python

Snippet ID: breadth_first_search

1. Category: BUG

• Explanation:

- What the issue is: The condition in the while loop while True: will lead to an infinite loop if the queue is empty and the goalnode is not found.
- **Why it's a problem:** The code will continue executing indefinitely, which might cause the program to hang if the goal node is not part of the graph.
- **How to fix it:** Change the condition to while queue: to ensure the loop stops when there are no more nodes to process.

2. Category: BUG

• Explanation:

- What the issue is: The line nodesseen.update(node.successors) updates the set nodesseen with all successors, even those already seen.
- Why it's a problem: This can lead to incorrect results or wasted operations by trying to add already seen nodes.
- How to fix it: Update only with successors that haven't been seen:
 nodesseen.update(node for node in node.successors if node not in
 nodesseen).

3. Category: QUALITY

• Explanation:

- What the issue is: Inconsistent and non-descriptive variable naming (startnode, goalnode, nodesseen).
- Why it's a problem: It decreases code readability and understandability.
- How to fix it: Use snake_case for variable names: start_node, goal_node, nodes_seen.

• Explanation:

 \circ $\,$ What the issue is: Redundant use of from collections import deque as

Queue and referring to it as Queue.

o Why it's a problem: Using Queue to refer to deque might confuse readers into thinking

it's related to the queue module.

o How to fix it: Use deque directly instead of aliasing it, or use a more descriptive alias if

aliasing is necessary.

5. Category: BUG

Explanation:

 What the issue is: The variable node is iteratively overwritten in the line queue.extend(node for node in node.successors if node not in

nodesseen), which conflates two different usages of node.

• Why it's a problem: This can confuse the intended iteration logic and might lead to

errors if a similar context changes the node later.

o **How to fix it:** Use a different variable name inside the generator expression, such as

successor for clarity:

 ${\tt queue.extend} ({\tt successor} \ {\tt for} \ {\tt successor} \ {\tt in} \ {\tt node.successors} \ {\tt if}$

successor not in nodesseen).

Total bugs: 3

Total quality issues: 2

Snippet ID: cli_calculator

1. Category: BUG

• Explanation:

Division by zero is not handled.

• This will cause a runtime error if the user inputs zero as the second number and chooses division.

Add a check to ensure that if the operation is division, the second number is not zero.

2. Category: QUALITY

Explanation:

 The function calculate() does not return any value, which makes testing and reuse difficult.

 Returning the result of the calculation allows this function to be used programmatically rather than being limited to just console I/O.

 Modify the calculate() function to return the calculation result instead of or in addition to printing it.

3. Category: QUALITY

Explanation:

Magic strings are used directly in the code for operations ('+', '-', '*', '/').

Magic strings can lead to errors and make the code less readable.

o Define constants for the operations to improve readability and maintainability.

Total bugs: 1

Total quality issues: 2

Snippet ID: find_in_sorted

1. Category: BUG

Explanation:

The current implementation of the binary search checks if start == end to terminate
the search and return -1. This condition is incorrect. In a typical binary search, you should
check if start >= end to terminate the search, as this implies the target element

doesn't exist in the array.

- This is a problem because the function might return -1 prematurely, even if the item exists in the array.
- To fix it, change the condition to if start >= end.

2. Category: BUG

• Explanation:

- When mid is compared to x and found to be greater, the function calls binsearch(start, mid). However, mid should be excluded from the next search range since it's already confirmed that x is not at mid and x < arr[mid].
- This can cause an infinite loop for a single-element segment, perpetually narrowing the range without making progress.
- Fix it by modifying the call to binsearch(start, mid 1).

3. Category: BUG

• Explanation:

- When x is greater than arr[mid], the function calls binsearch(mid, end). Since mid is already processed and x > arr[mid], the next search should start from mid + 1.
- This mistake may lead to an infinite loop for similar reasons as above.
- Fix it by modifying the call to binsearch(mid + 1, end).

4. Category: CODE QUALITY

Explanation:

- The function could benefit from better naming of the internal variables. For example, binsearch is not very descriptive. Renaming it to something like binary_search would improve code readability.
- Poor naming can make the code harder to understand and maintain, especially for those not familiar with it.
- Fix it by renaming binsearch to binary_search.

5. Category: CODE QUALITY

• Explanation:

- The function find_in_sorted lacks a docstring explaining what it does, what the parameters are, and what it returns.
- Lack of documentation makes the code less understandable and maintainable, especially for new developers or when revisiting the code after a long time.
- Fix it by adding a docstring at the beginning of the function.

Total bugs: 3

Total quality issues: 2

Snippet ID: flask_app

1. Category: BUG

• Explanation:

- The login function assumes that the username exists in the users dictionary without checking. If a non-existent username is provided, it will raise a KeyError.
- Why it's a problem: This can lead to server errors when users attempt to log in with a
 username that isn't registered.
- **How to fix it:** Check if the username exists in the users dictionary before comparing the password.

2. Category: BUG

Explanation:

- Both the register and login functions are storing and verifying passwords in plain text.
- Why it's a problem: Storing plain text passwords is a significant security risk, as it exposes users' passwords to anyone with access to the data.
- How to fix it: Use a password hashing library, such as bcrypt, to securely hash and verify passwords.

3. Category: QUALITY

• Explanation:

- The users dictionary is stored at the global level, which is not thread-safe.
- Why it's a problem: Flask's default server may handle requests in parallel, leading to race conditions when accessing or modifying shared data.
- **How to fix it:** Use a thread-safe data storage method, such as a database or an external service, to handle user data.

4. Category: QUALITY

• Explanation:

- Using HTTP methods incorrectly; the register and login routes respond successfully with a 200 status code by default.
- Why it's a problem: This is technically correct but can be improved by explicitly defining the status code for clarity and maintainability.
- How to fix it: Return an explicit 201 status code for successful registration and 200 for successful login.

Total bugs: 2

Total quality issues: 2

Snippet ID: knapsack

1. Category: BUG

Explanation:

- The conditional statement if weight < j is incorrect. It should be if weight <= j.
 The current logic skips the scenario where the item weight is exactly equal to the current capacity being checked (j).
- Why it's a problem: Items whose weights exactly match the current capacity will not be considered, leading to incorrect results when they should be included.
- How to fix it: Change the condition to if weight <= j.

2. Category: QUALITY

• Explanation:

• The import statement from collections import defaultdict is inside the

function.

• Why it's a problem: Importing inside a function is not a common practice and can lead

to confusion. It can also incur a small performance penalty if the function is called

frequently.

• **How to fix it:** Move the import statement to the top of the file.

3. Category: QUALITY

Explanation:

The use of defaultdict(int) initializes all values with 0, which can mask potential

logic issues by returning a default value rather than an error.

Why it's a problem: Using a defaultdict without explicit initializations can lead to

hidden bugs, as access to an uninitialized key returns a default value instead of raising a

KeyError.

• How to fix it: Use a regular dictionary dict() and manage key initialization explicitly

within the algorithm.

4. Category: QUALITY

Explanation:

Variable names i and j are not descriptive.

o Why it's a problem: Non-descriptive names can make the code harder to read and

maintain.

How to fix it: Use more meaningful names such as item_idx and

current_capacity.

Total bugs: 1

Total quality issues: 3

Snippet ID: rpn_eval

1. BUG

- The issue is with the operand order in the operations.
- When popping elements from the stack, the first pop should be for the second operand and the second pop for the first operand as Reverse Polish Notation evaluates expressions by taking the second operand first.
- Swap the positions of a and b in the op function call like so: op(token, b, a).

2. BUG

- The issue is missing error handling for division by zero.
- Dividing by zero will cause a runtime error and unexpected behavior.
- Add a check before performing division to handle division by zero, possibly by raising an
 exception or returning an error message.

3. QUALITY

- The issue is with the type check for tokens only accepting float.
- Restricting to float can be too limiting when dealing with whole numbers.
- Use isinstance(token, (int, float)) instead to accommodate both integers and floats.

4. QUALITY

- The issue is with no error handling for invalid tokens.
- Invalid or unrecognized tokens will lead to a KeyError or empty stack errors.
- Introduce error handling to manage unexpected tokens, such as raising an exception if the token is not a number or a supported operation.

5. QUALITY

- The issue is with using dictionary access without checking key existence.
- Attempting to access a dictionary key without checking its existence can cause a KeyError.

• Utilize the dict.get() method with a default action to handle cases where the symbol might not be valid.

Total bugs: 2

Total quality issues: 3

Snippet ID: shortest path length

1. Category: BUG

- Explanation: The term "FibHeap" is misleading in the comment for unvisited_nodes. The implementation uses a binary heap (via heapq), not a Fibonacci heap.
- Why it's a problem: The performance characteristics differ significantly, which can mislead assumptions.
- How to fix it: Correct the comment or replace heapq with a Fibonacci heap if needed.

2. Category: BUG

- Explanation: The conditional check if node is goalnode: uses the is operator.
- Why it's a problem: Object identity check may fail even for logically equal nodes.
- How to fix it: Use == instead of is.

3. Category: BUG

- Explanation: The function get returns 0 when a node is not found.
- Why it's a problem: It should return float('inf') to reflect unknown distance.
- How to fix it: Change the return value to float('inf').

4. Category: BUG

- Explanation: Redundant call to get(unvisited_nodes, nextnode) in min() function.
- Why it's a problem: Logical oversight and unnecessary duplication.
- How to fix it: Simplify by computing the new potential distance directly.

- Explanation: Generic function names like get and insert_or_update.
- Why it's a problem: Reduces readability and clarity.
- How to fix it: Rename to get_distance_or_inf, insert_or_update_node.

6. Category: QUALITY

- Explanation: Code assumes node.successors always exists.
- Why it's a problem: Can cause runtime errors.
- How to fix it: Add check with hasattr(node, 'successors').

Total bugs: 4

Total quality issues: 2

Snippet ID: shunting_yard

1. BUG

- Explanation: The function does not handle parentheses.
- Why it's a problem: It results in incorrect order of operations.
- How to fix it: Add logic for (and) handling with appropriate stack operations.

2. BUG

- Explanation: Operator not found in precedence dictionary can cause KeyError.
- Why it's a problem: Leads to runtime errors.
- How to fix it: Validate all tokens before accessing precedence.

3. QUALITY

Explanation: Token type check only accepts int.

- Why it's a problem: Fails to handle float and other numeric types.
- How to fix it: Use isinstance(token, (int, float)).

4. QUALITY

- Explanation: No comments or docstrings.
- Why it's a problem: Decreases understandability and maintainability.
- How to fix it: Add function-level documentation and comments.

5. QUALITY

- Explanation: Poor variable names like rpntokens, opstack.
- Why it's a problem: Decreases readability.
- How to fix it: Rename to output_queue, operator_stack.

Total bugs: 2

Total quality issues: 3

Snippet ID: topological ordering

1. Category: BUG

- Explanation: Incorrect logic for appending nodes based on outgoing_nodes.
- Why it's a problem: Results in invalid topological order.
- How to fix it: Use Kahn's algorithm with in-degree tracking.

2. Category: BUG

- Explanation: Condition if set(ordered_nodes).issuperset(nextnode.outgoing_nodes) is flawed.
- Why it's a problem: Checks the wrong relation.
- How to fix it: Base processing on incoming edges or in-degrees.

- Explanation: Variable nextnode lacks clarity and doesn't follow naming conventions.
- Why it's a problem: Poor readability.
- How to fix it: Rename to next_node.

4. Category: QUALITY

- Explanation: Inefficient node scanning with list comprehension in loop.
- Why it's a problem: Performance cost.
- How to fix it: Precompute in-degrees and update iteratively.

Total bugs: 2

Total quality issues: 2

Snippet ID: wrap

1. Category: BUG

- Explanation: Remaining text after the loop isn't handled.
- Why it's a problem: Final segment may be dropped.
- How to fix it: Append remaining text after the loop ends.

2. Category: QUALITY

- Explanation: Function name wrap is misleading.
- Why it's a problem: Doesn't accurately describe behavior.
- How to fix it: Rename to wrap_text_to_lines.

3. Category: QUALITY

- Explanation: Variables line and text are reassigned confusingly.
- Why it's a problem: Reduces code clarity.

How to fix it: Assign them separately for readability.

Total bugs: 1

Total quality issues: 2

Snippet ID: common

1. Category: BUG

Explanation:

- Issue: Using a mutable default argument (resultList=[]).
- Problem: This can lead to unexpected behavior because default mutable arguments are shared across function calls, potentially accumulating changes from previous invocations.
- Fix: Use None as the default value and instantiate a new list inside the function, if needed:

resultList=None and then inside the function, check and set it: if resultList is None: resultList = [].

2. Category: QUALITY

• Explanation:

- o **Issue:** Poor naming of the function and variables.
- Problem: Names like doTask, x, and y are generic and do not describe their purpose or the function's behavior, which reduces code readability and maintainability.
- **Fix:** Use descriptive names for the function and variables like calculate_area, radius, offset, and results depending on their intended use.

3. Category: QUALITY

• Explanation:

o **Issue:** Magic numbers (3.14 and 100).

- **Problem:** These numbers appear in the code without context or explanation, which makes the code harder to understand and update.
- **Fix:** Define constants with descriptive names such as PI = 3.14 and DEFAULT_Y = 100 at the top of the code file and use those constants in the function.

Explanation:

- o **Issue:** Unused variable unused.
- Problem: Declaring a variable that isn't used is wasteful and potentially confusing for anyone reading the code.
- **Fix:** Remove the unused variable to clean up the code.

Total bugs: 1

Total quality issues: 3

Snippet ID: deep_nesting

1. Category: BUG

• Explanation:

- The function only prints "Odd and within range" but does not return any value for other cases of x.
- Why it's a problem: Users of the function won't know what the function does for even numbers or numbers outside the 0–10 range, which can lead to confusion or incorrect assumptions.
- **How to fix it:** Add print statements or return values for other conditions.

2. Category: QUALITY

• Explanation:

- The function has excessive nested if-statements.
- Why it's a problem: Makes the code harder to read and increases cognitive load.

How to fix it: Refactor to use a single if statement, like:

if 0 < x < 10 and x % 2 == 1; and then use elif/else blocks.

Total bugs: 1

Total quality issues: 1

Snippet ID: hanoi

1. Category: QUALITY

Explanation:

- What the issue is: The use of a set comprehension {1, 2, 3} {start} {end} to determine the helper pole is unnecessary overhead.
- Why it's a problem: It creates a new set and performs extra operations each time.
- How to fix it: Use simple math: helper = 6 start end.

2. Category: QUALITY

- Explanation:
 - What the issue is: The variable name helper is not descriptive.
 - Why it's a problem: Poor readability and maintainability.
 - **How to fix it:** Rename to auxiliary or intermediate_pole.

Total bugs: 0

Total quality issues: 2

Snippet ID: kheapsort

1. Category: BUG

• Explanation:

• What the issue is: kheapsort starts yielding elements after heapifying the first k but doesn't sort the entire array.

- Why it's a problem: Misleading behavior based on name.
- How to fix it: Process entire array properly, yield sorted smallest k elements.

2. Category: BUG

• Explanation:

- What the issue is: Final while-loop yields heap elements not in order.
- Why it's a problem: Output isn't fully sorted.
- How to fix it: Sort and yield the remaining heap elements correctly.

3. Category: QUALITY

• Explanation:

- What the issue is: The function name is misleading.
- Why it's a problem: Implies full sort rather than partial.
- **How to fix it:** Rename to something like k_smallest_sort.

4. Category: QUALITY

• Explanation:

- What the issue is: No error handling for invalid k or empty input.
- Why it's a problem: Can cause crashes or bad output.
- **How to fix it:** Add input validation and edge case handling.

Total bugs: 2

Total quality issues: 2

Snippet ID: naming

1. Category: QUALITY

Explanation:

- What the issue is: The function name proc_data is not descriptive.
- Why it's a problem: Harder to understand and maintain.
- How to fix it: Rename to something like double_value to clearly express its purpose.

Total bugs: 0

Total quality issues: 1

Snippet ID: naming_and_magic

1. Category: QUALITY

Explanation:

- What the issue is: The function name calcArea is vague and does not specify which area it is calculating (in this case, the area of a circle).
- Why it's a problem: Vague naming reduces code readability and makes it harder to quickly understand the function's purpose.
- How to fix it: Rename the function to something more descriptive, like calculate_circle_area.

2. Category: QUALITY

• Explanation:

- \circ What the issue is: The function uses a "magic number" for π (pi).
- Why it's a problem: Magic numbers reduce code readability and using an approximation might lead to precision issues.
- How to fix it: Replace the hardcoded number with math.pi from the standard library.

Total bugs: 0

Total quality issues: 2

Snippet ID: next_palindrome

1. Category: BUG

Explanation:

The code incorrectly handles arithmetic operations on digit positions when handling palindromes. Specifically, it does not appropriately mirror the increment across the symmetry of the list.

• Why it's a problem:

The wrong positions are modified, leading to incorrect results for certain inputs.

How to fix it:

Adjust the logic to correctly identify and update the mirrored indices as you process towards the center, ensuring both sides of the palindrome are correctly managed.

2. Category: BUG

Explanation:

The condition if digit_list[high_mid] == 9: assumes that the only case that needs differing treatment is when the digit is 9, but doesn't correctly handle cases where multiple re-adjustments might be needed due to carried values.

• Why it's a problem:

This doesn't account for transitions where multiple 9s lead to cascading carries (e.g., 999 transforming into 1001).

• How to fix it:

Include a carry-over mechanism that will handle cascading through all positions effectively.

3. Category: QUALITY

Explanation:

The function name next_palindrome is somewhat misleading without context.

• Why it's a problem:

It doesn't clearly indicate what the function is expected to do; naming could lead to misunderstandings of the function's purpose.

• How to fix it:

Use a more descriptive name like create_next_palindrome_number, or add detailed documentation.

4. Category: QUALITY

Explanation:

Variable names high_mid and low_mid don't clearly convey their role.

- Why it's a problem: This reduces code readability and maintainability.
- **How to fix it:** Rename to left_index and right_index.

Explanation:

The code has inconsistent use of high_mid and low_mid.

• Why it's a problem:

It can cause confusion or errors due to asymmetrical control logic.

• How to fix it:

Standardize the logic and loop conditions to maintain symmetry.

Total bugs: 2

Total quality issues: 3

Snippet ID: next permutation

1. Category: BUG

• Explanation:

- What the issue is: The function does not return a result if no next permutation is found (i.e., when the input permutation is the last in lexicographical order)
- Why it's a problem: It returns None implicitly, leading to unexpected behavior.
- How to fix it: Add an explicit return for the original permutation or raise an exception.

2. Category: QUALITY

• Explanation:

- What the issue is: The variable next_perm is created unnecessarily as a copy of perm.
- Why it's a problem: This introduces overhead and can be avoided.
- How to fix it: Modify perm in place.

3. Category: QUALITY

• Explanation:

• What the issue is: The function lacks comments or documentation.

- Why it's a problem: Reduces readability and maintainability.
- How to fix it: Add comments explaining key steps of the algorithm.

- Explanation:
 - What the issue is: The use of variable names like i and j lacks clarity.
 - Why it's a problem: Obscures the logic of identifying pivot and successor.
 - How to fix it: Use descriptive names like pivot and successor.

Total bugs: 1

Total quality issues: 3

Snippet ID: powerset

1. Category: BUG

- Explanation:
 - What the issue is: No explicit base case for empty input.
 - Why it's a problem: Can cause confusion about how edge cases are handled.
 - How to fix it: Add if not arr as a clear base case.

2. Category: QUALITY

- Explanation:
 - What the issue is: Assumes arr is always a list.
 - Why it's a problem: Can confuse users passing other iterables.
 - **How to fix it:** Rename to iterable or coerce input with list(arr).

3. Category: QUALITY

• Explanation:

- What the issue is: No type hints provided.
- Why it's a problem: Hurts readability and static analysis.
- How to fix it: Add type hints, e.g., def powerset(arr: list) -> list.

Total bugs: 1

Total quality issues: 2

Snippet ID: unused_and_mutable

1. Category: BUG

- Explanation:
 - The function store_data uses a mutable default (data=[]).
 - Why it's a problem: Causes shared state across calls.
 - How to fix it: Use data=None and instantiate inside function:

```
def store_data(val, data=None):
    if data is None:
        data = []
    data.append(val)
    return data
```

2. Category: QUALITY

- Explanation:
 - Variable tmp is declared but unused.
 - Why it's a problem: Adds noise and confusion.
 - **How to fix it:** Remove the unused variable.

Total bugs: 1

Total quality issues: 1

php

Snippet ID: array_filter

1. Category: BUG

• The issue is that the code assigns a single value to \$result when \$val is not greater than \$limit.

• Why it's a problem: \$result is supposed to be an array collecting values, but current logic overwrites it.

• How to fix it: Remove the else clause or change it to skip adding \$val.

2. Category: CODE QUALITY

• The issue is the lack of braces {} for the if and else statements.

• Why it's a problem: Reduces readability and increases risk of bugs.

• How to fix it: Add {} to both code blocks.

Total bugs: 1

Total quality issues: 1

Snippet ID: auth_check

1. Category: BUG

• Explanation:

• \$password = "1234" is an assignment, not a comparison.

Why it's a problem: Always evaluates as true; incorrect logic.

```
o Fix: Use == for comparison:
   if ($username == "admin" && $password == "1234").
```

2. Category: BUG

• Explanation:

- o if (\$username = "") is also an assignment.
- Why it's a problem: Overwrites \$username and breaks logic.
- o Fix: Change to if (\$username == "").

3. Category: QUALITY

• Explanation:

- o Function name authenticate is too generic.
- Why it's a problem: May confuse devs in systems with multiple auth methods.
- Fix: Rename to authenticateWithStaticCredentials.

4. Category: QUALITY

• Explanation:

- Redundant conditions and return statements.
- Why it's a problem: Decreases clarity and efficiency.
- Fix: Refactor to:

```
function authenticate($username, $password) {
   if ($username == "admin" && $password == "1234") {
      return true;
   } elseif ($username == "") {
      return false;
   }
   return false;
```

Total bugs: 2

Total quality issues: 2

Snippet ID: discount_calculator

1. Category: BUG

• Explanation:

o The discount is added instead of subtracted.

• Why it's a problem: Returns incorrect price.

Fix: Use: return \$price - \$discount;.

2. Category: QUALITY

• Explanation:

No input validation for \$price and \$rate.

• Why it's a problem: Risk of runtime warnings or errors.

• **Fix:** Add type checks or casts for both inputs.

3. Category: QUALITY

• Explanation:

Inline if statement lacks braces.

• Why it's a problem: Reduces readability and maintainability.

Fix: Use:

php

```
CopyEdit
```

```
if ($rate > 100 || $rate < 0) {
    return $price;
}</pre>
```

- Explanation:
 - o Function name calculateDiscount is misleading.
 - Why it's a problem: It returns final price, not discount.
 - **Fix:** Rename to applyDiscount.

Total bugs: 1

Total quality issues: 3

Snippet ID: email_validator

1. Category: BUG

- Explanation:
 - Uses strpos() incorrectly to check for "@" and "."
 - Why it's a problem: Fails if characters are at position 0.
 - o Fix: Use strpos() !== false.

2. Category: BUG

- Explanation:
 - Checks only for presence of characters, not format.
 - Why it's a problem: Accepts invalid emails like "com@".

Fix: Use filter_var(\$email, FILTER_VALIDATE_EMAIL).

3. Category: QUALITY

- Explanation:
 - Redundant character checks.
 - Why it's a problem: Harder to maintain.
 - **Fix:** Replace manual checks with filter_var.

Total bugs: 2

Total quality issues: 1

Snippet ID: file_write

1. Category: BUG

- Explanation:
 - o Incorrect error check for fopen.
 - Why it's a problem: May print "File opened" even if it fails.
 - o **Fix:** Use:

```
if ($handle === false) die("Failed to open file.");
```

- 2. Category: BUG
 - Explanation:
 - No error check after fwrite.
 - o Why it's a problem: May silently fail.
 - o **Fix:** Check with:

```
if (fwrite($handle, $text) === false) die("Failed to write
to file.");
```

3. Category: BUG

• Explanation:

- File is never closed.
- Why it's a problem: Leads to resource leaks.
- Fix: Add fclose(\$handle);.

4. Category: QUALITY

• Explanation:

- Function name write is too generic.
- Why it's a problem: Doesn't clarify if it appends/overwrites.
- Fix: Rename to overwriteFile.

5. Category: QUALITY

• Explanation:

- No validation for \$file or \$text.
- Why it's a problem: May cause invalid behavior.
- **Fix:** Validate both are strings and \$file is a valid path.

Total bugs: 3

Total quality issues: 2

Snippet ID: password_strength

1. Category: BUG

• Explanation:

- The function isStrongPassword returns true for weak passwords (e.g., under 8 characters or missing uppercase letters).
- Why it's a problem: The return value contradicts the function's name and expected behavior.
- o **How to fix it:** Flip the logic to return false when the conditions aren't met:

```
return strlen($password) >= 8 && preg_match("/[A-Z]/",
$password);
```

2. Category: QUALITY

• Explanation:

- The current regex only checks for uppercase letters.
- Why it's a problem: Strong passwords usually require a mix of uppercase, lowercase, numbers, and symbols.
- How to fix it: Add more conditions to check for digits and special characters.

Total bugs: 1

Total quality issues: 1

Snippet ID: temperature_converter

1. Category: BUG

• Explanation:

• The function returns a string with a unit, e.g., "F".

- Why it's a problem: This prevents further computation with the value.
- **How to fix it:** Return just the numeric value; format the string elsewhere.

- Explanation:
 - o Function name toFahrenheit is vague.
 - Why it's a problem: Doesn't clarify that it's converting Celsius.
 - How to fix it: Rename to convertCelsiusToFahrenheit.
- 3. Category: QUALITY
 - Explanation:
 - No type checks or input validation.
 - Why it's a problem: Passing a non-numeric value may break the function.
 - How to fix it: Use is_numeric() or typed parameters (PHP 7+).

Total bugs: 1

Total quality issues: 2

Snippet ID: triangle_type

1. Category: BUG

- Explanation:
 - Uses = instead of == in conditions.
 - Why it's a problem: Causes variable assignment instead of comparison.
 - How to fix it: Replace = with ==.

• Explanation:

- No type declarations for parameters.
- Why it's a problem: Accepts unintended inputs.
- How to fix it: Use float \$a, float \$b, etc.

3. Category: QUALITY

• Explanation:

- Missing {} around control blocks.
- Why it's a problem: Can introduce bugs when adding logic.
- How to fix it: Use curly braces for all control structures.

4. Category: QUALITY

• Explanation:

- Return value "Invalid" is vague.
- Why it's a problem: Doesn't clearly indicate the issue.
- How to fix it: Use more descriptive messages or exceptions.

5. Category: QUALITY

• Explanation:

- No documentation or comments.
- Why it's a problem: Makes code harder to maintain.
- **How to fix it:** Add docstrings and inline comments.

Total bugs: 1

Total quality issues: 4

Snippet ID: user_age_check

1. Category: BUG

• Explanation:

```
Uses = instead of ==.
```

- Why it's a problem: Always returns true due to assignment.
- How to fix it: Replace with == or ===.

2. Category: QUALITY

- Explanation:
 - o Code is unnecessarily verbose.
 - o Why it's a problem: Reduces readability.
 - **How to fix it:** Simplify to:

```
return $age === 18;
```

Total bugs: 1

Total quality issues: 1

Snippet ID: user_login

1. Category: BUG

- Explanation:
 - Uses = instead of == in condition.

- Why it's a problem: Causes incorrect logic (always true).
- O How to fix it: Use:

```
if ($user == $validUser && $pass == $validPass)
```

- Explanation:
 - Credentials are hardcoded.
 - Why it's a problem: Not secure or flexible.
 - o How to fix it: Load from env vars or config.
- 3. Category: QUALITY
 - Explanation:
 - o Variable names \$user, \$pass are not descriptive.
 - Why it's a problem: Reduces clarity.
 - How to fix it: Rename to \$username and \$password.

Total bugs: 1

Total quality issues: 2

Snippet ID: add

1. Code quality issue

- Explanation:
 - The function lacks type hinting and return type declarations.

- Why it's a problem: Makes the code more error-prone and less readable by not explicitly defining input and output types.
- O How to fix it: Use:

```
function add(int $a, int $b): int
```

Total bugs: 0

Total quality issues: 1

Snippet ID: average

1. Category: QUALITY

- Explanation:
 - The function name calculate_average uses an underscore.
 - Why it's a problem: PHP generally prefers camelCase.
 - How to fix it: Rename to calculateAverage or calculateaverage, depending on project style.
- 2. Category: QUALITY
 - Explanation:
 - The return statement is placed inline with the if condition.
 - Why it's a problem: Hurts readability in more complex logic.
 - **How to fix it:** Use block format:

```
if (empty($numbers)) {
    return 0;
}
```

Total bugs: 0

Total quality issues: 2

Snippet ID: factorial

1. Category: BUG

- Explanation:
 - No handling for negative input values.
 - Why it's a problem: Causes infinite recursion (stack overflow).
 - How to fix it: Add a guard clause for negative input.

2. Category: QUALITY

- Explanation:
 - Uses === to compare with zero.
 - Why it's a problem: Overly strict for simple numeric comparison.
 - How to fix it: Use == 0 instead of === 0 (optional; subjective style).

Total bugs: 1

Total quality issues: 1

Snippet ID: greet

1. Category: QUALITY

- Explanation:
 - Function name greet is too generic.
 - Why it's a problem: Doesn't clearly express purpose.
 - How to fix it: Rename to generateGreetingMessage.

2. Category: QUALITY

• Explanation:

- No comments or documentation.
- Why it's a problem: Decreases understandability and maintainability.
- How to fix it: Add a PHPDoc block or descriptive comment above the function.

Total bugs: 0

Total quality issues: 2

Snippet ID: is_even

The code is correct and clean.

- Total bugs: 0
- Total quality issues: 0
- Comment: The function is simple, correct, and follows good practices.

Snippet ID: magic_number

1. Category: QUALITY

• Explanation:

- The code uses a hard-coded "magic number" (0.85).
- Why it's a problem: It lacks context and makes the code less maintainable.
- How to fix it: Replace with a named constant or variable:

```
$discountRate = 0.85;
return $price * $discountRate;
```

Total bugs: 0

Total quality issues: 1

Snippet ID: mutable_default

1. Category: BUG

• Explanation:

• The function append_value uses a reference with a default null.

• Why it's a problem: Unexpected behavior; list does not retain values between calls.

• How to fix it: Remove the default value and require explicit list input.

2. Category: QUALITY

• Explanation:

Using a reference with a default value is bad practice.

• Why it's a problem: Confuses how the function should be used.

 How to fix it: Require the caller to pass the list explicitly or encapsulate state in an object.

Total bugs: 1

Total quality issues: 1

Snippet ID: naming

1. Category: QUALITY

• Explanation:

Function name d0aCt is unclear and uses inconsistent casing.

• Why it's a problem: Reduces readability and violates naming conventions.

 How to fix it: Rename to addNumbers or something descriptive using camelCase.

2. Category: QUALITY

- Explanation:
 - Variable names \$x, \$y, \$z are not descriptive.
 - Why it's a problem: Makes understanding the code harder.
 - **How to fix it:** Rename to \$firstNumber, \$secondNumber, \$sum.

Total bugs: 0

Total quality issues: 2

Snippet ID: nested

1. Category: BUG

- Explanation:
 - The function only handles the "even" case; odd and out-of-range inputs have no output.
 - Why it's a problem: Creates ambiguity about whether the function is working.
 - How to fix it: Add conditions and outputs for odd or invalid values.

2. Category: CODE QUALITY

- Explanation:
 - Unnecessarily nested if statements.
 - Why it's a problem: Reduces readability.
 - How to fix it: Use combined conditionals like:

```
if ($x > 0 \&\& $x < 100 \&\& $x % 2 === 0)
```

3. Category: CODE QUALITY

- Explanation:
 - Function name check is too vague.
 - Why it's a problem: Doesn't describe what is being checked.
 - **How to fix it:** Rename to isEvenWithinRange.

Total bugs: 1

Total quality issues: 2

Snippet ID: unused var

1. Category: QUALITY

• Explanation:

- Variable \$unused is declared but never used.
- Why it's a problem: Adds clutter.
- **How to fix it:** Remove the unused variable.

2. Category: QUALITY

- Explanation:
 - Function ask_number lacks input validation.
 - Why it's a problem: May accept invalid input.
 - **How to fix it:** Add a loop to validate input is numeric.

3. Category: QUALITY

• Explanation:

- Function name ask_number is vague.
- Why it's a problem: Doesn't clarify what type of number is expected.
- How to fix it: Rename it to something like get_user_input_number.

Total bugs: 0

Total quality issues: 3

javascript

Snippet ID: calculateOpenState

1. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: The variable STATES is used without being defined or passed as a parameter.
- Why it's a problem: It causes a reference error, which will result in the function failing to execute properly.
- **How to fix it**: Ensure that STATES is defined in the scope or passed as an argument to the function.

2. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: The use of var for variable declarations.
- Why it's a problem: var has function scope and can lead to unintentional variable hoisting, which can cause bugs in larger and more complex codebases.
- **How to fix it**: Replace var with let or const depending on whether the variable will be reassigned.

• What the issue is: The redundancy in the conditional structure.

• Why it's a problem: The final else statement is unnecessary if the previous conditions

are mutually exclusive and exhaustive.

• **How to fix it**: Remove the final else and directly assign rank = 3.

4. Category: QUALITY

• What the issue is: The use of else after if and else if statements when each

includes a return or full logic.

• Why it's a problem: It makes the code less readable and more complex than necessary.

• How to fix it: Reconsider the logic to simplify or document it clearly if truly needed.

Total bugs: 1

Total quality issues: 3

Snippet ID: comparator_bug

1. Category: BUG

• What the issue is: The comparator function does not handle null or undefined

values in lastAccessTime.

• Why it's a problem: Subtracting these can result in NaN, causing incorrect sorting.

How to fix it: Check that both values are defined before subtracting. Handle edge cases

with consistent return values.

2. Category: QUALITY

• What the issue is: Use of var for variable declarations.

• Why it's a problem: var can lead to hoisting issues, reducing clarity and safety.

• **How to fix it**: Use let or const depending on reassignment needs.

3. Category: QUALITY

• What the issue is: The else-if ladder is overly complex.

• Why it's a problem: Harder to read and maintain as conditions grow.

• **How to fix it**: Simplify by checking undefined/null first, then compare.

4. Category: QUALITY

• What the issue is: The function name comparator is too generic.

• Why it's a problem: It doesn't clearly express the sorting logic.

• **How to fix it**: Rename to something like compareByLastAccessTime.

Total bugs: 1

Total quality issues: 3

Snippet ID: filteredArray_bug

1. Category: BUG

• What the issue is: Modifying the array while iterating causes skipped elements.

• Why it's a problem: splice changes the length and shifts indices.

• **How to fix it**: Iterate backwards to avoid index shifting issues.

2. Category: BUG

What the issue is: Function returns unexpected results due to index0f on sub-arrays.

• Why it's a problem: index0f doesn't check deep equality, so sub-arrays aren't properly filtered.

• **How to fix it**: Use a loop or Array.prototype.some() to check for elements in sub-arrays.

3. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: The variable name elemCheck is not descriptive.
- Why it's a problem: Non-descriptive names reduce readability and maintainability.
- **How to fix it**: Rename it to something clearer, like elementIndex.

4. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Unnecessary use of spread operator to copy the array at the start.
- Why it's a problem: Adds extra computation with no benefit.
- **How to fix it**: Use filter or build the array during iteration instead.

Total bugs: 2

Total quality issues: 2

Snippet ID: Greeter_bug

1. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: Incorrect assignment inside if (greeting = undefined).
- Why it's a problem: = is an assignment, not a comparison, leading to logic bugs.
- **How to fix it**: Use === to check equality: if (greeting === undefined).

2. Category: QUALITY

• What the issue is: Redundant reassignment of this.name and this.greeting to local constants.

- Why it's a problem: Adds unnecessary code.
- How to fix it: Use this.name and this.greeting directly.

3. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: Template string uses double quotes instead of backticks.
- Why it's a problem: It results in incorrect string interpolation.
- How to fix it: Use backticks: return `\${greeting}, \${name}!`;

4. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: Greeter instance created with one argument, but constructor expects two.
- Why it's a problem: This may leave greeting as undefined.
- **How to fix it**: Pass two arguments or define defaults in the constructor.

5. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Lack of default values in constructor.
- Why it's a problem: Reduces clarity and robustness of instantiation.
- How to fix it: Use constructor(name = "Anonymous", greeting = "Hello").

Total bugs: 3

Total quality issues: 2

Snippet ID: hideAll_bug

1. Category: BUG

• What the issue is: Assignment config = name; inside an unrelated condition.

- Why it's a problem: Overwrites config unexpectedly, likely a logic error.
- How to fix it: Clarify or remove this line depending on the intended behavior.

- What the issue is: Function and parameter names (hideAll, name) are unclear.
- Why it's a problem: Poor naming obscures intent and behavior.
- **How to fix it**: Rename function and parameters for clarity, e.g. applyTransitionWithConfig.

3. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Use of name . push implies name might be an array.
- Why it's a problem: Inconsistent type expectations confuse maintenance.
- **How to fix it**: Clearly define and document types or use TypeScript.

Total bugs: 1

Total quality issues: 2

Snippet ID: multiple-of-3-and-5_bug

1. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: The sum variable is defined outside the function and is being accumulated across multiple calls.
- Why it's a problem: It causes incorrect results when the function is reused, since sum keeps increasing instead of resetting.
- **How to fix it**: Move the sum variable declaration inside the function to reset it each time it's called.

- What the issue is: The condition (i % 3 === 0 && i % 5 === 0) is redundant.
- Why it's a problem: It complicates the condition unnecessarily; (i % 3 === 0 | | i % 5 === 0) is sufficient.
- How to fix it: Remove the redundant condition from the if statement.

3. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Poor naming of the function and variable; multiples0f3and5 is unclear.
- Why it's a problem: It reduces readability and might mislead developers into thinking it returns a list.
- **How to fix it**: Rename to something like sumOfMultiplesOf3And5.

Total bugs: 1

Total quality issues: 2

Snippet ID: palindrome_bug

1. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: Incorrect regular expression in the split method: /\W*\d*[_]*/.
- Why it's a problem: It breaks the string unnecessarily and doesn't correctly remove non-alphanumerics.
- How to fix it: Use /[\W_]/ with join('').toLowerCase() to clean the string properly.

2. Category: QUALITY

• What the issue is: Use of bitwise operator >> for flooring.

- Why it's a problem: It's an implicit trick that's unclear to readers.
- How to fix it: Replace with Math.floor(str.length / 2) for clarity.

- What the issue is: Use of console.log for intermediate output.
- Why it's a problem: It clutters output and impacts performance.
- How to fix it: Remove or comment out debug logging once the function works.

Total bugs: 1

Total quality issues: 2

Snippet ID: prime-summation_bug

1. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: The isPrime function incorrectly uses number % e inside every.
- Why it's a problem: Non-zero results are treated as truthy, misidentifying non-primes.
- How to fix it: Change to number % e !== 0.

2. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: The loop is hardcoded to stop after 10001 primes.
- Why it's a problem: The function should respect the n parameter instead.
- How to fix it: Use for (let i = 3; i < n; i++) to stay within the given limit.

3. Category: QUALITY

• What the issue is: The variable vecOfPrimes is poorly named.

- Why it's a problem: vec is not descriptive; naming should be intuitive.
- **How to fix it**: Rename to primes or primeNumbers.

- What the issue is: Use of isSmallEnough helper is redundant.
- Why it's a problem: It adds unnecessary abstraction for a simple check.
- How to fix it: Inline the logic with vecOfPrimes.filter(value => value < n).

5. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Misleading comment claims recursion is used.
- Why it's a problem: This creates confusion for maintainers.
- **How to fix it**: Correct or remove the comment.

Total bugs: 2

Total quality issues: 3

Snippet ID: titlecase_bug

1. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: toUpperCase is not applied correctly to the first character.
- Why it's a problem: It fails to capitalize the first letter as intended.
- How to fix it: Use temp = temp.charAt(0).toUpperCase() + temp.slice(1);.

2. Category: BUG

• What the issue is: arr[i].replace(arr[i], temp); does not modify arr[i].

- Why it's a problem: replace returns a string but doesn't alter the original.
- **How to fix it**: Assign temp back to arr[i] directly.

- What the issue is: Misuse of replace in the update line.
- Why it's a problem: It's redundant and misleading.
- How to fix it: Remove the line and directly assign arr[i] = temp;.

4. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Vague variable name temp.
- Why it's a problem: It doesn't clarify the variable's purpose.
- **How to fix it**: Rename to something like capitalizedWord.

Total bugs: 2

Total quality issues: 2

Snippet ID: wtfs_bug

1. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: The notifier.update condition is not safely checked.
- Why it's a problem: If notifier.update is null or undefined, accessing .latest will throw.
- **How to fix it**: Use if (notifier.update && notifier.update.latest).

2. Category: QUALITY

• What the issue is: Poor use of logging and lack of error handling.

- Why it's a problem: Errors are logged with console.log instead of console.error, and stream errors aren't handled.
- How to fix it: Use console.error and add error event handling on the stream.

- What the issue is: Redundant setting of default environment variables.
- Why it's a problem: Duplicate logic adds noise.
- **How to fix it**: Use a helper function or simplify assignments.

4. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Hard-coded magic strings for file paths.
- Why it's a problem: Harder to update and prone to errors.
- **How to fix it**: Define constants for file paths.

5. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Unclear variable names like 1 and i.
- Why it's a problem: They reduce clarity.
- How to fix it: Use descriptive names like languagePart and index.

Total bugs: 1

Total quality issues: 4

java

Snippet ID: DEPTH_FIRST_SEARCH

1. Category: BUG

• What the issue is: The Node class may not override equals and hashCode.

• Why it's a problem: Without these, the HashSet cannot correctly track visited nodes,

leading to repeated visits.

• How to fix it: Override equals and hashCode in Node using its unique attributes (e.g.,

ID).

2. Category: QUALITY

• What the issue is: Naming conventions are not followed (DEPTH_FIRST_SEARCH,

nodesvisited).

• Why it's a problem: It reduces readability and goes against Java standards.

• **How to fix it**: Rename to DepthFirstSearch, nodesVisited, etc.

3. Category: QUALITY

• What the issue is: Inline class definition for Search inside a method.

• Why it's a problem: It reduces readability and reusability.

• How to fix it: Move Search to a private static nested or top-level class.

4. Category: QUALITY

• What the issue is: Use of else after return statements.

• Why it's a problem: Adds unnecessary nesting.

• How to fix it: Remove else and allow direct returns.

Total bugs: 1

Total quality issues: 3

Snippet ID: DETECT_CYCLE

1. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: May throw NullPointerException on hare.getSuccessor().getSuccessor().
- Why it's a problem: It crashes if hare.getSuccessor() is null.
- How to fix it: Add null checks before access.

2. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: Input node might be null, causing NullPointerException.
- Why it's a problem: The method doesn't handle a null input.
- How to fix it: Add if (node == null) return false;.

3. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Use of while (true) loop without clear termination condition.
- Why it's a problem: Hurts readability and maintainability.
- How to fix it: Use a meaningful condition like while (hare != null && hare.getSuccessor() != null).

4. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Class name DETECT_CYCLE uses all caps.
- Why it's a problem: Violates Java naming conventions.
- **How to fix it**: Rename to DetectCycle.

Total bugs: 2

Total quality issues: 2

Snippet ID: FIND_IN_SORTED

1. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: Incorrect recursion using mid as the next start/end.
- Why it's a problem: Can lead to infinite recursion or miss values.
- How to fix it: Use mid + 1 or mid 1 accordingly.

2. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: Incorrect base case: start == end.
- Why it's a problem: May skip valid final comparisons.
- How to fix it: Use start > end as the termination condition.

3. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Poor naming and comments.
- Why it's a problem: Violates Java naming conventions; comments are unclear.
- How to fix it: Use FindInSorted, findInSorted, and update/remove vague comments.

4. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: No validation for null array input.
- Why it's a problem: Causes NullPointerException.
- How to fix it: Add a check for null input.

5. Category: QUALITY

• What the issue is: Irrelevant or outdated comments at class level.

- Why it's a problem: Creates confusion.
- **How to fix it**: Update or delete the comment.

Total bugs: 2

Total quality issues: 3

Snippet ID: IS_VALID_PARENTHESIZATION

1. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: Always returns true if parentheses are balanced up to a point.
- Why it's a problem: It doesn't check if all opened parentheses are closed.
- **How to fix it**: Ensure depth == 0 before returning true.

2. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Class name in all caps.
- Why it's a problem: Not consistent with Java CamelCase conventions.
- **How to fix it**: Rename to IsValidParenthesization.

3. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Method name uses underscores.
- Why it's a problem: Doesn't follow Java camelCase method naming.
- How to fix it: Rename to isValidParenthesization.

4. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Uses Character instead of char.
- Why it's a problem: Adds unnecessary boxing overhead.

• **How to fix it**: Use primitive char instead.

5. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Contains template-generated comment block.
- Why it's a problem: Irrelevant and misleading.
- How to fix it: Remove the block starting with /* To change this template...
 */.

6. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Uses @author in Javadoc.
- Why it's a problem: Can become outdated and distract from code.
- **How to fix it**: Rely on version control instead.

Total bugs: 1

Total quality issues: 5

Snippet ID: KTH

1. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: Recursive calls do not adjust k for sublists.
- Why it's a problem: It returns incorrect results in certain k ranges.
- How to fix it: Call kth(above, k num_lessoreq) instead of kth(above, k).

2. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: No check for empty input list.
- Why it's a problem: Accessing arr.get(θ) on empty list throws error.

• How to fix it: Add null/empty check before using list.

3. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Preallocating size for below and above unnecessarily.
- Why it's a problem: Wasteful memory allocation.
- **How to fix it**: Let them use default capacity.

4. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Empty documentation comment block.
- Why it's a problem: Adds clutter with no value.
- **How to fix it**: Remove or populate it with meaningful info.

5. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Vague variable names num_less, num_lessoreq.
- Why it's a problem: Reduces readability.
- **How to fix it**: Use names like numLessThanPivot, numLessOrEqualToPivot.

Total bugs: 1

Total quality issues: 4

Snippet ID: LEVENSHTEIN

1. Category: BUG

• What the issue is: The recursive case incorrectly adds 1 for equal characters.

- Why it's a problem: It miscalculates the Levenshtein distance by counting a change where there isn't one.
- How to fix it: Return the recursive call without adding 1 when characters are equal.

2. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: The base case doesn't handle both strings being empty.
- Why it's a problem: May produce incorrect results.
- How to fix it: Add a check for both strings being empty: if (source.isEmpty() && target.isEmpty()) return 0;.

3. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Code indentation is inconsistent.
- Why it's a problem: Makes the code harder to read and maintain.
- **How to fix it**: Reformat code for clarity and consistency.

4. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Class name is in uppercase (LEVENSHTEIN).
- Why it's a problem: Violates Java naming conventions.
- **How to fix it**: Rename the class to Levenshtein.

5. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Recursive approach lacks optimization.
- Why it's a problem: Causes stack overflows on large inputs.
- How to fix it: Use dynamic programming with a matrix to store subproblem results.

6. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Top comment is a boilerplate template.
- Why it's a problem: It adds noise without value.
- **How to fix it**: Remove or replace with a meaningful description.

Total bugs: 2

Total quality issues: 4

Snippet ID: LIS

1. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: Possible NullPointerException from ends.get(length+1).
- Why it's a problem: May crash the program.
- **How to fix it**: Add checks to validate access to the array.

2. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: Incorrect logic updating ends map.
- Why it's a problem: Breaks subsequence tracking.
- **How to fix it**: Correctly update only when appropriate.

3. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Arbitrary initial capacities for HashMap and ArrayList.
- Why it's a problem: May waste memory.
- How to fix it: Let them grow dynamically.

4. Category: QUALITY

• What the issue is: Poor variable names like arr, val.

- Why it's a problem: Reduces clarity.
- How to fix it: Use descriptive names like inputArray.

- What the issue is: Suboptimal use of loop variables.
- Why it's a problem: Makes code harder to follow.
- **How to fix it**: Consider enhanced loops or clearer iteration.

6. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Irrelevant template comment block.
- Why it's a problem: Adds clutter.
- **How to fix it**: Delete or replace with useful documentation.

Total bugs: 2

Total quality issues: 4

Snippet ID: POSSIBLE_CHANGE

1. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: Accessing coins[0] when array is empty.
- Why it's a problem: Causes ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException.
- How to fix it: Add a check for empty array and return 0.

2. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: Missing base case logic for recursion.
- Why it's a problem: Leads to incorrect or infinite recursion.

How to fix it: Add condition to return ∅ when coins are empty but total > 0.

3. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Class name POSSIBLE_CHANGE is not in CamelCase.
- Why it's a problem: Breaks Java naming conventions.
- **How to fix it**: Rename to PossibleChange.

4. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Method name possible_change is not camelCase.
- Why it's a problem: Reduces consistency with Java standards.
- **How to fix it**: Rename to possibleChange.

5. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Top comment is a default IDE template.
- Why it's a problem: Adds no value.
- **How to fix it**: Remove or replace with a proper description.

Total bugs: 2

Total quality issues: 3

Snippet ID: SQRT

1. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: Incorrect convergence check in while loop.
- Why it's a problem: Leads to incorrect termination condition.
- How to fix it: Use Math.abs(approx * approx x) > epsilon instead.

- What the issue is: Presence of IDE template comment.
- Why it's a problem: Adds noise without value.
- How to fix it: Remove the comment.

3. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Class name SQRT is uppercase.
- Why it's a problem: Not in CamelCase.
- **How to fix it**: Rename to SqrtCalculator.

4. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: No method-level comment for sqrt.
- Why it's a problem: Reduces clarity and documentation quality.
- **How to fix it**: Add a description explaining parameters and purpose.

Total bugs: 1

Total quality issues: 3

Snippet ID: WeightedEdge

1. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: Unsafe integer subtraction in compareTo.
- Why it's a problem: Can cause overflow and incorrect ordering.
- **How to fix it**: Use Integer.compare(this.weight, compareNode.weight).

2. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Manual toggling comments for sort order.
- Why it's a problem: Prone to user error and poor maintainability.
- **How to fix it**: Use a boolean flag to control sort direction.

- What the issue is: Parameter name compareNode is misleading.
- Why it's a problem: It compares edges, not nodes.
- How to fix it: Rename to compareEdge.

4. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Fields node1, node2, weight are public.
- Why it's a problem: Violates encapsulation principles.
- **How to fix it**: Make them private and expose via getters.

Total bugs: 1

Total quality issues: 3

Snippet ID: Addition

1. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: The class name JavaClean01_Addition does not follow standard Java naming conventions and includes unnecessary prefixes.
- Why it's a problem: It reduces readability and maintainability.
- How to fix it: Rename the class to Addition.

2. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: The class lacks a private constructor to prevent instantiation.
- Why it's a problem: Utility classes with only static methods should not be instantiated.
- **How to fix it**: Add private Addition() {} to prevent instantiation.

Total bugs: 0

Total quality issues: 2

Snippet ID: Average

1. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: The method returns 0 for an empty array.
- Why it's a problem: Misleading result implies a valid average.
- **How to fix it**: Throw an exception or return Double.NaN.

2. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Class name JavaClean05_Average is non-standard.
- Why it's a problem: Makes code less readable and violates naming conventions.
- **How to fix it**: Rename the class to AverageCalculator.

3. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: The method lacks comments or documentation.
- Why it's a problem: Makes the code harder to understand or maintain.
- **How to fix it**: Add comments explaining the method's purpose and parameters.

Total bugs: 1

Total quality issues: 2

Snippet ID: Customer

1. Category: BUG

• What the issue is: joiningDate is always set to the current date.

• Why it's a problem: Prevents representing past dates and may not reflect intended

data.

• **How to fix it**: Allow setting joiningDate via constructor or setter method.

2. Category: QUALITY

• What the issue is: Uses java.util.Date, which is outdated.

• Why it's a problem: Date is error-prone and less expressive.

• **How to fix it**: Use java.time.LocalDate.

3. Category: QUALITY

• What the issue is: Package name implies JPA entity, but class does not conform.

• Why it's a problem: Could mislead about the class's purpose.

• **How to fix it**: Move to a more appropriate package or adapt to typical entity conventions.

Total bugs: 1

Total quality issues: 2

Snippet ID: ExposeState

1. Category: BUG

• What the issue is: The method writerConfig() is called but not defined.

• Why it's a problem: Causes a compilation error.

• **How to fix it**: Define writerConfig() or replace it with valid logic.

2. Category: QUALITY

• What the issue is: getConfig() exposes internal HashMap.

Why it's a problem: Violates encapsulation and allows external modification.

• How to fix it: Return an unmodifiable map or a deep copy.

3. Category: QUALITY

• What the issue is: Class name JavaBad05_ExposeState is non-standard.

• Why it's a problem: Makes the class less readable and harder to locate meaning.

• **How to fix it**: Rename to ConfigManager.

4. Category: QUALITY

• What the issue is: Uses concrete type HashMap for field.

• Why it's a problem: Reduces flexibility and abstraction.

• How to fix it: Declare field as Map<String, String>.

Total bugs: 1

Total quality issues: 3

Snippet ID: Factorial

1. Category: BUG

• What the issue is: Does not handle negative integers.

• Why it's a problem: Causes infinite recursion and stack overflow.

• How to fix it: Add validation to reject or handle negative inputs.

• What the issue is: No documentation or handling of edge cases.

• Why it's a problem: Users may not understand expected inputs.

• **How to fix it**: Add JavaDoc or comments explaining behavior and assumptions.

Total bugs: 1

Total quality issues: 1

Snippet ID: Greeting

1. Category: QUALITY

• What the issue is: The class name JavaClean03_Greeting contains a numeric identifier ("03").

• Why it's a problem: It's unconventional and can confuse readers, especially when versioning should be handled by a VCS.

• **How to fix it**: Rename the class to Greeting or GreetingGenerator.

2. Category: QUALITY

• What the issue is: The greet method is static.

• Why it's a problem: Static methods limit flexibility and hinder object-oriented design (e.g., inheritance).

How to fix it: Convert greet to an instance method unless utility behavior is required.

Total bugs: 0

Total quality issues: 2

Snippet ID: IsEven

• What the issue is: The class name JavaClean04_IsEven does not follow Java

naming conventions.

• Why it's a problem: Java prefers PascalCase without underscores or numbers unless

meaningful.

• How to fix it: Rename the class to something like EvenChecker.

Total bugs: 0

Total quality issues: 1

Snippet ID: MagicNumber

1. Category: BUG

• What the issue is: The method uses a hardcoded 15% discount (0.85).

• Why it's a problem: It lacks flexibility and assumes the discount rate never changes.

• **How to fix it**: Pass the discount rate as a parameter.

2. Category: QUALITY

• What the issue is: Uses a magic number (0.85) without explanation.

• Why it's a problem: Makes code less readable and harder to maintain.

• **How to fix it**: Define a constant like DEFAULT_DISCOUNT_RATE.

Total bugs: 1

Total quality issues: 1

Snippet ID: Nesting

1. Category: BUG

• What the issue is: The method only prints "Even" for a narrow set of conditions and

says nothing otherwise.

- Why it's a problem: Omits feedback for most inputs, which can be misleading.
- **How to fix it**: Add else cases for other scenarios like odd, negative, or out-of-range values.

2. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Deeply nested if-else statements.
- Why it's a problem: Hurts readability and maintainability.
- How to fix it: Flatten the logic using compound conditions.

Total bugs: 1

Total quality issues: 1

Snippet ID: UnusedVariable

1. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Declares a variable (unused) that is never used.
- Why it's a problem: Adds clutter and can confuse maintainers.
- **How to fix it**: Remove the unused variable.

Total bugs: 0

Total quality issues: 1

C++

Snippet ID: const

1. Category: BUG

• What the issue is: who ami() is not marked with override in struct D.

• Why it's a problem: Could result in unintended behavior if the base signature changes.

• How to fix it: Add override to void whoami().

2. Category: QUALITY

What the issue is: Use of using namespace std;.

• Why it's a problem: Pollutes global namespace.

• How to fix it: Remove it and use std:: prefixes.

3. Category: QUALITY

• What the issue is: Inconsistent formatting of function definitions.

• Why it's a problem: Hurts readability.

• **How to fix it**: Standardize formatting across all methods.

Total bugs: 1

Total quality issues: 2

Snippet ID: fun

1. Category: BUG

• What the issue is: Division by zero (x /= a) since a is set to 0.

- Why it's a problem: Causes a runtime crash.
- How to fix it: Ensure a is non-zero before division.

2. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: Array out-of-bounds access (buffer[10]).
- Why it's a problem: Leads to undefined behavior.
- **How to fix it**: Use indices within the valid range (0–9).

3. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: Uninitialized variable a used.
- Why it's a problem: Causes undefined behavior.
- How to fix it: Initialize a before using it.

4. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Non-descriptive function name fun.
- Why it's a problem: Makes code harder to understand.
- **How to fix it**: Rename to something descriptive like processInput.

5. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Empty if block (if (x != 42) { }).
- Why it's a problem: Adds useless code.
- **How to fix it**: Remove or implement meaningful logic.

6. Category: QUALITY

• What the issue is: Mixed variable declarations.

- Why it's a problem: Reduces readability.
- How to fix it: Group variables logically and close to usage.

Total bugs: 3

Total quality issues: 3

Snippet ID: grading

1. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: while(theMark >= 0. && theMark <= maxMark) logic is inverted.
- Why it's a problem: Accepts incorrect marks and rejects correct ones.
- How to fix it: Use while (the Mark < 0. || the Mark > maxMark).

2. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: Incorrect formula for grade calculation.
- Why it's a problem: Produces inaccurate final marks.
- **How to fix it**: Redefine the grading formula clearly.

3. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: Syntax error: Return instead of return.
- Why it's a problem: Code won't compile.
- How to fix it: Correct to return (mark + 0.5);.

4. Category: QUALITY

• What the issue is: Use of using namespace std;.

- Why it's a problem: Can cause name conflicts.
- How to fix it: Use explicit std:: prefixes.

- What the issue is: Poor variable and function naming.
- Why it's a problem: Reduces clarity.
- **How to fix it**: Use names like finalExamScore, calculateGrade.

6. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Missing parameter type in getMark(maxMark).
- Why it's a problem: Causes a compilation error.
- **How to fix it**: Use double getMark(double maxMark).

7. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Potential loss of precision from double to int.
- Why it's a problem: Might not reflect intended behavior.
- **How to fix it**: Use consistent types or document the conversion.

Total bugs: 3

Total quality issues: 4

Snippet ID: math

1. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: distance() returns int instead of double.
- Why it's a problem: Rounds results and reduces accuracy.

• **How to fix it**: Return double without casting.

2. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: Loops depend on flawed distance logic.
- Why it's a problem: Causes incorrect results.
- **How to fix it**: Fix distance() and validate logic again.

3. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Poor variable names like s, s1, k.
- Why it's a problem: Hard to understand code.
- **How to fix it**: Rename to descriptive terms like minDist.

4. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Output format lacks spacing.
- Why it's a problem: Output is hard to read.
- **How to fix it**: Add a space or newline between coordinates.

5. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Use of using namespace std;.
- Why it's a problem: Leads to namespace pollution.
- **How to fix it**: Remove and use std:: prefixes.

6. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: No input validation.
- Why it's a problem: Causes runtime errors.

• How to fix it: Check inputs for validity.

Total bugs: 2

Total quality issues: 4

Snippet ID: Nonzerosample

1. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: intnxt is declared as a static const int with a value of 0.
- Why it's a problem: The method nxtNonZero() assigns this constant to value, resulting in an infinite loop since value == 0 is always true.
- **How to fix it**: Change the logic to modify value each iteration to become non-zero, for example by generating or retrieving a new value dynamically.

2. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: The code uses new and delete to manage a NonZeroSample object.
- Why it's a problem: Manual memory management increases complexity and risk of leaks.
- How to fix it: Use stack allocation instead: replace new with a local variable and remove delete.

- What the issue is: Unnecessary use of this-> to access member intnxt.
- Why it's a problem: Adds clutter unless resolving a naming conflict.
- How to fix it: Access intnxt directly without this->.

- What the issue is: The variable name intnxt is not descriptive.
- Why it's a problem: It obscures the purpose of the variable.
- How to fix it: Rename to a more meaningful name that reflects its role.

Total bugs: 1

Total quality issues: 3

Snippet ID: references

1. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: After p is deleted, ppr and prr become dangling references.
- Why it's a problem: Accessing dangling references results in undefined behavior.
- How to fix it: Set p to nullptr after deletion and avoid using ppr or prr afterward.

2. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: Printing ar and ra directly only prints the address, not the values.
- Why it's a problem: Misleads the output and doesn't show intended data.
- **How to fix it**: Iterate through the arrays and print elements individually.

- What the issue is: Use of raw pointers with new and delete.
- Why it's a problem: Prone to memory leaks and complex error-prone memory handling.
- How to fix it: Use smart pointers like std::unique_ptr or std::shared_ptr.

- What the issue is: Variable names like r1, ppr, prr are non-descriptive.
- Why it's a problem: Makes code harder to read and maintain.
- How to fix it: Rename variables to clearly convey their purpose.

5. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Array ar is uninitialized.
- Why it's a problem: May contain garbage values leading to undefined behavior.
- **How to fix it**: Explicitly initialize the array.

Total bugs: 2

Total quality issues: 3

Snippet ID: static_analysis

1. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: delete[] used instead of delete for a single element.
- Why it's a problem: Leads to undefined behavior.
- **How to fix it**: Replace delete[] buff; with delete buff;.

2. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: Memory allocated in memleak() is never freed.
- Why it's a problem: Causes memory leaks.
- **How to fix it**: Add corresponding delete/free calls before exit.

3. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: Array out-of-bounds access (array[11]).
- Why it's a problem: Leads to undefined behavior.
- How to fix it: Keep index within bounds (e.g., array[9]).

4. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: Dynamic array accessed out of bounds.
- Why it's a problem: Same as above—undefined behavior.
- **How to fix it**: Use valid indices within allocation size.

5. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: Dereferencing a NULL pointer.
- Why it's a problem: Crashes the program.
- **How to fix it**: Validate the pointer before dereferencing.

6. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: go to causes dereferencing of a potentially NULL pointer.
- Why it's a problem: Breaks control flow safety.
- How to fix it: Refactor logic to eliminate unsafe goto.

7. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: Mismatched memory management (e.g., free on new).
- Why it's a problem: Causes undefined behavior.
- How to fix it: Match new with delete and malloc with free.

Total bugs: 7

Snippet ID: template-comparison

1. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: operator< always returns true.
- Why it's a problem: Breaks comparison logic.
- How to fix it: Implement meaningful comparison logic.

2. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: Function f<x>(1); has ambiguous meaning under #ifdef TEMPLATE.
- Why it's a problem: Causes confusion and logical inconsistency.
- **How to fix it**: Avoid conflicting names across branches.

3. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: typedef int x; conflicts with variable name x.
- Why it's a problem: Confuses meaning of x.
- How to fix it: Use a more descriptive alias name.

4. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Overuse of preprocessor directives alters code behavior.
- Why it's a problem: Obfuscates logic and increases maintenance burden.
- **How to fix it**: Use clearer configuration management methods.

5. Category: QUALITY

• What the issue is: operator< passes parameters by value.

• Why it's a problem: Reduces efficiency.

• How to fix it: Use const A& instead of A.

Total bugs: 2

Total quality issues: 3

Snippet ID: vector

1. Category: BUG

• What the issue is: Modifying a vector while iterating over it with an iterator.

• Why it's a problem: Causes iterator invalidation and undefined behavior.

• **How to fix it**: Collect changes separately and apply after iteration.

2. Category: QUALITY

• What the issue is: Use of using namespace std;.

• Why it's a problem: Reduces clarity and may cause naming conflicts.

• How to fix it: Use std:: prefixes.

3. Category: QUALITY

• What the issue is: Comment claims STL is unsafe.

• Why it's a problem: Misleading and inaccurate.

• **How to fix it**: Reword to explain iterator invalidation clearly.

Total bugs: 1

Total quality issues: 2

Snippet ID: virtual

- What the issue is: Typos in comments ("wether", "fucntion").
- Why it's a problem: Hurts readability and professionalism.
- How to fix it: Correct to "whether" and "function".

2. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: using namespace std; used globally.
- Why it's a problem: Leads to namespace pollution.
- How to fix it: Remove and use std:: prefixes.

3. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: f() in derived classes not marked override.
- Why it's a problem: Reduces clarity and error checking.
- **How to fix it**: Add override to f() in Middle and Derived.

4. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Unused variable Base b;.
- Why it's a problem: Adds clutter.
- How to fix it: Remove unused variables.

5. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: Pointer pb is Middle* instead of Base*.
- Why it's a problem: Reduces clarity in polymorphism usage.
- How to fix it: Change Middle* pb; to Base* pb;.

Total bugs: 2

Total quality issues: 3

Snippet ID: any

1. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: Checks if std::any s2 = 1 is empty using !s2.has_value().
- Why it's a problem: s2 has a value (1), so the check will always be false.
- How to fix it: Initialize s2 as std::any {} if you want to check for emptiness.

2. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: Casting std::any containing an int to float.
- Why it's a problem: Throws std::bad_any_cast since types don't match.
- How to fix it: Cast to int or store a float instead.

3. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Use of std::any for simple types like int, bool.
- Why it's a problem: Adds unnecessary overhead.
- **How to fix it**: Use native types unless polymorphic storage is required.

- What the issue is: Unhelpful block comments clutter the code.
- Why it's a problem: Reduces readability without adding value.
- **How to fix it**: Remove or replace with meaningful comments.

- What the issue is: Variable names like a, s2, a3 are non-descriptive.
- Why it's a problem: Makes the code harder to follow.
- How to fix it: Use descriptive variable names.

Total bugs: 2

Total quality issues: 3

Snippet ID: clock

1. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: Casts cpu_time_used to float.
- Why it's a problem: Unnecessary precision loss.
- How to fix it: Remove the cast or use double.

2. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: Misuses variables in d3 = end2 start2.
- Why it's a problem: Incorrect logic—should use end3 and start3.
- How to fix it: Correct to auto d3 = end3 start3.

3. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Redundant comment // usually nanoseconds.
- Why it's a problem: May be misleading.
- **How to fix it**: Be explicit using duration_cast.

- What the issue is: Unused includes and misleading comments.
- Why it's a problem: Adds noise to the code.
- How to fix it: Clean up includes and clarify comments.

- What the issue is: Variable names with trailing underscores.
- Why it's a problem: Not conventional, unclear meaning.
- **How to fix it**: Rename to more descriptive terms.

6. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Square-bracket comment blocks.
- Why it's a problem: Unconventional style.
- How to fix it: Use standard comment styles.

7. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Redundant timing code blocks.
- Why it's a problem: Violates DRY principle.
- **How to fix it**: Generalize timing logic into a function.

Total bugs: 2

Total quality issues: 5

Snippet ID: functions

1. Category: QUALITY

• What the issue is: Uses raw pointers.

- Why it's a problem: Leads to memory leaks.
- **How to fix it**: Use std::unique_ptr or std::shared_ptr.

- What the issue is: Uses raw dynamic arrays.
- Why it's a problem: Error-prone and outdated.
- **How to fix it**: Use std::vector<int> instead.

3. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Redundant check if (x) after allocation.
- Why it's a problem: Pointless check—allocation success is assumed.
- **How to fix it**: Dereference x directly.

4. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Outdated comment style.
- Why it's a problem: Reduces professionalism and clarity.
- How to fix it: Use modern // or Doxygen-style comments.

Total bugs: 0

Total quality issues: 4

Snippet ID: lambda

1. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: Sorts v instead of v2.
- Why it's a problem: Logic error—wrong container is sorted.

How to fix it: Use std::sort(v2.begin(), v2.end(), ...).

2. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: Type mismatch in lambda—const double for int container.
- Why it's a problem: May cause warnings or errors.
- How to fix it: Use int c instead of double c.

3. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Overuses std::bind.
- Why it's a problem: Reduces clarity and flexibility.
- **How to fix it**: Replace with a lambda function.

4. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Custom functor add for a simple operation.
- Why it's a problem: Unnecessary complexity.
- How to fix it: Use a lambda or std::plus.

5. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Uses std::function unnecessarily.
- Why it's a problem: Adds overhead and verbosity.
- **How to fix it**: Use auto unless polymorphism is needed.

Total bugs: 2

Snippet ID: Multithreading

1. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Threads not checked with joinable() before join().
- Why it's a problem: May cause runtime errors.
- How to fix it: Use if (t.joinable()).

2. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Lambda functions lack explicit return types.
- Why it's a problem: Can reduce clarity.
- How to fix it: Use []() -> void {}.

3. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Uses std::async without a thread pool.
- Why it's a problem: May create too many threads inefficiently.
- How to fix it: Use a thread pool or manage concurrency explicitly.

4. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Misleading comment: // do some other work.
- Why it's a problem: Nothing happens—comment is inaccurate.
- How to fix it: Update comment or perform real work.

- What the issue is: All thread logic is in main().
- Why it's a problem: Reduces readability.

How to fix it: Refactor into functions like runThreads().

Total bugs: 0

Total quality issues: 5

Snippet ID: optional

1. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: get_even_random_number2 returns an optional with value 0 or 1, regardless of evenness.
- Why it's a problem: Misleading—optional is never empty, contradicting its intended use.
- **How to fix it**: Return the actual even number when applicable, or an empty optional otherwise.

2. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: float is used in std::sqrt(static_cast<float>(*i)).
- Why it's a problem: Reduces precision unnecessarily.
- How to fix it: Use double instead.

3. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Poor function name get_even_random_number2.
- Why it's a problem: Unclear purpose, hard to distinguish from similarly named functions.
- How to fix it: Rename to something descriptive like get_optional_even_flag_random_number.

Total bugs: 1

Snippet ID: polymorphism

1. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: square::area() incorrectly multiplies _side1 * _side2.
- Why it's a problem: For squares, both sides must be equal—this breaks geometry rules.
- **How to fix it**: Ensure both sides are the same and return _side1 * _side1.

2. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: shape::area() returns 0 instead of being abstract.
- Why it's a problem: No generic implementation of area; the base class should be abstract.
- How to fix it: Declare area() as pure virtual.

3. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: shape constructors are public.
- Why it's a problem: Base class shouldn't be directly instantiated.
- **How to fix it**: Make class abstract to prevent instantiation.

4. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Class name shape doesn't follow PascalCase.
- Why it's a problem: Violates C++ naming conventions.
- **How to fix it**: Rename to Shape.

- What the issue is: triangle::area() assumes _side1 is base and _side2 is height.
- Why it's a problem: Ambiguity reduces clarity and flexibility.
- **How to fix it**: Clarify assumption or use better structure.

- What the issue is: Type check with dynamic_cast in main().
- Why it's a problem: Indicates poor polymorphic design.
- How to fix it: Add a virtual type identifier function in shape.

Total bugs: 1

Total quality issues: 5

Snippet ID: random

1. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: Invalid string literal concatenation in fnv(...).
- Why it's a problem: Can cause compile-time errors.
- How to fix it: Add spaces between string macros.

2. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Inconsistent use of default_random_engine and mt19937.
- Why it's a problem: Causes confusion.
- **How to fix it**: Use mt19937 consistently.

- What the issue is: Entropy from memory addresses is non-portable.
- Why it's a problem: Leads to inconsistent behavior.
- **How to fix it**: Use portable entropy sources.

- What the issue is: Magic number 45 in seed mix.
- Why it's a problem: Reduces clarity.
- **How to fix it**: Replace with a named constant and document it.

5. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Unconventional comment styles.
- Why it's a problem: Reduces readability.
- How to fix it: Use standard comment conventions.

6. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: No error check on std::random_device.
- Why it's a problem: entropy() might return 0.
- **How to fix it**: Check entropy and provide fallback.

Total bugs: 1

Total quality issues: 5

Snippet ID: tempcast

1. Category: BUG

• What the issue is: No check for division by zero.

- Why it's a problem: Leads to undefined behavior.
- How to fix it: Check and handle zero divisor before division.

2. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: Uninitialized variable is used.
- Why it's a problem: Causes unpredictable behavior.
- **How to fix it**: Always initialize variables before use.

3. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Poorly named variables.
- Why it's a problem: Reduces clarity and readability.
- How to fix it: Use meaningful names.

4. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Inconsistent indentation and formatting.
- Why it's a problem: Makes code harder to maintain.
- How to fix it: Use consistent formatting tools or IDE features.

5. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Lacks documentation or comments.
- Why it's a problem: Code becomes difficult to understand.
- **How to fix it**: Add explanatory comments to complex sections.

Total bugs: 2

Snippet ID: tuples

1. Category: BUG

- What the issue is: A std::pair is labeled as std::tuple in comments.
- Why it's a problem: Misleads readers.
- **How to fix it**: Correct the comment or rename the variable.

2. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Unused header <unordered_map>.
- Why it's a problem: Adds compilation time and clutter.
- How to fix it: Remove unused headers.

3. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Redundant struct my_pair mimics std::pair.
- Why it's a problem: Unnecessary code duplication.
- **How to fix it**: Use std::pair unless extra functionality is needed.

4. Category: QUALITY

- What the issue is: Inline comments like //[return_ref ...] clutter the code.
- Why it's a problem: Reduce readability.
- **How to fix it**: Replace with standard comments or remove.

- What the issue is: Explicit template args in std::make_pair.
- Why it's a problem: Verbose and unidiomatic.

• **How to fix it**: Rely on type deduction.

Total bugs: 1
Total quality issues: 4