# CS 300 Module Two Assignment Rubric

Activity: 2-3 Assignment: Vector Sorting

Course: CS-300-11942-M01 DSA: Analysis and Design 2025 C-2 (Mar - Apr)

Name: JASON Hney

Criteria	Proficient	Needs Improvement	Not Evident	Criterion Score
Code Reflection	25 points  Describes purpose of code, techniques implemented to solve problem, challenges encountered, and approaches to overcome the challenges	17.5 points  Lacks details in code purpose, techniques implemented, or challenges encountered	O points  Does not explain purpose of code, techniques used, or challenges encountered	25 / 25

# **Criterion Feedback**

You thoroughly described the purpose of the code, identified challenges, resolved them, and implemented techniques to solve the problem.

Pseudocode or	10 points	7 points	0 points	10 / 10
Pseudocode or Flowchart	Pseudocode or flowchart is clear and understandable and captures accurate logic to translate to the programming language	Pseudocode or flowchart has errors or omissions that affect its clarity or understandability, or the logic to translate to the programming language is inaccurate or incomplete	Pseudocode or flowchart does not contain the logic to translate to the programming language	

Crite	ria	Proficient	Needs Improvement	Not Evident	Criterion Score	
-------	-----	------------	----------------------	-------------	-----------------	--

#### **Criterion Feedback**

Update:

Grade adjusted based on resubmission. Remember, you don't have to do the whole program.

---

Great start, Jason.

The assignment only requires that you write pseudocode or flowcharts for the functions you create (Quick Sort and Selection Sort). Most of this is already provided for you as pseudocode in the student data file. You should have more detail in the pseudocode as it should translate to one or two lines of actual programming code at most. You do not have to do the entire program, which makes your life easier.

You can do flowcharts if you want, but most students elect to do pseudocode because it gets extremely complicated as we move through the course.

You may correct and resubmit.

Specifications	20 points	14 points	0 points	20 / 20
and	All algorithm	Details of the	Program only	
Correctness:	specifications are	specifications are	functions	
Algorithm	met completely	violated, or	correctly in very	
	and function in	program often	limited cases or	
	all cases	exhibits incorrect	not at all	
	✓	behavior		

#### **Criterion Feedback**

Your algorithm and code specifications were met completely and functioned correctly in all cases.

Specifications	20 points	14 points	0 points	20 / 20
and	All data structure	Details of the	Program only	
Correctness:	specifications are	specifications are	functions	
Data Structure	met completely	violated, or	correctly in very	
	and function in	program often	limited cases or	
	all cases	exhibits incorrect	not at all	
	<b>✓</b>	behavior		

Criteria Proficient Needs Improvement Not Evident Criterion Score
---

#### **Criterion Feedback**

Your data structures and code specifications were met completely and functioned correctly in all cases.

Annotation and	10 points	7 points	0 points	7 / 10
Documentation	Code annotations explain and facilitate navigation of the code	Comments provide little assistance with understanding the code	Code annotations do not explain the code or do not facilitate navigation of code, or code is not fully or logically annotated	

# **Criterion Feedback**

Your code comments explained and facilitated some of the navigation of the code. You should include inline comments for the major steps in your code you create as is industry-standard best practice. Most of this is already provided for you. You may correct and resubmit.

Modular and	10 points	7 points	0 points	10 / 10
Reusable	Methods are limited in scope and responsibility, and both algorithms and data structures are implemented in such a way that they can be reused in other programs	Methods have errors in scope or responsibility, or algorithms or data structure are overly tied to the specific program	No attempt was made to develop modular or reusable code	

# **Criterion Feedback**

Your methods, algorithms and structures were properly contained and reusable.

Criteria	Proficient	Needs Improvement	Not Evident	Criterion Score
Readability	5 points  Code follows proper syntax and demonstrates deliberate attention spacing, whitespace, and variable naming	3.5 points  Code contains variations from established syntax and conventions	O points  Code contains significant variations from established syntax and conventions	5 / 5

# **Criterion Feedback**

Your code followed industry standard practices for naming, spacing, and indentation.

Total 97 / 100

# **Overall Score**

Proficient 
Needs Improvement 
Not Evident