



## Corrigendum



## Corrigendum to “A review of drinking water quality issues in remote and indigenous communities in rich nations with special emphasis on Australia” [Sci. Total Environ. 903 (2023) 1–17/166559]

B.M.J. Kalpana Balasooriya<sup>a</sup>, Jay Rajapakse<sup>b,\*</sup>, Chaminda Gallage<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Queensland University of Technology (QUT), Brisbane, QLD 4001, Australia

<sup>b</sup> School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Queensland University of Technology (QUT), 2 George Street, GPO Box 2434, Brisbane, QLD 4001, Australia

The authors regret that the printed version of the above article contained errors due to attributing all of the “South Australia” water quality data to “New South Wales” instead of South Australia. Therefore, drinking water quality data refereeing to “New South Wales (NSW)” should be replaced with “South Australia (SA)”. The caption of Section 5.2.2 should read as “South Australia” and in the second line “New South Wales (NSW)” replaced with “South Australia (SA)”. The correct and final version follows.

### 5.2.2. South Australia

Based on the data collected from 18 water supply systems in remote aboriginal communities in South Australia, it is evident that there are still water quality issues despite the presence of treatment measures. The data presented in Table 3 demonstrates that the concentration of certain contaminants, such as hardness, fluoride, turbidity, and total dissolved solids (TDS), continue to be a concern for consumers at the tap. Additionally, it is important to note that there has been an increase in turbidity levels at the consumer tap in recent years 2021–22 (SA Water

Corporation, 2020, SA Water Corporation, 2022).

The paragraph two under Section 5.2.2 about New South Wales is correct although it is now not relevant under “South Australia”. Therefore, it should be read following paragraph 8 under Section 5.2. The caption of Table 3 should read as “Changes in remote aboriginal community source water quality and consumer tap water quality in South Australia against ADWG (SA Water Corporation, 2020, 2022).”

“New South Wales” under paragraph three of Section 7 should read as “South Australia”.

The third bullet point of ‘highlights’ should read as:

- High levels of hardness, fluoride, turbidity, and TDS in South Australia


In the Abstract and the Graphical Abstract, data referred under NSW should be read under SA. The updated Graphical abstract is as follows.

DOI of original article: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.166559>.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [jay.rajapakse@qut.edu.au](mailto:jay.rajapakse@qut.edu.au) (J. Rajapakse).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.168868>



The authors would like to apologise for any inconvenience caused.