

Bandit problem: a problem to deal with exploration and exploitation. For the clear low risk machine, one should exploit it more; On the other hand, for more profit, one should also try to explore more unknow risk machines, despite high risk we might face with.

Settings: let $\gamma = 0$ in unknow MDPs. we have K decisions ("arm"). When we play arm $a \in \{1, 2, \dots k\}$, we obtain a random $Ya \in [-1, 1]$ from R(a) ∈ A[-1,1], which has mean remard. $E_{r_{\alpha} \sim R(\alpha)}[r_{\alpha}] = \mu_{\alpha} \in [-1,1]$

Every iter t, the learner will pick an arm It & [1,2,-*] Define Cumulative regret as:

 $R_T = T \cdot \max_{i} \mu_i - \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mu_{I_t}$

we denote Da* = arg max µi, 3 Da = Max - Ma.

Theorem 6.1 There exist an algorithm s.t. with prob $\geq 1-8$, $R_T = O\left(\min \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{kT \cdot \ln(Tk/8)}{Tk/8}}, \frac{\sum_{\alpha \neq \alpha^*} \frac{\ln(Tk/8)}{\Delta_{\alpha}} \right\} + k\right)$

1. The upper confidence bound (UCB) algorithm

- Pseudo code of UCB algorithm:

 1. Play each arm once, denote as fra | a=1,--, kg

3: It = argmax_{i∈[K]} (
$$\hat{\mu}_{i}^{t} + \sqrt{\frac{\log(TK/S)}{N_{i}^{t}}}$$
)
4: $r_{t} := r_{I_{t}}$

5: end for

where we maintain counts of each arm: $\frac{t-1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}$

$$N_{\alpha}^{t} = 1 + \frac{t-1}{\sum_{i=1}^{t-1}} 1 i = \alpha i$$

and we compute empirical mean: $\widehat{\mu}_{\alpha}^{t} = \frac{1}{N_{\alpha}^{t}} \left(\Gamma_{\alpha} + \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} 1_{i}^{t} \Gamma_{i} = a^{2} \Gamma_{i} \right)$

and we maintain the upper confidence upper for each arm: $\widehat{\mu}_a^t + 2\sqrt{\frac{\ln(TK/S)}{N_a^t}}$

Lemma 6.2 [Upper Confidence Bound]

For all $t \in [1,2,...,k]$ and $a \in [1,2,...,k]$. We have the prob. $\geq 1-8$ that $|\hat{\mu}_a^{\dagger} - \hat{\mu}_a^{\dagger}| \leq 2\sqrt{\frac{\ln(Tk/8)}{Na}}$

Pf: We use Hoeffding-Azuma inequation: suppose X_1 , ..., X_T is a martingale difference sequence where each

It is a $\forall \epsilon$ sub-Gaussian, Then for all $\epsilon > 0$, N > 0 $P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} I_{i} > \epsilon \right) \leq \exp\left(\frac{-\epsilon^{2}}{2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_{i}^{2}} \right)$ $\hat{\mu}_{\alpha}^{t} - \mu_{\alpha}^{t} = \frac{1}{N_{\alpha}^{t}} \left[r_{\alpha} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} I_{\{I_{i} = \alpha\}} r_{i} \right] - \mu_{\alpha}^{t}$ $= \frac{1}{N_{\alpha}^{t}} \left[r_{\alpha} - \mu_{\alpha}^{t} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(I_{\{I_{i} = \alpha\}} r_{i} - \mu_{\alpha}^{t} \right) \right]$

if me assume that $\Gamma u \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_a^t, 1)$ assume $\xi = 2\sqrt{\frac{\ln(TK|\delta)}{N_a^t}} \Rightarrow P(\hat{\mu}_a^t - \mu_a^t = \xi) \in e^{-2} \frac{\delta}{TK}$ $\Rightarrow P(|\hat{\mu}_a^t - \mu_a^t| \leq \xi) \geq 1 - 2 \cdot e^{-2} \frac{\delta}{TK} \geq 1 - \frac{\delta}{TK} \square$

Pf from book.

We consider a fixed arm a, define $X_0 = \Gamma_a - \mu_a$, $X_1 = 1 \{ X_1 = a \} (r_1 - \mu_a)$, $X_2 = 1 \{ X_3 = a \} (r_1 - \mu_a)$, $X_3 = 1 \{ X_4 = a \} (r_1 - \mu_a)$, $X_4 = 1 \{ X_5 = a \} (r_1 - \mu_a)$

if 1 fi=aj=1, | Ii| = | Ti- Ma| = | Ti|-1 Mal = 2.

 $E[I_i]H_{ci}] = 0$ sin I_t is determined when H_{ct} is known.

=> FI+ 4 is martigale difference sequence.

Via Azuma-Hoeffding's ineq.

Apply union bound over [T] and [K] we prove the lemma.

We now prove Th b.l

Theorem 6.1 There exist an algorithm s.t. with prob $\geq 1-8$, $R_T = O\left(\min \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{1}{kT \cdot \ln(Tk/8)}}, \frac{\sum_{\alpha \neq \alpha^*} \frac{\ln(Tk/8)}{\Delta_{\alpha}} \right\} + k \right)$

Pf
$$\mu_a \leq \hat{\mu}_a^{\dagger} + 2\sqrt{\frac{\ln(TK/8)}{N_a^{\dagger}}} \quad \forall a, t.$$
(Assume Lemma 6.2 ineq. holds)

$$\mu_{\alpha t} - \mu_{I_{t}} \leq \hat{\mu}_{I_{t}} + 2\sqrt{\frac{|\underline{n}(Tk|S)}{N_{I_{t}}^{t}}} - \mu_{I_{t}}$$

$$\leq 4\sqrt{\frac{|\underline{n}(Tk|S)}{N_{I_{t}}^{t}}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} (\mu_{\alpha}^* - \mu_{I_t}) \in 4 \int |u(\tau k|s)| \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \sqrt{\frac{1}{N_{I_t}^t}}$$

$$\leq 4 \int |u(\tau k|s)| \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\alpha}^T} \frac{1}{J_i^t}$$

$$\leq 8 \int \ln(Tk/8) \gtrsim 1 \text{ Noi}$$

 $\leq 8 \int \ln(Tk/8) \int K \gtrsim Not \quad \text{(Cauchy ineq.)}$
 $\leq 8 \int \ln(Tk/8) \int KT$

On the other hand, if $\Delta_{\alpha} > 0$ for each $\alpha \neq \alpha^{*}$, $N_{\alpha}^{T} \leq \frac{4\ln(Tk/8)}{\Delta_{\alpha}^{2}}$

because if upper confidence boundary of a a plost, then our a will never be selected again (by the algorithm).

=> $\frac{T-1}{\xi}$ μ_{α} + - $\mu_{I_{\xi}}$ $\leq \sum_{\alpha \neq \alpha^{*}} N_{\alpha}^{T} \Delta_{\alpha} \leq \sum_{\alpha \neq \alpha^{*}} \frac{4 \ln(T K/8)}{\Delta_{\alpha}}$ Since first K steps have max K regret. the proof is completed \square