

# Polkadot Weights

Web3 Foundation

April 2020

## 1 Motivation

Polkadot has a limited time window for block producers to create a block, including limitations on block size which can make the selection and execution of certain extrinsics too expensive and decelerate the network. The weight system introduces a mechanism for block producers to measure the expense of extrinsics and determine how "heavy" it is. With this mechanism, block producers can select a set of extrinsics and saturate the block to its fullest potential without exceeding any limitations.

Polkadot also introduces a specified block ratio, ensuring that only a certain portion of the total block size gets used for regular extrinsics. The remaining space is reserved for critical, operational extrinsics required for the functionality by Polkadot itself.

## 2 Weight Assignment

Assigning weights based on theoretical performance such as big O notation proves to be unreliable and too complex due to imprecision in back-end systems, internal communication within the Runtime and design choices in the software. Therefore, all available Runtime functions, which create and execute extrinsics, have to be benchmarked with a large collection of input parameters.

### 2.1 Parameters

The inputs parameters highly vary depending on the Runtime function and must therefore be carefully selected. The benchmarks should use parameters which will most likely be used in regular cases, as intended by the authors, but must also consider worst case scenarios and inputs which might decelerate or heavily impact performance of the function. The input parameters should be randomized in order to cause various effects in behaviors on certain values, such as memory relocations and other results that can impact performance.

### 2.2 Blockchain State

The benchmarks should be performed on blockchain states that already contain a history of extrinsics and storage changes. Runtime functions that required read/writing on structures such as Tries will therefore produce more realistic results that will reflect the real-world performance of the Runtime.

### 2.3 Environment

The benchmarks should be executed on clean systems without interference of other processes or software. Additionally, the benchmarks should be executed multiple machines with different system resources, such as CPU performance, CPU cores, RAM and storage speed.

## 3 Fundamentals

Weights are just a numeric value and Runtime functions may use complex structures to express those values. Therefore, the following points must apply for implementing weight calculations:

- Computations of weights must be determined before execution of that extrinsic.
- Due to the limited time window, computations of weights must be done quickly and consume few resources themselves.
- Weights must be self contained and must not require I/O on the chain state. Weights are fixed measurements and are based solely on the Runtime function and its parameters.
- Weights serve tree functions: measurements used to calculate transaction fees, to prevent the block being filled with too many extrinsics and to avoid extrinsics where its execution takes too long.

## 4 Limitations

The assigned weights should be relative to each others execution time and "heaviness", although weights can be assigned depending on the priorities the chain is supposed to endorse. Following limitations must be considered when assigning weights, which vary on the Runtime.

### 4.1 Considerable limitations

- Maximum block length
- Maximum block weight
- Targeted time per block
- Available block ration reserved for normal, none-operational transactions

### 4.2 Considerable limitations in Polkadot

As of the official Polkadot Runtime, the limitations are set as follows:

- Maximum block length:  $5 * 1'024 * 1'024$
- Maximum block weight:  $1'000'000'000$
- Targeted time per block: 6 seconds
- Available block ratio: 75%

The values of the assigned weight itself is not relevant. It must only fulfill the requirements as noted by the fundamentals and limitations, and can be assigned as the author sees fit. As a simple example, consider a maximum block weight of  $1'000'000'000$ , an available ratio of 75% and a targeted transaction throughput of 500 transactions, we could assign the weight for each transaction at about  $1'500'000$ .