

Definition of Schemes

Simon Pohmann

February 28, 2022

Contents

1	Category-theoretical stuff	1
2	Schemes	3
3	Coproduct (gluing) in Sch	5
4	Products in Sch	6
5	Properties of Schemes and Morphisms	9

1 Category-theoretical stuff

Let \mathcal{C} be a sub-category of **Set** that has small limits and colimits, and let X be a topological space.

Definition 1.1. A *sheaf* on X is a functor

$$F : \mathrm{Top}(X)^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

that satisfies a local-to-global condition, i.e. for $(U_i)_i$ open and $s_i \in F(U_i)$ such that

$$s_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = s_j|_{U_i \cap U_j}$$

there exists a unique $s \in F(\bigcup_i U_i)$ such that

$$s|_{U_i} = s_i$$

Here we write $s|_V$ for the image of $s \in F(U)$ under the map $F(U) \rightarrow F(V)$ (which we get from the inclusion morphism $V \subseteq U$ in $\mathrm{Top}(X)$).

Definition 1.2. Let F be a sheaf on X . Then the *stalk* of F at some $x \in X$ is the colimit

$$F_x := \operatorname{colim}_{U \ni x \text{ open}} F(U)$$

Definition 1.3. Let B be a basis of X . A B -sheaf is a functor

$$F : \underbrace{\text{Top}(X)|_B}_{\text{The subcategory of Top}(X) \text{ containing only the objects from } B}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

The subcategory of $\text{Top}(X)$ containing only the objects from B

that satisfies a local-to-global condition, i.e. for $(U_i)_i$ in B and $s_i \in F(U_i)$ such that

$$\forall x \in U_i \cap U_j \quad \underbrace{\exists x \in V \subseteq U_i \cap U_j : s_i|_V = s_j|_V}_{\text{Since } B \text{ is a basis, there is always at least one such } V} \quad \text{and} \quad U := \bigcup_i U_i \in B$$

there exists a unique $s \in F(U)$ such that

$$s|_{U_i} = s_i$$

Theorem 1.4. Let F be a B -sheaf for some basis B of X . Then there exists a unique (up to unique isomorphism) sheaf \tilde{F} on X that extends F .

Proof. First, we show Existence. For an open U in X , define

$$\tilde{F}(U) := \lim_{V \subseteq U, V \in B} F(V)$$

For an inclusion $U_1 \subseteq U_2$ and $s \in \tilde{F}(U_2)$, define then $\tilde{F}(U_1 \subseteq U_2)$ as the unique map such that

$$\tilde{F}(U_2) \xrightarrow{\tilde{F}(U_1 \subseteq U_2)} \tilde{F}(U_1) \rightarrow F(V) \quad \text{is} \quad \tilde{F}(U_1) \rightarrow F(V)$$

for all $V \subseteq U_1$, $V \in B$.

Now note that for $U_1 \subseteq U_2 \subseteq U_3$ we also have a map

$$\tilde{F}(U_3) \rightarrow \tilde{F}(U_2) \rightarrow \tilde{F}(U_1)$$

that is compatible with the maps $F(V_1 \subseteq V_2)$ for $V_1 \subseteq V_2$, $V_1, V_2 \in B$, and so by uniqueness above, we see

$$\tilde{F}(U_1 \subseteq U_3) = \tilde{F}(U_1 \subseteq U_2) \circ \tilde{F}(U_2 \subseteq U_3)$$

Furthermore, clearly $\tilde{F}(\text{id}_U) = \text{id}_{\tilde{F}(U)}$, so \tilde{F} is a presheaf. Note that $\tilde{F}(V) \cong F(V)$ for all $V \in B$ and similar for morphisms, so indeed \tilde{F} extends F .

Now we show the local-to-global condition. Assume we have $(U_i)_i$ open in X and $s_i \in \tilde{F}(U_i)$ such that

$$s_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = s_j|_{U_i \cap U_j}$$

Let $U = \bigcup_i U_i$. By the local-to-global condition of F , for each $V \subseteq U$, $V \in B$ there exists a unique $s_V \in \tilde{F}(V) = F(V)$ with

$$s_V|_{V_i} = s_i|_{V_i} \quad \text{for all } V_i \subseteq U_i \cap V, V_i \in B$$

Since

$$\lim_{V \subseteq U, V \in B} F(V) \cong \{(a_V)_V \in \prod_V F(V) \mid F(V_1 \subseteq V_2)(a_{V_2}) = a_{V_1}\}$$

we see that these s_V lift to one (necessarily unique) $s \in \tilde{F}(U)$.

For Uniqueness, assume we have two such sheaves, say G and H . Now note that for all open U in X with $V_i \in B$, we have

$$G(U) \cong \{(a_V)_V \in \prod_{V \subseteq U, V \in B} F(V) \mid F(V_1 \subseteq V_2)(a_{V_2}) = a_{V_1}\}$$

where \supseteq follows from general structure and \subseteq from the local-to-global property. The same holds for H , so $G \cong H$. \square

2 Schemes

Definition 2.1. A *locally ringed space* is a topological space X with a sheaf of rings \mathcal{O}_X on X such that all stalks $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ are local rings.

Definition 2.2. Let R be a ring (commutative, unital). Then let $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}R}$ be the sheaf on $\text{Spec}R$ that results from extending the B-sheaf

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}R}(D_f) := R_f, \quad f \in R$$

to a sheaf as in Theorem 1.4. Here the sets

$$D_f = \{\mathfrak{p} \leq R \mid f \notin \mathfrak{p}\} \subseteq \text{Spec}R$$

are the basic open sets and form a basis of $\text{Spec}R$.

Definition 2.3. A *morphism* between locally ringed spaces (X, \mathcal{O}_X) and (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) is a continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ together with a natural transformation $\eta : \mathcal{O}_Y \Rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ that satisfies

$$\eta_y : (f_*\mathcal{O}_X)_y \cong \mathcal{O}_{X,f(y)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y,y} \quad \text{maps} \quad \eta_y(\mathfrak{m}) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$$

for all $y \in Y$. We write f for the morphism of schemes $(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ and denote η by $f^\# := \eta$. Here

$$f_* : \text{Sh}(X) \rightarrow \text{Sh}(Y), \quad F \mapsto (U \mapsto F(f^{-1}(U)))$$

is the *direct image functor* of the continuous map f .

Definition 2.4. Similar to the direct image functor, define for a continuous $f : X \rightarrow Y$ the *inverse image functor* as the sheafification

$$f^{-1} : \text{Sh}(Y) \rightarrow \text{Sh}(X), \quad F \mapsto \left(U \mapsto \text{colim}_{V \supseteq f(U)} F(V) \right)^+$$

Proposition 2.5. $f^{-1} \dashv f_*$

Definition 2.6. A locally ringed space (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is an *affine scheme*, if there exists a ring R such that $(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong (\text{Spec} R, \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec} R})$.

Definition 2.7. A locally ringed space (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is a *scheme*, if there exists a covering $X = \bigcup_i U_i$ with open $U_i \subseteq X$ such that each $(U_i, \mathcal{O}_X|_{U_i})$ is an affine scheme. Such U are also called *affine opens*.

Definition 2.8. Denote the category of schemes by **Sch** and the subcategory of affine schemes by **Aff**

Definition 2.9. Let $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism. Then this induces a morphism of affine schemes

$$\text{Spec} \phi : \text{Spec} S \rightarrow \text{Spec} R$$

given by

$$\text{Spec} \phi : \mathfrak{p} \mapsto \phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$$

and on basic open sets D_g , $g \in R$ by

$$(\text{Spec} \phi)_{D_g} : \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec} R}(D_g) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec} S}(D_{\phi(g)}), \quad \frac{x}{g^k} \mapsto \frac{\phi(x)}{\phi(g)^k}$$

Proposition 2.10. *The functor*

$$\text{Spec} : \mathbf{Ring}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Aff}$$

is an equivalence of categories.

Proposition 2.11. *Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a scheme. Then X is T0.*

Proof. Assume not, i.e. there are two points $x, y \in X$ such that every open neighborhood of x contains y and vice versa. As (X, \mathcal{O}_X) has a cover by affine opens, consider an affine open U containing x, y . Then $(U, \mathcal{O}_X|_U)$ is isomorphic to $\text{Spec} R$ for some ring R . However, $\text{Spec} R$ is T0, a contradiction. \square

Definition 2.12. An open subscheme of a scheme (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is $(U, \mathcal{O}_X|_U)$ for an open subset $U \subseteq X$.

Remark 2.13. The notion of a general subscheme is more tricky. We more or less want to have some subset $U \subseteq X$ and a corresponding sheaf \mathcal{O}_U that should be derived from \mathcal{O}_X . However, we obviously cannot take $\mathcal{O}_X|_U$, and in fact, it is not easy to find a suitable one. Hence, for now, we will remain with defining open subschemes.

3 Coproduct (gluing) in Sch

Proposition 3.1. *For affine schemes $\text{Spec}R_1$ and $\text{Spec}R_2$ have that the coproduct in \mathbf{Sch} is*

$$\text{Spec}R_1 \sqcup \text{Spec}R_2 = \text{Spec}(R_1 \times R_2)$$

Proof. Observe that

$$\text{Spec}(R_1 \times R_2) = \underbrace{\{\mathfrak{p} \times R_2 \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}R_1\}}_{=:V_1} \cup \underbrace{\{R_1 \times \mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}R_2\}}_{=:V_2}$$

First of all, the projection maps $\pi_i : R_1 \times R_2 \rightarrow R_i$ give rise to morphisms

$$\phi_i : \text{Spec}R_i \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_1 \times R_2)$$

So we have to show that this cocone is universal.

Let (W, \mathcal{O}_W) be a scheme with morphisms

$$\psi_i : \text{Spec}R_i \rightarrow (W, \mathcal{O}_W)$$

Define

$$f : \text{Spec}(R_1 \times R_2) \rightarrow W, \quad \begin{array}{ll} \mathfrak{p} \times R_2 & \mapsto \psi_1(\mathfrak{p}) \\ R_1 \times \mathfrak{p} & \mapsto \psi_2(\mathfrak{p}) \end{array}$$

This is well-defined, as $\psi_i(R_i)$ is a point such that $\psi_i(\text{Spec}R_i)$ is contained in every open neighborhood of it. Hence $\psi_1(R_1)$ and $\psi_2(R_2)$ cannot be separated by open sets, and thus must be equal as W is T0.

Furthermore, for any open $U \subseteq W$ note that

$$f^{-1}(|U|) = \{\mathfrak{p} \times R_2 \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \psi_1^{-1}(|U|)\} \cup \{R_1 \times \mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \psi_2^{-1}(|U|)\}$$

is a union of open sets, hence open. So f is continuous.

Now note that

$$(V_i, \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(R_1 \times R_2)}|_{V_i}) \cong \text{Spec}R_i$$

where the isomorphisms are natural in V_i . So $s \in \mathcal{O}_W(U)$ yields via $\mathcal{O}_W(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}R_i}(\psi^{-1}(|U|)) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(R_1 \times R_2)}(f^{-1}(|U|) \cap V_i)$ elements

$$s'_1 \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(R_1 \times R_2)}(f^{-1}(|U|) \cap V_1), \quad s'_2 \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(R_1 \times R_2)}(f^{-1}(|U|) \cap V_2)$$

These glue together to some $s' \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(R_1 \times R_2)}(f^{-1}(|U|))$. Hence define a morphism of sheaves

$$\eta : \mathcal{O}_W \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(R_1 \times R_2)}, \quad \eta_U(s) := s'$$

as it is clearly compatible with restriction maps.

Now, by construction, have that for $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}R_i$

$$(f \circ \phi_i)(\mathfrak{p}) = f(\pi_i^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})) = \psi_i(\mathfrak{p})$$

and

$$\phi_i \circ \eta = \psi_i$$

The latter is true, as the isomorphism in

$$\mathcal{O}_W(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec} R_i}(\psi_i^{-1}(|U|)) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(R_1 \times R_2)}(f^{-1}(|U|) \cap V_i)$$

in the direction \leftarrow on basic open sets is given by the extension of the projection map $\pi_i : (R_1 \times R_2)_g \rightarrow (R_i)_{\pi_i(g)}$ and hence is ϕ_i .

The uniqueness of the morphism (f, η) is clear. \square

Proposition 3.2. *Let $(U_i)_i$ be a family of schemes with open subschemes $U_{ij} \subseteq U_i$ and isomorphisms $\phi_{ij} : U_{ij} \rightarrow U_{ji}$. If*

- $U_{ii} = U_i$ and $\phi_{ii} = \text{id}$
- $\phi_{ij}(U_{ij} \cap U_{ik}) \subseteq U_{ji} \cap U_{jk}$
- $\phi_{ik}|_{U_{ij} \cap U_{ik}} = \phi_{jk} \circ \phi_{ij}|_{U_{ij} \cap U_{ik}}$

then there is a scheme X (unique up to unique isomorphism) with an open cover $X = \bigcup X_i$ and isomorphisms of schemes $\psi_i : U_i \rightarrow X_i$ such that

$$\psi_j \circ \phi_{ij} = \psi_i|_{U_{ij}}$$

Remark 3.3. The above easily shows that coproducts exist in **Sch** (just take U_i to be the empty scheme $(\emptyset, \emptyset \mapsto \{0\})$). However, **Sch** is not cocomplete¹, so coequalizers do not exist in general.

4 Products in Sch

The fiber product allows us to define fibers in an abstract sense. First, we show that preimages of open subschemes are open subschemes, i.e. have the natural scheme structure and behave as we would expect them to.

Proposition 4.1 (Preimages of open sets). *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of schemes and let $U \subseteq Y$ be an open subscheme. Then the scheme $(f^{-1}(|U|), \mathcal{O}_X|_{f^{-1}(|U|)})$ is the fiber product $X \times_Y U$.*

Proof. Clearly, the open subscheme $Z := (f^{-1}(|U|), \mathcal{O}_X|_{f^{-1}(|U|)})$ makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Z & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow f \\ X & & U \\ \searrow f & & \swarrow \\ & Y & \end{array}$$

¹<https://mathoverflow.net/questions/9961/colimits-of-schemes>

commute. Hence, to show that it is the fiber product, we have to show universality. Assume W is another scheme with compatible maps $g_x : W \rightarrow X$ and $g_u : W \rightarrow U$. Now define the morphism of schemes $h : W \rightarrow Z$ by

$$\begin{aligned} h : |W| &\rightarrow |U|, & w \in |W| &\mapsto g_x(w) \\ h_V^\# : \mathcal{O}_Z(V) = \mathcal{O}_X(V) &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_W(g_x^{-1}(|V|)), & \alpha &\mapsto (g_x)_V^\#(\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

This is well-defined, as for $w \in |W|$, have $f(g_x(w)) = g_u(w) \in U$ and so $w \in f^{-1}(|U|)$. Clearly, $f \circ h = g_u$ and $h = g_x$ up to restriction. The uniqueness of h is clear as well. \square

From now on, use $f^{-1}(U)$ for the open subscheme $(f^{-1}(|U|), \mathcal{O}_X|_{f^{-1}(|U|)}) = X \times_Y U$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Z$ and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ be schemes over a scheme Z . The fiber product (or pullback) $X \times_Z Y$ is defined as the limit of*

$$X \xrightarrow{f} Z \xleftarrow{g} Y$$

(Finite) fiber products exist in **Sch**.

Proof. We show that binary fiber products exist. Let $f : X \rightarrow Z$, $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ be as above.

Step 1: X, Y, Z affine Assume $X = \text{Spec} R$, $Y = \text{Spec} S$, $Z = \text{Spec} T$ and f, g are induced by ring homomorphisms

$$f^\# : T \rightarrow R, \quad g^\# : T \rightarrow S$$

We claim that $\text{Spec}(R \otimes_T S)$ with

$$(\cdot \otimes 1)^\# : \text{Spec}(R \otimes_T S) \rightarrow \text{Spec} R, \quad (1 \otimes \cdot)^\# : \text{Spec}(R \otimes_T S) \rightarrow \text{Spec} S$$

works. For this, it suffices to show that $R \otimes_T S$ is the pushout (i.e. co-fiber product) of R, S considered as T -algebras. This follows easily from the universal property of the tensor product.

Step 2: Y, Z affine Assume $Y = \text{Spec} S$, $Z = \text{Spec} T$. Let $X = \bigcup X_i$ be a cover of X by affine opens. We want to glue all $X_i \times_Z Y$.

Note that $U_i := X_i \times_Z Y$ comes with a map $\pi_i : U_i \rightarrow X_i$. Now we can consider the open subscheme

$$U_{ij} := \left(\pi_i^{-1}(X_i \cap X_j), \mathcal{O}_X|_{\pi_i^{-1}(X_i \cap X_j)} \right)$$

Claim: U_{ij} is the fiber product $(X_i \cap X_j) \times_Z Y$. Clearly U_{ij} has maps $U_{ij} \rightarrow X_i \cap X_j$ and $U_{ij} \rightarrow Y$ inherited from $U_i \rightarrow X_i$ and $U_i \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
U_{ij} & \longrightarrow & X_i \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
Y & \longrightarrow & Z
\end{array}$$

commutes. It is also not too hard to show that another scheme W with compatible maps $W \rightarrow X_i \cap X_j$ and $W \rightarrow Y$ factors through U_{ij} .

Hence, there is a unique isomorphism $\phi_{ij} : U_{ij} \rightarrow U_{ji}$ by the uniqueness of limits. Claim: The U_i , U_{ij} and ϕ_{ij} satisfy the gluing conditions.

- Clearly $U_{ii} = U_i$ and so $\phi_{ii} = \text{id}$ as there is a unique isomorphism $U_i \rightarrow U_i$.
- Note that by choice of ϕ_{ij} we know that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& X_i & \\
\pi_i \nearrow & & \nwarrow \pi_j \\
U_{ij} & \xrightarrow{\phi_{ij}} & U_{ji}
\end{array}$$

is commutative. Hence $\phi_{ij}^{-1}(\pi_j^{-1}(X_i \cap X_j)) = \pi_i^{-1}(X_i \cap X_j)$ and so $\phi_{ij}^{-1}(U_{ij}) \subseteq U_{ji}$.

- Let

$$U_{ijk} := \left(\pi_i^{-1}(X_i \cap X_j \cap X_k), \mathcal{O}_X|_{\pi_i^{-1}(X_i \cap X_j \cap X_k)} \right) = U_{ij} \cap U_{ik}$$

A similar argument as above also shows that U_{ijk} is the fiber product $(X_i \cap X_j \cap X_k) \times_Z Y$. Now, by uniqueness of limits, find that there is a unique isomorphism $U_{ijk} \rightarrow U_{kij}$. Note that both

$$\phi_{ik}|_{U_{ijk}} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_{jk}|_{U_{jik}} \circ \phi_{ij}|_{U_{ijk}}$$

are such isomorphisms, hence

$$\phi_{ik}|_{U_{ijk}} = \phi_{jk}|_{U_{jik}} \circ \phi_{ij}|_{U_{ijk}}$$

Hence, we find a scheme U that results from gluing all $X_i \times_Z Y$. Now we can glue all the morphisms $X_i \times_Z Y \rightarrow X_i$ and $X_i \times_Z Y \rightarrow Y$ to find compatible morphisms $U \rightarrow X$ and $U \rightarrow Y$.

To show the universal property, assume there is a scheme W with morphisms $W \rightarrow Z$. This induces morphisms $W \rightarrow X_i$ and $W \rightarrow Y$, hence we see that it factors as $W \rightarrow X_i \times_Z Y \rightarrow X$ resp. Y . Gluing those maps $W \rightarrow X_i \times_Z Y$ then gives the expected map $W \rightarrow U$ and so U is indeed the fiber product $X \times_Z Y$.

Step 3: Z affine Exactly as in step 2 (note that we did not use Y affine there).

Step 4: General case Let $Z = \bigcup Z_i$ be a cover of Z by affine opens. Again, we would like to use Step 3 to construct parts as fiber products over Z_i and glue them together. However, we cannot glue $X \times_{Z_i} Y$. Instead, let $X_i = f^{-1}(Z_i)$, $Y_i = g^{-1}(Z_i)$ and glue

$$X_i \times_{Z_i} Y_i = X_i \times_Z Y$$

First, we show this equality above. □

5 Properties of Schemes and Morphisms

Proposition 5.1. *Let X be a scheme and let P be a property of affine opens (i.e. a class of embeddings $\text{Spec} R \rightarrow X$) such that*

- *for all $\alpha : \text{Spec} R \rightarrow X$ and $f \in R$ have*

$$\alpha \text{ satisfies } P \Rightarrow \alpha_f \text{ satisfies } P$$

where $\alpha_f : \text{Spec} R_f \rightarrow X$.

- *for all $\alpha : \text{Spec} R \rightarrow X$ and covers $\text{Spec} R = \bigcup D_{f_i}$ have*

$$\text{all } \alpha_{f_i} \text{ satisfy } P \Rightarrow \alpha \text{ satisfies } P$$

If there is a cover $X = \bigcup X_i$ of affine opens such that all inclusions $X_i \subseteq X$ satisfy P , then all inclusions $U \subseteq X$ of affine opens satisfy P .

Definition 5.2. A scheme X is

- *Noetherian*, if $|X|$ is quasi-compact and for all affine open U have that $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ is Noetherian.
- *reduced*, if for all open U have that $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ is reduced.
- *irreducible*, if $|X|$ is irreducible (i.e. not the union of two proper closed subsets).
- *integral*, if for all open U have that $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ is integral.

Note that as defined before, the notion of an open subscheme is relatively easy. Now we will also say what a closed subscheme is.

Definition 5.3. Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a scheme. A scheme (C, \mathcal{O}_C) is a closed subscheme of (X, \mathcal{O}_X) if $C \subseteq X$ is closed and there exists a quasi-coherent sheaf of ideals \mathcal{I} on \mathcal{O}_X such that

$$i_* \mathcal{O}_C \cong \mathcal{O}_X / \mathcal{I}$$

where $i : C \rightarrow X$ is the inclusion map and $i_* : \text{Sh}(C) \rightarrow \text{Sh}(X)$ its pullback.

Here a sheaf of ideals \mathcal{I} on \mathcal{O}_X is called *quasi-coherent*, if for all affine opens $U \subseteq X$, there exists an ideal $I \leq \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ such that

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{O}_X(U) : \mathcal{I}(D_f) = I \mathcal{O}_X(U)_f$$

Definition 5.4. A morphism of schemes $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is

- *affine*, if $f^{-1}(U)$ is affine for all affine open $U \subseteq X$.
- *quasi-compact*, if $f^{-1}(U)$ is quasi-compact for all quasi-compact and open $U \subseteq X$.
- *locally of finite type*, if for all affine opens $U \subseteq X$ and $V \subseteq Y$ with $f(U) \subseteq V$ have that $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ becomes a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}_Y(V)$ -algebra when equipped with

$$\mathcal{O}_Y(V) \xrightarrow{f^\#} \mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(V)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(U)$$

- *finite type*, if it is quasi-compact and locally of finite type
- a *closed immersion*, if it is an isomorphism onto a closed subscheme of Y .
- an *open immersion*, if it is an isomorphism onto an open subscheme of Y .
- *flat*, if all $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ are flat $\mathcal{O}_{Y,f(x)}$ -modules, i.e. the functor $\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y,f(x)}} \cdot$ of $\mathcal{O}_{Y,f(x)}$ -algebras is exact².
- *separated*, if $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times_B X$ is a closed immersion. Here Δ is the unique morphism $X \rightarrow X \times_B X$ such that $\pi_i \circ \Delta = \text{id}_X$, where $\pi_i : X \times_B X \rightarrow X$.
- *universally closed*, if for each $h : Z \rightarrow Y$ the unique morphism $X \times_Y Z \rightarrow Z$ that makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times_Y Z & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Z & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

commute is closed.

- *proper*, if it is of finite type, separated and universally closed.

We say a scheme X is separated, if the canonical morphism $X \rightarrow \text{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$ is.

Definition 5.5. A scheme X is called *variety*, if it is of finite type, integral and separated.

Proposition 5.6 (Characterization Noetherian). *A quasi-compact scheme X is Noetherian, if and only if there is a cover $X = \bigcup_i U_i$ of affine opens such that all $\mathcal{O}_X(U_i)$ are Noetherian.*

Proposition 5.7 (Characterization reduced). *A scheme X is reduced, if and only if all stalks $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ are reduced.*

²here $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ becomes a $\mathcal{O}_{Y,f(x)}$ -algebra via f_x

Proposition 5.8 (Characterization irreducible). *A scheme X is irreducible, if and only if all affine opens $U \subseteq X$ are irreducible³.*

Proposition 5.9 (Characterization integral). *A scheme X is integral, if and only if it is irreducible and reduced.*

Proposition 5.10 (Characterization flat). *An R -module M is flat if and only if for all injective $\alpha : N_1 \rightarrow N_2$ have that $\text{id} \otimes \alpha : M \otimes_R N_1 \rightarrow M \otimes_R N_2$ is injective.*

Proposition 5.11 (Characterization closed immersion). *For a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between schemes, the following are equivalent*

- f is a closed immersion
- $f : |X| \rightarrow f(|X|)$ is a homeomorphism and $f^\# : \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ is surjective
- there exists a cover $Y = \bigcup_i U_i$ of affine opens and ideals $I_i \leq \mathcal{O}_Y(U_i)$ such that $f^{-1}(U_i) \cong \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_Y(U_i)/I_i)$

Proof. First consider (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Clearly $f : |X| \rightarrow f(|X|)$ is a homeomorphism. By assumption, have a sheaf of ideals \mathcal{I} on \mathcal{O}_Y such that $f_*\mathcal{O}_X \cong \mathcal{O}_Y/\mathcal{I}$ and $f : (|X|, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (f(|X|), \mathcal{O}_Y/\mathcal{I})$ is an isomorphism. Now $f^\#$ factors as

$$\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y/\mathcal{I} \xrightarrow{\sim} f_*\mathcal{O}_X$$

hence is surjective.

To show (ii) \Rightarrow (iii), let $Y = \bigcup_i U_i$ be a cover by affine opens. For each i , let now $I_i := \ker(f_U^\#)$. As $f^\#$ is surjective, by the isomorphism theorem

$$\tilde{f}_U^\# : \mathcal{O}_Y(U)/I_i \rightarrow (f_*\mathcal{O}_X)(U)$$

is an isomorphism of rings. □

³Irreducibility is not affine-local, and it does not suffice to have a cover of irreducible affine opens.