

# Miniproject - Algebraic Geometry

Simon Pohmann

**Definition 1.** Let  $V$  be a vector space. Then define the  $d$ -th exterior power as

$$\bigwedge^d(V) := V^{\otimes d} / \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} V^{\otimes(i-1)} \otimes \{v \otimes v' + v' \otimes v \mid v, v' \in V\} \otimes V^{\otimes(d-i-1)}$$

Use the notation  $v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_d := [v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_d] \in \bigwedge^d(V)$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let  $v_1, \dots, v_d \in V$ . Have for  $\pi \in S_d$  that

$$v_{\pi(1)} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{\pi(d)} = \text{sgn}(\pi)(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_d)$$

Furthermore if  $v_i = v_j$  for some  $i \neq j$ , then

$$v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_d = 0$$

*Proof.* Note that

$$u \otimes v \otimes v' \otimes w = -(u \otimes v' \otimes v \otimes w)$$

for all  $u \in \bigwedge^{i-1}(V), w \in \bigwedge^{d-i-1}(V), v, v' \in V$ .

Every  $\pi \in S_d$  has a decomposition  $\pi = \xi_1 \dots \xi_n$  into transpositions  $\xi_i$ . Applying this inductively, we find that

$$v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_d = \text{sgn}(\xi_1 \dots \xi_n)(v_{(\xi_1 \dots \xi_n)(1)} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{(\xi_1 \dots \xi_n)(d)})$$

and so

$$v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_d = \text{sgn}(\pi)(v_{\pi(1)} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{\pi(d)})$$

Furthermore, we find that

$$u \otimes v \otimes v \otimes w = -(u \otimes v \otimes v \otimes w) = 0$$

must be zero. Hence, if  $v_1, \dots, v_d \in V$  with  $v_i = v_j$  for some  $i \neq j$ , then there is a permutation  $\pi \in S_d$  with  $\pi(1) = i, \pi(2) = j$  and

$$v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_d = (\text{sgn}(\pi))(v_i \wedge v_j \wedge v_{\pi(3)} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{\pi(d)}) = \text{sgn}(\pi)0 = 0$$

□

**Lemma 3** (1a). *Let  $\dim(V) \leq 3$ . Then every element of  $\bigwedge^k(V)$  is decomposable.*

*Proof.* Now let  $v_1, v_2, v_3$  be a set of generators of  $V$ . Consider  $u_1 = \sum \lambda_i v_i, u_2 = \sum \mu_i v_i, u_3 = \sum \rho_i v_i$ . Then by applying Lemma 2, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 \wedge u_2 &= \sum_{i,j} \lambda_i \mu_j \underbrace{(v_i \wedge v_j)}_{=0 \text{ if } i=j} = \sum_{i < j} \lambda_i \mu_j (v_i \wedge v_j) - \sum_{i > j} \lambda_i \mu_j (v_i \wedge v_j) \\ &= \sum_{i < j} (\lambda_i \mu_j - \lambda_j \mu_i) (v_i \wedge v_j) = \alpha(v_1 \wedge v_2) + \beta(v_1 \wedge v_3) + \gamma(v_2 \wedge v_3) \\ &= \begin{cases} \beta v_1 + \gamma v_2 \wedge \frac{\alpha}{\beta} v_2 + v_3 & \text{if } \beta \neq 0 \\ \alpha v_1 - \gamma v_3 \wedge v_2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 \wedge u_2 \wedge u_3 &= \sum_{i,j,l} \lambda_i \mu_j \rho_l \underbrace{(v_i \wedge v_j \wedge v_l)}_{=0 \text{ unless } i,j,l \text{ pairwise distinct}} \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in S_3} \lambda_{\pi(1)} \mu_{\pi(2)} \rho_{\pi(3)} (v_{\pi(1)} \wedge v_{\pi(2)} \wedge v_{\pi(3)}) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in S_3} \lambda_{\pi(1)} \mu_{\pi(2)} \rho_{\pi(3)} \text{sgn}(\pi) (v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3) \\ &= (v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3) \sum_{\pi \in S_3} \lambda_{\pi(1)} \mu_{\pi(2)} \rho_{\pi(3)} \text{sgn}(\pi) \end{aligned}$$

are decomposable. Further, it is easy to see from Lemma 2 that  $\bigwedge^k(V) = \{0\}$  for  $k \geq 4$ , which is trivially decomposable.  $\square$

**Example 4** (1b). *Consider  $V = k^4$ . Then the element  $w := (e_1 \wedge e_2) + (e_3 \wedge e_4) \in \bigwedge^2(V)$  is not decomposable.*

*Proof.* Assume it was, then there are  $a, b \in k^4$  such that

$$w = \sum_i a_i e_i \wedge \sum_j b_j e_j = \sum_{i < j} (a_i b_j - a_j b_i) (e_i \wedge e_j)$$

In other words

$$a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1 = 1, \quad a_3 b_4 - a_4 b_3 = 1, \quad a_i b_j - a_j b_i = 0 \text{ for all } (i, j) \neq (1, 2), (3, 4)$$

Clearly  $a_1 b_2 \neq 0$  or  $a_2 b_1 \neq 0$ . Similarly, have  $a_3 b_4 \neq 0$  or  $a_4 b_3 \neq 0$ . As all expressions are symmetric w.r.t swapping  $a_1, b_2$  with  $a_2, b_1$  and  $a_3, b_4$  with  $a_4, b_3$ , we may assume wlog that  $a_1 b_2, a_3 b_4 \neq 0$ .

Have  $a_1 b_4 = a_4 b_1$  and  $a_2 b_4 = a_4 b_2$ . We know that  $a_1 b_4 \neq 0$  and so

$$\frac{a_2}{a_1} = \frac{a_2 b_4}{a_1 b_4} = \frac{a_4 b_2}{a_4 b_1} = \frac{b_2}{b_1} \Rightarrow a_2 b_1 = a_1 b_2$$

This contradicts  $a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1 = 1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5** (1c). *Let  $d$  be even. An element  $\omega \in \bigwedge^d V$  is decomposable if and only if  $\omega \wedge \omega \in \bigwedge^{2d} V$  is zero.*

*Proof.* The direction  $\Rightarrow$  even holds generally. Assume  $\omega = v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_d$ . Then

$$\omega \wedge \omega = v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_d \wedge v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_d = 0$$

by Lemma 2. The other direction is more interesting.

Let  $\omega = v_1 + \dots + v_t$  for linearly independent decomposable vectors  $v_i \in \bigwedge^2 V$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \omega \wedge \omega &= \sum_{i,j} v_i \wedge v_j = \sum_{i < j} (v_i \wedge v_j) + (v_j \wedge v_i) \\ &= \sum_{i < j} 2(v_i \wedge v_j) = 2 \sum_i v_i \wedge \left( \sum_{j > i} v_j \right) \end{aligned}$$

Here we used that the permutation  $(1 \ 2d)(2 \ (2d-1)) \dots (d \ (d+1)) \in S_{2d}$  has always sign 1 (since  $d$  is even).

Note that for any nonzero decomposable vector

$$u_1 \wedge u_2 \in \left( \bigwedge^2 \text{span}\{v_2, \dots, v_t\} \right) \setminus \{0\}$$

find

$$u_1, u_2 \in \text{span}\{v_2, \dots, v_t\}$$

In particular, we know that

$$v_1 \wedge \left( \sum_{j > i} v_j \right) \in \bigwedge^2 \text{span}\{v_2, \dots, v_t\}$$

and so  $v_1 \in \text{span}\{v_2, \dots, v_t\}$  unless  $\sum_{j > i} v_j = 0$ . We assumed that the  $v_i$  are linearly independent, so the former would give a contradiction. Hence  $\sum_{j > i} v_j = 0$  and thus  $t = 1$ , i.e.  $\omega = v_1$  is decomposable.  $\square$

**Lemma 6** (2a). *Let  $A = (a_{ij}) \in \text{GL}_d(k)$  and  $v_1, \dots, v_d \in V$ . Then*

$$\left( \sum_j a_{1j} v_j \right) \wedge \dots \wedge \left( \sum_j a_{dj} v_j \right) = \det(A) (v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_d)$$

*Proof.* By a direct computation using Lemma 2, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_j a_{1j} v_j \right) \wedge \dots \wedge \left( \sum_j a_{dj} v_j \right) &= \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_d} a_{1j_1} \dots a_{dj_d} (v_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{j_d}) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in S_d} a_{1\pi(1)} \dots a_{d\pi(d)} (v_{\pi(1)} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{\pi(d)}) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in S_d} a_{1\pi(1)} \dots a_{d\pi(d)} \text{sgn}(\pi) (v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_d) \\ &= (v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_d) \sum_{\pi \in S_d} \text{sgn}(\pi) \prod_{j=1}^d a_{j\pi(j)} = \det(A) (v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_d) \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality holds due to the Leibniz determinant formula.  $\square$

We see that if there are two bases  $v_1, \dots, v_d$  and  $u_1, \dots, u_d$  of a  $d$ -dimensional vector space  $U$ , then there exists a basis change matrix  $A = (a_{ij}) \in \text{GL}_d(k)$  with

$$u_i = \sum_j a_{ij} v_j$$

So by the Lemma, it follows that

$$u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge u_d = \det(A)(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_d)$$

As  $v_1, \dots, v_d$  resp.  $u_1, \dots, u_d$  are bases, they are linearly independent and in particular, we see that

$$v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_d \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge u_d \neq 0$$

Hence they have well-defined images  $[v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_d]$  resp.  $[u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge u_d]$  in the projective space  $\mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^d V)$ . By the above, find

$$[v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_d] = [u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge u_d]$$

This allows us to study the Grassmanian  $\text{Gr}(d, V)$  of a fixed vector space  $V$ .

**Definition 7.** *Define the map*

$$\phi : \text{Gr}(d, V) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^d V), \quad \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_d\} \mapsto [v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_d]$$

*which is well-defined by Lemma 6 as described above.*

**Lemma 8 (1a).** *We have*

$$\text{im}\phi = D := \{[v] \in \mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^d V) \mid v \text{ decomposable}\}$$

*Proof.* First of all, note that the set  $D$  is well-defined, as  $v$  is decomposable if and only if  $\lambda v$  is decomposable, for all  $\lambda \in k^*$ .

By definition of  $\phi$ , we can directly observe that  $\text{im}\phi \subseteq D$ . So consider an element  $[v] \in D$ . As  $v$  is decomposable, it follows that  $v = v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_d$  for  $v_i \in V$ . Not it suffices to show that the  $v_i$  are linearly independent, then clearly  $\text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_d\}$  is a well-defined  $d$ -dimensional vector subspace of  $V$ , thus an element of  $\text{Gr}(d, V)$ .

Assume not, then there is a nonzero vector  $a_1 \in k^d$  with  $\sum a_{1i} v_i = 0$ . Clearly, we can extend  $a_1$  to a basis  $a_1, \dots, a_d$  of  $k^d$ , which gives a matrix  $A = (a_{ij}) \in \text{GL}_d(k)$ .

However by Lemma 6 we now get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 0 \wedge \left( \sum_j a_{2j} v_j \right) \wedge \dots \wedge \left( \sum_j a_{dj} v_j \right) = \left( \sum_j a_{1j} v_j \right) \wedge \dots \wedge \left( \sum_j a_{dj} v_j \right) \\ &= \det(A)(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_d) \end{aligned}$$

and so  $v = v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_d = 0$  as  $\det(A) \neq 0$ . However,  $v$  was a representative of a point in  $\mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^d V)$ , a contradiction. The claim follows.  $\square$