

Recap:

- ||w|1, > ((w)1, > ... > ) |w|( ~
- the dual norm of 11.11 is ||x|1 + = sup(x.y)
- 11-11p and 11-11q are dual to each other when  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$

[Definition 29] strong convexity | smoothness.

A function f is  $\alpha$ -strongly convex W.r.t. a norm II-II iff for all w, u:

 $D_f(w||u) > \frac{\lambda}{2}||w-u||^2$ 

A function f is  $\alpha$ -strongly smooth w.r.t. a norm 11.11 iff for all w, u:

 $D_{f}(w|lu) \leq \frac{d}{2}|lw-u|l^{2}$ 

[[emma 8] strong convexity and strong smoothness.

The following two statements are equivalent.

- ① ψ(w) is /η strongly convex w.r.t. 11.11.
- 2  $\psi^*(\theta)$  is  $\eta$  strongly smooth w.r.t.  $\|\cdot\|_*$ .

Pf: We only need to prove  $0 \Rightarrow 0$ : (since  $0 \Rightarrow 0$  is analogous)

Assume Dy(w||w) > = 1 ||w-u||2 for Yw, u

$$\Rightarrow \qquad \psi(w) - \psi(u) - \nabla \psi(u) \cdot (w - u) \approx \frac{1}{2\eta} ||w - u||^2 \qquad (I)$$

and  $\Psi(N) - \Psi(W) - \nabla \Psi(W) \cdot (N - W) \ge \frac{1}{2\eta} \| (N - W)\|^2$  (I) + (I):

$$(\nabla \psi(w) - \nabla \psi(u)) \cdot (w - w) \ge \frac{1}{\eta} ||w - u||^2$$

Let 0, = VY(w), 02 = VY(u),

il ... - utine u sitine im ... la .....

then  $W = V \Psi (\Theta_1)$ ,  $U = V \Psi (O_2)$ . (II) can be rewritten:  $(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \cdot (\nabla \Psi^*(\theta_1) - \nabla \Psi^*(\theta_2)) \geq \frac{1}{2} ||\nabla \Psi^*(\theta_1) - \nabla \Psi^*(\theta_2)||^2$  take  $L_1 - norm$ , and divide both sides by  $\frac{1}{2} ||\nabla \Psi^*(\theta_1) - \nabla \Psi^*(\theta_2)||$   $||\nabla \Psi^*(\theta_1) - \nabla \Psi^*(\theta_2)|| \leq \eta ||(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \cdot \frac{\nabla \Psi^*(\theta_1) - \nabla \Psi^*(\theta_2)}{||\nabla \Psi^*(\theta_1) - \nabla \Psi^*(\theta_2)||}| \leq \eta ||(\theta_1 - \theta_2)||_* (*)$  Since  $\Psi^*$  is convex,  $\Psi^*(\theta_1) - \Psi^*(\theta_2) - \nabla \Psi^*(\theta_2) \cdot (\theta_1 - \theta_1) \geq 0$  By (\*) we have  $\Psi^*(\theta_1) - \Psi^*(\theta_2) - \nabla \Psi^*(\theta_2) \cdot (\theta_1 - \theta_2)$   $= |\int_0^1 ||\nabla \Psi^*(\theta_2) + t(\theta_1 - \theta_2)| \cdot (\theta_1 - \theta_2) dt - \nabla \Psi^*(\theta_2) \cdot (\theta_1 - \theta_2)|$   $\leq \int_0^1 ||\nabla \Psi^*(\theta_2) + t(\theta_1 - \theta_2)| - \nabla \Psi^*(\theta_2) ||\cdot||\theta_1 - \theta_2||_* dt$  (by dwalty)  $\leq \int_0^1 ||\nabla \Psi^*(\theta_2) + t(\theta_1 - \theta_2)| - \nabla \Psi^*(\theta_2) ||\cdot||\theta_1 - \theta_2||_* dt$  (by dwalty)  $\leq \int_0^1 ||\nabla \Psi^*(\theta_2) - \theta_2||_*^2 dt$   $= \frac{1}{2} ||\theta_1 - \theta_2||_*^2 dt$ 

[Theorem 32] regret of OMG using norms

Suppose  $\psi$  is a  $\frac{1}{\eta}$ -strongly convex regularizer 

Regret(u)  $\leq$  [ $\psi$ (u)  $-\psi$ (w)]  $+\frac{\eta}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{T}||Z_k||_{\star}^{2}$ 

Pf: By Lemma 8,  $\Psi^*$  is  $\eta$ -strongly smooth. Note that  $\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t - z_t$ , we have  $D_{\Psi^*}(\theta_{t+1}||\theta_t) \leq \frac{\eta}{2}||z_t||_{*}^2$ .

By Theorem 31, we have Regret (u)  $\leq [ \psi(u) - \psi(w_i) ] + \sum_{i=1}^{n} D_{\psi^*} (\theta_{i+1} || \theta_{i+1})$   $\leq [ \psi(u) - \psi(w_i) ] + \sum_{i=1}^{n} || \mathcal{E}_{t} ||_{\psi^*}$ 

\*Learning with expert advice. Recall when using quadratic regularizer, we got Regret  $\sim \sqrt{dT}$ 

To reduce it, just use another norm  $||Z||_{\infty} \leq 1$ , how Regret  $\sim \sqrt{7}$  (by Th.32)

but this means  $\psi$  should be strongly convex w.r.t.  $L_1$ , which is harder since (11.11,  $\geq$  11.11,  $\geq$ ).  $\psi(w) = \frac{1}{2\eta} ||w||_2^2$  is only  $\frac{1}{\eta d}$ -strongly convex w.r.t.  $L_1$  norm. [however, entropy is  $\frac{1}{\eta}$ - SC.].

[Example 36] exponentiated gradient (EG)  $-\psi(w) = \frac{1}{\eta} \sum_{j=1}^{d} w_j \log w_j \quad \text{for } w \in \Delta_d$   $-\text{Recall } \psi^*(w) = \frac{1}{\eta} \log \frac{d}{j=1} e^{\eta \theta_j} \quad \text{and } \nabla \psi^*(\theta_j) = \frac{e^{\eta \theta_j}}{\sum_{j=1}^{d} e^{\eta \theta_j}}$   $-\text{DMD updates}: \quad \mathcal{W}_{t,j} \propto e^{\eta \theta_{t,j}}$ The equivalent recursive formula:  $W_{t+1,j} \propto W_{t,j} e^{-\eta Z_{t,j}}$ 

[Example 37] EG for learning with expert advise  $-f_t(w) = w \cdot Z_t$   $-f_t(w) = w \cdot Z_t$  $-f_t(w) = w \cdot Z_t$