## An Introduction to Bayesian Empirical Likelihood

Farzana Jahan

December 7, 2017

### Table of contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Empirical Likelihood
- 3. Bayesian Empirical Likelihood
- 4. Previous Works on Bayesian Empirical Likelihood
- 5. Future Works

#### Introduction

- Empirical Likelihood (EL) is a non-parametric analogue of data likelihood which does not require assumption regarding the underlying distribution of the data, but possesses the properties of parametric likelihood.
- Bayesian Empirical Likelihood (BEL) approach increases the flexibility of EL allowing the incorporation of prior knowledge with the data component.

## Empirical Likelihood

- According to<sup>1</sup>, EL is a technique for forming hypothesis tests and confidence regions based on nonparametric likelihood ratios
- EL provides likelihood inferences without assuming a parametric family For data  $X_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} F$

$$L(F) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (F(X_i) - F(X_i)) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i \text{ is the nonparametric likelihood}$$

L(F) is the probability of getting exactly the observed sample values  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$  from the CDF F.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Art B Owen. "Empirical likelihood ratio confidence intervals for a single functional". In: *Biometrika* 75.2 (1988), pp. 237–249.

#### Non Parametric Likelihood Ratio

 In parametric likelihood methods, we construct confidence intervals of the form,

$$\left\{\theta \middle| \frac{L(\theta)}{L(\hat{\theta})} \geqslant c\right\}$$

where the threshold c determines the confidence level.

 In parametric statistics, the parameters determine the distribution; in nonparametric statistics, we estimate the CDF directly using the empirical CDF, which is also the nonparametric maximum likelihood estimator of F

## Profile Empirical Likelihood Ratio

The analogous concept to a likelihood ratio is:

$$R(F) = \frac{L(F)}{L(\hat{F})}$$

when, the data contains no ties and F places probability  $p_i \ge 0$  on the value  $X_i \in R$ , then  $L(F) = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i$  and  $p_i = P_F(X = x_i)$ . So,

$$R(F) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} np_i$$

 $\bullet$  R(F) is referred to as the Profile Empirical Likelihood Ratio

## Profile Empirical Likelihood Ratio

- If  $X_i = X_j$  for  $i \neq j$ , we say  $X_i$  and  $X_j$  are tied.
- If the data contains some ties, suppose the data contains k distinct values  $z_j$  arising  $n_j \geqslant 1$  times in the sample and has probability  $p_j$  under F
- Then, the profile Empirical likelihood function can be defined as:

$$R(F) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \left(\frac{np_j}{n_j}\right)^{n_j}$$

## Profile Empirical Likelihood Ratio

- We define profile ELR in terms of observation specific weights  $w_i \ge 0$  for i = 1, 2, ..., n. The weights are chosen so that  $\sum_i w_i = p_j$  over all i with  $X_i = z_i$ .
- The EL of F in terms of weight becomes,  $L(F) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} w_i$
- Then, the profile Empirical likelihood function can be defined as:

$$R(F) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} nw_i$$

where  $w_i\geqslant 0, \sum_{i=1}^n w_i\leqslant 1$  and F puts probability  $\sum_{X_i=X_j} w_j$  on  $X_i$ 

## Properties of EL

EL has a few properties of parametric likelihood:

- EL gives a data determined shape for confidence regions for two or more parameters of interest
- asymptotic distribution holds for the log likelihood ratio using EL<sup>2</sup>
- Bartlett's correction applies to EL<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Samuel S Wilks. "The large-sample distribution of the likelihood ratio for testing composite hypotheses". In: *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics* 9.1 (1938), pp. 60–62.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Thomas DiCiccio, Peter Hall, and Joseph Romano. "Empirical likelihood is Bartlett-correctable". In: *the Annals of Statistics* (1991), pp. 1053±1061€ → ⟨₹⟩ → ⟨₹⟩ → ⟨₹⟩ → ⟨₹⟩ → ⟨₹⟩

## EL for a single functional

- EL can be constructed for different parameters of interest such as: mean, median, regression parameters etc.
- When, we are interested in inference concerning some functional of F, say,  $\theta(F)$  and  $\theta(F)$  can be determined by an estimating equation  $f(y_i, \theta)$ , then the empirical likelihood ratio function is defined by:

$$R(\theta) = max \Big\{ \prod_{i=1}^{n} w_i | \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i = 1, \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i f(y_i, \theta) = 0 \Big\}$$

• Estimating Equations  $f(y_i, \theta) = Y - \theta$  for mean  $f(y_i, \theta) = (Y - X^T \theta)X$  for regression and so on.

#### EL for Mean

- We will illustrate the ideas behind empirical likelihood by deriving the empirical likelihood for the mean
- Empirical Likelihood for mean:

$$R(\mu) = \max\{\prod_{i=1}^{n} w_i | \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i = 1, \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i Y_i = \mu\}$$

- In order to compute  $R(\theta)$  we can equivalently maximize  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} logw_i$  subject to  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i = 1, \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i Y_i = \mu$
- Note that because log is a concave function, a unique global maximum will exist

#### EL for Mean

• We may solve for the optimum values of  $\{w_i\}$  using Lagrange multipliers; where our Lagrangian function G is

$$G = \sum_{i=1}^{n} log w_i + n\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i (Y_i - \mu) - \gamma (\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i - 1)$$

- Hence,  $\frac{\delta G}{\delta w_i} = \frac{1}{w_i} n\lambda(y_i \mu) + \gamma$  $0 = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \frac{\delta G}{\delta w_i} = n - 0 + \gamma$
- Thus,  $\gamma = -n$  and  $\lambda$  satisfies ,

$$\frac{1}{n}\frac{y_i-\mu}{1+\lambda(y_i-\mu)}=0$$

• There is no closed form solution for  $\lambda$ , so it should be solved by numerical search. In this case,  $\lambda$  will be solved by using Newton-Lagrange algorithm.

Introduction to Bayesian Empirical Likelihood

#### EL for Mean in R

- There is a package in R that solves empirical likelihood optimization problems called "emplik" developed by Mai Zhou in 2016
- The package details are available in: https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=emplik
- el.test from "emplik" package is used to calculate the -2 times log empirircal likelihood for any test value for mean and performs the required optimization.
- Demo in R

## Bayesian Empirical Likelihood

- Likelihood being the central of Bayesian inference, is used to update prior beliefs and yields posterior inference.
- 4 argued that EL can be used in place of a density and, when multiplied by prior can yield the posterior distribution of interest in a Bayesian analysis.
- The author explored the characteristics of Bayesian inference using EL in spite of a parametric density.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Nicole A Lazar. "Bayesian empirical likelihood". In: *Biometrika* 90.2 (2003), pp. 319–326.

## Bayesian Empirical Likelihood

- To proceed with the Bayesian posterior, the profile EL function is chosen as the likelihood part of the Bayes theorem, and a prior on the functional  $\theta$ ,  $p(\theta)$  is imposed
- The posterior of interest would be:

$$f(\theta|y_i) \propto p(\theta)EL(\theta)$$

• For  $y_1, y_2, ..., y_n$  from some unknown F, let,  $\theta$  be the parameter of interest for inference,  $f(y_i, \theta)$  be the estimating equation, the empirical likelihood ratio function can be denoted by

$$W_E(\theta) = 2\sum_{i=1}^n \log\{1 + \lambda^T f(y_i, \theta)\}\$$

where the  $\lambda$  satisfies  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{f(y_i, \theta)}{1 + \lambda^T f(y_i, \theta)} = 0$ 



## Bayesian Empirical Likelihood for mean

• For a specific case of one-dimensional parameter of interest,  $\mu$  from a data set  $y, y_2, ..., y_n$ , the profile empirical likelihood would be:

$$W_E(\mu) = 2\sum_{i=1}^n log\{1 + \lambda(y_i - \mu)\}$$

where  $\lambda$  satisfies:  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{y_i - \mu}{1 + \lambda(y_i - \mu)} = 0$ 

- The posterior of  $\mu$ ,  $f(\mu|y_i) \propto EL(\mu)p(\mu)$
- Bayesian Empirical Likelihood method for calculating posterior is often referred to as "Semi-parametric method".
- Demo in R

## Previous Research involving BEL

- <sup>5</sup> introduced Bayesian Exponentially Tilted Empirical Likelihood (BE-TEL) exploring the Bayesian analysis of moment condition models through EL.
- <sup>6</sup> applied BEL in quantile regression.
- <sup>7</sup> used EL in approximate Bayesian computation and proposed a new algorithm (Bayesian computation via empirical likelihood, BCel) by replacing the data likelihood by EL.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Susanne M Schennach. "Bayesian exponentially tilted empirical likelihood". In: *Biometrika* 92.1 (2005), pp. 31–46.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Yunwen Yang, Xuming He, et al. "Bayesian empirical likelihood for quantile regression". In: *The Annals of Statistics* 40.2 (2012), pp. 1102–1131.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Kerrie L Mengersen, Pierre Pudlo, and Christian P Robert. "Bayesian computation via empirical likelihood". In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 110.4 (2013), pp. 1321–1326.

## Previous Research involving BEL

- 8 applied BEL in construction of hierarchical spatial models for small area estimation.
- <sup>9</sup> developed a fully Bayesian framework for comparison and estimation in statistical models using BETEL.
- <sup>10</sup> developed an efficient Hamiltonian Monte Carlo method of sampling from BEL based posterior distribution of the paramers of interest

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Aaron T Porter, Scott H Holan, and Christopher K Wikle. "Bayesian semiparametric hierarchical empirical likelihood spatial models". In: *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference* 165 (2015), pp. 78–90.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Siddhartha Chib, Minchul Shin, and Anna Simoni. "Bayesian Estimation and Comparison of Moment Condition Models". In: *Journal of the American Statistical Association* just-accepted (2017).

## Future work plan

The proposed title of my PhD thesis is, "Bayesian Empirical Likelihood Methods for Big Data Analysis".

I will try to address the following research questions:

- How do existing BEL methods perform for big data analysis (high dimension and very large sample size)?
- How are BEL methods related other existing non parametric Bayesian methods for big data?
- Can BEL methods be improved to increase its performance in the context of Big data?
- How can BEL methods be developed for more complex hierarchical space-time models, mixed models and mixture models?

# Thank You!