Week 11

Runtime Polymorphism

Runtime Polymorphism

 C++ Runtime polymorphism means that a call to a member function will cause a different function to be executed depending on the type of object that invokes the function Virtual functions allow us to create a list of base class pointers and call methods of any of the derived classes without even knowing kind of derived class object.

Polymorphism Again

- In OO model, polymorphism means that different objects can behave in different ways for the same message.
- Sender of a message does not need to know the exact class of receiver

 It doesn't say "If you're a Triangle, do this, if you're a Circle, do that, and so on." If we write that kind of code, which checks for all the possible types of a **Shape**, it will soon become a messy code, and we need to change it every time we add a new kind of **Shape**. Here, however, we just say "You're a **Shape**, I know you can move(), draw(), and shrink() yourself, do it, and take care of the details correctly."

Binding

- Connecting a function call to a function body is called binding. Decide which definition to use based on calling object
 - Early Binding/Compile-time Binding / StaticBinding
 - Late -Binding/Runtime Binding/ Dynamic Binding

Static vs Dynamic Binding

 Early binding/ Static binding means that target function for a call is selected at compile time.
 This is called **static resolution** of the function call, or **static linkage** - the function call is fixed before the program is executed.

 Late binding/Dynamic binding means that target function for a call is selected at run time

Upcasting / Downcasting

Upcasting:

C++allows that a derived class pointer (or reference) can be treated as base class pointer. This is **upcasting**.

```
Parent* p = new Child(); //Implicit casting
```

Downcasting

is an opposite process, which is converting base class pointer (or reference) into derived class pointer.

```
Child* c= (child*)new Parent(); //Needs to type-
casting explicitly
```

Virtual Functions

- Target of a virtual function call is determined at run-time
- Defining a virtual function in a base class, with another version in a derived class, signals to the compiler that we don't want static linkage for this function rather we do want the selection of the function to be called at runtime.
- This sort of operation is referred to as dynamic linkage, or late binding.

```
class Shape {
    ...
    virtual void draw();
}
```

Example

```
class beverages{
public:
  void addHotItems(){
       addHotWater();
       addMilk();
virtual void addSachets ()
       cout<<"Sachets of beverage"<<endl;</pre>
```

```
private:
 void addHotWater(){
     cout<<"\tAdd Hot Water "<<"\n";
 void addMilk(){
     cout<<"\tAdd Milk "<<"\n";
```

```
class Tea:public beverages
public:
  void addSachets ()
       cout<<"\tAdd Tea "<<"\n";</pre>
  Tea(){
       cout<<"Preparing Tea... "<<"\n";</pre>
```

```
class Coffee :public beverages
public:
  void addSachets (){
     cout<<"\tAdd Coffee "<<"\n";
  Coffee(){
     cout<<"Preparing Coffee... "<<"\n"; } };
```

```
int main() {
      beverages *tea = new Tea(); //Upcasting
     tea->addHotItems();
     tea->addSachets();
      beverages *coffee = new Coffee();
      coffee->addHotItems();
     coffee->addSachets();
     return 0;
```

Static vs Dynamic Binding

```
Line line;
line.draw();  // Always Line::draw
                // called
Shape* shape = new Line();
shape->draw(); // Shape::draw called
        // if draw() is not virtual
Shape* shape = new Line();
shape->draw(); // Line::draw called
            // if draw() is virtual
```

Abstract Classes Vs Concrete Class

Abstract Classes

 a class that contains at least one pure virtual function or abstract function.

 a virtual functions with no implementation is pure virtual function.

 The class may also contain non-virtual functions and member variables. Pure virtual functions implemented in derived class.

We cannot create an object of an abstract class

 But we create a pointer. And, in this pointer, we assign the object of derived classes.

Concrete Classes

 Conversely, a class with no pure virtual function is a concrete class!

Pure Virtual Functions

 A pure virtual represents an abstract behavior and therefore may not have its implementation (body)

 A function is declared pure virtual by following its header with "= 0"

```
virtual void draw() = 0;
```

... Pure Virtual Functions

 A class having pure virtual function(s) becomes abstract

```
class Shape {
    ...
public:
    virtual void draw() = 0;
}
...
Shape s; // Error!
```

... Pure Virtual Functions

 A derived class of an abstract class remains abstract until it provides implementation for all pure virtual functions

Example- Abstract Class

```
class AbstractBase
{
  public:
  virtual void Display() = 0; //Pure Virtual
    Function declaration
};
```

```
class Derived:public AbstractBase
public:
void Display()
  cout << "pure virtual function
  implementation\n"; }
```

```
int main() {
AbstractBase *basePointer = new Derived();
basePointer->Display();
AbstractBase * bPtr;
Derived dObj;
bPtr = \&dObj;
bPtr->Display();
```

Virtual Destructors

```
class Base
                                     int main()
                                      Base* b = new Derived;
public:
                                     //Upcasting
~Base() {
                                     delete b;
cout << "Base Destructor\t"; }</pre>
};
                                     Output:
class Derived: public Base
                                     Base Destructor
public:
~Derived() {
cout<< "Derived Destructor"; }</pre>
```

Need for Virtual Destructor

• In the above example, **delete b** will only call the Base class destructor, which is undesirable because, then the object of Derived class remains undestructed, because its destructor is never called. Which results in memory leak.

```
class Base
                                int main()
public:
                                 Base* b = new Derived;
                                //Upcasting
virtual ~Base() {
                                 delete b;
cout << "Base Destructor\t";</pre>
class Derived:public Base
                                Output:
public:
                                Derived Destructor
~Derived() {
                                Base Destructor
cout<< "Derived
Destructor"; }
```

Avoiding Memory Leak – Virtual Destructor

 When we have Virtual destructor inside the base class, then first Derived class's destructor is called and then Base class's destructor is called, which is the desired behavior.

Review