

Miniproject - Analytic Number Theory

Simon Pohmann

We use the convention that $\mathbb{N} = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n \geq 0\}$. Further, we write $a \mid b$ if a divides b and $a \perp b$ if a and b are coprime. Finally, let \mathbb{P} be the set of prime numbers in \mathbb{N} .

1 Part I

For convenience, we include the definition of a Dirichlet character from the task description first.

Definition 1. Let $q \geq 2$, then a *Dirichlet character (mod q)* is a function $\chi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

- χ is completely multiplicative, so $\chi(a)\chi(b) = \chi(ab)$
- χ is periodic modulo q , so $\chi(n + q) = \chi(n)$
- $\chi(n) \neq 0$ if and only if $n \perp q$

First, we will give another characterization of Dirichlet characters.

Lemma 2 (Characterization of Dirichlet characters). We have a one-to-one correspondence between Dirichlet characters mod q and group homomorphisms $(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ via

$$\{f : (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \mid f \text{ group hom}\} \rightarrow \{\chi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \chi \text{ Dirichlet character mod } q\}$$
$$f \mapsto \chi_f := \left(\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, n \mapsto \begin{cases} f([n]) & \text{if } n \perp q \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \right)$$

Proof. First of all, we show that the map is well-defined. Let $f : (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a (multiplicative) group homomorphism, and we show that χ_f is a Dirichlet character.

Note that property (ii) and (iii) directly follow from the definition, as $\chi_f(n)$ only depends on the value of $n \bmod q$. So consider some $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$. If both $a \perp q$ and $b \perp q$ then

$$\chi_f(a)\chi_f(b) = \chi([a])\chi([b]) = \chi([ab]) = \chi_f(ab)$$

as also $ab \perp q$.

On the other hand, if $a \not\perp q$ or $b \not\perp q$ have $\chi_f(a) = 0$ resp. $\chi_f(b) = 0$. We also have in this case that $ab \not\perp q$ and so

$$\chi_f(a)\chi_f(b) = 0 = \chi_f(ab)$$

Now it is left to show that the correspondence is a bijection. Clearly, if $f \neq g$ then $f(x) \neq g(x)$ for some $x \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times$ and so $\chi_f(n) \neq \chi_g(n)$ for some representative $n \in \mathbb{N}$ of x .

To show surjectivity, consider some Dirichlet character $\chi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and construct a group homomorphism $f : (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. For each $x \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times$, there is a representative $n \in \mathbb{N}$ of x and as $\chi(n)$ does not depend on the choice of n , we may define $f(x) := \chi(n)$. Note that as $x \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times$, we find $n \perp q$ and so $\chi(n) \neq 0$, i.e. $\chi(n) \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Then clearly for $a, b \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^*$ with representatives $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ have

$$f(ab) = \chi(nm) = \chi(n)\chi(m) = f(a)f(b)$$

So f is a well-defined group homomorphism and we obviously have $\chi_f = \chi$. □

For simplicity of notation we sometimes will identify a Dirichlet character and its group homomorphism if it is always clear which one is meant.

Example 3 (Ex (i)). The function

$$f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad n \mapsto \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{4} \\ 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ -1 & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

is a Dirichlet character.

Proof. This follows directly from Lemma 2, as $f = \chi_g$ for the group homomorphism

$$g : (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^\times = \{1, 3\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*, \quad 1 \mapsto 1, \quad 3 \mapsto -1$$

(this is a group homomorphism, as $3^2 = 9 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$) □

Now we want to define Dirichlet series of Dirichlet characters.

Proposition 4. For a Dirichlet character $\chi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and some $\epsilon > 0$, the series

$$L(s, \chi) := \sum_{n \geq 1} \chi(n)n^{-s}$$

converges uniformly on $\Re(s) \geq 1 + \epsilon$. We will call it the Dirichlet series of χ .

Proof. By Lemma 2, we know that χ corresponds to a group homomorphism $f : (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that $\chi(\mathbb{N}) = f((\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^*) \cup \{0\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is a finite subset of \mathbb{C} . Hence, there is $C > 0$ with $|\chi(n)| \leq C$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and it follows that

$$\sum_{1 \leq n \leq X} |\chi(n)n^{-s}| \leq \sum_{1 \leq n \leq X} C |n^{-s}| \leq C \sum_{1 \leq n \leq X} n^{-1-\epsilon} \leq C \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{-1-\epsilon}$$

which is finite. □

Proposition 5. Let $f : (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a group homomorphism. Then for the associated Dirichlet character $\chi = \chi_f$ we have that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 1^+} L(s, \chi) \text{ exists} \Leftrightarrow \sum_{x \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times} f(x) = 0$$

If this is the case, then

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 1^+} L(s, \chi) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\chi(n)}{n}$$

where the right-hand side converges (but not absolutely).

Proof. Let $c = \sum_{x \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times} f(x)$. For the direction \Rightarrow assume that $c \neq 0$. Then have for $\Re(s) > 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{sgn}(c) \sum_{n \geq 1} \chi(n) n^{-s} &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{0 < k \leq q} \operatorname{sgn}(c) \chi(qn + k) (qn + k)^{-s} \\ &\geq \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{0 < k \leq q} \operatorname{sgn}(c) \chi(qn + k) (qn + n)^{-s} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \operatorname{sgn}(c) (qn + n)^{-s} \underbrace{\sum_{0 < k \leq q} \chi(qn + k)}_{=c} \\ &\geq \frac{|c|}{(q+1)^s} \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{-s} = \frac{|c|}{(q+1)^s} \zeta(s) \end{aligned}$$

which clearly has a pole at $s = 1$. Hence $\lim_{s \rightarrow 1^+} L(s, \chi_f)$ cannot exist.

For the other direction, assume that $c = 0$. We will only consider real s now. Observe that by Bernoulli's inequality, have for $0 < s \leq 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned} (qn)^{-s} - (qn + k)^{-s} &= \frac{(qn + k)^s - (qn)^s}{(q^2 n^2 + qnk)^s} = (qn)^s \frac{(1 + k(qn)^{-1})^s - 1}{(q^2 n^2 + qnk)^s} \\ &\leq (qn)^s \frac{sk(qn)^{-1}}{(q^2 n^2 + qnk)^s} = \frac{sk}{qn(qn + k)^s} = O(sn^{-s-1}) \end{aligned}$$

If $s > 1$ and $k \leq q$, then also $(qn)^{-s} - (qn + k)^{-s} = O(sn^{-(1+\epsilon)})$ for some small enough $0 < \epsilon < 1$. As $\chi((\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times) \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is finite, find $C > 0$ with $|\chi_f(n)| \leq C$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then for all $s \geq \epsilon$ and $X \leq Y$ it holds

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{X \leq n \leq Y} \chi(n) n^{-s} \\
&= O(qCX^{-s} + qCY^{-s}) + \sum_{X/q \leq n \leq Y/q} \sum_{0 < k \leq q} \chi(qn + k) \left((qn)^{-s} + \underbrace{(qn + k)^{-s} - (qn)^{-s}}_{=O(sn^{-(1+\epsilon)})} \right) \\
&= O(qCX^{-s}) + \sum_{X/q \leq n \leq Y/q} \left((qn)^{-s} + \sum_{0 < k \leq q} O(Csn^{-(1+\epsilon)}) \right) = \\
&= O(qCX^{-s}) + 0 + O\left(Cqs \sum_{X/q \leq n \leq Y/q} n^{-(1+\epsilon)}\right) \\
&= O(qCX^{-s}) + O\left(Cqs \sum_{X/q \leq n} n^{-(1+\epsilon)}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

which is well-defined and finite. Further, the expression converges uniformly (as a function in s on $[\epsilon, \infty[$) to 0 as $X \rightarrow \infty$. So

$$\sum_{n < X} \chi(n) n^{-s} \text{ converges uniformly to } \sum_{n \geq 1} \chi(n) n^{-s}$$

as $X \rightarrow \infty$ (on $[\epsilon, \infty[$). Thus the limit is continuous and extends $L(s, \chi_f)$ defined on $]1, \infty[$. It follows that $\lim_{t \rightarrow s^+} L(t, \chi_f)$ exists and is equal to $\sum_{n \geq 1} \chi(n) n^{-s}$. \square

Applied to our example, we find

Example 6 (Ex (ii)). Let $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the Dirichlet character from Example 3 with corresponding group homomorphism $g : (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$\sum_{x \in (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^*} g(x) = g(1) + g(3) = 1 - 1 = 0$$

and so by Lemma 5 the limit $\lim_{s \rightarrow 1^+} L(s, f)$ exists. The lemma further yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{s \rightarrow 1} L(s, f) &= \sum_{n \geq 1} f(n) n^{-1} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{f(4n+1)}{4n+1} + \frac{f(4n+3)}{4n+3} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{4n+1} - \frac{1}{4n+3} \\
&= 2 \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{(4n+1)(4n+3)} > 0
\end{aligned}$$

is positive. Wolfram Alpha [Inc] can give an explicit value to this sum, using the digamma function ψ . Namely

$$\sum_{x \in (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^\times} f(n) n^{-1} = \frac{1}{4} \left(\psi\left(\frac{7}{4}\right) - \psi\left(\frac{5}{4}\right) \right)$$

which seems to be $\frac{1}{4}$.

Now we want to study the series

$$\sum_p f(p)p^{-s}$$

For this, we are first interested in how many primes $\equiv 1, 3 \pmod{4}$ there are.

Lemma 7. Let $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Then n has a prime factor $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

Proof. Use induction on n . If $n = 3$, the claim is trivial. So let $n > 3$. If n is prime, the claim again follows. Otherwise, have $n = ab$ with nontrivial divisors a, b . However, $3 \equiv n \pmod{4}$ is not a square modulo 4, so find that $a \not\equiv b \pmod{4}$. As both a and b must be odd, we see that either $a \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ or $b \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and the claim follows by the induction hypothesis. \square

Corollary 8 (Ex (iii)). There are infinitely many primes p with $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

Proof. Assume there were only finitely many, say p_1, \dots, p_N . Let $P := p_1 \dots p_N$ if N is even and $P := p_1^2 p_2 \dots p_N$ if N is odd. Then

$$P \equiv 3^{2^{\lceil \frac{N}{2} \rceil}} \equiv 1^{\lceil \frac{N}{2} \rceil} = 1 \pmod{4}$$

Thus, by Lemma 7, $P+2$ has a prime factor $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. However, $q \neq p_i$ as $p_i \mid P+2$ for all i (if $p_i \mid P+2$, then $p_i \mid P+2-P=2$, a contradiction). This contradicts our assumption. \square

For the case of primes $\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, I have remembered the two-square theorem and its connection to primes in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ of Gaussian integers, and somehow my train of thoughts went into Algebraic Number Theory. After some research, I have found an exercise in [Neu92, Chapter I, §10] that requires the reader to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 9. Let $q \geq 3$ be an integer. Then there are infinitely many primes p with $p \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$.

Proof. Assume there were only finitely many such primes p_i , then we have their product $P = \prod_i p_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Consider now the q -th cyclotomic polynomial Φ_q . Clearly $\Phi_q(qPX) - 1 \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ has at most $\phi(q)$ zeros, so there exists some $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\Phi_q(qPx) \neq 1$ (this “Ansatz” was given as a hint).

Let now $K = \mathbb{Q}(\omega_q)$ be the q -th cyclotomic number field with a primitive q -th root of unity ω_q (i.e. $\Phi_q(\omega_q) = 0$). Let further $\mathcal{O} \subseteq K$ be the ring of integral elements over \mathbb{Z} in K . The prime decomposition law for Dedekind ring extension [Neu92, Chapter I, Prop 8.3] tells us that for a prime p , the ideal (p) is reducible in \mathcal{O} if and only if $\Phi_q \pmod{p}$ is reducible. As $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ is cyclic of order $p-1$, this is the case if and only if $q \mid p-1$, i.e. $p \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$.

Now consider the element $\alpha = \omega_q - xqP \in \mathcal{O}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) &= \prod_{\sigma: K \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ } \mathbb{Q}\text{-field homomorphism}} \sigma(\omega_q - xqP) \\ &= \prod_{\sigma} (\sigma(\omega_q) - xqP) = \text{MiPo}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\omega_q)(xqP) = \Phi_q(xqP) \neq 1 \end{aligned}$$

as $\text{MiPo}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\omega_q) = \prod_{\sigma} (\sigma(\omega_q) - X)$. Hence, α is not a unit in \mathcal{O} . On the other hand, (α) is coprime to (p_i) for each p_i , as

$$\omega_q = \alpha - xqP \in (\alpha) + (p_i) \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_q \in \mathcal{O}^{\times}$$

By our assumption, the only prime ideals in \mathcal{O} are the prime ideal factors of (p_i) and (p) for $p \neq p_i$. Thus, the prime ideal factorization of (α) consists only of prime ideals $(p), p \neq p_i$ and it follows that $(\alpha) = (n)$ for some integer $n \geq 2$. As ω_q and $xqP \in \mathbb{Z}$ are \mathbb{Q} -linearly independent, we see that $n \mid \omega_q$ and $n \mid xqP$. However, the former is a contradiction, as $\omega_q \in \mathcal{O}^{\times}$ is a unit and no $n \geq 2$ is a unit. \square

The book also mentions that the general case can be proven by using L-series in algebraic number fields.

Corollary 10 (Ex (iii)). There are infinitely many primes p with $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

Proof. This is just a special case of Prop. 9. \square

Example 11 (Ex (iii)). Using a computer, we can also study the actual frequency of prime numbers $\equiv 1, 3 \pmod{4}$ among e.g. the first 10^8 integers. This seems to indicate that both numbers are asymptotically equal. For example, there are 332180 primes $\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and 332398 primes $\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ smaller than 10^8 . To find these numbers, the following python code was used.

```
import itertools
import math

def primes():
    yield 2
    found_primes = [2]
    for n in itertools.count(3):
        for p in found_primes:
            if n % p == 0:
                break
            elif p >= math.sqrt(n):
                yield n
                found_primes.append(n)
                break

def primes_leq(n):
```

```

return itertools.takewhile(lambda p: p <= n, primes())

for i in range(1, 8):
    print("Consider interval [1, 10**" + str(i) + "]")
    print("Number of primes ≡ 1 mod 4 is " + str(
        sum(1 for p in primes_leq(10**i) if (p - 1) % 4 == 0)
    ))
    print("Number of primes ≡ 3 mod 4 is " + str(
        sum(1 for p in primes_leq(10**i) if (p - 3) % 4 == 0)
    ))
    print()

```

2 Part II

We have already shown that Dirichlet characters are, in principle, group homomorphisms $(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. If we now assume q to be prime, we get an even nicer characterization. So for the whole section, assume that $q \geq 3$ is a prime.

Corollary 12 (Ex (i)). Let $\chi, \chi' : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be Dirichlet characters mod q and r a representative of a primitive root modulo q . If $\chi(r) = \chi'(r)$, then $\chi = \chi'$. Further, have that $\chi(n)^{q-1} = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \perp q$.

Proof. The properties follow directly from Lemma 2. Let $f, f' : (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be the associated group homomorphisms of χ, χ' as in Lemma 2. If $f([r]) = \chi(r) = \chi'(r) = f'([r])$ then clearly $f = f'$, as these are group homomorphisms and $\langle [r] \rangle = (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times$. Hence $\chi = \chi'$.

Further, have for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \perp q$ that $[n] \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times$ and thus

$$[n]^{q-1} = [n]^{\phi(q)} = [n]^{|(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times|} = 1$$

As f is a group homomorphism, find

$$\chi(n)^{q-1} = f([n])^{q-1} = f([n]^{q-1}) = f(1) = 1$$

□

This correspondence also works in the other direction.

Corollary 13 (Ex (ii)). Let $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ be a $(q-1)$ -th root of unity, i.e. $\omega^{q-1} = 1$ and let $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ be a representative of a primitive root modulo q . Then

$$g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad n \mapsto \begin{cases} \omega^{\log_r n} & \text{if } n \perp q \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is a well-defined Dirichlet character.

Proof. Follows again directly from Lemma 2, as $[r] \mapsto \omega$ induces a unique group homomorphism $(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. The associated Dirichlet character is obviously g . \square

Note that the image of a group homomorphism $f : (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is a subgroup of \mathbb{C}^\times . Using Corollary 12, we can describe it quite concretely.

Proposition 14. Let $\chi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a Dirichlet character with group homomorphism $f : (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. Then $\text{im} f \leq S$ is a subgroup where $S_q := \{\omega_q^k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is the group of q -th roots of unity.

It is a fact from Algebra that $S_q \cong (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times$, hence Dirichlet characters modulo a prime q are in 1-to-1 correspondence with the endomorphisms $\text{End}((\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times)$ of $(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times$.

Proof. We have that $S_q = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^\times \mid x^{q-1} = 1\}$ and the claim directly follows from Corollary 12. \square

Note that the endomorphism monoid $\text{End}((\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times)$ is not a group, except in the trivial case $q = 2$. The reason is that e.g. the trivial group homomorphism $r \mapsto 1$ is not surjective and thus not invertible.

Definition 15. Denote by $\text{Dir}(q)$ the set of Dirichlet characters modulo q .

By Corollary 13 each group endomorphism $f \in \text{End}((\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times)$ is determined by its value at a primitive root of unity $r \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times$, hence

$$|\text{Dir}(q)| = |\text{End}((\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times)| = |(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times| = q - 1$$

It follows that there are exactly $q - 1$ distinct Dirichlet characters modulo a prime q .

Remark 16. It is again a fact that $(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^\times$ is cyclic for an odd prime p and $k \geq 1$. Hence, everything up to now can also be done for odd prime powers, if we replace $q - 1$ by $\phi(q)$.

Because of Lemma 5 it might seem like a good idea to study in which cases the value $\sum_{x \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times} \chi(x)$ is zero.

Proposition 17 (Ex (iii)). Let χ_0 be the trivial Dirichlet character given by $r \mapsto 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times} \chi(a) &= \begin{cases} q - 1 & \text{if } \chi = \chi_0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \\ \sum_{\chi \in \text{Dir}(q)} \chi(a) &= \begin{cases} q - 1 & \text{if } a \equiv 1 \pmod{q} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, for $b \perp q$ have

$$\sum_{\chi \in \text{Dir}(q)} \chi(a) \overline{\chi(b)} = \begin{cases} q - 1 & \text{if } a \equiv b \pmod{q} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Clearly

$$\sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times} \chi_0(a) = q - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\chi \in \text{Dir}(q)} \chi(1) = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Dir}(q)} 1 = q - 1$$

So it is left to show that we get zero in the other cases.

Consider a Dirichlet character $\chi \neq \chi_0$ given by $r \mapsto \xi$ for a q -th root of unity $\xi \neq 1$. Then

$$\sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times} \chi(a) = \sum_{k=0}^{q-2} \chi(r^k) = \sum_{k=0}^{q-2} \xi^k = \frac{1 - \xi^{q-1}}{1 - \xi} = 0$$

By using the earlier results on the structure of $\text{Dir}(q)$ we see that for $a \equiv r^k \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q}$, have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\chi \in \text{Dir}(q)} \chi(a) &= \sum_{\chi \in \text{Dir}(q)} \chi(r)^k \\ &= \sum_{\xi \text{ } q\text{-th root of unity}} \xi^k = \sum_{l=0}^{q-2} \omega^{kl} = \frac{1 - (\omega^{q-1})^k}{1 - \omega^k} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ is a primitive q -th root of unity and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a primitive root modulo q .

For the last part, note that for any q -th root of unity ξ , we have $\xi \bar{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\xi \bar{\xi} = |\xi|^2 > 0$. Furthermore, $\bar{\xi}$ is also a q -th root of unity, and so we see that $\xi \bar{\xi} = 1$ (the only real, positive root of unity is 1). It follows that for any Dirichlet character χ have $\overline{\chi([a])} = \chi([a]^{-1})$. Thus

$$\sum_{\chi \in \text{Dir}(q)} \chi(a) \overline{\chi(b)} = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Dir}(q)} \chi([a][b]^{-1}) = \begin{cases} q - 1 & \text{if } [a][b]^{-1} = 1 \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The condition $ab^{-1} = 1$ is equivalent to $a \equiv b \pmod{q}$, so the claim follows. \square

Using these basic results, we can now prove facts on the Dirichlet series of characters.

Proposition 18 (Ex (iv)). Let $a \perp q$. Then for $\Re(s) > 1$ have

$$\sum_{n \equiv a \pmod{q}} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^s} = \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\chi \in \text{Dir}(q)} \overline{\chi(a)} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\Lambda(n) \chi(n)}{n^s}$$

(All those series obviously converge absolutely since $\Re(s) > 1$)

Proof. By Prop. 17 we have for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$\frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\chi \in \text{Dir}(q)} \overline{\chi(a)} \chi(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \equiv n \pmod{q} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \equiv a \pmod q} \Lambda(n) n^{-s} &= \sum_{n \geq 1} \Lambda(n) n^{-s} \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \equiv n \pmod q \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
&= \sum_{n \geq 1} \Lambda(n) n^{-s} \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\chi \in \text{Dir}(q)} \overline{\chi(a)} \chi(n) \\
&= \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\chi \in \text{Dir}(q)} \overline{\chi(a)} \sum_{n \geq 1} \Lambda(n) \chi(n) n^{-s}
\end{aligned}$$

as infinite summation clearly commutes with finite sums. \square

Example 19 (Ex (v)). We consider the Dirichlet characters mod 5. A (primitive) 5-th root of unity $\omega_5 \in \mathbb{C}$ is given by $\omega_5 = \exp(2\pi i/5)$. On the other hand, a primitive root modulo 5 is e.g. given by $r = 2$ since $2^2 \equiv -1 \pmod 5$. Thus we have the trivial Dirichlet character χ_0 and $5 - 1 = 4$ nontrivial Dirichlet characters mod 5, namely those given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_1 : 1 &\mapsto 1, \quad 2 \mapsto \omega_5 = \exp(2\pi i/5), \quad 3 \mapsto \omega_5^3 = \exp(6\pi i/5), \quad 4 \mapsto \omega_5^2 = \exp(4\pi i/5), \\
\chi_2 : 1 &\mapsto 1, \quad 2 \mapsto \omega_5^2 = \exp(4\pi i/5), \quad 3 \mapsto \omega_5 = \exp(2\pi i/5), \quad 4 \mapsto \omega_5^4 = \exp(8\pi i/5), \\
\chi_3 : 1 &\mapsto 1, \quad 2 \mapsto \omega_5^3 = \exp(6\pi i/5), \quad 3 \mapsto \omega_5^4 = \exp(8\pi i/5), \quad 4 \mapsto \omega_5^1 = \exp(2\pi i/5), \\
\chi_4 : 1 &\mapsto 1, \quad 2 \mapsto \omega_5^4 = \exp(8\pi i/5), \quad 3 \mapsto \omega_5^2 = \exp(4\pi i/5), \quad 4 \mapsto \omega_5^3 = \exp(6\pi i/5),
\end{aligned}$$

3 Part III

Again, let $q \geq 3$ be a prime. Let further χ be a Dirichlet character mod q .

Proposition 20 (Ex (i)). For $\Re(s) > 1$ have that

$$\frac{L(s, \chi)'}{L(s, \chi)} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\Lambda(n) \chi(n)}{n^s}$$

Proof. Consider any $\epsilon > 0$. The series

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{d}{ds} \chi(n) n^{-s} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \chi(n) \log(n) n^{-s}$$

converges uniformly on $\Re(s) \geq 1 + \epsilon$, as $|\chi(n)| \leq C$ for some $C > 0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (by the lecture, we know that $\sum_n \log(n) n^{-s}$ converges uniformly on $\Re(s) \geq 1 + \epsilon$). Hence, we may interchange summation and differentiation to get

$$L(s, \chi)' = \sum_{n \geq 1} \chi(n) \frac{d}{ds} n^{-s} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \chi(n) \log(n) n^{-s}$$

for $\Re(s) \geq 1 + \epsilon$. As $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, we get

$$L(s, \chi)' = \sum_{n \geq 1} \chi(n) \log(n) n^{-s}$$

for all $\Re(s) > 1$.

Furthermore, χ and μ are multiplicative, and hence so is $(\chi\mu)(n) := \chi(n)\mu(n)$. Thus we have the Euler products

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \mu(n) \chi(n) n^{-s} = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(p^k) \chi(p^k) p^{-sk} = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} (1 - \chi(p) p^{-s})$$

and

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \chi(n) n^{-s} = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \chi(p^k) p^{-sk} = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \frac{1}{1 - \chi(p) p^{-s}}$$

Everything converges absolutely for $\Re(s) > 1$, and so it follows

$$\frac{1}{L(s, \chi)} = \sum_{n \geq 1} (\chi\mu)(n) n^{-s}$$

By the compatibility of Dirichlet convolution and Dirichlet summation, we now find

$$L(s, \chi)' \frac{1}{L(s, \chi)} = \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \chi(n) \log(n) n^{-s} \right) \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \chi(n) \mu(n) n^{-s} \right) = \sum_{n \geq 1} (\chi \log * \chi\mu)(n) n^{-s}$$

and so it is left to show that $\chi \log * \chi\mu = \chi\Lambda$.

This is true, as for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds

$$\begin{aligned} (\chi \log * \chi\mu)(n) &= \sum_{ab=n} \chi(a) \chi(b) \log(a) \mu(b) \\ &= \sum_{ab=n} \chi(ab) \log(a) \mu(b) = \chi(n) \sum_{ab=n} \log(a) \mu(b) \\ &= \chi(n) (\log * \mu)(n) = (\chi\Lambda)(n) \end{aligned}$$

□

Now we want to find an analytic continuation of $L(s, \chi)$ to $\Re(s) > 0$. First of all, we consider χ_0 .

Proposition 21 (Ex (ii)). For $\Re(s) > 1$ we have

$$L(s, \chi_0) = (1 - q^{-s}) \zeta(s)$$

In particular, $L(s, \chi_0)$ has a meromorphic continuation to $\Re(s) > 0$ with only one simple pole at $s = 1$.

Proof. As χ_0 is fully multiplicative, we have the Euler product

$$\begin{aligned} L(s, \chi_0) &= \sum_{n \geq 1} \chi_0(n) n^{-s} = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \frac{1}{1 - \chi_0(p) p^{-s}} = \prod_{p \neq q} \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}} \\ &= (1 - q^{-s}) \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}} = (1 - q^{-s}) \zeta(s) \end{aligned}$$

as all products converge absolutely. \square

For the other Dirichlet characters, the situation is slightly more complicated. First, we will bound the value of the partial sums of the Dirichlet series for $0 < \Re(s) \leq 1$.

Lemma 22. Let $\chi \neq \chi_0$ be a Dirichlet character mod q and consider the sum function

$$A(n) := \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \chi(k)$$

Then $|A(n)| \leq q$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Have

$$\begin{aligned} |A(n)| &= \left| \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \chi(k) \right| \leq \left| \sum_{q \lfloor n/q \rfloor < l \leq n} \chi(l) \right| + \underbrace{\left| \sum_{0 \leq k < \lfloor n/q \rfloor} \sum_{0 < l \leq q} \chi(kq + l) \right|}_{=0 \text{ by Prop. 17}} \\ &= \left| \sum_{q \lfloor n/q \rfloor < l \leq n} \chi(l) \right| \leq \sum_{q \lfloor n/q \rfloor < l \leq n} |\chi(l)| = \sum_{q \lfloor n/q \rfloor < l \leq n} 1 \\ &= q(n/q - \lfloor n/q \rfloor) \leq q \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Lemma 23 (Ex (iii)). Let $\chi \neq \chi_0$ be a Dirichlet character. Then for $\Re(s) > 0$ have

$$\left| \sum_{1 \leq n \leq X} \chi(n) n^{-s} \right| \leq q + \frac{q|s|}{\Re(s)} = O\left(\frac{q|s|}{\Re(s)}\right)$$

and in particular, this does not depend on X .

Proof. Using partial summation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq X} \chi(n) n^{-s} &= \sum_{1-\epsilon < n \leq X} \chi(n) n^{-s} \\ &= \underbrace{A(1-\epsilon)(1-\epsilon)^{-s}}_{=0} - A(X)X^{-s} + s \int_{1-\epsilon}^X A(t) t^{-(s+1)} dt \\ &= -A(X)X^{-s} + s \int_1^X A(t) t^{-(s+1)} dt \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \sum_{1 \leq n \leq X} \chi(n)n^{-s} \right| &\leq |A(X)X^{-s}| + |s| \int_1^X |A(t)t^{-(s+1)}| dt \\
&\leq qX^{-\Re(s)} + |s| \int_1^X qt^{-\Re(s)-1} dt \\
&= qX^{-\Re(s)} + q|s| \left(\frac{1}{\Re(s)} - \frac{1}{X^{\Re(s)}\Re(s)} \right) \\
&\leq q + q \frac{|s|}{\Re(s)} = O\left(\frac{q|s|}{\Re(s)} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

for $\Re(s) > 0$. □

Now we can show the analytic continuation of $L(s, \chi)$ to $\Re(s) > 0$.

Proposition 24 (Ex (iv)). Let $\chi \neq \chi_0$ be a Dirichlet character mod q . Then

$$L(s, \chi) = s \int_1^\infty A(t)t^{-(s+1)} dt$$

for $\Re(s) > 1$. Further, the right-hand side is a holomorphic function on $\Re(s) > 0$ and thus provides an analytic continuation of $L(s, \chi)$ to $\Re(s) > 0$.

Proof. Similar to the proof of Lemma 23, partial summation yields

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \chi(n)n^{-s} = s \int_1^\infty A(t)t^{-(s+1)} dt$$

By Lemma 23, this is bounded and hence well-defined and finite for $\Re(s) > 0$. Further, the integral converges absolutely by Lemma 22, and thus is holomorphic on $\Re(s) > 0$. □

Corollary 25 (Ex (iv)). The function $L(s, \chi)' / L(s, \chi)$ is bounded on a neighborhood of 1, provided that $L(1, \chi) \neq 0$.

Proof. As $L(s, \chi)' / L(s, \chi)$ is meromorphic on $\Re(s) > 0$, we know that it is holomorphic on some neighborhood of 1 unless it has a pole at $s = 1$. In the third exercise class of ANT, it was shown that this would imply $L(1, \chi) = 0$ or $L(s, \chi)'$ has a pole at $s = 1$.

However, the derivative of a holomorphic function is again holomorphic, so $L(s, \chi)'$ has no pole at $s = 1$. Provided that $L(1, \chi) \neq 0$, it follows that $L(s, \chi)' / L(s, \chi)$ is holomorphic on a compact neighborhood of 1, so bounded. □

Now we can show the main result of this miniproject. We will prove two auxiliary lemmas before.

Lemma 26. For $a \perp q$, the function

$$\rho_a(s) := \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\chi \in \text{Dir}(q)} \overline{\chi(a)} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\Lambda(n)\chi(n)}{n^s}$$

is a meromorphic function on $\Re(s) > 0$ with a simple poles at 1 (and possibly other poles on $\Re(s) > 0$).

Proof. By Prop. 20, we have for $\chi \in \text{Dir}(q)$ that

$$\frac{\overline{\chi(a)}}{q-1} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\Lambda(n)\chi(n)}{n^s} = \frac{\overline{\chi(a)}}{q-1} \frac{L(s, \chi)'}{L(s, \chi)}$$

If $\chi \neq \chi_0$, then Corollary 25 shows that this function has no pole at $s = 1$.

If $\chi = \chi_0$ on the other hand, Prop. 21 shows that

$$L(s, \chi_0) = (1 - q^{-s})\zeta(s)$$

Hence

$$\frac{L(s, \chi_0)'}{L(s, \chi_0)} = \frac{\log(q)q^{-s}\zeta(s) + (1 - q^{-s})\zeta'(s)}{(1 - q^{-s})\zeta(s)} = \frac{\log(q)}{q^s - 1} + \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)}$$

has a simple pole at $s = 1$. Since $a \perp q$, we see that $\chi_0(a) = 1$ and thus also

$$\frac{\overline{\chi_0(a)}}{q-1} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\Lambda(n)\chi_0(n)}{n^s} = \frac{\overline{\chi_0(a)}}{q-1} \frac{L(s, \chi_0)'}{L(s, \chi_0)}$$

has a simple pole at $s = 1$.

Together, this yields that the sum of those functions

$$\rho_a(s) = \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\chi \in \text{Dir}(q)} \overline{\chi(a)} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\Lambda(n)\chi(n)}{n^s}$$

is a meromorphic function with a simple pole at $s = 1$. □

Lemma 27. Let $a \perp q$ and define

$$\Psi_a(x) := \sum_{n < x, n \equiv a \pmod{q}} \Lambda(n)$$

and

$$\theta_a(x) := \sum_{p < x, p \equiv a \pmod{q}} \log(p)$$

Then

$$\Psi_a(x) - \theta_a(x) = O(x^{1/2} \log(x))$$

Proof. Have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_a(x) - \theta_a(x) &= \sum_{p^k < x, p^k \equiv a \pmod{q}} \log(p) - \sum_{p < x, p \equiv a \pmod{q}} \log(p) \\
&= \sum_{p^k < x, k \geq 2, p^k \equiv a \pmod{q}} \log(p) \leq \sum_{p^k < x, k \geq 2} \log(p) \\
&= \Psi(x) - \theta(x) = O(x^{1/2} \log(x))
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality was proven in the lecture. \square

Proposition 28 (Ex (v)). Assume that $L(1, \chi) \neq 0$ for all $\chi \in \text{Dir}(q) \setminus \{\chi_0\}$. Then for all $a \perp q$ there are infinitely many primes $p \equiv a \pmod{q}$.

Proof. Assume not, then $\theta_a(x)$ is bounded, i.e. $\theta_a(x) = O(1)$. With Lemma 27 it follows that $\Psi_a(x) = O(x^{1/2} \log x)$.

By Prop. 18 we have that for $\Re(s) > 1$

$$\rho_a(s) = \sum_{n \equiv a \pmod{q}} \Lambda(n) n^{-s}$$

Partial summation yields that for $\Re(s) > 1$ have

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_a(s) &= \sum_{n \equiv a \pmod{q}} \Lambda(n) n^{-s} \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(t^{-s} \underbrace{\sum_{n < t, n \equiv a \pmod{q}} \Lambda(n)}_{=t^{-s} \Psi_a(t) = t^{-s} O(t \log t) = o(1)} \right) + s \int_1^\infty \left(\underbrace{\sum_{n < t, n \equiv a \pmod{q}} \Lambda(n)}_{=\Psi_a(t)} \right) t^{-(s+1)} dt \\
&= s \int_1^\infty \Psi_a(t) t^{-s-1} dt = s \int_1^\infty O(t^{1/2} \log t) t^{-s-1} dt \\
&= O \left(|s| \int_1^\infty \log(t) t^{-\Re(s)-1/2} dt \right) \\
&= O \left(|s| \left(\frac{1}{1/2 - \Re(s)} 1^{1/2 - \Re(s)} + \frac{1}{1/2 - \Re(s)} \int_1^\infty t^{-\Re(s)-1/2} dt \right) \right) \\
&= O \left(|s| \frac{1}{(1/2 - \Re(s))^2} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

However this function has no pole at $s = 1$, a contradiction to Lemma 26. \square

Example 29 (Ex (vi)). For all the nontrivial Dirichlet characters χ_1, \dots, χ_4 defined in Example 19, we have

$$L(1, \chi_i) \neq 0$$

It follows that there are infinitely many primes $\equiv a \pmod{5}$, for all $a \perp 5$.

Proof. By Prop. 5 we know that

$$L(1, \chi_i) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \chi(n) n^{-s}$$

For the fifth root of unity $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\chi_i(1) = \omega$, we thus find

$$\begin{aligned} L(1, \chi_i) &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq 4} \frac{\chi(5k+n)}{(5k+n)^s} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\omega}{(5k+1)^s} + \frac{\omega^2}{(5k+2)^s} + \frac{\omega^3}{(5k+3)^s} + \frac{\omega^4}{(5k+4)^s} \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \omega \left(\underbrace{\frac{1}{(5k+1)^s} - \frac{1}{(5k+4)^s}}_{>0} \right) + \omega^2 \left(\underbrace{\frac{1}{(5k+2)^s} - \frac{1}{(5k+3)^s}}_{>0} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have positive coefficients $a_k, b_k > 0$ with

$$L(1, \chi_i) - \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k \omega + b_k \omega^2 = \omega \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k + b_k \omega$$

In particular,

$$\Im \left(\frac{L(1, \chi_i)}{\omega} \right) = \sum_{k \geq 0} b_k \Im(\omega) = \Im(\omega) \underbrace{\sum_{k \geq 0} b_k}_{>0}$$

Since χ_i is a nontrivial Dirichlet character, we see that $\Im(\omega) \neq 0$ and so $\Im(L(1, \chi_i)/\omega) \neq 0$, thus $L(1, \chi_i) \neq 0$. \square

Remark 30 (Ex (vii)). TODO

References

- [Inc] Wolfram Research Inc. *Wolfram Alpha Online*. Champaign, IL, 2021. URL: <https://www.wolframalpha.com/> (visited on 11/29/2021).
- [Neu92] Jürgen Neukirch. *Algebraic Number Theory*. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer, 1992.