

# Connectivity

## Graph Theory

# Maximum and minimum degree of a graph

Let us recall the following definitions:

## Definition 1.

- The maximum degree of a graph  $G$ , denoted by  $\Delta(G)$ , is defined to be  $\Delta(G) = \max\{d(v) : v \in V(G)\}$ .
- Similarly, the minimum degree of a graph  $G$ , denoted by  $\delta(G)$ , is defined to be  $\delta(G) = \min\{d(v) : v \in V(G)\}$ . Note that for any vertex  $v$  in  $G$ , we have  $\delta(G) \leq d(v) \leq \Delta(G)$ .

## Theorem 2.

*If a graph  $G$  with minimum degree  $\delta(G) \geq 2$ , then  $G$  contains a cycle.*

### Proof.

Suppose  $G$  is a graph  $G$  with minimum degree  $\delta(G) \geq 2$ . Let  $P = (v_0, \dots, v_i, \dots, v_{n-1}, v_n)$  be the longest path in  $G$ . Consider the degree of the last vertex  $v_n$ . From the hypothesis we know that the degree  $v_n$  is at least 2 ( $d(v_n) \geq 2$ ). We know that one of the  $v_n$ 's adjacent vertex is  $v_{n-1}$  because consecutive vertices in a path are adjacent. Since  $v_n$  has at least 2 adjacent vertices, it must be that it has another adjacent vertex besides  $v_{n-1}$ , say  $w$ . If  $w$  does not lie on  $P$ , then we can extend  $P$  by going from  $v_n$  to  $w$ . □

## Proof Continuation

### Proof.

This would mean that this path is longer than  $P$  and thus contradicts  $P$  being the longest path. So  $w$  cannot be the other adjacent vertex. This means that the other adjacent vertex of  $v_n$  must be in the path  $P$ .

Among the other neighbours of  $v_n$  that are on the path, let's pick one of them and call it  $v_i$ . Then we can go from  $v_n$  to  $v_i$  and proceed along the path and back to  $v_n$ . Then  $(v_n, v_i, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_{n-1}, v_n)$  is a cycle.  $\square$

## Recall some definitions

### Definition 3.

The **complement** or inverse of a graph  $G$ , denoted by  $\overline{G}$  is a graph with  $V(G) = V(\overline{G})$  such that two distinct vertices of  $\overline{G}$  are adjacent if and only if they are not adjacent in  $G$ .

Note that for a graph  $G$  and its complement  $\overline{G}$ , we have:

- $G \cup \overline{G} = K_n$ ;
- $V(G) = V(\overline{G})$ ;
- $E(G) \cup E(\overline{G}) = E(K_n)$ ;

# Connectivity

## Theorem 4.

*If a graph is bipartite with minimum degree  $\delta \leq 1$ , then  $G$  contains a path of order  $2\delta(G)$*

## Theorem 5.

*A graph  $G$  and its complement cannot both be disconnected.*

## Proof.

Let  $G$  be a graph and its complement  $\overline{G}$ .

**Case 1:** Let  $G$  be a disconnected graph ( $V(G) = V(\overline{G})$ ). Choose distinct vertices  $u, v \in V(G)$ . Since  $G$  is disconnected it has at least 2 components.

Suppose  $u$  and  $v$  are in different components.



## Proof Continuation

### Proof.

Since  $u$  and  $v$  are in different components it must be that  $u$  and  $v$  are not adjacent to each other,  $uv \notin E(G)$ . This means that  $uv \in E(\overline{G})$  by definition of complement. Therefore,  $u$  and  $v$  are connect in  $\overline{G}$ .

Now suppose that  $u$  and  $v$  are in the same component of  $G$ .

There exists a vertex  $w \in V(G)$  such that  $uw \notin E(G)$  and  $vw \notin E(G)$ . So  $uw \in E(\overline{G})$  and  $vw \in E(\overline{G})$ . Thus  $uwv$  is a  $uv$  path in  $\overline{G}$ . So by definition of connectedness,  $\overline{G}$  is connected.

**Case 2:** Similarly if  $\overline{G}$  is disconnected then  $G$  is connected. **i.e** both  $G$  and  $\overline{G}$  cannot be disconnected.

