Miniproject - Combinatorics

Simon Pohmann

We use the convention that $\mathbb{N} = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n \geq 0\}.$

1 Part I

Proposition 1. Let P be a graded poset in which every maximal chain has length n+1. Then the function

$$r: P \to \{0, ..., n\}, \quad x \mapsto \max\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid \exists a_1, ..., a_k \in P: a_1 < a_2 < ... < a_k < x\}$$

is well-defined and the unique function with x < y implies r(x) < r(y) for all $x, y \in P$. We call it the rank function of P.

Proof. Clearly r is well-defined, as for every increasing sequence $a_1 < ... < a_k < x$, we have the chain $C := \{a_1, ..., a_k, x\}$ of size k + 1. Hence by assumption, $k \le n$ and so r(x) is finite and in $\{0, ..., n\}$.

Further, consider x < y in P. We have a sequence $a_1 < ... < a_{r(x)} < x$ by definition of r. It follows that there is an increasing sequence $a_1 < ... < a_{r(x)} < x < y$ and so $r(y) \ge r(k) + 1 > r(x)$.

Lastly, assume there was another function $r': P \to \{0, ..., n\}$ with this property. Consider any $x \in P$. By definition of r, there is an increasing sequence $a_1 < ... < a_{r(x)} < x$ in P. Now consider a maximal chain C containing the chain $\{a_1, ..., a_{r(x)}, x\}$.

Say $C = \{b_1, ..., b_{n+1}\}$ with $b_1 < ... < b_{n+1}$ and $x = b_j$. Note that we have the increasing sequence $b_1 < ... < b_{j-1} < b_j = x$ and so by the definition of r, find $j \le r(x) + 1$. On the other hand, have $a_1, ..., a_{r(x)} \in C$ and thus j = r(x) + 1, i.e.

$$a_1 = b_1, ..., a_{r(x)} = b_{r(x)}, x = b_{r(x)+1}$$

As $b_i < b_{i+1}$, we know that $r'(b_i) < r'(b_{i+1})$ and inductively, we see $r'(b_i) \ge i - 1$. However, $r'(b_{n+1}) \le n$ and thus $r'(b_i) = i - 1$. Finally it follows that $r'(x) = r'(b_{r(x)+1}) = r(x)$.

Now we will show some basic properties of the rank function.

Proposition 2. Let P be a graded poset of maximal rank n with rank function r. Then

• $x \in P$ is minimal iff r(x) = 0 and maximal iff r(x) = n.

- If x < y and $r(x) + 1 \neq r(y)$ then there is $z \in P$ with x < z < y.
- If x < y then there is an increasing sequence $x < a_1 < ... < a_{r(y)-r(x)-1} < y$ in P.

Proof. For (i), let $x \in P$ be minimal. Then there is no increasing sequence $a_1 < x$ in P, so $r(x) \le 0$. Conversely, let r(x) = 0. Assume there was $y \in P$ with y < x, then r(y) < r(x) = 0, a contradiction. The analogous statement for maximal elements is proved in the same way.

For (iii), consider x < y in P. Then the chain $\{x, y\}$ is contained in a maximal chain $C \subseteq P$. Say $C = \{b_1, ..., b_{n+1}\}$ with $b_1 < ... < b_{n+1}$.

Hence we find $r(b_i) < r(b_{i+1})$ and so inductively that $r(b_i) \ge i-1$ and $r(b_i) \le i-1$ since $r(b_1) \ge 0$ and $r(b_{n+1}) \le n$. It follows that $r(b_i) = i-1$ and so $x = b_{r(x)+1}, y = r(y) + 1$. Therefore, we have a chain

$$x < b_{r(x)+2} < \dots < b_{r(y)} < y$$

of length r(y) - r(x) - 1. Statement (ii) follows directly, as in this setting, have $r(y) \ge r(x) + 2$ and so $r(y) - r(x) - 1 \ne 0$.

2 Part II

Proposition 3. For a graded poset P with layers $L_0, ..., L_n$ the following statements are equivalent:

• For every antichain $A \subseteq P$ have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{|A \cap L_i|}{|L_i|} \le 1$$

• For each $1 < i \le n$ and $F \subseteq L_i$ have

$$\frac{|\partial F|}{|L_{i-1}|} \ge \frac{|F|}{|L_i|}$$

where

$$\partial F := \{ a \in L_{i-1} \mid \exists b \in F : \ a \le b \}$$

• There exists a (nonempty) sequence of maximal chains $C_1, ..., C_t$ such that for all $1 \le i \le n$ we have:

$$|\{j \mid x \in C_j\}| = |\{j \mid y \in C_j\}| \text{ for all } x, y \in L_i$$

Proof. Show the directions (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (i) \Rightarrow (ii)

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Use induction on n. Again, the base case is trivial, just take chains $\{x\}$ for each $x \in A = L_0$. So assume that n > 0. The set

$$A' := \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} L_i$$

is a graded poset of maximal rank n-1, and hence there are maximal chains $C_1, ..., C_t \subseteq A'$ such that for all $1 \le i \le n-1$ have

$$|\{j \mid x \in C_i\}| = |\{j \mid y \in C_i\}| \text{ for all } x, y \in L_i$$

by induction hypothesis. Let now $V_1, V_2 := [t] \times L_n$ (treat them as disjoint) and consider the bipartite graph $G := (V_1 \sqcup V_2, E)$ where E is defined as follows:

$$\{\underbrace{(i,a)}_{\in V_1},\underbrace{(j,b)}_{\in V_2}\} \in E \iff \max C_j < a$$

We use Hall's theorem to show that G has a perfect matching.

Consider any $W = \{(i_1, a_1), ..., (i_w, a_w)\} \subseteq V_1$ and let $F = \{a_1, ..., a_w\}$. Then

$$W \subseteq [t] \times F \Rightarrow |W| \le t|F|$$

By choice of $C_1, ..., C_t$, we have that the number of j with $x \in C_j$ is the same for all $x \in L_{n-1}$, say k. Since the C_i are maximal chains, each intersects L_{n-1} in exactly one element. So have bijection

$$\{(x,i) \mid x \in L_{n-1}, 1 \le i \le t, x \in C_i\} \to \{i \mid 1 \le i \le t\}, (x,i) \mapsto i$$

where the set on the left-hand side has size $k|L_{n-1}|$. It follows that $k|L_{n-1}| = t$. Using this, we find

$$N(W) = \{(j, b) \mid b \in L_n, \exists a \in F : \max C_j < a\}$$
$$= L_n \times \{j \mid \exists a \in F : \max C_j = a\}$$
$$= L_n \times \{j \mid \max C_j \in \partial F\}$$

and so

$$|N(W)| = |L_n| \sum_{\max C_i \in \partial F} 1 = |L_n| \sum_{x \in \partial F} \sum_{x \in C_i} 1 = |L_n| \sum_{x \in \partial F} k = |L_n| |\partial F| k$$

Together with the assumption that $|\partial F||L_n| \geq |F||L_{n-1}|$ we see that

$$|W| < t|F| = k|L_{n-1}||F| < k|\partial F||L_n| = |N(W)|$$

So Hall's theorem yields a perfect matching $M \subseteq E$ from V_1 to V_2 . As $|V_1| = |V_2|$, this is already a 1-to-one correspondence.

Now consider the sets

$$C'_m := C_j \cup \{a\} \text{ where } m = \{\underbrace{(i,a)}_{\in V_1}, \underbrace{(j,b)}_{\in V_2}\} \in M$$

These are chains, as $\max C_j < a$ for each C'_m . Further, for each $x, y \in L_i, i < n$ have that

$$|\{C'_m \mid x \in C'_m\}| = |L_n \times \{j \mid x \in C_j\}| = |L_n \times \{j \mid y \in C_j\}| = |\{C'_m \mid y \in C'_m\}|$$

as M is a matching from V_2 to V_1 . Finally, for all $x \in L_n$ we have that

$$|\{C'_m \mid x \in C'_m\}| = |\{m \in M \mid \exists i, v \in V_2 : m = \{(i, x), v\}\}| = |\{(i, x) \mid (i, x) \in V_1\}| = t$$
 as M is a matching from V_1 to V_2 .

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) Let $C_1, ..., C_t$ be a sequence of maximal chains given by the assumption. For $1 \leq i \leq n$ let k_i be the number of different j such that a fixed element $x \in L_i$ is contained in exactly the C_j . By assumption, this does not depend on x.

As in the direction above, we see that $k_i|L_i|=t$ because each C_j intersects L_i in exactly one element, so there is a bijection

$$\{(x,j) \mid x \in L_i, 1 \le j \le t, x \in C_j\} \to \{j \mid 1 \le j \le t\}, \quad (x,j) \mapsto j$$

and the set on the left has size $k_i|L_i|$.

Since each C_j is a chain and A is an antichain, we find that A and C_j intersect in at most one element. So

$$t \ge |\{j \mid A \cap C_j \ne \emptyset\}| = \sum_{a \in A} |\{j \mid a \in C_j\}| = \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{a \in A \cap L_i} |\{j \mid a \in C_j\}|$$
$$= \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{a \in A \cap L_i} k_i = \sum_{i=0}^n k_i |A \cap L_i| = \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{|A \cap L_i|}{|L_i|} t$$

The claim follows by canceling t.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) Consider $A := F \cup (L_{i-1} \setminus \partial F)$. This is clearly an antichain, as for $x \in F, y \in L_{i-1} \setminus \partial F$ have $y \not< x$. However, r(y) < r(x) so also $x \not< y$, thus x and y are incomparable. Clearly elements from the same layer are incomparable.

Thus, the assumption yields that

$$\frac{|L_{i-1}| - |\partial F|}{|L_{i-1}|} + \frac{|F|}{|L_i|} = \frac{|A \cap L_{i-1}|}{|L_{i-1}|} + \frac{|A \cap L_i|}{|L_i|} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{|A \cap L_j|}{|L_j|} \le 1$$

This gives

$$1 + \frac{|F|}{|L_i|} \le 1 + \frac{|\partial F|}{|L_{i-1}|}$$

and the claim follows.