National University of Computer and Emerging Sciences, Lahore Campus



Database Systems CS2005 Course: **Course Code: BS** (Computer Science) Program: **Semester: Spring 2024 Total Marks: Out Date:** 18-Mar-2024 Fri 29-Mar-2024 (Start of Lab) **Due Date:** Wed 27-Mar-2024 (start of class) Weight: BCS-4A, BCS-4B Section Page(s): 1 **Assignment:** 4 (FDs & NFs) - SOLUTION

Instructions:

- This assignment is an individual assignment.
- Clearly mention any assumption you have made.
- You are required to submit the hard copy of your assignment at the start of your class.
- For any query, please contact your TA.

TOPIC: Functional Dependencies and Normal Forms

Q1. Consider a relation R (A, B, C, D, E, F, G) with FDs= $\{AB \rightarrow C, D \rightarrow E, C \rightarrow A, B \rightarrow G, C \rightarrow DF, C \rightarrow BD, E \rightarrow AB, A \rightarrow DE, D \rightarrow E\}$. Which of the following FDs may or may not hold over schema R? Give valid reason.

i. A \rightarrow G ii. C \rightarrow E iii. CG \rightarrow E iv. B \rightarrow A v. CGE \rightarrow A

Holding FD: $A \rightarrow G$, $CGE \rightarrow A$, $C \rightarrow E$, $CG \rightarrow E$ Not Holding FD:, $B \rightarrow A$

Q2. Consider two sets of FDs, F and G. F = $\{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C, AC \rightarrow D\}$ and G = $\{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C, A \rightarrow D\}$. Check whether they are equivalent. Show all steps.

Not equivalent

Q3. Consider the relation R (A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I) and a set of FDs F = {AB \rightarrow CD, A \rightarrow E, B \rightarrow FH, C \rightarrow G, D \rightarrow B, G \rightarrow C, H \rightarrow I}. Compute the minimal cover for F (i.e., Fc). Also find all possible Keys (i.e., minimal of super keys) of R.

i. Find Key for the above relation R?

keys are AB & AD.

ii. Find a minimal cover for the above set of FD's?

Step 1: Convert in Canonical form

AB ->C,

AB ->D,

A ->E,

B ->F,

B ->H,

C ->G,

D ->B,

G ->C,

H ->I

Step 2: Check for redundant FDs

No redundant FD so above is minimal cover. i.e.

 $F_c = \{AB \rightarrow CD, A \rightarrow E, B \rightarrow FH, C \rightarrow G, D \rightarrow B, G \rightarrow C, H \rightarrow I\}$

Q4. Consider the relation R (A, B, C, D) and a set of FDs F = {AB \rightarrow D, BC \rightarrow A, D \rightarrow C}. Find all possible Keys of R. AB, BC, BD

```
Q5. Consider a relation schema R (A, B, C, D, E) with FDs F = \{A \rightarrow E, E \rightarrow BD\}.
```

a. Identify the best normal form that R satisfies (1NF, 2NF, 3NF, or BCNF). Justify your answer.

1NF. As it can not be 2NF because we have a partial dependency A \rightarrow E. AC is the candidate key and in this partial

dependency we have a non prime on rhs not being derived by a candidate key (AC).

This FD violates 2NF because A is a proper subset of candidate key and E is non prime.

b. Decompose the relation R into a 2NF schema if it is not in 2NF. (Remove 2NF violations only, in this part)

R1:{ A, B, D, E}; R2{A,C}

 $F1 = \{A \rightarrow E, E \rightarrow BD\} \rightarrow Functional dependencies of R1$

F2={} -> Functional dependencies of R2

c. Check whether your answer to part (b) is in 3NF. If not, decompose it into a 3NF schema.

 $F1 = \{A \rightarrow E, E \rightarrow BD\} \rightarrow Functional dependencies of R1$

F2={} -> Functional dependencies of R2

Since R2 has no fds and has only two keys so it is in BCNF

Since in R1 E->BD is transitive dependency (violates 3NF rules)

Converting R1 into 3NF

 $F1 = \{A \rightarrow E, E \rightarrow BD\} \rightarrow Functional dependencies of R1$

R1:{ A, B, D, E}

R11:{ B,D,E} R12:{A, E}

 $F11 = \{E \rightarrow BD\} \rightarrow Functional dependencies of R11$

F12 = $\{A \rightarrow E\}$ -> Functional dependencies of R12

Final relations

R11:{ B,D,E} R12:{A, E} R2{A,C}

 $\textbf{d.} \ \textbf{Check whether your answer to part (c) is in BCNF. If not, decompose it into a BCNF schema.}\\$

It is in BCNF as well as all fds l.h.s are keys/super keys.

A -> E FD violates 2NF because A is a proper subset of candidate key AD and E is non prime.

D -> B FD violates 2NF because D is a proper subset of candidate key AD and B is non prime.

A->BC FD violates 2NF because A is a proper subset of candidate key AD and B and C are both non prime.

R1{A,B,C,E,H} R2 {D,B} R3{A,D}

F1{A->BCEH, B->CE}

F2{ D->B}

F3{ }

It is not in 3NF as R1 has a transitive dependency B->CE as both are non-prime.

R11{B,C,E} R12{A,B,H} R2 {D,B} R3{A,D}

It is already in BCNF as the lhs of all fds is a super key.

Q7. Use your knowledge and intuition to determine FDs. Address (street_address, city, state, zip).

street_address, city, state → zip

 $zip \rightarrow city$

 $zip \rightarrow state$

zip, state → zip – This is a trivial FD

Q8. consider the following relation schema. DISK_DRIVE (Serial_number, Manufacturer, Model, Batch, Capacity, Retailer)

Example: Disk_drive ('1978619', 'WesternDigital', 'A2235X', '765234', 500, 'CompUSA') Write each of the following dependencies as an FD:

a. The manufacturer and serial number uniquely identifies the drive. Serial_number, Manufacturer - > Serial_number, Manufacturer, Model, Batch, Capacity, Retailer

- **b.** A model number is registered by a manufacturer and therefore can't be used by another manufacturer. Model -> Manufacturer
- **c.** All disk drives in a particular batch are the same model. Batch -> Model
- **d.** All disk drives of a certain model of a particular manufacturer have exactly the same capacity Model, Manufacturer -> Capacity