





A refresher on the Finite Element Method

Denis Davydov (<u>denis.davydov@fau.de</u>) <u>Luca Heltai</u> (<u>luca.heltai@sissa.it</u>)

25 February 2019





Brief re-hash of the FEM, using the Poisson equation:

We start with the strong form:

$$-\Delta u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega$$







Brief re-hash of the FEM, using the Poisson equation:

We start with the strong form:

$$-\Delta u = f$$

...and transform this into the weak form by multiplying from the left with a test function:

$$(\nabla \varphi, \nabla u) = (\varphi, f) \quad \forall \varphi$$

The solution of this is a function u(x) from an infinite-dimensional function space.







Since computers can't handle objects with infinitely many coefficients, we seek a finite dimensional function of the form

$$u_h = \sum_{j=1}^N U_j \varphi_j(x)$$

To determine the N coefficients, test with the N basis functions:

$$(\nabla \varphi_i, \nabla u_h) = (\varphi_i, f) \quad \forall i = 1...N$$

If basis functions are linearly independent, this yields *N* equations for *N* coefficients.

This is called the Galerkin method.







Practical question 1: How to define the basis functions?

Answer: In the finite element method, this is done using the following concepts:


- Subdivision of the domain into a mesh
- Each cell of the mesh is a mapping of the reference cell
- Definition of basis functions on the reference cell
- Each shape function corresponds to a degree of freedom on the global mesh







Practical question 1: How to define the basis functions?










Practical question 1: How to define the basis functions?









Practical question 1: How to define the basis functions?

Answer: In the finite element method, this is done using the following concepts:

- Subdivision of the domain into a mesh
- Each cell of the mesh is a mapping of the reference cell
- Definition of basis functions on the reference cell
- Each shape function corresponds to a degree of freedom on the global mesh

Concepts in red will correspond to things we need to implement in software, explicitly or implicitly.







Given the definition $u_h = \sum_{j=1}^N U_j \varphi_j(x)$, we can expand the bilinear form

$$(\nabla \varphi_i, \nabla u_h) = (\varphi_i, f) \quad \forall i = 1...N$$

to obtain:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\nabla \varphi_i, \nabla \varphi_j) U_j = (\varphi_i, f) \quad \forall i = 1...N$$

This is a linear system

$$AU=F$$

with

$$A_{ij} = (\nabla \varphi_i, \nabla \varphi_j)$$
 $F_i = (\varphi_i, f)$







Practical question 2: How to compute

$$A_{ij} = (\nabla \varphi_i, \nabla \varphi_j)$$
 $F_i = (\varphi_i, f)$

Answer: By mapping back to the reference cell...

$$\begin{split} A_{ij} &= (\nabla \varphi_i, \nabla \varphi_j) \\ &= \sum_K \int_K \nabla \varphi_i(x) \cdot \nabla \varphi_j(x) \\ &= \sum_K \int_{\hat{K}} J_K^{-1}(\hat{x}) \hat{\nabla} \hat{\varphi}_i(\hat{x}) \cdot J_K^{-1}(\hat{x}) \hat{\nabla} \hat{\varphi}_j(\hat{x}) | \det J_K(\hat{x}) | \end{split}$$

...and quadrature:

$$A_{ij} \approx \sum_{K} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} J_{K}^{-1}(\hat{x}_{q}) \hat{\nabla} \hat{\varphi}_{i}(\hat{x}_{q}) \cdot J_{K}^{-1}(\hat{x}_{q}) \hat{\nabla} \hat{\varphi}_{j}(\hat{x}_{q}) \underbrace{|\det J_{K}(\hat{x}_{q})| \ w_{q}}_{=:JxW}$$

Similarly for the right hand side F.







Practical question 3: How to store the matrix and vectors of the linear system

$$AU = F$$

Answers:

- A is sparse, so store it in compressed row format
- U,F are just vectors, store them as arrays
- Implement efficient algorithms on them, e.g. matrixvector products, preconditioners, etc.
- For large-scale computations, data structures and algorithms must be parallel







Practical question 4: How to solve the linear system

$$AU = F$$

Answers: In practical computations, we need a variety of

- Direct solvers
- Iterative solvers
- Parallel solvers







Practical question 5: What to do with the solution of the linear system

$$AU = F$$

Answers: The goal is not to solve the linear system, but to do something with its solution:

- Visualize
- Evaluate for quantities of interest
- Estimate the error


These steps are often called postprocessing the solution.







Together, the concepts we have identified lead to the following components that all appear (explicitly or implicitly) in finite element codes:









Summary:

- By going through the mathematical description of the FEM, we have identified concepts that need to be represented by software components.
- Other components relate to what we want to do with numerical solutions of PDEs.
- The next few lectures will show the software realization of these concepts.







On using state-of-the-art tools

All of us have our favorite editor, often the first one we learned well:

- vi/vim/gvim
- emacs
- ...

But: Just because we know them well, this doesn't mean:

- That they are well suited to the task
- That they are state of the art
- That they are the tools that let you be most productive.

The rarest resource is *your* time, not CPU time etc.

You must be willing to keep learning new tools!







IDEs

All of us have our favorite editor, often the first one we learned well:

- vi/vim/gvim
- emacs

The problem with most of these:

- They are (good) editors but not code exploration tools
- They are text-based, not graphical

Excellent, modern tool are for example:

- eclipse
- kdevelop
- Xcode, Microsoft Visual C/C++







IDEs

What an IDE can do for you:

IDEs "know" about your code base, i.e., they parse all of the files that belong to your project.

Thus, the IDE...

- Knows where a variable is declared (even if in a different file)
- Knows its type and can help you with code completion
- Can rename a variable everywhere
- Can keep declaration and definition in sync
- Can help you with function arguments
- Makes you faster and make far fewer mistakes.





