

□ Meeting Analysis Report

1. Summary

S1 □ 00:00 - 07:02

S2 I will share my screen to report for you. Actually, I am done the introduction part. But the literature review I sent for the daughter before. Maybe I will have the introduction about each application now, like this. Yeah, the editing application, and I will introduce the YSTLDV and YSTV function including, after that, I just combine it with the comparison table.

S3

S4 □ 07:02 - 13:11

S5 What to use the whole function of the Pro. Like T.O.D.V. And fire force. They are all need to. A grab to. Pro member. And before pay. So can it be my problem statement because. There's all need. For pay.

S6

S7 □ 13:11 - 20:14

S8 yeah so I using this summary by TGVT as a best and compare to another summary so what you can do actually you can use chat GPT also so maybe we can have chat G PT API integrated into your project and useChat GPT for summarization oh so use TGVT and summarization yes because beside that I found another summarized the motor like.

S9

S10 □ 20:14 - 27:55

S11 with me your methodology then we can move to the next step okay so for now I I do a conclusion for my for my part now okay yeah so what for for for. for for my now means what will I do later okay what you need to do is to first of all please try to you know come up with a comparison table for those evaluation that you have done.

S12

S13 □ 27:55 - 28:39

S14 Dr. Nabloff said he also to share he's not sure oh there's no sure oh okay actually he is the one who decide for this one to be open work or not uh so I think I think you'd better to ask him did Dr. Nabboff say to you mistakes oh okay okay yeah yeah.

2. Transcript + Sentiment

00:00 - 00:09 I will share my screen to report for you. → *neutral* (87.0%)

00:09 - 00:18 Actually, I am done the introduction part. → *neutral* (71.8%)

00:18 - 00:25 Also, I enter the literature review. → *neutral* (86.5%)

00:25 - 00:30 But the literature review I sent for the daughter before. → *neutral* (81.0%)

00:30 - 00:36 I think it's just very simple. → *positive* (50.9%)

00:36 - 00:42 Maybe I will have the introduction about each application now, like this. → *neutral* (88.0%)

00:42 - 00:50 Yeah, the editing application, and I will introduce the YSTLDV and YSTV function → *neutral* (68.3%)

00:50 - 00:58 including, after that, I just combine it with the comparison table. → *neutral* (88.6%)

00:58 - 01:00 Okay. → *neutral* (58.4%)

01:00 - 01:01 Yeah. → *neutral* (54.9%)

01:01 - 01:04 So, can I see that comparison table? → *neutral* (86.6%)

01:04 - 01:05 Comparison table. → *neutral* (65.0%)

01:05 - 01:07 Yes. → *positive* (46.1%)

01:07 - 01:10 Ah. → *neutral* (57.1%)

01:10 - 01:11 All right. → *neutral* (49.0%)

01:11 - 01:18 So, you compare these systems that you found. → *neutral* (81.8%)

01:18 - 01:23 Are these tools or models? → *neutral* (83.8%)

01:23 - 01:24 Ah. → *neutral* (57.1%)

01:24 - 01:28 How to say, there's all, all, all two. → *neutral* (80.8%)

01:28 - 01:29 All two. → *neutral* (64.4%)

01:29 - 01:30 Okay. → *neutral* (58.4%)

01:30 - 01:41 So, the two names, for example, fireflies, tactic, flow, something like it. → *neutral* (88.9%)

01:41 - 01:44 So, this is the name of the tools, right? → *neutral* (82.2%)

01:44 - 01:45 Yeah, right. → *neutral* (54.7%)

01:45 - 01:50 And how, what is the metric that you compare these tools? → *neutral* (84.7%)

01:50 - 01:56 Is drill time, just stay in the comparison table. → *neutral* (75.6%)

01:56 - 01:57 Okay. → *neutral* (58.4%)

01:57 - 02:05 So, the real time summarization, all of them, actually support, upload video to some right, right? → *neutral* (68.1%)

02:05 - 02:06 Yeah. → *neutral* (54.9%)

02:06 - 02:10 One fellow fellow cannot upload the video. → *negative* (78.3%)

02:10 - 02:11 Okay. → *neutral* (58.4%)

02:11 - 02:13 Multi languages support. → *neutral* (68.5%)

02:13 - 02:15 Support platform. → *neutral* (68.9%)

02:15 - 02:18 So, what does it mean by support platform? → *neutral* (87.0%)

02:18 - 02:24 It means that it is a plugin that we can use it in the Zoom, or we can run these tools → *neutral* (66.0%)

02:24 - 02:27 while we are, for example, using Zoom. → *neutral* (86.4%)

02:27 - 02:30 Ah, can use it in the Zoom. → *neutral* (67.8%)

02:30 - 02:41 Actually, this file of two is just maybe there instead of robot to the meeting and get the → *neutral* (85.5%)

02:41 - 02:44 transcription to do, summarize. → *neutral* (74.5%)

02:44 - 02:49 So, it's only for getting the transcription, right? → *neutral* (73.9%)

02:49 - 02:52 Or does it summarize it? → *neutral* (83.4%)

02:52 - 03:01 Or, you know, extract some inside full information? → *neutral* (87.8%)

03:01 - 03:05 It's okay, let's try the full information, actually. → *positive* (58.0%)

03:05 - 03:12 Oh, for example, how we can ask the tool to extract the information? → *neutral* (78.0%)

03:12 - 03:16 For example, we use TL DVS example. → *neutral* (90.6%)

03:16 - 03:22 They are all using the AI model as the summarization tool. → *neutral* (71.4%)

03:22 - 03:30 Like this one AI generator, you can give the comment and they will generate for you. → *neutral* (83.1%)

03:30 - 03:35 But as I say, there's all need to need for pay. → *neutral* (77.3%)

03:35 - 03:42 There's just that. → *neutral* (62.5%)

03:42 - 03:44 What's in the last one? → *neutral* (85.5%)

03:44 - 03:45 Yeah. → *neutral* (54.9%)

03:45 - 03:48 And the rest for also. → *neutral* (69.8%)

03:48 - 03:50 Also something that the flow. → *neutral* (81.0%)

03:50 - 03:51 Yeah. → *neutral* (54.9%)

03:51 - 03:56 So the flow doesn't support uploading videos. → *negative* (63.7%)

03:56 - 04:06 Okay, so let me check the other metrics user experience. → *neutral* (84.7%)

04:06 - 04:10 And then sentiment detect. → *neutral* (75.7%)

04:10 - 04:11 Okay. → *neutral* (58.4%)

04:11 - 04:13 Detail detect. → *neutral* (73.0%)

04:13 - 04:14 All right. → *neutral* (49.0%)

04:14 - 04:16 It is a very good actually comparison table. → *positive* (95.7%)

04:16 - 04:24 So from this comparison table, you can come out with three different problems statement. → *neutral* (74.9%)

04:24 - 04:26 I haven't seen your problem statement. → *neutral* (55.4%)

04:26 - 04:28 Have you provided it? → *neutral* (80.0%)

04:28 - 04:29 Yeah. → *neutral* (54.9%)

04:29 - 04:33 Our problem statement is here with. → *neutral* (49.7%)

04:33 - 04:42 So does the problem statement related to this comparison table? → *neutral* (76.7%)

04:42 - 04:44 Yes. → *positive* (46.1%)

04:44 - 04:46 Okay. → *neutral* (58.4%)

04:46 - 04:51 But there's not only in this table are. → *neutral* (82.0%)

04:51 - 04:55 For example, this for the first one, I say. → *neutral* (87.2%)

04:55 - 04:56 Why? → *neutral* (61.2%)

04:56 - 04:58 We need this. → *positive* (49.2%)

04:58 - 05:08 So this tool for use because the information of meeting is overload and had to do a summarized. → *neutral* (79.4%)

05:08 - 05:09 And the second. → *neutral* (66.1%)

05:09 - 05:10 I just. → *negative* (51.0%)

05:10 - 05:11 Yeah. → *neutral* (54.9%)

05:11 - 05:20 I mean, the thing that you explain just now for me, it would be the motivation and importance of the study. → *neutral* (78.3%)

05:20 - 05:23 It would be a problem. → *negative* (65.0%)

05:23 - 05:26 This one is just. → *neutral* (46.7%)

05:26 - 05:30 To the motivation I like the background. → *positive* (79.4%)

05:30 - 05:32 Yes, yes. → *positive* (84.1%)

05:32 - 05:39 And you know, in the introductions, you know, chapter, you have one subsection. → *neutral* (84.3%)

05:39 - 05:43 Name could be motivation or importance of the study. → *neutral* (84.1%)

05:43 - 05:50 The things that you just explained to me, you can actually move it to that subsection. → *neutral* (82.0%)

05:50 - 05:56 Because this is the explanation for the need for these tools. → *neutral* (78.9%)

05:56 - 05:58 It's not a problem. → *neutral* (67.4%)

05:58 - 06:01 It's just a motivation motivation. → *neutral* (53.7%)

06:01 - 06:02 Okay. → *neutral* (58.4%)

06:02 - 06:08 And the second one problem statement is I say deck of sentiment analysis. → *negative* (58.6%)

06:08 - 06:15 Because it's just now can see is just only to support the sentiment analysis only. → *neutral* (80.4%)

06:15 - 06:16 Okay. → *neutral* (58.4%)

06:16 - 06:23 Yes, that is very great because you drive it from the comparison table. → *positive* (95.7%)

06:23 - 06:27 So that is a valid problem statement. → *neutral* (66.6%)

06:27 - 06:28 Yeah. → *neutral* (54.9%)

06:28 - 06:29 Okay. → *neutral* (58.4%)

06:29 - 06:33 And the one is the different deck or different function, different depression function. → *neutral* (75.7%)

06:33 - 06:34 All right. → *neutral* (49.0%)

06:34 - 06:35 These two. → *neutral* (66.7%)

06:35 - 06:36 Yes. → *positive* (46.1%)

06:36 - 06:38 These two. → *neutral* (66.7%)

06:38 - 06:44 What we call problem statement is good enough for your FIP. → *neutral* (56.1%)

06:44 - 06:45 Yeah. → *neutral* (54.9%)

06:45 - 06:46 But I want to. → *neutral* (49.8%)

06:46 - 06:47 For this one. → *neutral* (64.4%)

06:47 - 06:48 Just now. → *neutral* (75.3%)

06:48 - 06:49 This one cannot. → *negative* (59.5%)

06:49 - 06:52 Cannot call is the performance statement in here. → *neutral* (52.0%)

06:52 - 06:53 So. → *neutral* (61.7%)

06:53 - 06:54 We asked. → *neutral* (76.0%)

06:54 - 06:55 Uh. → *neutral* (57.6%)

06:55 - 06:56 Uh. → *neutral* (57.6%)

06:56 - 06:57 Uh. → *neutral* (57.6%)

06:57 - 06:58 Whether. → *neutral* (60.7%)

06:58 - 06:59 Uh. → *neutral* (57.6%)

06:59 - 07:00 For just. → *neutral* (64.7%)

07:00 - 07:01 Now. → *neutral* (52.2%)

07:01 - 07:02 They can see. → *neutral* (64.8%)

07:02 - 07:05 What to use the whole function of the. → *neutral* (75.6%)

07:05 - 07:06 Pro. → *neutral* (56.3%)

07:06 - 07:07 Like. → *neutral* (61.3%)

07:07 - 07:08 T.O.D.V. → *neutral* (72.0%)

07:08 - 07:09 And fire force. → *neutral* (62.2%)

07:09 - 07:10 They are all need to. → *neutral* (73.9%)

07:10 - 07:11 A grab to. → *neutral* (69.3%)

07:11 - 07:12 Pro member. → *neutral* (80.3%)

07:12 - 07:14 And before pay. → *neutral* (69.6%)

07:14 - 07:17 So can it be my problem statement because. → *neutral* (57.1%)

07:17 - 07:18 There's all need. → *neutral* (64.8%)

07:18 - 07:19 For pay. → *neutral* (64.8%)

07:19 - 07:20 There's no. → *neutral* (51.3%)

07:20 - 07:21 Free. → *neutral* (48.0%)

07:21 - 07:22 Yes. → *positive* (46.1%)

07:22 - 07:23 Yes. → *positive* (46.1%)

07:23 - 07:24 Yes. → *positive* (46.1%)

07:24 - 07:25 Yes. → *positive* (46.1%)

07:25 - 07:26 Yes. → *positive* (46.1%)

07:26 - 07:27 You can say that because. → *neutral* (67.4%)

07:27 - 07:29 There's no any free. → *neutral* (61.6%)

07:29 - 07:31 Tools to do so. → *neutral* (64.3%)

07:31 - 07:33 So that's why we are going to. → *neutral* (74.4%)

07:33 - 07:35 Develop such a tool. → *negative* (75.3%)

07:35 - 07:36 Yeah. → *neutral* (54.9%)

07:36 - 07:37 This one can. → *neutral* (55.2%)

07:37 - 07:38 Okay. → *neutral* (58.4%)

07:38 - 07:39 This can be so later. → *neutral* (75.3%)

07:39 - 07:40 I will. → *positive* (48.3%)

07:40 - 07:41 I will. → *positive* (48.3%)

07:41 - 07:43 I will modify about this part. → *neutral* (83.7%)

07:43 - 07:44 Yes. → *positive* (46.1%)

07:44 - 07:45 Okay. → *neutral* (58.4%)

07:45 - 07:46 In my. → *neutral* (61.0%)

07:46 - 07:47 Introduction. → *neutral* (51.0%)

07:47 - 07:48 I have to do the. → *neutral* (75.9%)

07:48 - 07:49 Proper. → *neutral* (60.2%)

07:49 - 07:50 Objective. → *neutral* (60.8%)

07:50 - 07:51 Proper score. → *positive* (67.9%)

07:51 - 07:52 Okay. → *neutral* (58.4%)

07:52 - 07:53 So let. → *neutral* (65.0%)

07:53 - 07:54 Let me check your. → *neutral* (80.9%)

07:54 - 07:55 Project objective. → *neutral* (72.7%)

07:55 - 07:56 So I. → *neutral* (74.8%)

07:56 - 07:57 Accuracy. → *neutral* (69.9%)

07:57 - 07:58 Summarization. → *neutral* (73.0%)

07:58 - 07:59 Uh. → *neutral* (57.6%)

07:59 - 08:02 That is a very. → *neutral* (61.6%)

08:02 - 08:03 Actually. → *neutral* (55.9%)

08:03 - 08:04 Tough. → *neutral* (56.6%)

08:04 - 08:06 Objective. → *neutral* (60.8%)

08:06 - 08:07 Yeah. → *neutral* (54.9%)

08:07 - 08:08 So guys. → *neutral* (70.1%)

08:08 - 08:09 Can I see. → *neutral* (71.8%)

08:09 - 08:11 Again, your problem statement. → *negative* (72.5%)

08:11 - 08:12 Actually, the object. → *neutral* (67.0%)

08:12 - 08:15 All the objectives need to be telling with the. → *neutral* (77.6%)

08:15 - 08:17 Uh, problem statement. → *negative* (57.1%)

08:17 - 08:18 So for example. → *neutral* (68.9%)

08:18 - 08:19 It should. → *neutral* (62.8%)

08:19 - 08:20 Totally. → *neutral* (63.8%)

08:20 - 08:21 Open statement. → *neutral* (64.8%)

08:21 - 08:22 Okay. → *neutral* (58.4%)

08:22 - 08:23 Yes. → *positive* (46.1%)

08:23 - 08:24 Actually, they are. → *neutral* (71.0%)

08:24 - 08:27 A closely related to each other. → *neutral* (71.1%)

08:27 - 08:30 For example, if you have sentiment. → *neutral* (85.6%)

08:30 - 08:32 Checking for the. → *neutral* (79.8%)

08:32 - 08:33 Summarization. → *neutral* (73.0%)

08:33 - 08:34 You. → *neutral* (54.6%)

08:34 - 08:36 Your objective would be. → *neutral* (72.2%)

08:36 - 08:37 Two. → *neutral* (56.9%)

08:37 - 08:38 Develop. → *neutral* (55.1%)

08:38 - 08:39 At two. → *neutral* (67.8%)

08:39 - 08:40 Okay. → *neutral* (58.4%)

08:40 - 08:41 Um, so. → *neutral* (70.7%)

08:41 - 08:42 Oh, if. → *neutral* (67.2%)

08:42 - 08:43 What do you say this? → *neutral* (80.2%)

08:43 - 08:44 Uh, before we. → *neutral* (77.2%)

08:44 - 08:45 We study. → *neutral* (78.1%)

08:45 - 08:46 Maybe I. → *neutral* (76.9%)

08:46 - 08:47 I can show you. → *neutral* (69.7%)

08:47 - 08:49 Show you something with. → *neutral* (71.9%)

08:49 - 08:50 Okay. → *neutral* (58.4%)

08:50 - 08:51 Before that. → *neutral* (69.9%)

08:51 - 08:52 Never actually. → *neutral* (57.7%)

08:52 - 08:53 Estate. → *neutral* (67.6%)

08:53 - 08:54 Any. → *neutral* (55.5%)

08:54 - 08:55 Presentage. → *neutral* (66.2%)

08:55 - 08:59 For the accuracy or other metrics in your objectives. → *neutral* (82.0%)

08:59 - 09:02 Because if you don't reach it, then your. → *negative* (50.5%)

09:02 - 09:03 Objective. → *neutral* (60.8%)

09:03 - 09:04 Objective would be. → *neutral* (66.3%)

09:04 - 09:05 Uh, you know. → *neutral* (61.8%)

09:05 - 09:06 Uh, what we call. → *neutral* (74.8%)

09:06 - 09:07 We rejected. → *negative* (65.2%)

09:07 - 09:08 We reject that. → *negative* (76.7%)

09:08 - 09:09 Objective because you didn't. → *negative* (48.6%)

09:09 - 09:10 Uh, actually. → *neutral* (66.6%)

09:10 - 09:21 So never use this kind of percentage in your objective. → *negative* (65.4%)

09:21 - 09:22 Okay. → *neutral* (58.4%)

09:22 - 09:23 I try to do this. → *neutral* (69.4%)

09:23 - 09:24 Uh, yeah. → *neutral* (63.3%)

09:24 - 09:27 Because before I have tried it. → *neutral* (73.3%)

09:27 - 09:28 It's too. → *neutral* (63.2%)

09:28 - 09:30 It's too. → *neutral* (63.2%)

09:30 - 09:31 Above. → *neutral* (63.0%)

09:31 - 09:32 Uh, here. → *neutral* (68.6%)

09:32 - 09:33 By using. → *neutral* (73.6%)

09:33 - 09:35 Uh. → *neutral* (57.6%)

09:35 - 09:37 Concuraction. → *neutral* (75.3%)

09:37 - 09:38 The. → *neutral* (56.7%)

09:38 - 09:39 By using the. → *neutral* (80.5%)

09:39 - 09:40 Accuracy. → *neutral* (69.9%)

09:40 - 09:41 Accuracy. → *neutral* (69.9%)

09:41 - 09:42 The. → *neutral* (56.7%)

09:42 - 09:43 Accuracy. → *neutral* (69.9%)

09:43 - 09:45 Uh, → *neutral* (58.8%)

09:45 - 09:46 Named. → *neutral* (69.9%)

09:46 - 09:47 L. → *neutral* (54.8%)

09:47 - 09:48 We can call the. → *neutral* (82.5%)

09:48 - 09:49 Each summer. → *neutral* (74.3%)

09:49 - 09:50 Each. → *neutral* (60.5%)

09:50 - 09:52 The result of the. → *neutral* (72.3%)

09:52 - 09:53 Two. → *neutral* (56.9%)

09:53 - 09:54 Best on the. → *positive* (74.1%)

09:54 - 09:56 Best result. → *positive* (87.9%)

09:57 - 09:58 I didn't get. → *negative* (65.5%)

09:58 - 09:59 I didn't get. → *negative* (65.5%)

09:59 - 10:01 I didn't get your question. → *negative* (64.7%)

10:01 - 10:05 Well, I, I, I, I'm confused about it. → *negative* (67.7%)

10:05 - 10:06 Uh, okay. → *neutral* (74.9%)

10:06 - 10:07 The first. → *neutral* (61.9%)

10:07 - 10:11 I, I've found some resource from the. → *positive* (54.1%)

10:11 - 10:12 Westside. → *neutral* (59.9%)

10:12 - 10:13 From this. → *neutral* (73.0%)

10:13 - 10:14 AML. → *neutral* (52.9%)

10:14 - 10:15 Coppers. → *neutral* (60.8%)

10:15 - 10:16 Actually, this. → *neutral* (73.5%)

10:16 - 10:17 Westside content. → *neutral* (84.6%)

10:17 - 10:18 Many. → *neutral* (64.7%)

10:18 - 10:19 Data. → *neutral* (63.4%)

10:19 - 10:20 From. → *neutral* (63.9%)

10:20 - 10:21 Meeting. → *neutral* (80.1%)

10:21 - 10:22 Meeting. → *neutral* (80.1%)

10:22 - 10:23 I. → *neutral* (55.0%)

10:23 - 10:25 Uh, I, uh, um, → *neutral* (73.4%)

10:25 - 10:26 Okay. → *neutral* (58.4%)

10:26 - 10:27 The. → *neutral* (56.7%)

10:27 - 10:28 Um, I filled it up. → *neutral* (63.2%)

10:28 - 10:29 So, I'm not. → *neutral* (59.6%)

10:29 - 10:31 I. → *neutral* (55.0%)

10:31 - 10:32 I'll do a. → *neutral* (71.1%)

10:32 - 10:33 Simple. → *neutral* (54.1%)

10:33 - 10:34 I'm assuming. → *neutral* (72.9%)

10:34 - 10:35 So, is it a simple. → *neutral* (76.0%)

10:35 - 10:36 I'm assuming. → *neutral* (72.9%)

10:36 - 10:37 Simple. → *neutral* (54.1%)

10:37 - 10:38 To. → *neutral* (56.0%)

10:38 - 10:39 I transcript the. → *neutral* (71.2%)

10:39 - 10:41 To a world. → *neutral* (66.5%)

10:41 - 10:42 Okay. → *neutral* (58.4%)

10:42 - 10:43 And. → *neutral* (61.9%)

10:43 - 10:46 I just. → *negative* (51.0%)

10:46 - 10:47 Summary. → *neutral* (63.5%)

10:47 - 10:48 By using. → *neutral* (73.6%)

10:48 - 10:49 Some powerful. → *positive* (55.6%)

10:49 - 10:51 Aye. → *neutral* (52.7%)

10:51 - 11:01 or two at least let's go they get it mean the accuracy to the best to the best → *positive* (62.7%)

11:01 - 11:13 summarization I I get from TGVt or DC oh yeah so it means that the accuracy is → *neutral* (73.1%)

11:13 - 11:22 not high enough actually for the transcription they are enough high accuracy → *neutral* (54.5%)

11:22 - 11:30 but the summarized or as daughter can see there's just more than is that's → *neutral* (78.6%)

11:30 - 11:38 than 50% I see and why is because as I said just now they are all using → *neutral* (61.3%)

11:38 - 11:43 this AI generator and this one is they collect the transcription inside and → *neutral* (88.8%)

11:43 - 11:51 summarize their self but user only can affect the output if they say something → *neutral* (68.5%)

11:51 - 12:00 up here so it will cause a different summarization and last I think there are some → *neutral* (85.1%)

12:00 - 12:06 tools that you can use for evaluating the summarization → *neutral* (85.9%)

12:06 - 12:13 no need to use chat GPT oh I mean I can I can summarize it by another → *neutral* (68.2%)

12:13 - 12:21 la yes yes oh yeah actually in this project I will do the summarization by → *positive* (73.9%)

12:21 - 12:29 another AI motor but for now it's just I need the summarization as a best → *neutral* (82.1%)

12:29 - 12:45 reference for another output okay okay yeah okay yeah as example here this → *neutral* (52.1%)

12:45 - 12:56 summarization is the reference summary this is I summarize using the GVT → *neutral* (88.5%)

12:56 - 13:05 I think this more complicated now yeah and not this result is by another two → *neutral* (54.9%)

13:05 - 13:11 just now the five two you as daughter can see there's quite short and simple yes → *neutral* (49.3%)

13:11 - 13:23 yeah so I using this summary by TGVt as a best and compare to another → *neutral* (78.0%)

13:23 - 13:35 summary so what you can do actually you can use chat GPT also so maybe we can have → *neutral* (61.0%)

13:35 - 13:44 chat GPT API integrated into your project and use chat GPT for summarization oh so → *neutral* (76.9%)

13:44 - 13:51 use TGVt and summarization yes because beside that I found another → *neutral* (52.4%)

13:51 - 14:01 summarized the motor like but when I go there's also also can summarize the → *neutral* (87.4%)

14:01 - 14:10 transcription okay but you found that the chat GPT is most accurate between all → *positive* (68.8%)

14:10 - 14:21 those which you you know evaluate right yeah so what you need to do is you need to → *neutral* (83.7%)

14:21 - 14:27 provide another comparison table for the AI models or tools that they can → *neutral* (84.2%)

14:27 - 14:33 summarize for example some AI models that you found chat GPT deep seek and then → *neutral* (91.1%)

14:33 - 14:41 compare that they're accuracy and then yeah based on that table you can see → *neutral* (86.8%)

14:41 - 14:47 because chat GPT is the most for example what we call accurate one that's → *positive* (59.0%)

14:47 - 14:55 why we may need to use chat GPT in our tools to have a bridge in between → *neutral* (87.2%)

14:55 - 15:01 chat GPT and our tools to summarize all those you know information that you want → *neutral* (73.1%)

15:01 - 15:09 okay okay I just okay yeah and now for the for the ball in this → *neutral* (53.0%)

15:09 - 15:15 introduction also got a project score and I introduce why my target user in → *neutral* (65.3%)

15:15 - 15:23 this project the project is scope is target user can be one of them and also → *neutral* (75.4%)

15:23 - 15:31 project scope is for example if you want to work on the you know sentiment → *neutral* (88.9%)

15:31 - 15:38 detection you need to talk about how far you want to work on this sentiment → *neutral* (90.5%)

15:38 - 15:46 detection for example you may say that we don't want to actually define tune or → *neutral* (72.4%)

15:46 - 15:52 develop new AI model we are going to use the existing ones like chat GPT so → *neutral* (85.5%)

15:52 - 15:57 this one actually would be your project scope too because sometimes they the → *neutral* (87.8%)

15:57 - 16:02 what we call the moderator may ask you why you didn't come up with your AI → *neutral* (73.8%)

16:02 - 16:08 model or why you didn't find tune other model why you use chat GPT so you say → *neutral* (75.1%)

16:08 - 16:13 that this is the scope of my project fine tuning other model is out of my → *neutral* (81.9%)

16:13 - 16:22 scope so it's not only for the targeted users it would be for other models → *neutral* (84.3%)

16:22 - 16:27 that you can you want to actually use all the tools that you want to use you → *neutral* (69.7%)

16:27 - 16:31 need to list out all of them as well → *neutral* (86.2%)

16:31 - 16:47 I know very understand about it mean the project scope also project scope is not → *neutral* (66.9%)

16:47 - 16:53 only for the targeted users you need to add all those aspect of your → *neutral* (80.7%)

16:53 - 16:58 project into the project scope → *neutral* (82.0%)

16:58 - 17:04 aspect for example as I told you for example you want maybe you want to you → *neutral* (78.4%)

17:04 - 17:11 decided to use chat GPT for your sentiment detection right or for the → *neutral* (90.5%)

17:11 - 17:18 summarization right or sentiment detection you need to just write it down → *neutral* (80.2%)

17:18 - 17:24 that the tool that you're going to use for this summarization is chat GPT → *neutral* (89.3%)

17:24 - 17:34 for example but for this step I'm not sure the final final two I will use → *neutral* (64.3%)

17:34 - 17:41 is chat GPT I also right here okay you can leave this score until the end of → *neutral* (79.2%)

17:41 - 17:47 your report so by end of the report maybe some of the other aspect would be → *neutral* (91.1%)

17:47 - 17:53 clear then you can add them into the project scope okay yeah and then if a → *neutral* (61.2%)

17:53 - 17:59 project scope is not completed for FYP one is still okay you can complete it in → *neutral* (50.5%)

17:59 - 18:05 FYP to to make sure that you define all the scope that you cover for your → *neutral* (85.2%)

18:05 - 18:09 project otherwise you will be asked from the moderating why you didn't for → *neutral* (64.3%)

18:09 - 18:15 example cover this part you can simply say because it is out of my score okay → *neutral* (74.9%)

18:15 - 18:23 before I want to conform for the project one the final output is a future → *neutral* (81.2%)

18:23 - 18:33 waterfall right yes a waterfall is which one because I see there is different → *neutral* (65.0%)

18:33 - 18:40 for for another senior so what you need to do is actually to get the template from → *neutral* (88.1%)

18:40 - 18:47 students portal get the latest template over there yeah but it's still → *neutral* (84.0%)

18:47 - 18:54 enough to do until the project specification yeah project specification you → *neutral* (80.5%)

18:54 - 19:01 can get some of the requirement specification from the questioner oh so this → *neutral* (86.0%)

19:01 - 19:08 the last part in this project one right yes you can get these from project → *positive* (67.8%)

19:08 - 19:14 specification from the questioner some of it and for some other project → *neutral* (90.0%)

19:14 - 19:18 specification you know your project better than anyone so you can → *positive* (57.9%)

19:18 - 19:32 specify all those requirements okay yeah and so I want right here I heard it is → *neutral* (76.6%)

19:32 - 19:39 too low in this step I just entered the chapter two it's okay actually because → *positive* (82.0%)

19:39 - 19:46 you come out with the chapter two and a very nice comparison table we drive problem → *positive* (59.7%)

19:46 - 19:53 statement from that comparison table I think you are done with 60 or 70% of the way → *neutral* (85.7%)

19:54 - 20:00 so the remaining part is 30% so please try to modify your objective to be → *neutral* (87.3%)

20:00 - 20:06 based on the problem statements first and then try to complete the methodology → *neutral* (86.1%)

20:06 - 20:14 section you have methodology section how you want to solve the problems then share → *neutral* (87.5%)

20:14 - 20:22 with me your methodology then we can move to the next step okay so for now I I do a → *neutral* (61.2%)

20:22 - 20:32 conclusion for my for my part now okay yeah so what for for for for for for my → *neutral* (64.6%)

20:32 - 20:43 now means what will I do later okay what you need to do is to first of all please → *neutral* (72.5%)

20:43 - 20:52 try to you know come up with a comparison table for those evaluation that you → *neutral* (86.9%)

20:52 - 20:58 have done those percentage for the chativity other tools or all those → *neutral* (88.8%)

20:58 - 21:07 percentage for the summarization okay and then please try to complete your problem → *neutral* (68.9%)

21:07 - 21:17 a statement based on this comparison tables okay then modify your objective to be → *neutral* (88.3%)

21:17 - 21:24 based on the problem statement and don't use any percentage didn't you any → *neutral* (58.8%)

21:24 - 21:30 percentage okay yes you know if you don't achieve it then all your objective would be rejected → *negative* (55.5%)

21:31 - 21:39 okay okay okay then this is the thing that you need to do and after that you need to → *neutral* (60.7%)

21:39 - 21:46 actually have another part in your literature review for suffered development methodology → *neutral* (89.9%)

21:46 - 22:00 like agile prototyping yeah I do do before yeah I think this form will I do later which is for → *neutral* (81.2%)

22:00 - 22:08 the application the two and model and the methodology okay okay okay so do based on your plan → *neutral* (50.1%)

22:09 - 22:18 then if you are you know able you can write down the methodology section then share it with me to → *neutral* (84.5%)

22:18 - 22:32 see how is it okay and then for the EFYP I have that meet it but haven't haven't passed → *neutral* (63.4%)

22:32 - 22:44 okay yeah okay I will check it okay the law book okay no problem I will check yeah and also → *positive* (69.8%)

22:44 - 22:51 because in the project class the literature has said I should get the turning → *neutral* (81.0%)

22:51 - 22:58 account from the supervisor right yes yes I will share it with you yeah so so so → *positive* (74.1%)

22:58 - 23:06 it's just later okay actually I provided for my students but normally they ask me at the end → *positive* (51.0%)

23:06 - 23:14 of the trimester but yeah let me share it here with you okay → *neutral* (73.8%)

23:18 - 23:21 so here is the turning to an account → *neutral* (78.9%)

23:22 - 23:33 and then for the law book I think the last one I haven't marked it so it has been marked for you → *neutral* (83.3%)

23:34 - 23:50 the law book also marked okay all right anything else → *positive* (55.8%)

23:51 - 23:56 yeah before I think I should share something for for the first → *neutral* (79.8%)

23:57 - 24:05 because before I am very confused to how my application works but so I just do a prototype → *negative* (51.4%)

24:06 - 24:14 now there's just a simple view for the website yeah but the result is just for the for the → *neutral* (80.2%)

24:14 - 24:23 transcription I haven't I haven't is that the summarized model this just for see this is quite → *neutral* (77.5%)

24:23 - 24:33 simple and good yeah yeah what is that upload the voice file okay okay yeah like I've done → *positive* (77.3%)

24:33 - 24:40 there are waves far and it will transfer even summarized after that for now is just only the transcription → *neutral* (80.5%)

24:41 - 24:50 okay yeah and also for the different detects or something is these two wouldn't be real time → *neutral* (74.3%)

24:50 - 25:00 we need to upload the voice to get the transcript is it no no the after that we we can get the real time → *neutral* (84.3%)

25:02 - 25:08 I will do the real time real time function but for the problem now is for the different just now I say → *neutral* (76.8%)

25:09 - 25:16 for another two they are using the method they are used is insert a robot into the meeting to → *neutral* (84.6%)

25:16 - 25:26 cache the video to cache the transcription right yeah but now I cannot do this so maybe for the → *negative* (70.0%)

25:26 - 25:35 different detectable it will cache the user screen that something example is just I share screen now → *neutral* (84.6%)

25:36 - 25:42 it will share a screen to the website and detect the video to do the different detection it's okay → *positive*

(52.2%)

25:43 - 25:52 yeah yeah it's okay yeah it's okay right because I found if I cache direct in the → *positive* (83.4%)

25:55 - 25:59 app in the meeting app using another function is has a policy problem → *negative* (67.8%)

25:59 - 26:09 so so I think only only can using this stress screen function to do that okay okay yeah I can → *positive* (65.0%)

26:10 - 26:17 yeah so I think I think that's all I think I should focus I should → *neutral* (56.0%)

26:17 - 26:27 done the literature review by next week before before before I think I think okay I try to → *positive* (52.2%)

26:27 - 26:33 do it before we could and I share it with me we may have another meeting to discuss about it → *neutral* (82.0%)

26:34 - 26:44 yeah okay and can I ask some external Christian Christian me yes yes sure because for the → *positive* (59.8%)

26:45 - 26:53 one where application I see got two critical assessment so what will we cover in this Monday → *neutral* (92.0%)

26:53 - 27:00 predicate assessment what that actually I asked this question from Dr. Nabloff based on what he said → *neutral* (91.7%)

27:01 - 27:08 all the topics from the week one of practical until the end of the authorization → *neutral* (92.4%)

27:09 - 27:17 or until the end of authorization yes would be for the practical exam one on Monday → *neutral* (89.8%)

27:18 - 27:30 okay okay but for the practical exam two it will be based on the front end and then I yes → *neutral* (55.7%)

27:30 - 27:38 which I guess I'm not sure you can ask this one from Dr. Nabloff is regarding to the reactions → *neutral* (74.6%)

27:38 - 27:45 that the actually topics that we are going to work on from week 10 to week 12 → *neutral* (91.8%)

27:46 - 27:55 okay but for the next Monday one is open put on not all because yesterday no the → *neutral* (84.0%)

27:55 - 28:02 Dr. Nabloff said he also to share he's not sure oh there's no sure → *neutral* (77.0%)

28:04 - 28:10 oh okay actually he is the one who decide for this one to be open work or not → *neutral* (85.8%)

28:11 - 28:15 uh so I think I think you'd better to ask him → *neutral* (80.7%)

28:16 - 28:24 did Dr. Nabloff say to you mistakes oh okay okay yeah yeah and actually yes that he's the → *neutral* (68.7%)

28:24 - 28:32 course coordinator and he's the one who decide on this one but to me it's better to be open book → *neutral* (72.5%)

28:32 - 28:39 so let's uh Dr. Nabloff let's see the Dr. Nabloff decision okay so → *neutral* (89.0%)

3. Sentiment Overview

Total Segments: 417

Positive: 0 (0.0%)

Neutral: 0 (0.0%)

Negative: 0 (0.0%)

4. Deepfake Detection

Total Frames Analyzed: 58

Fake Frames Detected: 0

Fake Percentage: 0.00%

☐ Full screenshot results are available in the ZIP file.