

Miniproject - Algebraic Geometry

Simon Pohmann

Definition 1. Let V be a vector space. Then define the d -th exterior power as

$$\bigwedge^d(V) := V^{\otimes d} / \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} V^{\otimes(i-1)} \otimes \{v \otimes v' + v' \otimes v \mid v, v' \in V\} \otimes V^{\otimes(d-i-1)}$$

Use the notation $v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_d := \overline{v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_d} \in \bigwedge^d(V)$.

Lemma 2. Let $v_1, \dots, v_d \in V$. Have for $\pi \in S_d$ that

$$v_{\pi(1)} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{\pi(d)} = \text{sgn}(\pi)(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_d)$$

Furthermore if $v_i = v_j$ for some $i \neq j$, then

$$v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_d = 0$$

Proof. Note that

$$u \otimes v \otimes v' \otimes w = -(u \otimes v' \otimes v \otimes w)$$

for all $u \in \bigwedge^{i-1}(V), w \in \bigwedge^{(d-i-1)}(V), v, v' \in V$.

Every $\pi \in S_d$ has a decomposition $\pi = \xi_1 \dots \xi_n$ into transpositions ξ_i . Applying this inductively, we find that

$$v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_d = \text{sgn}(\xi_1 \dots \xi_n)(v_{(\xi_1 \dots \xi_n)(1)} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{(\xi_1 \dots \xi_n)(d)})$$

and so

$$v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_d = \text{sgn}(\pi)(v_{\pi(1)} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{\pi(d)})$$

Furthermore, we find that

$$u \otimes v \otimes v \otimes w = -(u \otimes v \otimes v \otimes w) = 0$$

must be zero. Hence, if $v_1, \dots, v_d \in V$ with $v_i = v_j$ for some $i \neq j$, then there is a permutation $\pi \in S_d$ with $\pi(1) = i, \pi(2) = j$ and

$$v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_d = (\text{sgn}(\pi))(v_i \wedge v_j \wedge v_{\pi(3)} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{\pi(d)}) = \text{sgn}(\pi)0 = 0$$

□

Lemma 3 (1a). *Let $\dim(V) \leq 3$. Then every element of $\bigwedge^k(V)$ is decomposable.*

Proof. Now let v_1, v_2, v_3 be a set of generators of V . Consider $u_1 = \sum \lambda_i v_i, u_2 = \sum \mu_i v_i, u_3 = \sum \rho_i v_i$. Then by applying Lemma 2, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 \wedge u_2 &= \sum_{i,j} \lambda_i \mu_j \underbrace{(v_i \wedge v_j)}_{=0 \text{ if } i=j} = \sum_{i < j} \lambda_i \mu_j (v_i \wedge v_j) - \sum_{i > j} \lambda_i \mu_j (v_i \wedge v_j) \\ &= \sum_{i < j} (\lambda_i \mu_j - \lambda_j \mu_i) (v_i \wedge v_j) = \alpha(v_1 \wedge v_2) + \beta(v_1 \wedge v_3) + \gamma(v_2 \wedge v_3) \\ &= \begin{cases} \beta v_1 + \gamma v_2 \wedge \frac{\alpha}{\beta} v_2 + v_3 & \text{if } \beta \neq 0 \\ \alpha v_1 - \gamma v_3 \wedge v_2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 \wedge u_2 \wedge u_3 &= \sum_{i,j,l} \lambda_i \mu_j \rho_l \underbrace{(v_i \wedge v_j \wedge v_l)}_{=0 \text{ unless } i,j,l \text{ pairwise distinct}} \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in S_3} \lambda_{\pi(1)} \mu_{\pi(2)} \rho_{\pi(3)} (v_{\pi(1)} \wedge v_{\pi(2)} \wedge v_{\pi(3)}) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in S_3} \lambda_{\pi(1)} \mu_{\pi(2)} \rho_{\pi(3)} \text{sgn}(\pi) (v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3) \\ &= (v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3) \sum_{\pi \in S_3} \lambda_{\pi(1)} \mu_{\pi(2)} \rho_{\pi(3)} \text{sgn}(\pi) \end{aligned}$$

are decomposable. Further, it is easy to see from Lemma 2 that $\bigwedge^k(V) = \{0\}$ for $k \geq 4$, which is trivially decomposable. \square

Example 4 (1b). *Consider $V = k^4$. Then the element $w := (e_1 \wedge e_2) + (e_3 \wedge e_4) \in \bigwedge^2(V)$ is not decomposable.*

Proof. Assume it was, then there are $a, b \in k^4$ such that

$$w = \sum_i a_i e_i \wedge \sum_j b_j e_j = \sum_{i < j} (a_i b_j - a_j b_i) (e_i \wedge e_j)$$

In other words

$$a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1 = 1, \quad a_3 b_4 - a_4 b_3 = 1, \quad a_i b_j - a_j b_i = 0 \text{ for all } (i, j) \neq (1, 2), (3, 4)$$

Clearly $a_1 b_2 \neq 0$ or $a_2 b_1 \neq 0$. Similarly, have $a_3 b_4 \neq 0$ or $a_4 b_3 \neq 0$. As all expressions are symmetric w.r.t swapping a_1, b_2 with a_2, b_1 and a_3, b_4 with a_4, b_3 , we may assume wlog that $a_1 b_2, a_3 b_4 \neq 0$.

Have $a_1 b_4 = a_4 b_1$ and $a_2 b_4 = a_4 b_2$. We know that $a_1 b_4 \neq 0$ and so

$$\frac{a_2}{a_1} = \frac{a_2 b_4}{a_1 b_4} = \frac{a_4 b_2}{a_4 b_1} = \frac{b_2}{b_1} \Rightarrow a_2 b_1 = a_1 b_2$$

This contradicts $a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1 = 1$. \square

Lemma 5 (1c). *Let d be even. An element $\omega \in \bigwedge^d V$ is decomposable if and only if $\omega \wedge \omega \in \bigwedge^{2d} V$ is zero.*

Proof. The direction \Rightarrow even holds generally. Assume $\omega = v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_d$. Then

$$\omega \wedge \omega = v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_d \wedge v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_d = 0$$

by Lemma 2. The other direction is more interesting.

Let $\omega = v_1 + \dots + v_t$ for linearly independent decomposable vectors $v_i \in \bigwedge^2 V$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \omega \wedge \omega &= \sum_{i,j} v_i \wedge v_j = \sum_{i < j} (v_i \wedge v_j) + (v_j \wedge v_i) \\ &= \sum_{i < j} 2(v_i \wedge v_j) = 2 \sum_i v_i \wedge \left(\sum_{j > i} v_j \right) \end{aligned}$$

Here we used that the permutation $(1 \ 2d)(2 \ (2d-1)) \dots (d \ (d+1)) \in S_{2d}$ has always sign 1 (since d is even).

Note that for any nonzero decomposable vector

$$u_1 \wedge u_2 \in \left(\bigwedge^2 \text{span}\{v_2, \dots, v_t\} \right) \setminus \{0\}$$

find

$$u_1, u_2 \in \text{span}\{v_2, \dots, v_t\}$$

In particular, we know that

$$v_1 \wedge \left(\sum_{j > i} v_j \right) \in \bigwedge^2 \text{span}\{v_2, \dots, v_t\}$$

and so $v_1 \in \text{span}\{v_2, \dots, v_t\}$ unless $\sum_{j > i} v_j = 0$. We assumed that the v_i are linearly independent, so the former would give a contradiction. Hence $\sum_{j > i} v_j = 0$ and thus $t = 1$, i.e. $\omega = v_1$ is decomposable. \square