

## 2.6 Method of moments for latent-variable models

Motivation:

1. Rather tricky: We need to both estimate param. and infer the latent variables.
2. Latent variables: maximizing the marginal likelihood leads to a non-convex optimization. In practice, Expectation Maximization is often used to optimize these objective functions, but EM is only guaranteed to converge to a local optimum.

Goal:

explore a technique for param. estimation based on methods of moments.

[Example 1] Naive Bayes mixture model.

Let  $k$  be the number of document clusters.

Let  $b$  be                      words in the vocabulary.

Let  $L$  be the length of a document.

\* Model parameter  $\theta = (\pi, B)$ :

- $\pi \in \Delta_k$ : prior distribution over clusters.
- $B = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k) \in (\Delta_b)^k$ : for each cluster  $h$ ,  $\beta_h \in \Delta_b$  is a distribution over words for cluster  $h$ .

Let  $\Theta$  denotes the set of all possible  $\theta$ .

\* The probability model  $p_\theta(h, x)$  is defined as follows:

- Sample the cluster:  $h \sim \text{Multinomial}(\pi)$
- Sample the words in document independently:

$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_L) \mid h \sim \text{Multinomial}(\beta_h)$$

Question:

Given  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_L)$ , estimate  $\theta = (\pi, B)$  from the data.

Given  $n$  documents  $\{x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)}\}$  drawn i.i.d. from  $P_{\theta^*}$ , return an estimate  $\hat{\theta} = (\hat{\pi}, \hat{B})$  of  $\theta^* = (\pi^*, B^*)$ .

① Maximum (marginal) likelihood estimator:

$$\hat{\theta} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta \in \Theta} \sum_{i=1}^n -\log \sum_{h=1}^K P_{\theta}(h, x^{(i)})$$

Optimization: EM

(i) E-step: for each example  $i$ , compute the posterior:

$$q_i(h) = P_{\theta}(h^{(i)} = h | x^{(i)})$$

(ii) M-step: optimise the expected log-likelihood:

$$\max_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^K q_i(h) \log P_{\theta}(h, x^{(i)}).$$

② Method of moments

(i) define a moment mapping  $M$

(ii) plug in the empirical moment  $\hat{m}$  and get estimate  $\hat{\theta}$  via the inverted mapping.

a. moment mapping

Let  $\phi(x) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be an observation function. Define the moment mapping as:

$$M(\theta) := E_{x \sim p_{\theta}}[\phi(x)].$$

We say a mixture model is identifiable if  $|M^{-1}(m)| = k!$  for all  $m \in M(\Theta)$ .

b. Plug in

(i) Define the empirical moments:

$$\hat{m} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \phi(x^{(i)})$$

(ii) Yield the method of moments estimator:

$$\hat{\theta} := M^{-1}(\hat{m})$$

c. Asymptotic analysis.

(i) By Central Limit Theorem:

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{m} - m^*) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \text{Cov}_{x \sim p^*}[\phi(x)])$$

(ii) Assume that  $M^{-1}$  is continuous around  $m^*$ , by delta method

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta^*) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \nabla M^{-1}(m^*) \text{Cov}_{x \sim p^*}[\phi(x)] \nabla M^{-1}(m^*)^T)$$

Note: Method of moments is only useful if  $\phi$  is well s.t.

(i)  $M$  is invertible.

(ii)  $M^{-1}$  is computationally tractable.

Now we compute  $\hat{\theta}$  for Example 1.

Preliminaries:

(i) Assume each document has  $L \geq 3$  words.

(ii) Assume  $b \geq k$

(iii) each word  $x_j$  is represented into a one-hot vector  $\in \mathbb{R}^b$ .

Start with first-order moments:

$$M_1 := E[x_1] = \sum_{h=1}^k \pi_h \beta_h = B\pi$$

$M_1$  is a vector of marginal word probabilities.

We can write the second-order moments:

$$M_2 := E[x_1 x_1^T] = \sum_{h=1}^k \pi_h \beta_h \beta_h^T = B \text{diag}(\pi) B^T$$

$M_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  is a matrix of co-occurrence word probabilities.

$M_2(u, v)$  is the probability of seeing  $u$  and  $v$  (marginally)

And we need a third-order moments:

$$\begin{aligned} M_3(\eta) &:= E[x_1 x_1^T (x_1^T \eta)] = \sum_{h=1}^k \pi_h \beta_h \beta_h^T (\beta_h^T \eta) \\ &= B \text{diag}(\pi) \text{diag}(B^T \eta) B^T \end{aligned}$$

[Lemma]

Suppose  $X = BDB^T$ ,  $Y = BEB^T$  where

(i)  $D, E$  are diagonal matrices s.t.  $\{D_{ii}/E_{ii}\}_{i=1}^k$  are all non-zero and distinct.

(ii)  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{b \times k}$  has full column rank.

Then we can recover  $B$

Pf: (i) Assume  $B$  is invertible, then  $X, Y$  are invertible.

$$Y X^{-1} = B E B^T B^{-T} D^{-1} B^{-1} = B E D^{-1} B^{-1} \quad (E D^{-1} \text{ is diagonal})$$

The RHS has the form of an eigendecomposition, so the eigenvectors of  $Y X^{-1}$  are exactly the columns of  $B$  up to permutation and scaling.  $B$  is full ranked since  $E D^{-1}_{ii}$  is distinct for each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .

(ii) Now, suppose  $X, Y$  are not invertible.

Let  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{b \times k}$  be any orthonormal basis of the column space of  $B$ , we have:  $\tilde{B} := U^T B \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$  is invertible.

Besides, we have

$$U^T X U = \tilde{B} D \tilde{B}^T, \quad U^T Y U = \tilde{B} E \tilde{B}^T,$$

which back to (i), and we can recover  $\tilde{B}$ .

Then  $B = U \tilde{B}$ . □

We apply the Lemma with  $X = M_2$ ,  $Y = M_3(\eta)$

$$D = \text{diag}(\pi) \quad \text{and} \quad E = \text{diag}(\pi) \text{diag}(B^T \eta)$$

Once we recover  $B$ , then we can recover  $\pi$  via

$$\pi = B^\dagger M_1 \quad (B^\dagger \text{ is the pseudoinverse})$$