



Fizz Buzz Test

The "Fizz Buzz test" is an interview question designed to help filter out the 99.5% of programming job candidates who can't seem to program their way out of a wet paper bag. The test of the programming assignment is as follows:

"Write a program that prints the numbers from 1 to 100. But for multiples of three print "Fizz" instead of the number and for the multiples of five print "Buzz". For numbers which are multiples of both three and five print "Fizzbuzz"."

Source: "Using [Fizzbuzz](https://www.codewars.com/20072017/Using-Fizzbuzz-to-find-developers-who-enjoy-coding) to Find Developers who Enjo Coding" <https://www.codewars.com/20072017/Using-Fizzbuzz-to-find-developers-who-enjoy-coding>

"It would be more interesting if the numbers were 50 to 550, not 1 to 100. Articles:

- "Why Can't Programmers... Program?" - <http://www.codewars.com/blog/chris00781.html>
- <http://www.codewars.com/blog/chris00781.html>
- <http://www.codewars.com/20072017/Using-Fizzbuzz-to-find-developers-who-enjoy-coding>
- <http://www.codewars.com/20072017/Using-Fizzbuzz-to-find-developers-who-enjoy-coding>

• Video: How to write [Fizzbuzz](https://www.codewars.com/20072017/Using-Fizzbuzz-to-find-developers-who-enjoy-coding) in Ruby, with Test-Driven Development - <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4Z0V4>

I never got the fizz buzz test, but out of the blue lately I've been asked such stupid questions I was amazed I was even asked. Tell me about HTML. My favorite. How do you write a for loop. WTF? (circa 2014)

Why Fizz-Buzz is "Hard"

We can't understand why so many people "fail" the Fizz-Buzz test unless we understand why it is "hard" (for them). Understanding that, we may be able to evaluate the usefulness of this tool, and others, as filtering tools for candidates.

I think Fizz-Buzz is "hard" for some programmers because #1) it doesn't fit into any of the patterns that were given to them in school assignments, and #2) it isn't possible to directly and simply represent the necessary tests, without duplication, in just about any commonly-used modern programming language.

On #1, that it doesn't match the patterns they memorized from lectures and class assignments: I think this makes it a good discriminator, because I wish to hire candidates who can think for themselves – not those who are limited to copying solutions from others.

On #2, that it's hard to directly code it: Fizz-Buzz does **not** fall into the common pattern of

```
if 1 then A
else if 2 then B
else if 3 then C
else then else D
```

(Well it does, but not when you consider "1,2 & 3" to be atomic tests, like "is divisible by 3")

Consider:

```
if (theNumber is divisible by 3) then
  print "Fizz"
else if (theNumber is divisible by 5) then
  print "Buzz"
else if (theNumber is not divisible by 3 or 5)
  print theNumber
end if
```

Now where do you put "Fizzbuzz" in this structure?

Like this...?

```
if (theNumber is divisible by 3) then -->
  if (theNumber is divisible by 5) then
    print "Fizzbuzz"
  else
    print "Fizz"
  end if
else if (theNumber is divisible by 5) then
  print "Buzz"
else if (theNumber is not divisible by 3 or 5)
  print theNumber
end if
```

[ick!!!] The structure of the if statements is icky, and there are two tests for the same condition – (theNumber is divisible by 5.) PJB: I think this comment is the crux of the problem. Decision trees (embedded tests) don't have anything icky about them, and while the same condition may have to be repeated, it is performed in different branches, and therefore it is executed only once. Why newbie programmers still have this urge to write clever code instead of writing code that does clearly the job?

Doing a "3 and 5" test makes the code more readable – with more duplication:

```
if (theNumber is divisible by 3) and (theNumber is divisible by 5) then
  print "Fizzbuzz"
else if (theNumber is divisible by 3) then
  print "Fizz"
else if (theNumber is divisible by 5) then
  print "Buzz"
else if (theNumber is not divisible by 3 or 5)
  print theNumber
end if
```

Maybe there's no simple and satisfying solution to the code structuring issue... (except in COBOL-85, which would be ironic. :-)) - [Jeffrey PJB](https://www.codewars.com/20072017/Using-Fizzbuzz-to-find-developers-who-enjoy-coding): there is a simple and satisfying solution to the code structuring issue, but it involves a loop macro.

On my other late to this page but I made a new Java solution that is way smaller than the one below:

```
public class fizzbuzz {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        for(int i = 1; i <= 100; i++) {
            String str = "";
            if(i % 3 == 0) str += "Fizz";
            if(i % 5 == 0) str += "Buzz";
            System.out.println(str.length() > 0 ? str : i);
        }
    }
}
```

-Alex North

Update:

I made it even smaller but now it has some minor repetitions:

```
public class fizzbuzz {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        for(int i = 1; i <= 100; i++) {
            String str = "";
            if(i % 3 == 0) str += "Fizz";
            if(i % 5 == 0) str += "Buzz";
            System.out.println(str.length() > 0 ? str : i);
        }
    }
}
```

-Alex North

Here is a simple Java solution:

```
public class Test {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        String str = "Fizz";
        for(int i = 1; i <= 100; i++) {
            if(i % 3 == 0) str += "Fizz";
            if(i % 5 == 0) str += "Buzz";
            System.out.println(str.length() > 0 ? str : i);
        }
    }
}
```

Another simple Java Solution *

```
boolean flag = true;
for(int i=1; i<=100; i++) {
    if(i%3==0) flag=false;
    if(i%5==0) flag=false;
    if(flag) System.out.println(i);
    flag = true;
}
```

What feature of COBOL-85 supports this?

There's a very satisfactory solution to the code structuring issue, as demonstrated by the following VBA:

```
Public Sub FizzBuzz()
    For n = 1 To 100
        Select Case n Mod 15
            Case 1 To 14
                Print n
            Case 5 Mod 15
                Print "Buzz"
            Case 3 Mod 15
                Print "Fizz"
        End Select
    Next n
End Sub
```

- MacThibault

This (deliberately) inelegant looking php code will do the job without any modulus or if...then (other than what's implicit in a for loop) calls. The focus is on the algorithm, so it's language agnostic (hence the avoidance of simpler and more elegant php constructs - might as well have written it in pseudo-code). I am putting it out here since I haven't seen any example that avoids both if and modulus.

<?php

// Declared in ascending order, or sort ascending before using

\$stop0[] = 3;

\$stop1[] = 5;

\$stop2[] = 15;

// Now get to work. Build the 1 to 100 array

for (\$i = 1; \$i<=100; \$i++) {

\$val.\$i() = \$i;

}

// Mark the "Fizz"es

for (\$i = \$stop0; \$i <= 100; \$i = \$i + \$stop0()) {

\$val.\$i() = "Fizz";

}

// Mark the "Buzz"es

for (\$i = \$stop1; \$i <= 100; \$i = \$i + \$stop1()) {

\$val.\$i() = "Buzz";

}

// Mark the "Fizzbuzz"es

for (\$i = \$stop2; \$i <= 100; \$i = \$i + \$stop2()) {

\$val.\$i() = "Fizzbuzz";

}

var_dump(\$val);

?>

-- Syed Hassan

Yes, the observation that a number divisible by 3 and 5 is also divisible by 3 * 5 is the key to a neat [Fizzbuzz](https://www.codewars.com/20072017/Using-Fizzbuzz-to-find-developers-who-enjoy-coding) solution. - tef

When I use a solution with x % 15, I am inclined to declare it to be obfuscated duplication, and refactor it back into (x % 3) && (x % 5) so that the duplication is more obvious (and the program reads closer to the spec.)

I'm also inclined to [refactorFizzbuzz](https://www.codewars.com/20072017/Using-Fizzbuzz-to-find-developers-who-enjoy-coding) every time I see code that creates a temp var referred to twice (inventing a name and saying it three times) rather than saying (x % 5) twice.

In response to [MacThibault](https://www.codewars.com/20072017/Using-Fizzbuzz-to-find-developers-who-enjoy-coding) question: What feature of COBOL-85 supports this?

It is probably the EVALUATE statement.

The modulus operator used in several solutions given here may not be the first thing that comes to the mind of a COBOL programmer (possibly a case of having one's problem solving techniques framed by the language used). A COBOL programmer may come to the conclusion that counters are all that is required. When a counter gets to the desired number then you have reached a multiple of that number. Do whatever you have to do and reset the counter.

Here is a COBOL solution to FIZZBUZZ using counters...

```
IDENTIFICATION DIVISION.
PROGRAM ID. FIZZBUZZ.

DATA DIVISION.
WORKING-STORAGE SECTION.

1. FIZZ-CNT PIC S9(4) BINARY.
2. BUZZ-CNT PIC S9(4) BINARY.
3. FPC-S9(4) BINARY.

PROCEDURE DIVISION.
    MOVE ZERO TO FIZZ-CNT.
    MOVE ZERO TO BUZZ-CNT.
    PERFORM UNTIL FPC = 100
        FPC = FPC + 1
        IF FPC = 100
            STOP RUN
        END IF
    END PERFORM
```



```

    "0,3,6?" if "0,3" = "?" then return
  }
}
# return
```

Output:

```

1. : 1
2. : 2
3. : Buzz
4. : 4
5. : buzz
6. : Buzz
7. : woof
8. : B
9. : Buzz
10. buzz
11. B
12. Buzz
13. Buzz
14. woof
15. Buzzwoof
16. B
17. woof
18. Buzz
19. Buzzwoof
20. B
21. woof
22. Buzz
23. Buzzwoof
24. B
25. woof
26. Buzz
27. Buzzwoof
28. B
29. woof
30. Buzz
31. Buzzwoof
32. B
33. woof
34. Buzz
35. Buzzwoof
36. B
37. woof
38. Buzz
39. Buzzwoof
40. B
41. woof
42. Buzz
43. Buzzwoof
44. B
45. woof
46. Buzz
47. Buzzwoof
48. B
49. woof
50. Buzz
51. Buzzwoof
52. B
53. woof
54. Buzz
55. Buzzwoof
56. B
57. woof
58. Buzz
59. Buzzwoof
60. B
61. woof
62. Buzz
63. Buzzwoof
64. B
65. woof
66. Buzz
67. Buzzwoof
68. B
69. woof
70. Buzz
71. Buzzwoof
72. B
73. woof
74. Buzz
75. Buzzwoof
76. B
77. woof
78. Buzz
79. Buzzwoof
80. B
81. woof
82. Buzz
83. Buzzwoof
84. B
85. woof
86. Buzz
87. Buzzwoof
88. B
89. woof
90. Buzz
91. Buzzwoof
92. B
93. woof
94. Buzz
95. Buzzwoof
96. B
97. woof
98. Buzz
99. Buzzwoof
100. B
```

Alternate Rules: If number contains a matching digit also say the word.

```

Clique:
1. : 100
2. : 1000
3. : 10000
4. : 100000
5. : 1000000
6. : 10000000
7. : 100000000
8. : 1000000000
9. : 10000000000
10. : 100000000000
11. : 1000000000000
12. : 10000000000000
13. : 100000000000000
14. : 1000000000000000
15. : 10000000000000000
16. : 100000000000000000
17. : 1000000000000000000
18. : 10000000000000000000
19. : 100000000000000000000
20. : 1000000000000000000000
21. : 10000000000000000000000
22. : 100000000000000000000000
23. : 1000000000000000000000000
24. : 10000000000000000000000000
25. : 100000000000000000000000000
26. : 1000000000000000000000000000
27. : 10000000000000000000000000000
28. : 100000000000000000000000000000
29. : 1000000000000000000000000000000
30. : 10000000000000000000000000000000
31. : 100000000000000000000000000000000
32. : 1000000000000000000000000000000000
33. : 10000000000000000000000000000000000
34. : 100000000000000000000000000000000000
35. : 1000000000000000000000000000000000000
36. : 10000000000000000000000000000000000000
37. : 100000000000000000000000000000000000000
38. : 1000000000000000000000000000000000000000
39. : 10000000000000000000000000000000000000000
40. : 100000000000000000000000000000000000000000
41. : 1000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
42. : 10000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
43. : 100000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
44. : 1000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
45. : 10000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
46. : 100000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
47. : 1000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
48. : 10000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
49. : 100000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
50. : 1000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
51. : 10000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
52. : 100000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
53. : 1000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
54. : 10000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
55. : 100000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
56. : 1000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
57. : 10000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
58. : 100000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
59. : 1000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
60. : 10000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
61. : 100000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
62. : 1000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
63. : 10000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
64. : 100000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
65. : 1000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
66. : 10000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
67. : 100000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
68. : 1000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
69. : 10000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
70. : 100000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
71. : 1000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
72. : 10000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
73. : 100000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
74. : 1000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
75. : 10000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
76. : 100000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
77. : 1000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
78. : 10000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
79. : 100000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
80. : 1000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
81. : 10000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
82. : 100000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
83. : 1000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
84. : 10000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
85. : 100000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
86. : 1000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
87. : 10000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
88. : 100000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
89. : 1000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
90. : 10000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
91. : 100000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
92. : 1000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
93. : 10000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
94. : 100000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
95. : 100000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
96. : 1000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
97. : 10000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
98. : 100000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
99. : 1000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
100. : 10000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
```

java solution (without the main-method boilerplate):

```

public static void main(String[] args) {
    for (int i = 1; i <= 100; i++) {
        boolean buzz = (i % 5 == 0);
        boolean woof = (i % 3 == 0);
        if (buzz && woof) {
            System.out.println("buzzwoof");
        } else if (buzz) {
            System.out.println("buzz");
        } else if (woof) {
            System.out.println("woof");
        } else {
            System.out.println(i);
        }
    }
}

```

C#-style

```

using System;
class Program {
    static void Main() {
        for (int i = 1; i <= 100; i++) {
            bool buzz = i % 5 == 0;
            bool woof = i % 3 == 0;
            if (buzz && woof) {
                Console.WriteLine("buzzwoof");
            } else if (buzz) {
                Console.WriteLine("buzz");
            } else if (woof) {
                Console.WriteLine("woof");
            } else {
                Console.WriteLine(i);
            }
        }
    }
}

```



```
for i = 1:100 do

    local fixz = 0 == i % 2
    local buzzz = 0 == i % 5

    print( (fixz or buzzz) and
           ((fixz and "Fizz" or "") .. (buzzz and "Buzz" or "")) or i )
end
```

```
var input = {
  for ( i = 1; i <= 100; i++) {
    input[i] = i;
  }
};

var divideBy2 = function(input, callback) {
  return input.map(function(item, index) {
    return item / 2;
  });
};

var filter = divideBy2(10, input);
var sorted = divideBy2(10, input);

var transform = function(input, callback) {
  return transform(input, index) {
    callback(item - 1) == 0;
  }
};

input.each(function(item, index) {
  document.write(item + "<br>");
});
```

[illegible]

Matlab:

```
% FizzBuzz by "Cause"
```

```
for i = 1:100
```

```

fixbuzz = '';
if mod(ioun,3) == 0
    fixbuzz = [fixbuzz 'fix'];
end
if mod(ioun,5) == 0
    fixbuzz = [fixbuzz 'buzz'];
end
if isempty(fixbuzz)
    disp(ioun)
else
    disp(fixbuzz)
end

```

```
function [ ] = fizzbuzz( n )
```

```
% another MATLAB solution
cells=arrayfun(@(x) num2str(x),1:n,'uni',false);
[ cells(2:1:n) ] = deal('Fizz');
[ cells(5:n) ] = deal('Buzz');
[ cells(15:n) ] = deal('FizzBuzz');
disp(sprintf('%s\n',cells{:}));
```

end

5. alternative script to demonstrate [FixedForm](#) in Matlab without loss of generality

```
%create cell array, first column should be integers 1:100, also spacer column
i = transpose(linspace(1,100));
c(1:100,1) = cellstr(int2str(i));
c(1:100,2:3) = cellstr('');
```

```
spacer(1:100,1) = ' ';
```

```
%logic only requires two logical index functions
c(mod(i,3)==0,2) = cellstr('fizz');
c(mod(i,5)==0,3) = cellstr('buzz');

%striding array for printing
[ char(c(:,1)) spacer char(strcat(c(:,2),c(:,3))) ]
```

Common Lisp using conditional formatting:

```
(loop for i from 1 to 100 do
  (format t "~{F12z~}|~{Bzzz~}|~{a~}|~{~} "
    (mod i 2) (mod i 5) (parse ("mod i 2)(mod i 5))) i))
```

or with named predicates

```
(loop for i from 1 to 100 do  
  (let ((fizz (zerop (mod i 3)))  
        (buzz (zerop (mod i 5))))  
    (format t "~{~|~|fizz~|~|~|buzz~|~|~|-a~-} " )  
    fizz buzz (or fizz buzz) )))
```

or with circular lists and type punning

```
(loop for i from 1 to 100
  and x in '#1-(" " "Fizz" #3#)
  and y in '#2-(" " " " "Buzz" #5#) do
    (unless (concatenate 'list (princ x) (princ y))
      (princ i))
    (append i))
```

I didn't see a concise Java solution above.

```
for (let i = 1; i <= 100; i++) {
  String thisLine = "";
  if (i % 3 == 0) thisLine = thisLine + "Fizz";
  if (i % 5 == 0) thisLine = thisLine + "Buzz";
  if (thisLine.isEmpty()) thisLine = String.valueOf(i);
  System.out.println(thisLine);
}
```

Fizz buzz in Oracle PL/SQL.

```
select
iteration,
decode(iteration / 2, trunc(iteration / 2), 'fizz') ||
decode(iteration / 5, trunc(iteration / 5), 'buzz') || ' ' as fizzbuzz
```

Or more precisely/efficiently (Ok, pedantically)

select decoder

—@BrenBart

```

public class Fuzzbuzz {

    public static void main(String[] args) {
        new Fuzzbuzz\(\).doFuzz\(1, 100, new Int\[\] {3,5}, new String\[\] {"Fizz", "Buzz", "FizzBuzz"}\);
    }

    public void doFuzz\(int min, int max, Int\[\] mods, String\[\] modStrings, PrintStream writer\) {
        if \(writer == null\) { // throw new NullPointerException\("Writer must not be null"\); }
        if \(min == null\) { // throw new NullPointerException\("min must not be null"\); }
        if \(max == null\) { // throw new NullPointerException\("max must not be null"\); }
        if \(mods == null\) { // throw new NullPointerException\("mods must not be null"\); }
        if \(modStrings == null\) { // throw new NullPointerException\("modStrings must not be null"\); }
        if \(min >= 0\) { // throw new IllegalArgumentException\("min must be greater than zero"\); }
        if \(max < 0\) { // throw new IllegalArgumentException\("max must be less than zero"\); }
        if \(mods.length > modStrings.length\) { // throw new IllegalArgumentException\("mods and modStrings must have same number and the same number of arguments"\); }
    }
}

```


Generators of a cyclic group define equivalence classes. We can exploit this to build a program that has no tests, save the loop condition

[-DataCross](#)

```
C/C++ #include <iostream> #include <cstdlib> using namespace std
```

)

Another slightly faster Fizz-Buzz Bash implementation:

An even faster still Fizz-Buzz Bash implementation

I can't believe there's no LOLCODE yet.

In Ruby, concise yet easily read and comprehended, with no reference to the dreaded 15, and easily extensible if new conditions are to be added

Super-optimized fizzbuzz! NO duplicate tests! NO remainders! NO use of the dreaded 15! And in Ruby!

Yet another Perl one liner, but one that returns an array using map & ternary operators, i.e. akin to the Python list comprehension solutions provided by others.

Checkout <http://rosettacode.org/wiki/FizzBuzz#Perl> for an even shorter print version, but here's a modified man version of the same:

My Java Code - by Tejas S Murthy

One liner in Javascript (by CF):

One

```
for (var i = 1; i <= 100;
```

in go (go:ang)

package main

SQL Server CLR Example

Execute with just:

```
select * from dbo.[FizzBuzz]()
```

Defined by a SQL CLR assembly function:

```
using System;
using System.Collections;
using System.Collections.Generic;
using System.Data.SqlClient;
using System.Linq;
using System.Text;
using Microsoft.SqlServer.Server;

public partial class NumberFunctions {

    [SqlFunction(Name="FizzBuzz", FillResultset="FillRow", TableDefinition="Number NUMBER(10)")]
    public static IEnumerable<SqlDataRecord> FizzBuzz() {
        return new ArrayList<SqlDataRecord>();
    }

    public static void FillRow(SqlDataRecord row, out SqlString[] values) {
        int count = 1;
    }

    public class NumberFunctions : IEnumerable<SqlDataRecord> {
        public IEnumerator<SqlDataRecord> GetEnumerator() {
            return Enumerable.Repeat(new SqlDataRecord(1, 1, SqlString("FizzBuzz"), 1, SqlString("")), 1).GetEnumerator();
        }
    }
}
```

@Gumweedy

R

```
lapply(c(1:100), function(x){
```

```
  if(x%%3 == 0){
    print("Fizz")
  } else if(x%%5 == 0){
    print("Buzz")
  } else if(x%%3 == 0 && x%%5 == 0){
    print("FizzBuzz")
  }
})
```

~AFtuch

Here's a nice friendly version in ksh ([FizzBuzz.ksh](#)). For the few people above interested in "wood" and the like, it should be obvious that other cases are pretty easy to add...:-kr

```
#!/bin/ksh
set -x
for i in $(seq 1 100); do
  if [ $i % 3 == 0 ]; then
    echo "Fizz"
  elif [ $i % 5 == 0 ]; then
    echo "Buzz"
  else
    echo "$i"
  fi
done
```

BASIC, no MOD required

```
for i=1 to 100
  let p=0
  if ((i-1)%(3-1)) then let p=p+1
  if ((i-1)%(5-1)) then let p=p+2
  if p=0 then print i else print i
next i
```

~Linkage

Was bored at lunch. Got to thinking about how most Python examples use this feature or that feature, but I have yet to see any of them use a string multiplier.

So I wrote a little ditty, it's not pretty, and for some it's a pity, but hey, that's what I got.

```
#!/usr/bin/python
# Author: @daboz

fizz = lambda x: (x%3==0)
buzz = lambda x: (x%5==0)

def fizzbuzz(x):
    if fizz(x) and buzz(x):
        return "FizzBuzz"
    elif fizz(x):
        return "Fizz"
    elif buzz(x):
        return "Buzz"
    else:
        return x

for i in range(1,100):
    print(fizzbuzz(i))
```

averyp.payton@gmail.com

Found this in a link on HN, decided to give it a shot with the shortest VBScript I could come up with in 5 mins

```
for i=1 to 100
  if i mod 3 = 0 then v = "Fizz"
  if i mod 5 = 0 then v = "Buzz"
  if v = "" then v = i
  print v
next i
```

epitid@gmail.com

[FizzBuzz](#) in Python w/ Generators

-- inspired by @daboz

```
def fizzbuzz_gen(max=100):
    for i in range(1, max+1):
        if i % 3 == 0:
            yield "Fizz"
        if i % 5 == 0:
            yield "Buzz"
        yield str(i)

for number, word in enumerate(fizzbuzz_gen()):
    print "%5s %s" % (number, word)
```

SQL - classic

DECLARE @i INT

SET @i=1

WHILE @i<=100

BEGIN

```
  IF @i % 3 = 0 AND
  ELSE IF @i % 5 = 0
    PRINT 'Buzz'
  ELSE IF @i % 3 = 0
    PRINT 'Fizz'
  ELSE IF @i % 5 = 0
    PRINT 'Buzz'
  ELSE
    PRINT 'Fizz'
  SET @i=@i+1
END
```

~Roland

More Ruby. Down to 84 characters, (difficult to reduce further without the "lucky" ternary operator that Groovy seems to have... which looks very interesting)

```
(1..100).map{|x|(x%3==0&&0)&&(x%5==0)?(x%3==0?"Fizz":"Buzz"):"#{x}"})
```

```
== 1, 2, "Fizz", 4, "Buzz", "Fizz", 7, 8, "Fizz", "Buzz", 11, "Fizz", 13, 14, "FizzBuzz", 16, 17, "Fizz", 19, "Buzz", "Fizz", 22, 23, "Fizz", "Buzz", 26, "Fizz", 28, 29, "FizzBuzz", 31, 32, "Fizz", 34, "Buzz", "Fizz", 37, 38, "Fizz", 41, "Buzz", 43, 44, "FizzBuzz", 46, 47, "Fizz", 49, "Buzz", "Fizz", 52, 53, "Fizz", "Buzz", 56, "Fizz", 58, 59, "FizzBuzz", 61, 62, "Fizz", 64, "Buzz", "Fizz", 67, 68, "Fizz", "Buzz", 71, "Fizz", 73, 74, "FizzBuzz", 76, 77, "Fizz", 79, 80, "Fizz", 82, 83, "Fizz", 85, "Buzz", "Fizz", 88, 89, "Fizz", 91, 92, "Fizz", 94, "Buzz", "Fizz", 97, 98, "Fizz", 100, "FizzBuzz"
```

Slightly different:

```
(1..100).map{|x|["FizzBuzz","Fizz","Buzz"].select{|s| x%(s=="Fizz"?3:5)==0}.join(s)}
== 1, 2, "Fizz", 4, "Buzz", "Fizz", 7, 8, "Fizz", "Buzz", 11, "Fizz", 13, 14, "FizzBuzz", 16, 17, "Fizz", 19, "Buzz", "Fizz", 22, 23, "Fizz", "Buzz", 26, "Fizz", 28, 29, "FizzBuzz", 31, 32, "Fizz", 34, "Buzz", "Fizz", 37, 38, "Fizz", 41, "Buzz", 43, 44, "FizzBuzz", 46, 47, "Fizz", 49, "Buzz", "Fizz", 52, 53, "Fizz", "Buzz", 56, "Fizz", 58, 59, "FizzBuzz", 61, 62, "Fizz", 64, "Buzz", "Fizz", 67, 68, "Fizz", "Buzz", 71, "Fizz", 73, 74, "FizzBuzz", 76, 77, "Fizz", 79, 80, "Fizz", 82, 83, "Fizz", 85, "Buzz", "Fizz", 88, 89, "Fizz", 91, 92, "Fizz", 94, "Buzz", "Fizz", 97, 98, "Fizz", 100, "FizzBuzz"
```

~Maciek

Python down to 51 characters using the "lucky print" of the python console, 57 with a print statement

for i in range(100):3/2*Fizz+5/5*4*Buzz+or i 1

This is the shortest you can go in python 2.7 I believe

Elkar (0.14.2) - Uses pattern matching with guards within the case statement to find a match.

defaultdef [FizzBuzz](#) do

```
  def check() do
    case n when
      1..2 -> "Fizz"
      3..4 -> "Buzz"
      5..6 -> "Fizz"
      7..8 -> "Buzz"
      9..10 -> "Fizz"
      11..12 -> "Buzz"
      13..14 -> "Fizz"
      15..16 -> "Buzz"
      17..18 -> "Fizz"
      19..20 -> "Buzz"
      21..22 -> "Fizz"
      23..24 -> "Buzz"
      25..26 -> "Fizz"
      27..28 -> "Buzz"
      29..30 -> "Fizz"
      31..32 -> "Buzz"
      33..34 -> "Fizz"
      35..36 -> "Buzz"
      37..38 -> "Fizz"
      39..40 -> "Buzz"
      41..42 -> "Fizz"
      43..44 -> "Buzz"
      45..46 -> "Fizz"
      47..48 -> "Buzz"
      49..50 -> "Fizz"
      51..52 -> "Buzz"
      53..54 -> "Fizz"
      55..56 -> "Buzz"
      57..58 -> "Fizz"
      59..60 -> "Buzz"
      61..62 -> "Fizz"
      63..64 -> "Buzz"
      65..66 -> "Fizz"
      67..68 -> "Buzz"
      69..70 -> "Fizz"
      71..72 -> "Buzz"
      73..74 -> "Fizz"
      75..76 -> "Buzz"
      77..78 -> "Fizz"
      79..80 -> "Buzz"
      81..82 -> "Fizz"
      83..84 -> "Buzz"
      85..86 -> "Fizz"
      87..88 -> "Buzz"
      89..90 -> "Fizz"
      91..92 -> "Buzz"
      93..94 -> "Fizz"
      95..96 -> "Buzz"
      97..98 -> "Fizz"
      99..100 -> "Buzz"
    end
  end
end
```

end

Enum.map(1..100, fn(i) -> [FizzBuzz](#).check() end)

Apple Swift - written for clarity not obfuscation. Paste into a "Playground" page, use "View" -> "Assistant Editor" -> "Show Assistant Editor" to display the results of the prints.

```
for n in 1..100 {
  switch (n) {
    case _ where n%3 == 0 && n%5 == 0:
      print("FizzBuzz")
    case _ where n%3 == 0:
      print("Fizz")
    case _ where n%5 == 0:
      print("Buzz")
    default:
      print(n)
  }
}
```

An example of [FizzBuzz](#) being written from the ground up using Test-Driven Development and Ruby: [http://dmitrybaranovskiy.github.io/fizzbuzz.html](#)

```
def fizzbuzz
  1..100.each do |n|
    if n % 3 == 0 && n % 5 == 0
      print "FizzBuzz"
    elsif n % 3 == 0
      print "Fizz"
    elsif n % 5 == 0
      print "Buzz"
    else
      print n
    end
  end
end
```

Actually another way to do this is...

```
boolean flag = true;
for(int i=1;i<=100;i++){
  if(flag){
    System.out.print("Fizz");
    flag=false;
  }
  if(flag){
    System.out.print("Buzz");
    flag=false;
  }
  if(flag){
    System.out.print(i);
    flag=true;
  }
}
```

[Moved the above from the [FizzBuzz](#) page to here on 2014-07-07.]

```
//Same bit mask idea but in C
//Warning: verify the
#include <stdio.h>

main()
{
    //FizzBuzz in C with bit approach
    // 10 tests
    // 10 tests
    // 10 tests
    for (int i=0; i<10; i++)
    {
        if ((i&1)&&!(i&2)) printf("Fizz");
        else if ((i&1)&&!(i&4)) printf("Buzz");
        else if ((i&1)&&!(i&8)) printf("FizzBuzz");
        else printf("");
        printf("%d\n", i);
    }
}
```

// Another bit-mask in C

// Gary

#include <stdio.h>

#include <stdio.h>

int main()

{

int i=0;

printf("i=0\n");

while (i<10)

{

int flag = (i&1) ? (i&2) : (i&4);

if (flag&1)

{

printf("i=%d\n", i);

if (flag&2)

{

printf("i=%d\n", i);

if (flag&4)

{

printf("i=%d\n", i);

}

}

}

i++;

}

return 0;

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}

}


```
#include <stdio.h>
```

View edit of [December 23, 2014](#) or [FindPage](#) with title or text search