{ Y_{h}(s,a) + b_{h}^{k}(s,a) + P_{h}^{k}(\cdot | s,a) \cdot \widehat{V}_{h+1}^{k} \mid H \} \quad \forall s,a$ $\pi_{h}^{k}(s) = \underset{\alpha}{\text{arg max}} \widehat{Q}_{k}^{k}(s,a) \mid \forall s,s$ $V_{h}^{k}(s) = \underset{\alpha}{\text{max}} \widehat{Q}_{k}^{n}(s,a)$

The UCBVI achieves a regret of $O(H^2\sqrt{S^2AK})$, which comes from Th. 7.1:

[Theorem 7.1] Regret Bound of UCBVI.

UCBVI achieves the following regret bound:

Regret:= $E\left[\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} (V^*(s_0) - V^{T_k^k}(s_0))\right]$ $\leq 20 H^2 s \sqrt{Ak \cdot ln(SAH^2k^2)} = \hat{O}(H^2 s \sqrt{Ak})$

which is much better in contrast with treating it as MAB.