

□ Meeting Analysis Report

1. Summary

S1 □ 00:00 - 06:45

S2 I will share my screen to report for you. Actually, I am done the introduction part. But the literature review I sent for the daughter before. Maybe I will have the introduction about each application now. Yeah, the editing application, and I will introduce the YSTLDV and YSTV function including, after that, I just combine it with the comparison table.

S3

S4 □ 06:45 - 14:52

S5 for this one just now I say my this one cannot cannot cow is the performance statement in here so we asked whether for just now they can see want to use the whole function of the through like TLDB and fire force they are only to a grab to a pro member and before pay so can it be my problem statement because there's all need need for pay there's no free tools.

S6

S7 □ 14:53 - 21:57

S8 have a bridge in between chat GPT and our tools to summarize all those you know information that you want. Have a project score and I introduce why is my target user in this project the project is scope is target user can be one of them. If a project scope is not completed for FYP 1 it's still okay you can complete it in FYP 2 to make sure that you define all the scope that you cover for your project.

S9

S10 □ 21:57 - 28:39

S11 Four will I do later which is for the application the two and model and the methodology okay okay so do based on your plan then if you are you know able you can write down the methodology section then share it with me to see how is it okay and then the for the EFYP I have that meet it but haven't haven't passed okay yeah okay I'll check it okay at the law book okay no problem I will check yeah.

2. Transcript + Sentiment

00:00 - 00:09 I will share my screen to report for you. → *neutral* (87.0%)

00:09 - 00:18 Actually, I am done the introduction part. → *neutral* (71.8%)

00:18 - 00:25 Also, I enter the literature review. → *neutral* (86.5%)

00:25 - 00:30 But the literature review I sent for the daughter before. → *neutral* (81.0%)

00:30 - 00:36 I think it's just very simple. → *positive* (50.9%)

00:36 - 00:42 Maybe I will have the introduction about each application now, like this. → *neutral* (88.0%)

00:42 - 00:50 Yeah, the editing application, and I will introduce the YSTLDV and YSTV function → *neutral* (68.3%)

00:50 - 00:58 including, after that, I just combine it with the comparison table. → *neutral* (88.6%)

00:58 - 01:00 Okay. → *neutral* (58.4%)

01:00 - 01:01 Yeah. → *neutral* (54.9%)

01:01 - 01:04 So, can I see that comparison table? → *neutral* (86.6%)

01:04 - 01:05 Comparison table. → *neutral* (65.0%)

01:05 - 01:07 Yes. → *positive* (46.1%)

01:07 - 01:10 Ah. → *neutral* (57.1%)

01:10 - 01:11 All right. → *neutral* (49.0%)

01:11 - 01:18 So, you compare these systems that you found. → *neutral* (81.8%)

01:18 - 01:23 Are these tools or models? → *neutral* (83.8%)

01:23 - 01:24 Ah. → *neutral* (57.1%)

01:24 - 01:28 How to say, there's all, all, all two. → *neutral* (80.8%)

01:28 - 01:29 All two. → *neutral* (64.4%)

01:29 - 01:30 Okay. → *neutral* (58.4%)

01:30 - 01:41 So, the two names, for example, fireflies, tactic, flow, something like it. → *neutral* (88.9%)

01:41 - 01:44 So, this is the name of the tools, right? → *neutral* (82.2%)

01:44 - 01:45 Yeah, right. → *neutral* (54.7%)

01:45 - 01:50 And how, what is the metric that you compare these tools? → *neutral* (84.7%)

01:50 - 01:56 Is drill time, just stay in the comparison table. → *neutral* (75.6%)

01:56 - 01:57 Okay. → *neutral* (58.4%)

01:57 - 02:05 So, the real time summarization, all of them, actually support, upload video to some right, right? → *neutral* (68.1%)

02:05 - 02:06 Yeah. → *neutral* (54.9%)

02:06 - 02:10 One fellow fellow cannot upload the video. → *negative* (78.3%)

02:10 - 02:11 Okay. → *neutral* (58.4%)

02:11 - 02:13 Multi languages support. → *neutral* (68.5%)

02:13 - 02:15 Support platform. → *neutral* (68.9%)

02:15 - 02:18 So, what does it mean by support platform? → *neutral* (87.0%)

02:18 - 02:24 It means that it is a plugin that we can use it in the Zoom, or we can run these tools → *neutral* (66.0%)

02:24 - 02:27 while we are, for example, using Zoom. → *neutral* (86.4%)

02:27 - 02:30 Ah, can use it in the Zoom. → *neutral* (67.8%)

02:30 - 02:41 Actually, this file of two is just maybe there instead of robot to the meeting and get the → *neutral* (85.5%)

02:41 - 02:44 transcription to do, summarize. → *neutral* (74.5%)

02:44 - 02:49 So, it's only for getting the transcription, right? → *neutral* (73.9%)

02:49 - 02:52 Or does it summarize it? → *neutral* (83.4%)

02:52 - 03:01 Or, you know, extract some inside full information? → *neutral* (87.8%)

03:01 - 03:05 It's okay, let's try the full information, actually. → *positive* (58.0%)

03:05 - 03:12 Oh, for example, how we can ask the tool to extract the information? → *neutral* (78.0%)

03:12 - 03:16 For example, we use TL DVS example. → *neutral* (90.6%)

03:16 - 03:22 They are all using the AI model as the summarization tool. → *neutral* (71.4%)

03:22 - 03:30 Like this one AI generator, you can give the comment and they will generate for you. → *neutral* (83.1%)

03:30 - 03:35 But as I say, there's all need to need for pay. → *neutral* (77.3%)

03:35 - 03:42 There's just that. → *neutral* (62.5%)

03:42 - 03:44 What's in the last one? → *neutral* (85.5%)

03:44 - 03:45 Yeah. → *neutral* (54.9%)

03:45 - 03:48 And the rest for also. → *neutral* (69.8%)

03:48 - 03:50 Also something that the flow. → *neutral* (81.0%)

03:50 - 03:51 Yeah. → *neutral* (54.9%)

03:51 - 03:56 So the flow doesn't support uploading videos. → *negative* (63.7%)

03:56 - 04:06 Okay, so let me check the other metrics user experience. → *neutral* (84.7%)

04:06 - 04:10 And then sentiment detect. → *neutral* (75.7%)

04:10 - 04:11 Okay. → *neutral* (58.4%)

04:11 - 04:13 Detail detect. → *neutral* (73.0%)

04:13 - 04:14 All right. → *neutral* (49.0%)

04:14 - 04:16 It is a very good actually comparison table. → *positive* (95.7%)

04:16 - 04:24 So from this comparison table, you can come out with three different problems statement. → *neutral* (74.9%)

04:24 - 04:26 I haven't seen your problem statement. → *neutral* (55.4%)

04:26 - 04:28 Have you provided it? → *neutral* (80.0%)

04:28 - 04:29 Yeah. → *neutral* (54.9%)

04:29 - 04:33 Our problem statement is here with. → *neutral* (49.7%)

04:33 - 04:42 So does the problem statement related to this comparison table? → *neutral* (76.7%)

04:42 - 04:44 Yes. → *positive* (46.1%)

04:44 - 04:46 Okay. → *neutral* (58.4%)

04:46 - 04:51 But there's not only in this table are. → *neutral* (82.0%)

04:51 - 04:55 For example, this for the first one, I say. → *neutral* (87.2%)

04:55 - 04:56 Why? → *neutral* (61.2%)

04:56 - 04:58 We need this. → *positive* (49.2%)

04:58 - 05:08 So this tool for use because the information of meeting is overload and had to do a summarized. → *neutral* (79.4%)

05:08 - 05:09 And the second. → *neutral* (66.1%)

05:09 - 05:10 I just. → *negative* (51.0%)

05:10 - 05:11 Yeah. → *neutral* (54.9%)

05:11 - 05:13 I'm not talking about. → *neutral* (59.5%)

05:13 - 05:15 I'm talking about. → *neutral* (77.3%)

05:15 - 05:16 You know, I'm talking about. → *neutral* (78.3%)

05:16 - 05:17 I'm talking about. → *neutral* (77.3%)

05:17 - 05:19 I'm talking about. → *neutral* (77.3%)

05:19 - 05:21 I'm talking about. → *neutral* (77.3%)

05:21 - 05:25 The things that you explain just now for me. → *neutral* (77.7%)

05:25 - 05:27 It would be the motivation and importance of the study. → *neutral* (60.9%)

05:27 - 05:29 It would be a problem. → *negative* (65.0%)

05:29 - 05:30 This one is just. → *neutral* (46.7%)

05:30 - 05:32 Uh, dear to the motivation. → *neutral* (74.3%)

05:32 - 05:33 I like the background. → *positive* (90.0%)

05:33 - 05:34 Yes, yes. → *positive* (84.1%)

05:34 - 05:36 And you know, in a. → *neutral* (75.9%)

05:36 - 05:37 Introductions. → *neutral* (77.5%)

05:37 - 05:39 Uh, you know, chapter. → *neutral* (72.7%)

05:39 - 05:43 Um, the first section name could be motivation or importance of the study. → *neutral* (88.9%)

05:43 - 05:50 The things that you just explained to me, you can actually move it to that subsection. → *neutral* (82.0%)

05:50 - 05:56 Because this is the, the explanation for the need for these tools. → *neutral* (81.1%)

05:56 - 05:58 It's not a problem. → *neutral* (67.4%)

05:58 - 06:01 It's just a motivation motivation. → *neutral* (53.7%)

06:01 - 06:02 Okay. → *neutral* (58.4%)

06:02 - 06:04 And the, and the second one. → *neutral* (71.4%)

06:04 - 06:11 The reason that the purpose table is I say deck of sentiment analysis because it's just now can see is just

only. → *neutral* (84.5%)

06:11 - 06:15 Who support the sentiment analysis only. → *neutral* (84.5%)

06:15 - 06:16 Okay. → *neutral* (58.4%)

06:16 - 06:19 Yes, that that is very great. → *positive* (97.8%)

06:19 - 06:27 Because you drive it from the comparison table, so that is a valid problem statement. → *neutral* (65.5%)

06:27 - 06:28 Yeah. → *neutral* (54.9%)

06:28 - 06:33 And the one is the different deck or different function, different details function. → *neutral* (84.1%)

06:33 - 06:45 these two what we call problem statement is good you know for your FIP yeah but I want to → *positive* (70.9%)

06:45 - 06:51 for this one just now I say my this one cannot cannot cow is the performance statement in here → *neutral* (54.3%)

06:51 - 07:03 so we asked whether for just now they can see want to use the whole function of the → *neutral* (86.4%)

07:04 - 07:13 through like TLDB and fire force they are only to a grab to a pro member and before pay so → *neutral* (87.9%)

07:13 - 07:19 can it be my problem statement because there's all need need for pay there's no free → *neutral* (55.1%)

07:20 - 07:31 yes yes yes yes yes you can say that because there's no any free tools to do so so that's why → *positive* (49.6%)

07:31 - 07:38 we are going to develop such a tool yeah this one can be okay this can be so later I will I will → *positive* (85.4%)

07:38 - 07:46 I will what if I about this part yes and in my introduction I have to do the proper → *neutral* (81.5%)

07:46 - 07:56 objective proper score okay so let me check your project objective so I accuracy summarization → *neutral* (74.8%)

08:00 - 08:05 that is a very actually tough objective → *neutral* (54.0%)

08:05 - 08:13 yeah so guys can I see again your problem statement actually the object all the objectives need → *neutral* (67.4%)

08:13 - 08:21 to be tallied with the problem statement oh for example it should probably stay but okay → *neutral* (70.3%)

08:21 - 08:29 yes actually they are closely related to each other for example if you have sentiment → *positive* (65.7%)

08:30 - 08:41 for the summarization your objective would be to develop at two for summarization which includes → *neutral* (82.0%)

08:41 - 08:51 sentiment detection okay so if what do you say this before before we we study maybe I can show → *neutral* (86.9%)

08:52 - 09:02 show you something okay before that never actually state any percentage for the accuracy or → *neutral* (71.1%)

09:02 - 09:09 other metrics in your objectives because if you don't reach it then your objective would be → *neutral* (55.6%)

09:10 - 09:18 you know what we call we rejected we reject that objective because you didn't achieve it so never → *negative* (89.9%)

09:18 - 09:27 use this kind of percentage in your objective okay I try to do this yeah because before I have → *neutral* (68.9%)

09:27 - 09:41 tried it is too above La here by using a calculation the by using the algorithm to calculate the → *neutral* (85.1%)

09:41 - 09:53 accuracy name Rao L we can count the each summary each the result of the two best on the → *neutral* (83.0%)

09:54 - 10:01 best result I didn't get I didn't get your question → *negative* (53.0%)

10:01 - 10:12 without it I'm confused about it uh the first I I've found some results from the website → *neutral* (65.9%)

10:12 - 10:22 from this AMI corpus actually this website contain many data from meeting meeting I just → *neutral* (87.4%)

10:22 - 10:32 know as a sample and I translate the sample to I I transcript the sample to a walk → *neutral* (88.5%)

10:33 - 10:42 okay and I just summarize it by using some powerful AI change GPT and diffset lah → *neutral* (59.3%)

10:42 - 10:50 okay after that I using the summarization to compare to another summarization → *neutral* (87.0%)

10:53 - 11:03 to at least let's go they get it mean the accuracy to the best to the best summarization I → *positive* (49.4%)

11:03 - 11:15 I get from chat GVT or DC oh yeah so it means that the accuracy is not high enough → *negative* (77.3%)

11:16 - 11:25 actually for the transcription they are enough high accuracy but the summarized or as → *neutral* (75.7%)

11:26 - 11:31 daughter can see there's just more than is that's than 50% → *neutral* (76.0%)

11:32 - 11:39 let's see and why is because as I said just now they are all using this AI generator → *neutral* (79.5%)

11:39 - 11:47 and this one is they collect the transcription inside and summarize their self but user only can → *neutral* (79.8%)

11:48 - 11:56 affect the output if they say something up here so it will cause a different summarization → *neutral* (81.0%)

11:57 - 12:06 and last I think there are some tools that you can use for evaluating the summarization → *neutral* (70.7%)

12:06 - 12:13 no need to use chat GPT oh I mean I can I can summarize it by another lah → *neutral* (70.8%)

12:14 - 12:23 yes yes oh yeah actually in this project I will do the summarization by another AI motor but → *positive* (74.3%)

12:24 - 12:33 for now it's just I need the summarization as a best reference for another output → *neutral* (75.3%)

12:33 - 12:46 okay okay yeah okay yeah as example here this summarization is → *neutral* (62.5%)

12:48 - 12:58 the reference summary this is I summarized using the GVT lah I think this more complicated → *neutral* (69.5%)

12:59 - 13:07 now yeah and that this result is by another two just now the five two you as daughter can see → *neutral* (80.8%)

13:07 - 13:21 this quite short and simple yes yeah so I using this summary by chat GPT as a best and → *positive* (74.7%)

13:21 - 13:33 compared to another summary so what you can do actually you can you chat GPT also so maybe we can → *neutral* (67.3%)

13:35 - 13:41 have chat GPT API integrated into your project and use chat GPT for summarization → *neutral* (75.7%)

13:41 - 13:54 oh so use chat GPT and summarization yes because beside it I found another summarized the motor like → *neutral* (64.9%)

13:54 - 14:05 but Pengagos there's also also can summarize the the transcription okay but you found that the → *neutral* (70.9%)

14:05 - 14:16 chat GPT is most accurate between all those which you you know evaluate right yeah so → *neutral* (69.0%)

14:18 - 14:26 what you need to do is you need to provide another comparison table for the AI models or tools → *neutral* (88.1%)

14:26 - 14:33 that they can summarize for example some AI models that you found chat GPT deep seek and then → *neutral* (86.9%)

14:34 - 14:43 compare the accuracy oh yeah based on that table you can see because chat GPT is the most for → *neutral* (83.4%)

14:43 - 14:52 example what we call accurate one that's why we may need to use chat GPT in our tools to → *neutral* (72.4%)

14:53 - 15:00 have a bridge in between chat GPT and our tools to summarize all those you know information → *neutral* (76.4%)

15:00 - 15:09 that you want okay okay okay okay yeah and now for the for the more in this → *positive* (54.4%)

15:09 - 15:16 introduction also got a project score and I introduce why is my target user in this project → *neutral* (66.0%)

15:18 - 15:25 the project is scope is target user can be one of them and also project scope is for example if you → *neutral* (85.2%)

15:25 - 15:36 want to work on the you know sentiment detection you need to talk about how far you want to work → *neutral* (86.3%)

15:36 - 15:46 on this sentiment detection for example you may say that we don't want to actually define you → *neutral* (66.2%)

15:46 - 15:53 or develop new AI model we are going to use the existing ones like chat GPT so this one actually → *neutral* (81.9%)

15:53 - 16:00 would be your project scope too because sometimes the the what we call the moderator may ask you → *neutral* (90.7%)

16:01 - 16:06 why you didn't come up with your AI model or why you didn't fine tune other model why you → *negative* (62.0%)

16:06 - 16:13 use chat GPT so you say that this is the scope of my project fine tuning other and I model is → *neutral* (89.0%)

16:13 - 16:22 out of my scope so it's not only for the targeted users it would be for other models that you can → *neutral* (82.3%)

16:22 - 16:29 you want to actually use all the tools that you want to use you need to list all of them as well → *neutral* (80.3%)

16:33 - 16:37 better I know very understand about → *neutral* (55.5%)

16:39 - 16:43 pardon me the project scope also → *neutral* (71.5%)

16:43 - 16:54 project scope is not only for the targeted users you need to add all those aspect of your project → *neutral* (68.4%)

16:54 - 17:04 into the project scope aspect for example as I told you for example you want maybe you want to you → *neutral* (77.9%)

17:04 - 17:12 decided to use chat GPT for your sentiment detection right or for the summarization right → *neutral* (88.9%)

17:13 - 17:21 or sentiment detection you need to just write it down that the tool that you're going to use → *neutral* (85.7%)

17:21 - 17:31 for this summarization is chat GPT for example oh but for this step I'm not sure the → *neutral* (70.1%)

17:32 - 17:39 final final two I will use is chat GPT I also write here okay you can leave this → *neutral* (83.5%)

17:39 - 17:46 scope until the end of your report so by end of your report maybe some of the other aspect would → *neutral* (90.3%)

17:46 - 17:54 be clear then you can add them into the project scope okay yeah and then if a project scope is → *neutral* (67.0%)

17:54 - 18:01 not completed for FYP 1 it's still okay you can complete it in FYP 2 to make sure that you → *positive* (69.9%)

18:01 - 18:08 define all the scope that you cover for your project otherwise you will be asked from the → *neutral* (77.8%)

18:08 - 18:14 moderating why you didn't for example cover this part you can simply say because it is out of my → *neutral* (57.1%)

18:14 - 18:22 score okay before I will end the conformal for the project one the final output is → *neutral* (82.6%)

18:23 - 18:33 until waterfall right yes yes waterfall is which one because I see there is different → *neutral* (71.2%)

18:33 - 18:41 for for another senior so what you need to do is actually to get the template from a student's → *neutral* (86.6%)

18:41 - 18:49 portal get the latest template over there yeah but it's still enough to do until the project → *neutral* (72.0%)

18:49 - 18:57 specification yeah project specification you can get some of the requirement specification → *neutral* (86.4%)

18:57 - 19:07 from the questionnaire oh so this the last part is this project one right yes you can get these → *positive* (58.9%)

19:07 - 19:15 from project specification from the questionnaire some of it and for some other project specification → *neutral* (90.5%)

19:15 - 19:23 you know your project better than anyone so you can specify all those requirements okay → *neutral* (49.6%)

19:25 - 19:36 yeah and so I want I hear it is too low in this step I just enter the chapter 2 → *neutral* (62.6%)

19:37 - 19:44 it's okay actually because you come out with the chapter to a very nice comparison table → *positive* (95.3%)

19:45 - 19:53 you drive problem statement from that comparison table I think you are done with 60 or 70 percent → *neutral* (70.9%)

19:53 - 20:00 of the way so the remaining part is 30 percent so please try to modify your objective to be → *neutral* (88.6%)

20:00 - 20:07 based on the problem statements first and then try to complete the methodology section you have → *neutral* (87.0%)

20:07 - 20:16 the methodology section how you want to solve the problems then share with me your methodology then → *neutral* (90.3%)

20:16 - 20:25 we can move to the next step okay so for now I I do a conclusion for my for my part now okay → *positive* (56.2%)

20:25 - 20:40 yeah so what for for for for for my but now means what will I do later okay what you need to do → *neutral* (86.2%)

20:40 - 20:52 is to first of all please try to you know come up with a comparison table for those evaluation that → *neutral* (86.1%)

20:52 - 20:59 you have done those percentage for the chativity other tools or all those percentage for the → *neutral* (88.4%)

20:59 - 21:09 summarization okay and then please try to complete your problem statement based on this comparison → *neutral* (74.8%)

21:09 - 21:18 tables okay then modify your objective to be based on the problem statement → *neutral* (80.6%)

21:19 - 21:28 and don't use any percentage do you any presentation okay yes you know if you don't achieve it then → *neutral* (60.2%)

21:28 - 21:36 all your objective would be rejected oh okay okay okay then this is the thing that you need → *negative* (55.2%)

21:36 - 21:42 to do and after that you need to actually have another part in your literature review for → *neutral* (86.6%)

21:43 - 21:57 a software development methodology like agile prototyping yeah I do do before yeah I think so this → *neutral* (77.2%)

21:57 - 22:06 four will I do later which is for the application the two and model and the methodology okay okay → *positive* (50.6%)

22:06 - 22:17 so do based on your plan then if you are you know able you can write down the methodology section → *neutral* (89.6%)

22:17 - 22:28 then share it with me to see how is it okay and then the for the EFYP I have that meet it → *neutral* (84.0%)

22:28 - 22:41 but haven't haven't passed okay yeah okay I'll check it okay at the law book okay no problem I will check yeah → *positive* (57.9%)

22:42 - 22:51 and also because in the project class the nature has said I should get the → *neutral* (85.3%)

22:51 - 23:01 account for the supervisor right oh yes yes I will share with you yeah so so so it's just later okay actually → *positive* (84.7%)

23:01 - 23:11 I provided for my students but normally they ask me at the end of the trimester but yeah let me → *neutral* (73.7%)

23:11 - 23:21 share it here with you okay so here is the Trinity account → *neutral* (78.0%)

23:25 - 23:33 and then for the law book I think the last one I haven't marked it so it has been marked for you → *neutral* (83.3%)

23:34 - 23:38 the law book also one okay → *positive* (50.0%)

23:49 - 23:56 all right anything else yeah before I think I should share something for for the first → *neutral* (74.2%)

23:57 - 24:07 because before I'm very confused to how my application works but so I just do a prototype now → *negative* (49.6%)

24:07 - 24:14 there's just a simple simple view for the website yeah but the reason is just for the for the → *neutral* (76.7%)

24:14 - 24:20 transcription I haven't haven't I haven't inserted the summarized mode this just for see → *neutral* (75.1%)

24:21 - 24:28 okay this is quite simple and good yeah yeah this just what is that upload the → *positive* (92.1%)

24:28 - 24:36 voice file okay okay yeah like I've done there with the file and it will transfer even some → *positive* (56.0%)

24:36 - 24:44 right after that for now it's just only the transcription okay yeah and also for the different → *neutral* (59.2%)

24:44 - 24:54 detect or something is this tool wouldn't be real time we need to upload the voice to get the transcript → *neutral* (59.7%)

24:57 - 25:04 is it no no the after that we we can get the real time I will do the real time real time function but → *neutral* (85.3%)

25:04 - 25:12 for the problem now is the for the defect just now I say for another two there using the method → *neutral* (67.7%)

25:12 - 25:23 they are used is insert a robot into the meeting to catch the video to catch the transcription right yeah but → *neutral* (77.6%)

25:23 - 25:31 now I cannot do this so maybe for the different detect or it will catch the user screen that → *negative* (59.9%)

25:32 - 25:38 something example is just I share screen now it will share a screen to the website and → *neutral* (87.4%)

25:39 - 25:46 detect the video to do the different detection is okay yeah yeah it's okay yeah it's okay right → *positive* (78.3%)

25:46 - 25:58 because I follow if I catch direct in the app in the meeting app using another function is → *neutral* (71.4%)

25:58 - 26:06 has a policy problem so so I think only only can use in this stress screen function to do that → *neutral* (49.9%)

26:07 - 26:17 okay okay yeah I can yeah so I think I think that's oh I I think I should focus I should → *positive* (55.4%)

26:17 - 26:25 not the literature review by next week before before before before I think I think okay okay → *positive* (62.1%)

26:27 - 26:33 I try to do it before because and I share it with me we may have another meeting to discuss about it → *neutral* (61.7%)

26:34 - 26:44 yeah okay and can I ask some external questions yes yes sure because for the → *positive* (74.9%)

26:45 - 26:53 above the application I see got two critical assessments so what will we cover in this Monday → *neutral* (92.1%)

26:53 - 27:00 critical assessment one actually I asked this question from Dr. Nabloff based on what he said → *neutral* (89.2%)

27:01 - 27:07 all the topics from the week one of practical until the end of the authorization → *neutral* (92.4%)

27:09 - 27:20 or until the end of authorization yes would be for the practical exam one on Monday okay okay → *positive* (52.5%)

27:20 - 27:29 but for the practical exam two it will be based on the front end and then I → *neutral* (92.5%)

27:30 - 27:37 wish I guess I'm not sure you can ask this one from Dr. Nabloff is regarding to the → *neutral* (68.5%)

27:37 - 27:48 react yes then the actually topics that we are going to work on from week 10 to week 12 okay but for → *neutral* (75.0%)

27:48 - 27:57 the next Monday one is open put or not because yesterday not the other number say he also to → *neutral* (84.0%)

27:57 - 28:09 sure he's not sure oh there's no sure oh okay actually he is the one who decide for this one to → *neutral* (77.0%)

28:09 - 28:18 be open work or not so I think I think it's better to ask him okay Dr. Nabloff says to the → *neutral* (77.1%)

28:18 - 28:26 teams oh okay okay yeah yeah actually yes that he is the course coordinator and he is the one → *positive* (86.5%)


28:26 - 28:35 who decide on this one but to me it's better to be open book so let's uh Dr. Nabloff let's see → *neutral* (72.9%)

28:35 - 28:39 Dr. Nabloff decision okay so → *neutral* (67.8%)

3. Sentiment Overview

3. Sentiment Overview

Sentiment Overview



4. Deepfake Detection

Total Frames Analyzed: 58

Fake Frames Detected: 0

Fake Percentage: 0.00%

☐ Full screenshot results are available in the ZIP file.