

□ Meeting Analysis Report

1. Summary

S1 □ 00:00 - 07:03

S2 I will share my screen to report for you. Actually, I am done the introduction part. But the literature review I sent for the daughter before. Maybe I will have the introduction about each application now, like this. Yeah, the editing application, and I will introduce the YSTLDV and YSTV function including, after that, I just combine it with the comparison table.

S3

S4 □ 07:04 - 13:58

S5 There's no free. tools to do so. So can it be my problem statement because there's only need for pay.through like TLDV and fire force. So that's why we are going to develop such a tool. Okay. So let me check your project objective. So I accurately see summarization. That is a very actually tough objective.

S6

S7 □ 14:00 - 20:01

S8 The project is scope is target user can be one of them. Project scope is not only for the targeted users, you need to add all those aspects of your project into the project scope. The final output is until waterfall, right? Yes, waterfall is which one, because I see there is different for another senior.

S9

S10 □ 20:01 - 26:09

S11 statements first, and then try to complete the methodology section. You have methodology section, how you want to solve the problems, then share with me your methodology. After that, you need to actually have another part in your literature review for suffered development methodology, like agile prototyping, fast.

S12

S13 □ 26:10 - 28:39

S14 Yeah. So, I think I should focus, I should done the literature review by this week, before, before. I, I, I tried to do it before, because and I share it with me. We may have another meeting to discuss about it. Yeah. And can I ask some external Christian? I should be. Yes. Sure.

2. Transcript + Sentiment

00:00 - 00:09 I will share my screen to report for you. → *neutral* (87.0%)

00:09 - 00:18 Actually, I am done the introduction part. → *neutral* (71.8%)

00:18 - 00:25 Also, I enter the literature review. → *neutral* (86.5%)

00:25 - 00:30 But the literature review I sent for the daughter before. → *neutral* (81.0%)

00:30 - 00:36 I think it's just very simple. → *positive* (50.9%)

00:36 - 00:42 Maybe I will have the introduction about each application now, like this. → *neutral* (88.0%)

00:42 - 00:50 Yeah, the editing application, and I will introduce the YSTLDV and YSTV function → *neutral* (68.3%)

00:50 - 00:58 including, after that, I just combine it with the comparison table. → *neutral* (88.6%)

00:58 - 01:00 Okay. → *neutral* (58.4%)

01:00 - 01:01 Yeah. → *neutral* (54.9%)

01:01 - 01:04 So, can I see that comparison table? → *neutral* (86.6%)

01:04 - 01:05 Comparison table. → *neutral* (65.0%)

01:05 - 01:07 Yes. → *positive* (46.1%)

01:07 - 01:10 Ah. → *neutral* (57.1%)

01:10 - 01:11 All right. → *neutral* (49.0%)

01:11 - 01:18 So, you compare these systems that you found. → *neutral* (81.8%)

01:18 - 01:23 Are these tools or models? → *neutral* (83.8%)

01:23 - 01:24 Ah. → *neutral* (57.1%)

01:24 - 01:28 How to say, there's all, all, all two. → *neutral* (80.8%)

01:28 - 01:29 All two. → *neutral* (64.4%)

01:29 - 01:30 Okay. → *neutral* (58.4%)

01:30 - 01:41 So, the two names, for example, fireflies, tactic, flow, something like it. → *neutral* (88.9%)

01:41 - 01:44 So, this is the name of the tools, right? → *neutral* (82.2%)

01:44 - 01:45 Yeah, right. → *neutral* (54.7%)

01:45 - 01:50 And how, what is the metric that you compare these tools? → *neutral* (84.7%)

01:50 - 01:56 Is drill time, just stay in the comparison table. → *neutral* (75.6%)

01:56 - 01:57 Okay. → *neutral* (58.4%)

01:57 - 02:05 So, the real time summarization, all of them, actually support, upload video to some right, right? → *neutral* (68.1%)

02:05 - 02:06 Yeah. → *neutral* (54.9%)

02:06 - 02:10 One fellow fellow cannot upload the video. → *negative* (78.3%)

02:10 - 02:11 Okay. → *neutral* (58.4%)

02:11 - 02:13 Multi languages support. → *neutral* (68.5%)

02:13 - 02:15 Support platform. → *neutral* (68.9%)

02:15 - 02:18 So, what does it mean by support platform? → *neutral* (87.0%)

02:18 - 02:24 It means that it is a plugin that we can use it in the Zoom, or we can run these tools → *neutral* (66.0%)

02:24 - 02:27 while we are, for example, using Zoom. → *neutral* (86.4%)

02:27 - 02:30 Ah, can use it in the Zoom. → *neutral* (67.8%)

02:30 - 02:41 Actually, this file of two is just maybe there instead of robot to the meeting and get the → *neutral* (85.5%)

02:41 - 02:44 transcription to do, summarize. → *neutral* (74.5%)

02:44 - 02:49 So, it's only for getting the transcription, right? → *neutral* (73.9%)

02:49 - 02:52 Or does it summarize it? → *neutral* (83.4%)

02:52 - 03:01 Or, you know, extract some inside full information? → *neutral* (87.8%)

03:01 - 03:05 It's okay, let's try the full information, actually. → *positive* (58.0%)

03:05 - 03:12 Oh, for example, how we can ask the tool to extract the information? → *neutral* (78.0%)

03:12 - 03:16 For example, we use TL DVS example. → *neutral* (90.6%)

03:16 - 03:22 They are all using the AI model as the summarization tool. → *neutral* (71.4%)

03:22 - 03:30 Like this one AI generator, you can give the comment and they will generate for you. → *neutral* (83.1%)

03:30 - 03:35 But as I say, there's all need to need for pay. → *neutral* (77.3%)

03:35 - 03:42 There's just that. → *neutral* (62.5%)

03:42 - 03:44 What's in the last one? → *neutral* (85.5%)

03:44 - 03:45 Yeah. → *neutral* (54.9%)

03:45 - 03:48 And the rest for also. → *neutral* (69.8%)

03:48 - 03:50 Also something that the flow. → *neutral* (81.0%)

03:50 - 03:51 Yeah. → *neutral* (54.9%)

03:51 - 03:56 So the flow doesn't support uploading videos. → *negative* (63.7%)

03:56 - 04:06 Okay, so let me check the other metrics user experience. → *neutral* (84.7%)

04:06 - 04:10 And then sentiment detect. → *neutral* (75.7%)

04:10 - 04:11 Okay. → *neutral* (58.4%)

04:11 - 04:13 Detail detect. → *neutral* (73.0%)

04:13 - 04:14 All right. → *neutral* (49.0%)

04:14 - 04:16 It is a very good actually comparison table. → *positive* (95.7%)

04:16 - 04:24 So from this comparison table, you can come out with three different problems statement. → *neutral* (74.9%)

04:24 - 04:26 I haven't seen your problem statement. → *neutral* (55.4%)

04:26 - 04:28 Have you provided it? → *neutral* (80.0%)

04:28 - 04:29 Yeah. → *neutral* (54.9%)

04:29 - 04:33 Our problem statement is here with. → *neutral* (49.7%)

04:33 - 04:42 So does the problem statement related to this comparison table? → *neutral* (76.7%)

04:42 - 04:44 Yes. → *positive* (46.1%)

04:44 - 04:46 Okay. → *neutral* (58.4%)

04:46 - 04:51 But there's not only in this table are. → *neutral* (82.0%)

04:51 - 04:55 For example, this for the first one, I say. → *neutral* (87.2%)

04:55 - 04:56 Why? → *neutral* (61.2%)

04:56 - 04:58 We need this. → *positive* (49.2%)

04:58 - 05:08 So this tool for use because the information of meeting is overload and had to do a summarized. → *neutral* (79.4%)

05:08 - 05:09 And the second. → *neutral* (66.1%)

05:09 - 05:10 I just. → *negative* (51.0%)

05:10 - 05:11 Yeah. → *neutral* (54.9%)

05:11 - 05:15 That is that you explain just now for me. → *neutral* (80.9%)

05:15 - 05:20 It would be the motivation and importance of the study. → *neutral* (60.9%)

05:20 - 05:22 It wouldn't be a problem. → *neutral* (64.3%)

05:22 - 05:25 This one is just. → *neutral* (46.7%)

05:25 - 05:27 Uh, dear to the motivation. → *neutral* (74.3%)

05:27 - 05:30 I like the background. → *positive* (90.0%)

05:30 - 05:31 Yes. → *positive* (46.1%)

05:31 - 05:32 Yes. → *positive* (46.1%)

05:32 - 05:39 You know in the introduction, you know, chapter, you have one subsection. → *neutral* (81.2%)

05:39 - 05:45 section name could be motivation or importance of the study. The things that you just explained to me, → *neutral* (83.4%)

05:45 - 05:55 you can actually move it to that subsection because this is the explanation for the need for → *neutral* (79.1%)

05:55 - 06:03 these tools. It's not a problem. It's just a motivation. Okay. And the second one, → *positive* (55.7%)

06:03 - 06:10 problem statement is I say deck of sentiment analysis because it's just now can see it's just → *neutral* (68.5%)

06:10 - 06:19 only to support the sentiment analysis only. Okay. And yes, that is very great because you → *positive* (96.1%)

06:19 - 06:28 drive it from the comparison table. So that is a valid problem statement. Okay. And the → *neutral* (59.9%)

06:28 - 06:32 the one is the different deck of different function, different depression function. → *neutral* (77.8%)

06:33 - 06:43 All right. These two, yes. These two what we call problem statement is good for your FIP. → *positive* (70.1%)

06:43 - 06:50 Yeah, but I want to for this one, just now I say, this one cannot, cannot call is the → *neutral* (55.1%)

06:50 - 07:03 problem statement in here. So we asked whether they can see what to use the whole function of the → *neutral* (75.7%)

07:04 - 07:14 through like TLDV and fire force. They are only to a group to a member and before pay. So can → *neutral* (84.4%)

07:14 - 07:21 it be my problem statement because there's only need for pay. There's no free. Yes, you → *neutral* (50.9%)

07:21 - 07:29 the friendly for still does. Yes. Yes. Yes. You can say that because there's no any free tools → *positive* (51.8%)

07:30 - 07:37 to do so. So that's why we are going to develop such a tool. Yeah. This one can be. Okay. This → *neutral* (58.3%)

07:37 - 07:45 can be so later I will I will I will modify about this part. Yes. And in my introduction, → *neutral* (69.2%)

07:45 - 07:54 I have to do the project objective. Okay. So let me check your project objective. So I → *neutral* (83.0%)

07:54 - 08:05 accurately see summarization. That is a very actually tough objective. → *neutral* (51.1%)

08:06 - 08:13 Yeah. So guys, can I see again your problem statement? Actually, the object, all the objectives need → *neutral* (63.7%)

08:13 - 08:21 to be taught with the problem statement. So for example, it should probably stay, but okay. → *neutral* (69.9%)

08:21 - 08:29 Yes. Actually, they are closely related to each other. For example, if you have sentiment → *positive* (55.8%)

08:29 - 08:40 checking for the summarization, your objective would be to develop a tool for summarization, → *neutral* (79.0%)

08:40 - 08:49 which includes sentiment detection. Okay. So, oh, if what do you say this before, before we → *neutral* (83.0%)

08:49 - 08:58 we study maybe I can show you show you something. Okay. Before that, never actually → *neutral* (65.9%)

08:58 - 09:06 estate any percentage for the accuracy or other metrics in your objectives because if you don't → *neutral* (64.4%)

09:06 - 09:14 reach it, then your objective would be, you know, what we call, we reject it, we reject that → *negative* (78.5%)

09:14 - 09:21 objective because you didn't achieve it. So never use this kind of percentage in your objective. → *negative* (80.9%)

09:21 - 09:30 Okay. I try to do this. Yeah. Because before I have tried it, it's too about flour here. → *neutral* (64.8%)

09:31 - 09:41 By using a calculation, by using the algorithm to calculate the accuracy, → *neutral* (86.6%)

09:45 - 09:55 namjowl, it can count the each, it's the result of the two best on the best result. → *positive* (55.6%)

09:56 - 10:04 I didn't get your question. Well, I'm confused about it. → *negative* (72.4%)

10:06 - 10:14 Okay. The first, I've found some resources from the Westside from this AMI → *neutral* (53.4%)

10:14 - 10:22 corpus. Actually, this Westside content many data from meeting, meeting. I just → *neutral* (74.2%)

10:22 - 10:32 know as a sample and I translate the sample to, I, I transcript the sample to a warp. → *neutral* (88.8%)

10:33 - 10:39 Okay. And I just summarized it by using some powerful AI, → *neutral* (54.9%)

10:40 - 10:48 chat GPT and diffisbla. Okay. After that, I use the summarization to compare to another → *neutral* (83.5%)

10:48 - 11:00 summarization of two. And this, let's go. They get, it mean the accuracy to the best, → *neutral* (63.1%)

11:00 - 11:06 to the best summarization I get from chat GPT or DC. → *neutral* (49.2%)

11:07 - 11:15 Oh, yeah. So it means that the accuracy is not high enough. → *negative* (75.9%)

11:16 - 11:23 Actually, for the transcription, they are enough high accuracy, but the summarized, or → *neutral* (63.5%)

11:25 - 11:31 as Dr. Can see, there's just more of that is less than 50%. → *neutral* (69.4%)

11:32 - 11:39 I see. And why is because, as I said, just now, they are all using this AI generator. → *neutral* (57.7%)

11:39 - 11:45 And this one is the collette the transcription inside and summarized → *neutral* (80.6%)

11:45 - 11:52 they are self, but user only can affect the output if they say something up here. → *neutral* (72.1%)

11:52 - 11:58 So it will cause a different summarization and last. → *neutral* (77.8%)

11:59 - 12:06 I think there are some tools that you can use for evaluating the summarization, → *neutral* (71.6%)

12:06 - 12:13 no need to use chat GPT. Oh, I mean, I can, I can summarize it by another. → *neutral* (58.6%)

12:14 - 12:22 Yes. Yes. Oh, yeah. Actually, actually, in this project, I will do the summarization by another → *positive* (76.0%)

12:22 - 12:31 I'm older, but for now, it's just I need the summarization as a best reference for → *neutral* (75.2%)

12:31 - 12:41 another output. Okay. Okay. Yeah. Okay. → *neutral* (57.9%)

12:41 - 12:46 Yeah. As example, here, this summarization is → *neutral* (71.9%)

12:48 - 12:57 the reference summary. This is, I summarized using the GPT. I think this is more → *neutral* (74.8%)

12:57 - 13:06 completed. Now, yeah. And now this result is by another two just now, the five two. → *neutral* (69.2%)

13:06 - 13:14 As daughter can see, there's quite short and simple. Yes. Yeah. So I using this → *positive* (64.9%)

13:16 - 13:24 summary by chat GPT as the best and compared to another summary. → *positive* (58.1%)

13:25 - 13:34 So what you can do actually, you can, you chat GPT also. So maybe we can → *positive* (56.2%)

13:34 - 13:41 have chat GPT API integrated into your project and use chat GPT for summarization. → *neutral* (76.0%)

13:43 - 13:53 Oh, so use chat GPT as summarization. Yes. Because beside it, I found another summarized → *neutral* (49.5%)

13:53 - 13:58 the model, but there's also can summarize the → *neutral* (81.4%)

14:00 - 14:07 the transcription. Okay. But you found that the chat GPT is most → *neutral* (77.1%)

14:08 - 14:21 accurate between all those which you evaluate, right? Yeah. So what you need to do is you need → *neutral* (84.5%)

14:21 - 14:28 to provide another comparison table for the AI models or tools that they can summarize. → *neutral* (81.6%)

14:28 - 14:36 For example, some AI models that you found, chat GPT deep seek, and then compare the accuracy. → *neutral* (89.4%)

14:38 - 14:44 Oh, yeah. Based on that table, you can see because chat GPT is the most, for example, → *neutral* (78.5%)

14:45 - 14:52 what we call accurate one. That's why we may need to use chat GPT in our tools to → *neutral* (67.7%)

14:53 - 15:01 have a bridge between chat GPT and our tools to summarize all those, you know, information that you want. → *neutral* (75.4%)

15:02 - 15:09 Okay. Okay. Addest. Okay. Yeah. And now for the, for the ball, in this → *neutral* (74.8%)

15:09 - 15:16 introduction, also got a project score, and I introduced what is my target user in this project. → *neutral* (67.0%)

15:18 - 15:25 The project is scope is target user can be one of them. And also project scope is, for example, → *neutral* (85.7%)

15:25 - 15:37 if you want to work on the sentiment detection, you need to talk about how far you want to work → *neutral* (88.4%)

15:37 - 15:44 on this sentiment detection. For example, you may say that we don't want to actually → *neutral* (54.4%)

15:44 - 15:52 the fine tune or develop new AI model. We are going to use the existing ones like chat GPT. → *neutral* (78.4%)

15:52 - 15:56 So this one actually would be your project scope too. Because sometimes the, → *neutral* (83.1%)

15:57 - 16:04 what we call the moderator may ask you why you didn't come up with your AI model or why you didn't → *neutral* (71.6%)

16:04 - 16:11 find your other model, why you use chat GPT. So you say that this is the scope of my project, → *neutral* (82.0%)

16:11 - 16:18 fine tuning other AI model is out of my scope. So it's not only for the targeted users, → *neutral* (60.6%)

16:18 - 16:26 it would be for other models that you can, you want to actually use all the tools that you want → *neutral* (71.3%)

16:26 - 16:39 to use, you need to list all of them as well. I know very understandable. Pardon? → *neutral* (56.6%)

16:41 - 16:49 Mean the project scope also. Project scope is not only for the targeted users, → *neutral* (74.8%)

16:49 - 16:55 you need to add all those aspects of your project into the project scope. → *neutral* (78.8%)

16:57 - 17:04 Respect for a number. As I told you, for example, you want, maybe you want to, → *neutral* (67.8%)

17:04 - 17:12 you decided to use chat GPT for your sentiment detection, right? Or for the summarization, right? → *neutral* (91.1%)

17:13 - 17:21 Or sentiment detection. You need to just write it down that the tool that you're going to use → *neutral* (82.2%)

17:21 - 17:31 for this summarization is chat GPT, for example. But for this step, I'm not sure the → *neutral* (70.6%)

17:32 - 17:39 final, final tool I will use is chat GPT. I also write here. Okay, you can leave this → *neutral* (81.9%)

17:39 - 17:46 scope until the end of your report. So by end of your report, maybe some of the other aspect → *neutral* (89.4%)

17:46 - 17:54 would be clear, then you can add them into the project scope. Okay, and then if a project scope is → *neutral* (73.7%)

17:54 - 18:02 not completed for FYP1, it's still okay. You can complete it in FYP2 to make sure that you define → *positive* (67.2%)

18:02 - 18:09 all the scope that you cover for your project. Otherwise, you will be asked from the moderating why → *neutral* (75.0%)

18:09 - 18:14 you didn't, for example, cover this part. You can simply say because it is out of my scope. → *neutral* (48.7%)

18:15 - 18:22 Okay, before I want to confirm more, for the project one, the final output is → *neutral* (90.7%)

18:23 - 18:33 until waterfall, right? Yes, waterfall is which one, because I see there is different → *neutral* (79.9%)

18:33 - 18:41 for another senior. So what you need to do is actually to get the template from a student's → *neutral* (83.9%)

18:41 - 18:49 portal. Get the latest template over there. Yeah, but it's still enough to do until the project → *neutral* (67.5%)

18:49 - 18:57 specification. Yeah, project specification, you can get some of the requirement specification → *neutral* (84.8%)

18:57 - 19:04 from the questionnaire. Oh, so this is the last part in this project one, right? → *neutral* (80.8%)

19:05 - 19:12 Yes, you can get these from project specification from the questioner, some of it, → *neutral* (82.9%)

19:12 - 19:18 and for some other project specification, you know your project better than anyone, → *neutral* (47.7%)

19:18 - 19:27 so you can specify all those requirements. Okay. Yeah, and → *neutral* (81.0%)

19:27 - 19:36 so I will, I think it is too low in this step. I just enter the chapter two. → *neutral* (57.4%)

19:37 - 19:44 It's okay. Actually, because you come up with the chapter two and a very nice comparison table, → *positive* (94.2%)

19:45 - 19:53 we drive problem statement from that comparison table, I think you are done with 60 or 70% of the → *neutral* (72.5%)

19:53 - 20:01 way. So the remaining part is 30%, so please try to modify your objective to be based on the problem → *neutral* (79.1%)

20:01 - 20:08 statements first, and then try to complete the methodology section. You have methodology section, → *neutral* (87.1%)

20:08 - 20:17 how you want to solve the problems, then share with me your methodology, then we can move to the → *neutral* (87.0%)

20:17 - 20:25 next step. Okay, so for now, I do a conclusion for my part now. Okay. → *neutral* (77.7%)

20:28 - 20:37 So for what? For for for for for for my now means what will I do later? → *neutral* (72.9%)

20:37 - 20:46 Okay. What you need to do is to first of all, please try to, you know, → *neutral* (78.2%)

20:47 - 20:55 come up with a comparison table for those evaluation that you have done, those percentage for the → *neutral* (88.4%)

20:55 - 21:03 chapter, the other tools or all those percentage for the summarization. Okay. And then, → *neutral* (85.4%)

21:05 - 21:12 please try to complete your problem statement based on these comparison tables. Okay. → *neutral* (74.3%)

21:13 - 21:22 Then modify your objective to be based on the problem statement, and don't use any percentage. → *neutral* (73.2%)

21:23 - 21:29 Do you have any presentation? Okay. Yes. You know, if you don't achieve it, then all your → *neutral* (66.2%)

21:29 - 21:37 objective would be rejected. Okay. Okay. Okay. Then this is the thing that you need to do. → *negative* (48.3%)

21:37 - 21:42 And after that, you need to actually have another part in your literature review for → *neutral* (80.8%)

21:43 - 21:50 suffered development methodology, like agile prototyping, fast. Yeah. → *positive* (53.4%)

21:52 - 22:02 Do do before. Yeah. So this for will I do later, which is for the application, the two and → *neutral* (89.4%)

22:02 - 22:12 model and the methodology. Okay. Okay. Okay. So do based on your plan. Then if you are, you know, → *neutral* (86.3%)

22:13 - 22:20 able, you can write down the methodology section, then share it with me to see how is it? → *neutral* (90.5%)

22:21 - 22:31 Okay. And then the, for the EFYP, I have not met it, but haven't, haven't passed. → *negative* (58.3%)

22:33 - 22:41 Have no pass. Okay. Okay. Okay. I will check it. Okay. The law book. Okay. No problem. I will check. → *neutral* (75.4%)

22:41 - 22:51 Yeah. And also, because in the project class, the literature has said, I should get the → *neutral* (77.0%)

22:51 - 22:58 training account from the supervisor, right? Oh, yes. Yes. I will share with you. Yeah. So so so → *positive* (55.7%)

22:58 - 23:06 it's just later. Okay. Actually, I provided for my students, but normally they ask me at the end → *neutral* (68.0%)

23:06 - 23:14 of the trimester, but yeah, let me share it here with you. Okay. → *neutral* (79.6%)

23:18 - 23:21 So here is the Trinity account. → *neutral* (89.2%)

23:25 - 23:33 And then for the law book, I think the last one, I haven't marked it. So it has been marked for you. → *neutral* (80.8%)

23:34 - 23:38 The law book are also one. Okay. → *neutral* (78.5%)

23:49 - 23:56 All right. Anything else? Yeah. Before, I think I should share something for the first → *neutral* (74.2%)

23:57 - 24:05 because before, I'm very confused to how my application works, so I just do a prototype. → *negative* (64.3%)

24:06 - 24:13 Now, there's just a simple, simple view for the website. Yeah. But the reason is just → *neutral* (65.3%)

24:13 - 24:18 for the, for the transcription, I haven't, I haven't, I haven't, instead, the summarized mode, → *neutral* (65.8%)

24:19 - 24:25 there's just for C. This is quite simple and good. Yeah. Yeah. → *positive* (95.5%)

24:25 - 24:32 This just would, what is that upload the voice file? Okay. Okay. Yeah. Like, → *neutral* (77.5%)

24:32 - 24:39 update their voice file and it will transfer. If we summarize it after that, for now, it's just → *neutral* (86.1%)

24:39 - 24:45 only the transcription. Okay. Yeah. And also for the different detects. → *neutral* (72.6%)

24:46 - 24:54 Is this tool wouldn't be real time? We need to upload the voice to get the transcript. → *neutral* (72.9%)

24:56 - 25:03 Is it? No, no, the after that, we, we can get the real time. I will do the real time, real time → *neutral* (70.3%)

25:03 - 25:11 function. But for the problem now, it's for the different, just now I say, for another two, → *neutral* (69.7%)

25:11 - 25:18 they are using the method they are used is insert a robot into the meeting to catch the video, → *neutral* (74.9%)

25:18 - 25:26 to catch the transcription, right? Yeah. But now I cannot do this. So maybe for the different → *negative* (81.7%)

25:26 - 25:35 detectable, it will catch the user screen that something example is just I share screen now, → *neutral* (82.8%)

25:36 - 25:42 it will share a screen to the website and detect the video to do the different detection. → *neutral* (90.3%)

25:42 - 25:50 It's okay. Yeah. Yeah. It's okay. Yeah. It's okay. Right. Because I, I found if I catch → *positive* (78.9%)

25:51 - 26:01 direct in the, in the meeting app using another function is has a policy problem. So, → *negative* (57.6%)

26:02 - 26:09 so I think only only can using this stress screen function to do that. Okay. Okay. Yeah. Can. → *neutral* (59.8%)

26:10 - 26:17 Yeah. So, I think, I think that's all. I, I, I, I, I, I, I should focus, I should → *neutral* (62.8%)

26:17 - 26:23 done the literature review by this week, before, before, before, before I think I think. → *neutral* (90.4%)

26:24 - 26:28 Okay. Okay. Sure. Yeah. I, I, I tried to do it before, because → *neutral* (65.8%)

26:29 - 26:33 and I share it with me. We may have another meeting to discuss about it. → *neutral* (76.5%)

26:34 - 26:39 Yeah. Okay. And can I ask some external Christian? → *neutral* (89.1%)

26:39 - 26:44 I should be. Yes. Yes. Sure. Because for the, → *positive* (74.3%)

26:45 - 26:53 above the application, I see got two critical assessment. So, what will we cover in this Monday, → *neutral* (88.2%)

26:53 - 27:00 critical assessment one? Actually, I asked this question from Dr. Nabloff based on what he said, → *neutral* (87.4%)

27:01 - 27:08 all the topics from the week one of practical until the end of the authorization. → *neutral* (91.7%)

27:08 - 27:23 Yes. Would be for the practical exam one on Monday. Okay. Okay. But for the practical exam two, → *neutral* (60.3%)

27:24 - 27:33 it will be based on the front end. And then I, yes, which I guess, I'm not sure. You can ask → *neutral* (81.0%)

27:33 - 27:41 this one from Dr. Dr. Nabloff is regarding to the reactions that the actually topics that we are → *neutral* (91.6%)

27:41 - 27:51 going to work on from week 10 to week 12. Okay. But for the next Monday, one is → *neutral* (78.8%)

27:52 - 27:57 open put or not, because yesterday, no, the, no, the number say he also to sure. → *neutral* (77.9%)

27:58 - 28:02 He's not sure. Oh, there's no sure. → *neutral* (50.5%)

28:04 - 28:10 Oh, okay. Actually, he is the one who decide for this one to be open work or not. → *neutral* (79.1%)

28:11 - 28:19 So, I think, I think you'd better to ask him. Dr. Dr. Nabloff, I think you have a chance. → *neutral* (70.5%)

28:19 - 28:27 Oh, okay, okay. Yeah. Yeah. Actually, yes, that he is the course coordinator and he is the one who → *neutral* (52.2%)

28:27 - 28:35 decide on this one. But to me, it's better to be open book. So let's, Dr. Nabloff, let's see → *neutral* (66.2%)

28:35 - 28:39 the, Dr. Nabloff decision. Okay. So → *neutral* (78.3%)

3. Sentiment Overview

Total Segments: 251

Positive: 0 (0.0%)

Neutral: 0 (0.0%)

Negative: 0 (0.0%)

4. Deepfake Detection

Total Frames Analyzed: 58

Fake Frames Detected: 0

Fake Percentage: 0.00%

☐ Full screenshot results are available in the ZIP file.