REVIEW QUESTIONS FOR PROJECT REPORTS

CS-E4740 - Federated Learning

ABSTRACT

This document contains the peer review questions for evaluating project reports in the CS-E4740 Federated Learning course. The evaluation criteria ensure that the project report aligns with the provided instructions and guidelines.

1. REVIEW QUESTIONS

Instructions: The following review questions assess the quality and completeness of the project report. Each question follows a clear grading criterion based on the project report instructions.

1.1. Title and Introduction

- 1. Is the title informative and specific?
 - 1p The title clearly describes the project's focus.
 - 0p The title is vague or misleading.
- 2. Does the introduction present a clear motivation for the FL application?
 - 1p The introduction defines the FL application in a real-world scenario with networked devices training personalized models.
 - 0p The introduction lacks clear motivation or background.
- 3. Does the introduction present sufficient background for the FL application?
 - 1p The introduction provides sufficient background, including a discussion of (lack of) existing work on this application.
 - 0p The introduction provides too little background.
- 4. Does the introduction provide a concise outline of the report structure?
 - 1p The report outline is clearly stated.
 - 0p The structure is unclear or missing.

1.2. Problem Formulation

- 4. Does the report clearly define the nodes and (weighted) edges of the FL network?
 - 2p Nodes, edges, and their weights are clearly explained.
 - 1p Some parts of the FL network are not well explained.
 - 0p Description of FL network is insufficient.
- 5. Are the local models properly described?
 - 1p The report specifies the local models.
 - 0p The description of models is unclear or missing.
- 6. Are the local loss functions properly described?
 - 1p The report specifies the loss functions.
 - 0p The description of loss functions is unclear or missing.

1.3. Methods

- 6. Does the report justify the choice of the variation measure for FL?
 - 2p The variation measure is clearly explained and justified.
 - 1p The measure is mentioned but lacks justification.
 - 0p The variation measure is unclear or missing.
- 7. Does the report specify and motivate the federated learning algorithm?
 - 2p The algorithm is well described, including message passing implementation.
 - 1p The algorithm is stated but lacks motivation.
 - 0p The algorithm description is missing or unclear.

1.4. Numerical Experiments

- 8. Does the report explain the data source used for the experiments?
 - 1p Yes, the reports clearly states the data source so that I would be able to reproduce the data gathering myself.
 - 0p –No, the description of data source is absent or lacking.

9. Does the report explain model validation and selection?

- 2p Model validation strategies are clearly explained (e.g., cross-validation).
- 1p Some validation is discussed but lacks clarity.
- 0p Model validation is missing.

10. Are the training, validation, and test losses reported and discussed?

- 2p The report presents loss values for training, validation, and test sets with analysis.
- 1p Loss values are provided but lack discussion.
- 0p Loss reporting is unclear or missing.

1.5. Conclusion and Reproducibility

10. Does the conclusion summarize key findings and suggest improvements?

- 2p The conclusion summarizes key results and suggests future improvements.
- 1p The conclusion is present but lacks depth.
- 0p The conclusion is unclear or missing.

11. Is the report accompanied by a reproducible Python notebook?

- 2p The notebook is included and allows full reproducibility on https://jupyter.cs.aalto.fi/.
- 1p Some results are reproducible, but details are missing.
- 0p The notebook is missing or insufficient for reproduction.

1.6. Plagiarism Check

12. Does the report contain existing material without proper citation?

1p – No signs of plagiarism; all sources are correctly cited.

• -100p – Yes, there is suspected plagiarism (please report to the course staff for investigation).