

# An Introduction to Bayesian Empirical Likelihood

Farzana Jahan

December 7, 2017

1. Introduction
2. Empirical Likelihood
3. Bayesian Empirical Likelihood
4. Previous Works on Bayesian Empirical Likelihood
5. Future Works

- Empirical Likelihood (EL) is a non-parametric analogue of data likelihood which does not require assumption regarding the underlying distribution of the data, but possesses the properties of parametric likelihood.
- Bayesian Empirical Likelihood (BEL) approach increases the flexibility of EL allowing the incorporation of prior knowledge with the data component.

# Empirical Likelihood

- According to<sup>1</sup>, EL is a technique for forming hypothesis tests and confidence regions based on nonparametric likelihood ratios
- EL provides likelihood inferences without assuming a parametric family  
For data  $X_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} F$

$$L(F) = \prod_{i=1}^n (F(X_i) - F(X_{i-})) = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i \text{ is the nonparametric likelihood}$$

$L(F)$  is the probability of getting exactly the observed sample values  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  from the CDF  $F$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>Art B Owen. "Empirical likelihood ratio confidence intervals for a single functional".  
In: *Biometrika* 75.2 (1988), pp. 237–249.

# Non Parametric Likelihood Ratio

- In parametric likelihood methods, we construct confidence intervals of the form,

$$\left\{ \theta \mid \frac{L(\theta)}{L(\theta_0)} \geq c \right\}$$

where the threshold  $c$  determines the confidence level.

- In parametric statistics, the parameters determine the distribution; in nonparametric statistics, we estimate the CDF directly using the empirical CDF, which is also the nonparametric maximum likelihood estimator of  $F$

# Profile Empirical Likelihood Ratio

- The analogous concept to a likelihood ratio is:

$$R(F) = \frac{L(F)}{L(F_0)}$$

when, the data contains no ties and  $F$  places probability  $p_i \geq 0$  on the value  $X_i \in R$ , then  $L(F) = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i$  and  $p_i = P_F(X = x_i)$ . So,

$$R(F) = \prod_{i=1}^n np_i$$

- $R(F)$  is referred to as the Profile Empirical Likelihood Ratio

# Profile Empirical Likelihood Ratio

- If  $X_i = X_j$  for  $i \neq j$ , we say  $X_i$  and  $X_j$  are tied.
- If the data contains some ties, suppose the data contains  $k$  distinct values  $z_j$  arising  $n_j \geq 1$  times in the sample and has probability  $p_j$  under  $F$
- Then, the profile Empirical likelihood function can be defined as:

$$R(F) = \prod_{j=1}^k \left( \frac{np_j}{n_j} \right)^{n_j}$$

# Profile Empirical Likelihood Ratio

- We define profile ELR in terms of observation specific weights  $w_i \geq 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . The weights are chosen so that  $\sum_i w_i = p_j$  over all  $i$  with  $X_i = z_j$ .
- The EL of  $F$  in terms of weight becomes,  $L(F) = \prod_{i=1}^n w_i$
- Then, the profile Empirical likelihood function can be defined as:

$$R(F) = \prod_{i=1}^n n w_i$$

where  $w_i \geq 0$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i \leq 1$  and  $F$  puts probability  $\sum_{X_i=X_j} w_j$  on  $X_i$



# Properties of EL

EL has a few properties of parametric likelihood:

- EL gives a data determined shape for confidence regions for two or more parameters of interest
- asymptotic distribution holds for the log likelihood ratio using EL<sup>2</sup>
- Bartlett's correction applies to EL<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup>Samuel S Wilks. "The large-sample distribution of the likelihood ratio for testing composite hypotheses". In: *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics* 9.1 (1938), pp. 60–62.

<sup>3</sup>Thomas DiCiccio, Peter Hall, and Joseph Romano. "Empirical likelihood is Bartlett-correctable". In: *the Annals of Statistics* (1991), pp. 1053–1061.

# EL for a single functional

- EL can be constructed for different parameters of interest such as: mean, median, regression parameters etc.
- When, we are interested in inference concerning some functional of  $F$ , say,  $\theta(F)$  and  $\theta(F)$  can be determined by an estimating equation  $f(y_i, \theta)$ , then the empirical likelihood ratio function is defined by:

$$R(\theta) = \max \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n w_i \mid \sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1, \sum_{i=1}^n w_i f(y_i, \theta) = 0 \right\}$$

- Estimating Equations

$f(y_i, \theta) = Y - \theta$  for mean

$f(y_i, \theta) = (Y - X^T \theta)X$  for regression and so on.

- We will illustrate the ideas behind empirical likelihood by deriving the empirical likelihood for the mean
- Empirical Likelihood for mean:

$$R(\mu) = \max \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n w_i \mid \sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1, \sum_{i=1}^n w_i Y_i = \mu \right\}$$

- In order to compute  $R(\theta)$  we can equivalently maximize  $\sum_{i=1}^n \log w_i$  subject to  $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1, \sum_{i=1}^n w_i Y_i = \mu$
- Note that because log is a concave function, a unique global maximum will exist

- We may solve for the optimum values of  $\{w_i\}$  using Lagrange multipliers; where our Lagrangian function  $G$  is

$$G = \sum_{i=1}^n \log w_i + n\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n w_i(Y_i - \mu) - \gamma(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i - 1)$$

- Hence,  $\frac{\delta G}{\delta w_i} = \frac{1}{w_i} - n\lambda(y_i - \mu) + \gamma$   
 $0 = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \frac{\delta G}{\delta w_i} = n - 0 + \gamma$
- Thus,  $\gamma = -n$  and  $\lambda$  satisfies ,

$$\frac{1}{n} \frac{y_i - \mu}{1 + \lambda(y_i - \mu)} = 0$$

- There is no closed form solution for  $\lambda$ , so it should be solved by numerical search. In this case,  $\lambda$  will be solved by using Newton-Lagrange algorithm.

- There is a package in R that solves empirical likelihood optimization problems called "emplik" developed by Mai Zhou in 2016
- The package details are available in:  
<https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=emplik>
- `el.test` from "emplik" package is used to calculate the -2 times log empirical likelihood for any test value for mean and performs the required optimization.
- Demo in R

- Likelihood being the central of Bayesian inference, is used to update prior beliefs and yields posterior inference.
- <sup>4</sup> argued that EL can be used in place of a density and, when multiplied by prior can yield the posterior distribution of interest in a Bayesian analysis.
- The author explored the characteristics of Bayesian inference using EL in spite of a parametric density.

---

<sup>4</sup>Nicole A Lazar. “Bayesian empirical likelihood”. In: *Biometrika* 90.2 (2003), pp. 319–326.

# Bayesian Empirical Likelihood

- To proceed with the Bayesian posterior, the profile EL function is chosen as the likelihood part of the Bayes theorem, and a prior on the functional  $\theta$ ,  $p(\theta)$  is imposed
- The posterior of interest would be:

$$f(\theta|y_i) \propto p(\theta)EL(\theta)$$

- For  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$  from some unknown  $F$ , let,  $\theta$  be the parameter of interest for inference,  $f(y_i, \theta)$  be the estimating equation, the empirical likelihood ratio function can be denoted by

$$W_E(\theta) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \log\{1 + \lambda^T f(y_i, \theta)\}$$

where the  $\lambda$  satisfies  $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{f(y_i, \theta)}{1 + \lambda^T f(y_i, \theta)} = 0$

# Bayesian Empirical Likelihood for mean

- For a specific case of one-dimensional parameter of interest,  $\mu$  from a data set  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$ , the profile empirical likelihood would be:

$$W_E(\mu) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \log\{1 + \lambda(y_i - \mu)\}$$

where  $\lambda$  satisfies:  $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i - \mu}{1 + \lambda(y_i - \mu)} = 0$

- The posterior of  $\mu$ ,  $f(\mu|y_i) \propto EL(\mu)p(\mu)$
- Bayesian Empirical Likelihood method for calculating posterior is often referred to as "Semi-parametric method".
- Demo in R



# Previous Research involving BEL

- <sup>5</sup> introduced Bayesian Exponentially Tilted Empirical Likelihood (BEL) exploring the Bayesian analysis of moment condition models through EL.
- <sup>6</sup> applied BEL in quantile regression.
- <sup>7</sup> used EL in approximate Bayesian computation and proposed a new algorithm (Bayesian computation via empirical likelihood, BCEL) by replacing the data likelihood by EL.

---

<sup>5</sup>Susanne M Schennach. “Bayesian exponentially tilted empirical likelihood”. In: *Biometrika* 92.1 (2005), pp. 31–46.

<sup>6</sup>Yunwen Yang, Xuming He, et al. “Bayesian empirical likelihood for quantile regression”. In: *The Annals of Statistics* 40.2 (2012), pp. 1102–1131.

<sup>7</sup>Kerrie L Mengersen, Pierre Pudlo, and Christian P Robert. “Bayesian computation via empirical likelihood”. In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 110.4 (2013), pp. 1321–1326.

# Previous Research involving BEL

- <sup>8</sup> applied BEL in construction of hierarchical spatial models for small area estimation.
- <sup>9</sup> developed a fully Bayesian framework for comparison and estimation in statistical models using BETEL.
- <sup>10</sup> developed an efficient Hamiltonian Monte Carlo method of sampling from BEL based posterior distribution of the parameters of interest

---

<sup>8</sup>Aaron T Porter, Scott H Holan, and Christopher K Wikle. "Bayesian semiparametric hierarchical empirical likelihood spatial models". In: *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference* 165 (2015), pp. 78–90.

<sup>9</sup>Siddhartha Chib, Minchul Shin, and Anna Simoni. "Bayesian Estimation and Comparison of Moment Condition Models". In: *Journal of the American Statistical Association* just-accepted (2017).

<sup>10</sup>Sanjay Chaudhuri, Debashis Mondal, and Teng Yin. "Hamiltonian Monte Carlo sampling in Bayesian empirical likelihood computation". In: *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology)* 79.1 (2017), pp. 293–320.

The proposed title of my PhD thesis is, "Bayesian Empirical Likelihood Methods for Big Data Analysis".

I will try to address the following research questions:

- How do existing BEL methods perform for big data analysis (high dimension and very large sample size)?
- How are BEL methods related other existing non parametric Bayesian methods for big data?
- Can BEL methods be improved to increase its performance in the context of Big data?
- How can BEL methods be developed for more complex hierarchical space-time models, mixed models and mixture models?

# Thank You!