Table II: Overview of Scenario Components and Elements' Hierarchy along with their Availability in D1 (US) and D2 (EU)

Scenario Comp.	Elements	Sub-elements	Sub-sub elements	Details	Data D1	asets D2
	Road Layout	Junction	-	I -	×	1 1
Static Env.	Static Object	Junction -	-	-	×	×
Dynamic Env.	Static Object	-	Daggar man sang	Coupe, Wagon, etc		× /
			Passenger cars		√	
	Actors	Vehicles	Light Trucks	Utility, Light Pickup, etc	√	×
			Large Trucks	Cargo Van, Large Pickup, etc	√	X
			Buses	School Bus, Transit Bus, etc	√	√
			Heavy Good Vehicles	Trams, etc	×	√
			Other/Unknown Vehicles	Limousine, Terrain, etc	√	√
		Pedestrians	-	-	✓	✓
		Motorcyclists	2-Wheel Motorcycle		√	√
			Moped		√	√
			3-Wheel Motorcycle		√	×
			Unenclosed 3-Wheel Motorcycle		√	×
			Scooter		√	×
			Other		√	×
			Unknown		√	×
		Pedalcyclists	-	-	√	√
		Unknown	-	-	√	×
			Turning Left	-	_	√
	Activity	Vehicle Lat. Activity Vehicle Long. Activity	Turning Right	-		√
			Negotiating a Curve	-	_	√
			Backing Up	-	-	√
			U-turn	-	-	\
			Merge/Lane Change	-	1	-
			Driving Straight	-	1	V
			Decelerating in Road	-	· /	×
			Overtaking	_	7	×
			Starting in Road	_	7	X
			Leaving a Parking Position	_	7	X
			Stopped in Roadway	_	\ \ \ \	×
			Parked in Travel Lane	_	-	X
			Other Maneuver	_	\ \ \ \	×
			Unknown	-	V	×
			Chkhown			
Conditions		Dry	-	-	✓	✓
		Rain	-	-	✓	✓
	Weather	Snow/Sleet	-	-	√	√
		Fog/Mist	-	-	×	√
		Strong Wind	-	-	×	✓
		Other	-	-	✓	√
		Unknown	-	-	√	√
	Light	Dark	-	-	√	√
		Daylight	-	-	√	√
		Dark, but Lighted	-	-	√	√
		Dawn/Twilight	-	-	√	√
		Street Lights	Light lit	-	×	~
			Light unlit	-	×	
			No lights	-	×	√
			None/unlit	-	×	<u> </u>
		Other	,	-	7	×

 $({\rm Comp.=\ Component},\ {\rm Env.=Environment})$

Comparison D1 vs. D2:

We compared both datasets by identifying the scenario components and element hierarchies. Our goal of this comparison was to see if we could find fatality statistics for scenario components and elements, as shown in Figures A to E.

We also marked whether the elements and sub-elements were found in one or both datasets, as shown in Table II. For example, in the scenario element "Actors", the sub-elements are 'Vehicles', 'Pedestrians', and 'Pedalcyclists', etc. Under 'Vehicles', further sub-elements are 'Passenger cars', 'Buses', etc. The data for most of these elements are available in both datasets. Additionally, we observed differences in how certain elements were grouped. For example, 'Passenger Cars' in the D1 dataset only included cars, but in D2, it also included vans and pickups, which were separately grouped as 'Light trucks' in the D1 dataset. We looked at the distribution of sub-elements and sub-sub-elements to understand variations in the two datasets.

Figure A shows the distribution of fatality (in percentage) associated with "Actors" in traffic incidents from both datasets. The x-axis shows the sub-elements of the element "Actor", such as 'Vehicles', 'Pedestrians', and 'Motorcyclists', 'Pedalcyclists', 'Others' and 'Unknown'. The y-axis represents the cumulative fatality percentage attributed to each actor sub-element and its sub-sub elements. For example, The fatality counts shown for 'Vehicles' represent the cumulative sum of all its sub-sub elements such as (large trucks, buses, passenger cars, etc.). In D1 and D2, the most pronounced fatality rate is observed in incidents involving vehicles, accounting for 66.03% and 52.5% of the fatalities. Pedestrians and motorcyclists also represent significant proportions of fatalities. In D1, pedestrians account for approximately 17.21% of fatalities, which is lower than the percentage in D2 (22.1%). However, a reverse trend is observed for motorcyclists, with D1 showing about 13.81% fatalities compared to D2's (16.8%). Pedalcyclists represent a higher fatality rate in D2 (8.6%) compared to D1 (2.25%). In D1, the fatality rate for unknown actors is 0.4, with 0 representing missing data in D1 for other actors.

Figure B shows the distribution of fatalities (in percentage) of sub-sub element 'Vehicle Lateral activity' and 'Vehicle Longitudinal activity' in traffic incidents from both datasets. The 'Vehicle Lateral activity' and 'Vehicle Longitudinal activity' are driving maneuvers. In both datasets, most accidents occur in the 'Going straight' maneuver. Figure C and Figure D show the distribution of sub-elements of "Weather" and "Light" conditions in both datasets. In both datasets, accidents mainly occur in dry and daylight conditions. Figure E shows the distribution of sub-elements of Road Layout. There is no data available related to the sub-elements of "Road Layout" in D1. While in D2, 15% of accidents occur at junctions, and 80.6% of accidents occur when not at a junction. Additionally, a small percentage of accidents in D2 (4.4%) have an unknown road layout. Figure ?? concisely shows the overlap between the number of sub-elements in D1 and D2. D1 consists of 19 sub-elements, and D2 consists of 19 sub-elements, with 13 sub-elements common to both datasets. However, for sub-sub elements, D1 has more data than D2.

Furthermore, each dataset contains scenario groups that are based on similar sub-elements and sub-sub-elements. These scenario groups show the relative number of fatalities as a percentage. In D1, there are nine scenario groups: Rear-End, Crossing Path, Lane Change, Road Departure, Animal, Opposite Direction, Pedestrian, Pedalcyclist, and Remaining Scenarios. In D2, there are 28 scenario groups. The distribution of fatalities across each scenario group is shown in Figure G and Figure H.




Figure A: Actors




Figure B: Vehicle Lateral and Longitudinal Activity




Figure C: Weather Conditions




Figure D: Light Conditions




Figure E: Road Layout




Figure F: Overlap between the number of sub-elements in D1 (US) vs. D2 (EU)




Figure G: Number of fatalities per scenario group in D1




Figure H: Number of fatalities per scenario group in ${\cal D}2$