

# Matheuristic Variants of DSATUR for the Vertex Coloring Problem

Federico Bruzzone,<sup>1</sup> PhD Candidate

Milan, Italy – 4 December 2025



---

<sup>1</sup>ADAPT Lab – Università degli Studi di Milano,

Website: [federicobruzzone.github.io](https://federicobruzzone.github.io),

Github: [github.com/FedericoBruzzone](https://github.com/FedericoBruzzone),

Email: [federico.bruzzone@unimi.it](mailto:federico.bruzzone@unimi.it)

Slides: TODO

# Notation

- $G = (V, E)$  is an undirected graph with vertex set  $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  and edge set  $E$ , and denote  $I = \llbracket 1; n \rrbracket = [1; n] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ .
- An edge  $e = (v_i, v_j) \in E$  with  $i < j$  links the underlying vertices (*for VCP there is no sense to consider loop/multiple edges*).
- For  $i \in I$ ,  $\delta_i$  denotes the set of neighbors of vertex  $v_i$ , and  $d_i = |\delta_i| = |\{j \in I \mid \text{ngb}(v_i, v_j) = 1\}|$ , where  $\text{ngb}(v_i, v_j) = 1$  iff  $(v_i, v_j) \in E$ .<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup>ngb stands for “neighbor”.

# A $k$ -coloring of $G$

- It is an assignment of colors to vertices such that no two adjacent vertices share the same color.
- **VCP** consists in finding a  $k$ -coloring of  $G$  using the minimum number of colors  $k$  (the *chromatic number*  $\chi(G)$ ).
- A valid  $k$ -coloring ( $c$ ) fulfills:  $\forall i < j, (v_i, v_j) \in E \implies c_i \neq c_j$  where  $c_i = \llbracket 1; k \rrbracket$  is the color of  $v_i$ .

# A partial $k$ -coloring of $G$

- If a vertex may not be colored, we set  $c_i = -1$  s.t.  $c_i \in \llbracket 1; k \rrbracket \cup \{-1\}$
- A partial  $k$ -coloring  $(c)$  is *feasible* if  $\forall i < j, (v_i, v_j) \in E \implies c_i \neq c_j \vee c_i = c_j = -1$ .
- Given  $v_i$  the **saturation table**  $S_i$  is the set of colors assigned to its colored neighbors:  $S_i = \bigcup_{j \in \delta_i}^n \{c_j\} \setminus \{-1\}$ , and  $s_i = |S_i|$  is the **saturation degree**.
- A total order  $\succsim$  over  $V$  is defined as:  $v_i \succsim v_j \iff s_i > s_j \vee (s_i = s_j \wedge d_i \geq d_j)$

# Compact ILP Formulations<sup>3</sup>, feasible *iif* $\chi(G) \leq k$

$z_{i,c} \in \{0, 1\}$ indicates if vertex $v_i$ is assigned color $c$ .	$\min \sum_{c=1}^k y_c$	The objective minimizes the number of used colors.
$y_c \in \{0, 1\}$ indicates if color $c$ is used in the coloring.	$s.t. \min \sum_{c=1}^k z_{i,c} = 1 \quad \forall i \in I$	The 1st set ensures that each vertex is assigned exactly one color.
	$z_{i,c} + z_{j,c} \leq y_c \quad \forall (v_i, v_j) \in E,$ $\forall c \in \llbracket 1; k \rrbracket$	The 2nd set ensures that adjacent vertices do not share the same color.

---

<sup>3</sup>Efficient formulations: extended column generation by Furini and Malaguti [1], and reduced formulation to MWSSP by Cornaz et al. [2].

# Observations

- Having an upper bound of the chromatic number as the initial value  $k$  (or simply  $k = |V|$ ) guarantees the optimality of the solution.
- The size of  $k$  strongly affects the performance of ILP solvers.
- Symmetries in the model (e.g., colors are permutable) enlarge the search space for Branch-and-Bound algorithms (the same solution can be represented in multiple ways)

# Representative ILP Model<sup>4</sup>, asymmetric and easily to LP-relax

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 x_{i,i'} \in \{0,1\}, & \\
 \forall i, i' \in & \\
 V \text{ s.t. } i \leq i', & \\
 \text{indicates if} & \\
 \text{vertices } v_i \text{ and} & \\
 v_{i'} \text{ share the} & \\
 \text{same color and} & \\
 i \text{ is the} & \\
 \text{minimum} & \\
 \text{index of its} & \\
 \text{color class.} &
 \end{array}
 \begin{array}{ll}
 \min_z \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i,i} & \\
 \text{s.t. } \sum_{i' \leq i} x_{i',i} \geq 1 & \forall i \in I \\
 x_{j,i} + x_{i,j} \leq x_{j,j} & \forall (v_i, v_j) \in E, \\
 & \forall i < j \in I
 \end{array}$$

The objective counts the number of representative vertices (i.e., used colors).

The 1st set ensures either  $x_{i,i} = 1$  or  $v_i$  shares the color with a vertex  $v_{i'}$  with  $i' < i$ .

The 2nd set expresses the color incompatibility between adjacent vertices and  $x_{j,i} = 1$  implies that  $x_{j,j} = 1$

---

<sup>4</sup>A vertex is representative of its color class if it has the minimum index among the vertices sharing the same color.

# Standard DSATUR Algorithm

---

**Algorithm 1: Standard DSATUR algorithm**

---

**Input:**  $G = (V, E)$  a non-empty and non-oriented graph

**Initialization:**

define partial coloring  $c$  with  $c_i := -1$  for all  $i \in I$

define saturation table  $S$  with  $S_i := \emptyset$  for all  $i \in I$

initialize set  $U := V$ , and color  $k := 0$

**while**  $U \neq \emptyset$

**find**  $u \in U$ , a maximum of  $\succsim$  in  $U$ .

**if**  $|S_u| = k$  **then**  $k := k + 1$  // a new color is added

**compute**  $c_i := \min S_u$  // assign color to  $u$

    remove  $u$  from  $U$

**for all**  $i \in \delta_u \cap U$ ,  $S_i = S_i \cup \{c_i\}$  // update saturation

**end while**

**return** color  $k$  and  $(c)$  a  $k$ -coloring of  $G$

---

- DSATUR is an **adaptive greedy heuristic** proposed by Brélaz [3], which colors vertices iteratively.
- Selection of the uncolored vertex to color is given with order  $\succsim$ , maximizing first the saturation degree and secondly the degree.
- Coloring a new vertex updates saturation, the iteration order of vertices is thus adaptive.



# DASTUR Matheuristic Variants<sup>5</sup>

---

<sup>5</sup>N. Dupin, “Matheuristic Variants of DSATUR for the Vertex Coloring Problem,” in *Metaheuristics 2024* [[4](#)]

# Initialization

Defining an initial partial coloring and computing the saturation table for the uncolored vertices, **before** starting the main DSATUR iterations.

*Variants:*

1. maxDeg: color the vertex with the maximum degree — equivalent to standard DSATUR by definition of  $\succ$ , it would suffer from many ties;
2. col- $n$ : consider  $n$  vertices having the maximum degree and color them solving a representative ILP model for the *induced* subgraph — more depth pre-processing, it tries to prevent erroneous decisions in the initial steps of DSATUR;
3. clq: find a maximum clique<sup>6</sup> and color it with different colors — an exact pre-processing (not heuristic), it leads to a better initial saturation table  $S$  for the uncolored vertices;
4. clq-col- $n$ : combine clq and col- $n$  — best of both worlds.

---

<sup>6</sup>It is NP-hard, an heuristic can be used.

# Local Optimization with Larger Neighborhoods

$(c)$  is a partial  $k$ -coloring, where  $k$  is the number of colors used until now.

- $C = \{i \in I \mid c_i > 0\}$  is the set of colored vertices in  $(c)$ .
- $U \subset \{i \in I \mid c_i = -1\}$  is a subset of uncolored vertices in  $(c)$ .

We want to define an ILP formulation to **assign** a color to each vertex  $u \in U$  while **preserving** the colors of vertices in  $C$ .

**Thank You!**

# Bibliography

- [1] F. Furini and E. Malaguti, “Exact weighted vertex coloring via branch-and-price,” *Discrete Optimization*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 130–136, 2012.
- [2] D. Cornaz, F. Furini, and E. Malaguti, “Solving vertex coloring problems as maximum weight stable set problems,” *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, vol. 217, pp. 151–162, 2017.
- [3] D. Brélaz, “New methods to color the vertices of a graph,” *Commun. ACM*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 251–256, Apr. 1979.
- [4] N. Dupin, “Matheuristic Variants of DSATUR for the Vertex Coloring Problem,” in *Metaheuristics*, M. Sevaux, A.-L. Olteanu, E. G. Pardo, A. Sifaleras, and S. Makboul, Eds., Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2024, pp. 96–111.