### Proofs for TDA567 Lab 3

### Farzad Besharati Gustaf Järgren

## 1 Q1 Proof

We have the conditional statement:

if (x < 0) then y := -x else y := x

Abbreviate:

$$S1: y := -x$$
$$S2: y := x$$

Let R be 
$$0 \le y \land 0 \le x \rightarrow y = x \land 0 > x \rightarrow y = -x$$

By conditional rule:

$$x < 0 \rightarrow wp(y := -x, 0 \le y \ \ \, \land 0 \le x \rightarrow y = x \ \ \, \land 0 > x \rightarrow y = -x) \\ \land \\ \neg (x < 0) \rightarrow wp(y := x, 0 \le y \ \ \, \land 0 \le x \rightarrow y = x \ \ \, \land 0 > x \rightarrow y = -x)$$

By assignment rule:

$$x < 0 \to (0 \le -x \land 0 \le x \to -x = x \land 0 > x \to -x = -x)$$
 \land 
$$\neg (x < 0) \to (0 \le x \land 0 \le x \to x = x \land 0 > x \to x = -x)$$
 = true

This program satisfies its postcondition in any initial state.

## 2 Q2 Proof

We have the conditional statement:

```
wp(if B then S1 else S2) =
      (B \implies wp(S1, R)) \&\& (!B \implies wp(S2, R))
Let S be:
if (x > y) then
     big, small := x, y else big, small := y, x
Abbreviate:
S1: big, small := x, y
S2:big,small:=y,x
Let R be (big > small)
By conditional rule:
((x>y) \to wp(big := x; small := y, big > small))
 \bigwedge_{\big(\neg(x>y)\to wp(big:=y;small:=x,big>small)\big)}
By assignment rule:
((x > y) \to (x > y)) \bigwedge ((x <= y) \to (y > x))
Simplify (by p \to p == true):
true \bigwedge (x \le y \to y > x)
Simplify (by (true \land a = a)):
x \le y \to y > x
false \rightarrow x = y
This program don't hold when x = y
```

## 3 Q3 Proof

We have the program:

```
wp(S1, wp(while B I D S2, R))
Let S1 be:
     res := 0;
     if (n0 >= 0) then (n,m := n0, m0) else (n,m := -n0, -m0)
Let B be:
     0 < n
Let I be:
     n0 >= 0 \Longrightarrow (n0 - n) * m0 \Longrightarrow res
     n0 < 0 \Longrightarrow (n0 + n) * m0 \Longrightarrow res
Let D be:
     decreases n
Let S2 be:
     \mathrm{res} \; := \; \mathrm{res} \; + \, \mathrm{m}
     n := n - 1
Let R be:
     n * m = res
```

#### 1. Prove wp(S1, I), need to hold before loop:

```
By conditional rule:  \begin{aligned} &wp(res:=0,\\ &((n0>=0)\to wp((n,m:=n0,m0),I))\\ &\wedge\\ &(\neg(n0>=0)\to ((n,m:=-n0,-m0),I))) \end{aligned}  By assignment rule (n,m:=n0,m0\land n,m:=-n0,-m0):  \begin{aligned} &wp(res:=0,\\ &((n0>=0)\to\\ &(n0>=0\to (n0-n0)*m0==res)\land n0<0\to (n0+n0)*m0==res)\\ &\wedge\\ &(\neg(n0>=0)\to\\ &(n0>=0\to (n0+n0)*m0==res)\land n0<0\to (n0-n0)*m0==res)) \end{aligned}
```

By assignment rule (res := 0): 
$$((n0 >= 0) \to (n0 >= 0 \to (n0 - n0) * m0 == 0) \land n0 < 0 \to (n0 + n0) * m0 == 0) \land (\neg (n0 >= 0) \to (n0 >= 0 \to (n0 + n0) * m0 == 0) \land n0 < 0 \to (n0 - n0) * m0 == 0)$$
 By simplify rule (a - a = 0 \land 0 \* a = 0): 
$$((n0 >= 0) \to (n0 >= 0 \to 0 == 0) \land n0 < 0 \to 2 * n0 * m0 == 0) \land (n0 < 0) \to (n0 < 0) \to (n0 >= 0 \to 2 * n0 * m0 == 0) \land n0 < 0 \to 0 == 0)$$

True (inner implications true when their premises are false)

#### 2. Prove B $\wedge$ I $\rightarrow$ wp(S2, I), check invariant for each interation:

$$B \wedge I \to wp(res := res + m, wp(n := n - 1, (0 <= n \wedge (n0 >= 0 \to (n0 - n) * m == res) \wedge (n < 0 \to (-n0 + n) * m == res)))$$
 By assignment: 
$$B \wedge I \to wp(res := res + m, (0 <= n - 1 \wedge (n0 >= 0 \to (n0 - (n - 1)) * m == res) \wedge (n < 0 \to (-n0 - (n - 1)) * m == res))$$
 By assignment:

$$B \wedge I \to 0 <= n-1 \wedge (n0 >= 0 \to (n0-(n-1))*m == res + m) \wedge (n < 0 \to (n0+(n-1))*m0 == res + m)$$

By unfolding (B \lambda I):  $0 < n \land (n0 >= 0 \rightarrow (n0 - n) * m == res) \land (n < 0 \rightarrow (-n0 - n) * m == res) \rightarrow 0 <= n - 1 \land (n0 >= 0 \rightarrow (n0 - (n - 1)) * m == res + m) \land (n < 0 \rightarrow (-n0 - (n - 1)) * m == res + m)$ 

Simplify: 
$$0 < n \land (n0 >= 0 \rightarrow (n0 - n) * m == res) \land (n < 0 \rightarrow (-n0 - n) * m == res) \rightarrow 1 <= n \land (n0 >= 0 \rightarrow (n0 - n + 1) * m == res + m) \land (n < 0 \rightarrow (-n0 - n + 1) * m == res + m)$$

Simplify:

$$0 < n \land (n0 >= 0 \rightarrow (n0-n)*m == res) \land (n < 0 \rightarrow (-n0-n)*m == res) \rightarrow$$

$$0 < n \land (n0 >= 0 \rightarrow (n0 - n) * m + m == res + m) \land (n < 0 \rightarrow (-n0 - n) * m + m == res + m)$$

Simplify:

$$0 < n \land (n0 >= 0 \rightarrow (n0 - n) * m == res) \land (n < 0 \rightarrow (-n0 - n) * m == res) \rightarrow$$

$$0 < n \land (n0 >= 0 \rightarrow (n0-n)*m == res) \land (n < 0 \rightarrow (-n0-n)*m == res)$$

 $I \wedge B \to wp(S, I)$  is True because this is proven above,  $I \wedge B \leftrightarrow wp(S, I)$ 

#### 3. Prove $\neg B \land I \rightarrow R$ , postcondition holds after loop:

$$\iff$$
 { declaring B and I } ¬(0 < n) ∧ (0 <= n ∧ (n0 >= 0 → (n0 − n) \* m == res) ∧ (n0 < 0 → (−n0 − n) \* m == res)) →  $n0 * m0 == res$ 

$$\iff$$
 { arithmetic }

0 >= n 
$$\land$$
 (0 <= n  $\land$  (n0 >= 0  $\rightarrow$  (n0 - n) \* m == res)  $\land$  (n0 < 0  $\rightarrow$  (-n0 - n) \* m == res))  $\rightarrow$  n0 \* m0 == res

$$\iff$$
 { 0 >= n and 0 <= 0 is equal to 0 == n }

$$0 == n \land (0 <= n \land (n0 >= 0 \to (n0 - n) * m == res) \land (n0 < 0 \to (-n0 - n) * m == res)) \to n0 * m0 == res$$

$$\iff$$
 { rewrite LHS based on above}

$$0 == n \land (n0 >= 0 \to (n0 - 0) * m == res) \land (n0 < 0 \to (-n0 - 0) * m == res) \to n0 * m0 == res$$

 $\iff$  { rewrite LHS based on above}

$$0 == n \land (n0 >= 0 \rightarrow n0 * m == res) \land (n0 < 0 \rightarrow -n0 * m == res) \rightarrow n0 * m0 == res$$

Based on S1 if n0 >= 0 then m = m0 because it's unchanged and the following is trivially True

$$(n0 >= 0 \to n0 * m0 == res) \to n0 * m0 == res$$

Based on S1 if n0 < 0 then m = -m0 then -a\*-a = a\*a and the following is trivially True

$$(n0 < 0 \rightarrow -n0 * -m0 == res) \rightarrow n0 * m0 == res$$

4. Prove decreases expression is always >= 0

$$\begin{split} I \wedge B &\to n >= 0 \\ &\iff \{ \text{ declaring B } \} \\ I \wedge (0 < n) &\to 0 >= n \\ &\iff \{ \text{ arithmetic } \} \\ I \wedge (0 < n) &\to 0 < n \end{split}$$

True by trivial implication

5. Prove I  $\wedge$  B  $\rightarrow$  wp(tmp := V; S, V < tmp), decreasing n each iteration

B 
$$\land$$
 I  $\rightarrow$   $wp(tmp:=n; res:=res+m; n:=n-1, n < tmp)$   
By sequential (x2):  
B  $\land$  I  $\rightarrow$   $wp(tmp:=n; wp(res:=res+m, wp(n:=n-1, n < tmp)))$   
By assignment (x2):  
B  $\land$  I  $\rightarrow$   $wp(tmp:=n; n-1 < tmp)$   
By assignment:  
B  $\land$  I  $\rightarrow$   $n-1 < n$ 

It's always trivially True so it holds

 $B\,\wedge\,I \to true$ 

# 4 Q4 Proof

We have the program:

```
n > 0 ⇒ wp(res :=1, i:=2, wp(while B I D S, R)) =

I
&& (B && I ⇒ wp(S, I))
&& (!B && I ⇒ R)

&& (I ⇒ D >= 0)
&& (B && I ⇒ wp(tmp := D ; S, tmp > D))
```

Let B be:

$$i \ll n$$

Let I be:

$$res = fact(i-1) & (i <= n+1)$$

Let D be:

$$n-i$$

Let S be:

$$res := res * i;$$
  
 $i := i + 1;$ 

Let R be:

$$res = fact(n)$$

Finally let the function fact(x) be a function that calculates the factorial for a number x.

The correctness of our method ComputeFact can be expressed by the formula:

$$n > 0 = > wp(res := 1, wp(i := 2, wp(whileBIDS, R)))$$

The first step is solving the loop, let's begin by proving that the invariant is preserved  $B \wedge I ==> wp(S, I)$ 

```
B \wedge I \rightarrow wp(res := res * i; i := i + 1, I)
B \wedge I \to wp(res := res * i, wp(i := i + 1, (res == fact(i - 1) \wedge (i <= n + 1))))
\iff {assignment}
B \wedge I \rightarrow wp(res := res * i, (res == fact(i+1-1) \wedge (i+1 <= n+1)))
\iff \{ \text{assignment and simplification} \}
B \wedge I \rightarrow (res * i == fact(i) \wedge (i + 1 <= n + 1)))
\iff {expansion}
(i <= n) \land res == fact(i-1) \land (i <= n+1) \rightarrow (res * i == fact(i) \land (i+1 <= n+1)))
\iff {expand fact(i)}
(i \le n) \land res == fact(i-1) \land (i \le n+1) \rightarrow
(res * i == if(n == 1) then 1 else n * fact(n - 1) \land (i + 1 <= n + 1)))
\iff {use res = fact(i-1) from the LHS to simplify the RHS}
(i \le n) \land res == fact(i-1) \land (i \le n+1) \rightarrow
(res * i == if(n == 1) then 1 else n * res \wedge (i + 1 <= n + 1)))
\iff \{i := 2 \text{ and from the LHS we get that } n >= i, \text{ so we can simplify the if-case} \}
(i \le n) \land res == fact(i-1) \land (i \le n+1) \rightarrow
(res * i == n * res \land (i + 1 <= n + 1)))
```

unsure of how to continue, can we somehow prove that i == n?

We continue with proving that the failure of the loop guard and invariant implies the post-condition  $!B \wedge I \rightarrow R$ :

$$!B \wedge I \rightarrow res = fact(n)$$

$$\iff \{ \text{expand B and I} \}$$

$$!(i \leq n) \wedge res == fact(i-1) \wedge (i \leq n+1) \rightarrow res = fact(n)$$

$$\iff \{ \text{arithmetic} \}$$

$$(i > n) \wedge res == fact(i-1) \wedge (i \leq n+1) \rightarrow res = fact(n)$$

$$\iff \{ i > n \text{ and } i \leq n+1 \text{ implies that } n=i-1 \}$$

$$(i > n) \wedge res == fact(i-1) \wedge (i \leq n+1) \rightarrow res = fact(i-1)$$

$$\iff \{ \text{substitute } fact(i-1) \text{ on the RHS by using the LHS} \}$$

$$(i > n) \wedge res == fact(i-1) \wedge (i \leq n+1) \rightarrow res = res$$

$$(i > n) \wedge res == fact(i-1) \wedge (i \leq n+1) \rightarrow true$$

$$true$$

Next let's prove 
$$I \to D \ge 0$$
 
$$I \to D \ge 0$$
 
$$\iff \{ \text{expand} \}$$
 
$$res == fact(i-1) \land (i \le n+1) \to (n-1 \ge 0)$$
 
$$\iff \{ \text{arithmetic} \}$$
 
$$res == fact(i-1) \land (i \le n+1) \to (n \ge 1)$$
 
$$\iff \{ i := 2 \text{ and } i \le n+1 \text{ so it follows that } n \ge 1 \}$$
 
$$res == fact(i-1) \land (i \le n+1) \to true$$
 
$$true$$