5.4	Follow	the	leader

[Algorithm 2] follow the leader (FTL)

Let f_1, \dots, f_T be the sequence of loss functions played by nature.

The learner chooses $W_t \in S$ that $\min_{i=1}^{t-1} f_i(w)$, i.e. $W_t \in \underset{W \in S}{\operatorname{arg min}} \stackrel{\frac{t-1}{t-1}}{\underset{W \in S}{\overset{t-1}{t-1}}} f_i(w) \cdots (47b)$

Note: We can think FTL as an empirical risk minimizer where the training set is the first t-1 samples.

Lemma 7 (compare FTL with one-step lookahead cheater) Let f_1, \dots, f_T be any sequence of loss function.

Let Wi, ..., WT be produced by FTL according to (476).

For any ues:

Regret (u) := $\sum_{t=1}^{T} f_t(w_t) - f_t(u) \in \sum_{t=1}^{T} [f_t(w_t) - f_t(w_{t+1})]$

Pf: It suffices to show $\frac{T}{\xi_{-1}}f_{\xi}(W_{\xi+1}) \leq \frac{T}{\xi_{-1}}f_{\xi}(u)$ \quad \text{VueS}.

By induction:

$$\bigoplus T = 1, \quad f_1(w_2) = \min_{n \in S} f_1(n) \leq f_1(n)$$

② Assume the inductive hypothesis on T-1: $\frac{T-1}{\xi_{-1}}f_{\xi}(w_{\xi+1}) \leq \frac{T-1}{\xi_{-1}}f_{\xi}(u)$ for all $u \in S$

Add for (worth) to both sides:

 $\sum_{t=1}^{T} f_{t}(w_{t+1}) \leq \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} f_{t}(u) + f_{T}(w_{T+1}) \quad \text{for all ues}$

In particular, set $N = W_{7+1}$ and we have $= \sum_{t=1}^{7} f_t(W_{7+1}) \leq \sum_{t=1}^{7} f_t(W_{7+1}) = \min_{N \in S} \sum_{t=1}^{7} f_t(N)$

which finishes the proof. D

Note: (i) RHS f=(W+)-f=(W+11) measure the stability of Algorithm 2,

[Example 28] quadratic optimization: FTL works.

Assume nature always chooses quadratic functions:

where 112t1/2 < L for all t=1, ..., T.

FTL (minimizing over $S = IR^d$) has a closed form solution, which is $W_t = \frac{1}{t-1} \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} Z_i$. (by differential we have this)

Bounded one term of follow) - followers:

$$f_{t}(w_{t}) - f_{t}(w_{t+1}) = \frac{1}{2} \| w_{t} - z_{t} \|_{2}^{2} - \frac{1}{2} \| (1 - \frac{1}{t}) w_{t} + \frac{1}{t} z_{t} - z_{t} \|_{2}^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} [1 - (1 - \frac{1}{t})^{2}] \| w_{t} - z_{t} \|_{2}^{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{t} \| w_{t} - z_{t} \|_{2}^{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{4L^{2}}{t} \qquad 4L^{2} = \text{the square of diam}(\|z\|_{2})$$

Summing:
$$\overline{\xi}_{1}^{T} [f_{t}(W_{t}) - f_{t}(W_{t+1})] \leq 4L^{2} \overline{\xi}_{1}^{T} + 4L^{2} (\log(T) + 1)$$

 $\overline{\xi}_{1}^{T} + 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{T} = 1 + \int_{1}^{2} \frac{1}{2} dt + \cdots + \int_{T-1}^{T} dt$
 $= 1 + \int_{1}^{2} \frac{1}{4} dt + \cdots + \int_{T-1}^{T} dt$
 $= 1 + \log(T)$

Note: $f_t(w_t) - f_t(w_{t+1}) \sim O(t)$, which implies FTL for 11-112 is stable.

[Example 29] linear optimization: FTL fails.

Let S = [-1,1] be FTL's possible predictions (convex set)

Consider linear functions follow = WZt in d=1 dimention:

The FTL give W+ like:

Expert u=0 obtains 0 cumulative loss.

=> Regret > T-1

Note:

(i) For 11.112, We and We+1 must get closer

(ii) For linear functions, we and went vary largely.

(111) It seems FTL works when ft offers 'stability'.